

1    **SSWD-EvoEpi: A Coupled Eco-Evolutionary**  
2    **Epidemiological Model**  
3    **for Sea Star Wasting Disease in *Pycnopodia***  
4    ***helianthoides***

5    Technical Report — Model Development and Sensitivity Analysis

6    Willem Weertman<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

<sup>2</sup>Friday Harbor Laboratories, University of Washington, Friday Harbor, WA

7    February 21, 2026

8    **Abstract**

9    Sea star wasting disease (SSWD) caused one of the largest wildlife mass mor-  
10    tality events in marine ecosystems, driving the sunflower sea star (*Pycnopodia he-*  
11    *lianthoides*) to a 90.6% range-wide decline and IUCN Critically Endangered sta-  
12    tus. The recent identification of *Vibrio pectenicida* strain FHCF-3 as a causative  
13    agent, combined with active captive breeding and the first experimental outplanting  
14    of captive-bred juveniles, creates an urgent need for quantitative tools to guide  
15    recovery. We present SSWD-EvoEpi, an individual-based, spatially explicit eco-  
16    evolutionary epidemiological model coupling *V. pectenicida* transmission dynamics  
17    with polygenic host evolution under sweepstakes reproductive success. Each agent  
18    carries a diploid genotype across 51 loci governing three fitness-related traits — re-  
19    sistance (immune exclusion), tolerance (damage limitation), and recovery (pathogen  
20    clearance) — that evolve in response to disease-driven selection. Disease dynamics  
21    follow an SEIR compartmental structure with an environmental pathogen reser-  
22    voir, pathogen evolution along a virulence—transmission tradeoff, and temperature-  
23    dependent forcing. Reproduction implements sweepstakes reproductive success with  
24     $N_e/N \sim 10^{-3}$ , sex-asymmetric spawning induction, and post-spawning immuno-  
25    suppression. Four rounds of global sensitivity analysis (Morris screening and Sobol  
26    variance decomposition) across up to 47 parameters reveal that model behavior  
27    is dominated by nonlinear interactions among disease mortality rate, host suscep-  
28    tibility, environmental pathogen pressure, and genetic architecture, with recovery

29 trait evolution emerging as the fastest adaptive response. The model provides a  
30 framework for evaluating captive-bred release strategies, assisted gene flow, and the  
31 feasibility of evolutionary rescue on conservation-relevant timescales.

# **32 Contents**

<b>33 1 Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
34    1.1 Sea Star Wasting Disease and the Collapse of <i>Pycnopodia helianthoides</i> .	4
35    1.2 Etiology: A Decade-Long Mystery Resolved . . . . .	4
36    1.3 Conservation Urgency and Active Recovery Efforts . . . . .	5
37    1.4 The Need for an Eco-Evolutionary Framework . . . . .	6
38    1.5 Model Overview . . . . .	7
39    1.6 Paper Outline . . . . .	8
<b>40 2 Model Architecture</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>41 3 Disease Module</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>42 4 Genetics Module</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>43 5 Population Dynamics</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>44 6 Spatial Module</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>45 7 Sensitivity Analysis</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>46 8 Validation</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>47 9 Discussion</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>48 A Parameter Tables</b>	<b>13</b>

49 **1 Introduction**

50 **1.1 Sea Star Wasting Disease and the Collapse of *Pycnopodia***  
51 ***helianthoides***

52 Sea star wasting disease (SSWD) caused one of the largest documented wildlife mass  
53 mortality events in marine ecosystems when it swept through populations of over 20  
54 asteroid species along the northeastern Pacific coast beginning in 2013 [18, 23, 36]. The  
55 disease, characterized by behavioral changes (arm twisting, lethargy), loss of turgor,  
56 body wall lesions, ray autotomy, and rapid tissue degradation, devastated populations  
57 from Baja California to the Gulf of Alaska within months [26, 35]. Among the species  
58 affected, the sunflower sea star (*Pycnopodia helianthoides*) suffered the most catastrophic  
59 decline, losing an estimated 5.75 billion individuals and experiencing a 90.6% range-wide  
60 population reduction based on 61,043 surveys across 31 datasets [15, 19]. Along the outer  
61 coast from Washington to Baja California, declines exceeded 97%, with many regions  
62 recording zero individuals in subsequent surveys [15, 17]. The species was assessed as  
63 Critically Endangered by the IUCN in 2021 [15] and is under consideration for listing as  
64 Threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act [30].

65 As a large-bodied, mobile, generalist predator capable of consuming sea urchins at  
66 rates sufficient to structure entire subtidal communities, *Pycnopodia helianthoides* func-  
67 tions as a keystone species in northeastern Pacific kelp forest ecosystems [6, 11, 33].  
68 Its precipitous decline has been linked to cascading trophic effects, including sea urchin  
69 population explosions and extensive kelp forest deforestation, with northern California  
70 losing 96% of its kelp canopy since the 2014 marine heatwave [34, 38]. The loss of this  
71 apex predator thus represents not only a conservation crisis for a single species but a  
72 destabilization of an entire marine ecosystem [17, 28].

73 **1.2 Etiology: A Decade-Long Mystery Resolved**

74 For over a decade following the initial outbreak, the causative agent of SSWD remained  
75 contested. An early hypothesis implicating sea star associated densovirus (SSaDV; Hew-  
76 son et al. 23) was subsequently retracted after repeated failures to reproduce the original  
77 challenge experiments and the discovery that the virus is endemic in healthy echinoderm  
78 populations worldwide [24–26]. An alternative hypothesis invoking boundary layer oxygen  
79 depletion (BLODL) at the animal–water interface proposed that microbial respiration on  
80 sea star surfaces draws down dissolved oxygen, leading to tissue hypoxia [2, 21]. While  
81 this mechanism may contribute to disease susceptibility, it did not identify a specific  
82 pathogen.

83 The breakthrough came with Prentice et al. [37], who fulfilled Koch’s postulates by  
84 demonstrating that *Vibrio pectenicida* strain FHCF-3, a Gram-negative marine bac-

85 terium, is a causative agent of SSWD in *Pycnopodia helianthoides*. Through seven  
86 controlled exposure experiments using captive-bred, quarantined sea stars, the authors  
87 showed that injection of cultured *V. pectenicida* FHCF-3 into the coelomic cavity re-  
88 liably produced disease signs — arm twisting, lesion formation, autotomy, and death  
89 within approximately two weeks. Heat-treated and 0.22 µm filtered controls remained  
90 healthy, confirming a living bacterial agent. Critically, the pathogen was re-isolated from  
91 experimentally infected animals, completing Koch’s postulates. Earlier investigations had  
92 missed *V. pectenicida* because they sampled body wall tissue rather than coelomic fluid,  
93 where the bacterium resides.

94 However, the etiological picture is not entirely resolved. Hewson [22] demonstrated  
95 that *V. pectenicida* FHCF-3 was not consistently detected in non-*Pycnopodia helianthoides*  
96 species during the 2013–2014 mass mortality, suggesting it may be specific to *Pycnopo-*  
97 *dia helianthoides* or may function as an opportunistic pathogen rather than a universal  
98 SSWD agent across all affected asteroid taxa. The bacterium also exhibits explosive  
99 growth in the presence of decaying echinoderm tissue, raising questions about whether it  
100 acts primarily as a pathogen or a saprobe under different conditions [22]. Nonetheless, for  
101 *Pycnopodia helianthoides* — the focus of this study — the evidence for *V. pectenicida* as  
102 the primary causative agent is robust. The identification of a specific bacterial pathogen  
103 with known temperature-dependent growth dynamics [32] provides a mechanistic basis  
104 for modeling disease transmission and environmental forcing.

### 105 1.3 Conservation Urgency and Active Recovery Efforts

106 The failure of *Pycnopodia helianthoides* populations to recover naturally in the decade  
107 following the initial epizootic — contrasting with partial recovery observed in some  
108 co-occurring asteroid species [14] — has motivated intensive conservation action. The  
109 species’ long generation time (~30 years), broadcast spawning reproductive strategy, and  
110 vulnerability to Allee effects at low density [12, 31] compound the challenge of natural  
111 recovery. Historical precedent is sobering: the Caribbean long-spined sea urchin *Diadema*  
112 *antillarum*, which suffered a comparable 93–100% mass mortality in 1983–1984, achieved  
113 only ~12% recovery after three decades [29]. Another asteroid, *Heliaster kubiniji*, has  
114 never recovered from a 1975 mass mortality event in the Gulf of California [9].

115 In response, a coordinated multi-partner recovery effort has emerged. The Associa-  
116 tion of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) Saving Animals From Extinction (SAFE) program  
117 maintains over 2,500 captive juveniles and 130+ reproductive adults across 17 AZA insti-  
118 tutions [5]. The first experimental outplanting of captive-bred *Pycnopodia helianthoides*  
119 occurred in December 2025 in Monterey, California, with 47 of 48 juveniles surviving after  
120 four weeks [42]. A Roadmap to Recovery developed by over 30 leading experts defines  
121 regionally nested recovery objectives, from local demographic benchmarks to range-wide

122 genetic structure targets [19]. Cryopreservation of gametes has been demonstrated for a  
123 congener and is under development for *Pycnopodia helianthoides* to enable assisted gene  
124 flow from genetically diverse founders [16, 41]. In 2025, the California Ocean Protection  
125 Council approved \$630,000 in funding for captive breeding, disease diagnostics, and ex-  
126 perimental outplanting [7]. A reference genome has also been published [40], laying the  
127 groundwork for genome-wide association studies (GWAS) to identify resistance loci.

128 These recovery efforts require quantitative predictions: How many captive-bred in-  
129 dividuals should be released, where, and when? What are the genetic consequences of  
130 releasing animals from a limited captive founder population? Can natural selection drive  
131 resistance evolution fast enough to matter on conservation timescales? How do pathogen  
132 evolution, environmental change, and spatial structure interact to shape recovery trajec-  
133 tories? Answering these questions demands a modeling framework that integrates disease  
134 dynamics with population genetics in an explicitly spatial context.

## 135 1.4 The Need for an Eco-Evolutionary Framework

136 Existing models of SSWD dynamics have focused on either epidemiological or ecological  
137 aspects in isolation. Aalto et al. [1] coupled an SIR-type model with ocean circulation to  
138 explain the rapid continental-scale spread of SSWD, finding that temperature-dependent  
139 mortality best matched observed patterns. Tolimieri [43] conducted a population viability  
140 analysis using stage-structured matrix models but did not incorporate disease dynamics or  
141 host genetics. Arroyo-Esquivel et al. [4] recently modeled epidemiological consequences of  
142 managed reintroduction following disease-driven host decline, but their framework lacks  
143 genetic evolution. None of these approaches captures the interplay between disease-driven  
144 selection, host genetic adaptation, and demographic recovery that is central to predicting  
145 conservation outcomes.

146 The theoretical motivation for coupling these processes is compelling. Mass mortal-  
147 ity events impose intense directional selection on host populations [39], and in *Pisaster*  
148 *ochraceus* — a co-occurring sea star affected by SSWD — rapid allele frequency shifts  
149 ( $\Delta q \approx 0.08\text{--}0.15$  at outlier loci) were detected within a single generation of the epi-  
150 zotic, with geographic consistency across sites indicating selection rather than drift [39].  
151 However, in broadcast-spawning marine invertebrates, the genetic consequences of mass  
152 mortality are filtered through sweepstakes reproductive success (SRS), whereby variance  
153 in individual reproductive success is so large that effective population size ( $N_e$ ) is orders  
154 of magnitude smaller than census size ( $N_e/N \sim 10^{-3}$ ; Árnason et al. 3, Hedgecock and  
155 Pudovkin 20). SRS amplifies genetic drift on ecological timescales [44], can facilitate rapid  
156 adaptation when coupled with bottlenecks [10], and generates chaotic genetic patchiness  
157 that confounds simple predictions of evolutionary trajectories. Any model of evolutionary  
158 rescue in *Pycnopodia helianthoides* must therefore account for this fundamental feature

159 of marine broadcast spawner genetics.

160 The closest methodological precedent is the eco-evolutionary individual-based model  
161 (IBM) developed by Clement et al. [8] for coevolution between Tasmanian devils (*Sar-*  
162 *cophilus harrisii*) and devil facial tumour disease (DFTD). That model coupled an SEI  
163 epidemiological framework with polygenic quantitative genetics, parameterized from two  
164 decades of field data and GWAS results, and found a high probability of host persistence  
165 over 50 generations through coevolutionary dynamics. Our model extends this approach  
166 to a marine system with fundamentally different reproductive biology — broadcast spawn-  
167 ing with sweepstakes reproductive success, external fertilization subject to Allee effects,  
168 and a pelagic larval phase mediating spatial connectivity — challenges that no existing  
169 eco-evolutionary disease model has addressed.

## 170 1.5 Model Overview

171 We present SSWD-EvoEpi, an individual-based, spatially explicit, eco-evolutionary epi-  
172 demiological model designed to simulate SSWD dynamics and evolutionary responses in  
173 *Pycnopodia helianthoides* metapopulations across the northeastern Pacific. The model  
174 tracks individual sea stars as agents within a network of habitat nodes connected by larval  
175 dispersal and pathogen transport. Each agent carries a diploid genotype across 51 loci  
176 governing three fitness-related traits: resistance ( $r_i$ , 17 loci; immune exclusion reducing  
177 infection probability), tolerance ( $t_i$ , 17 loci; damage limitation extending survival dur-  
178 ing late-stage infection), and recovery ( $c_i$ , 17 loci; pathogen clearance enabling transition  
179 from infected to recovered states). Per-locus allele frequencies are drawn from a Beta(2,8)  
180 distribution, reflecting polygenic architecture with most loci at low frequency [27].

181 Disease dynamics follow an SEIR-type compartmental structure with exposed (E),  
182 early infected ( $I_1$ ), and late infected ( $I_2$ ) stages, coupled with an environmental pathogen  
183 reservoir (P) whose dynamics are temperature-dependent [13, 32]. Pathogen evolution  
184 is modeled through a heritable virulence phenotype that evolves along a mechanistic  
185 tradeoff curve linking shedding rate to host survival duration. Reproduction incorpo-  
186 rates sweepstakes reproductive success via a heavy-tailed offspring distribution producing  
187  $N_e/N$  ratios consistent with empirical estimates for marine broadcast spawners [20], with  
188 sex-asymmetric spawning induction and post-spawning immunosuppression derived from  
189 species-specific observations. Spatial connectivity is implemented through distinct larval  
190 exchange and pathogen dispersal matrices computed from overwater distances across the  
191 model domain.

192 The model is implemented in Python with NumPy-vectorized agent operations, achiev-  
193 ing sufficient performance for large-scale sensitivity analysis and calibration (75,000 agents  
194 across 150 nodes in  $\sim 72$  s). Four rounds of sensitivity analysis using Morris screening and  
195 Sobol variance decomposition across up to 47 parameters have identified the key drivers of

<sup>196</sup> model behavior, revealing strong nonlinear interactions and highlighting priority targets  
<sup>197</sup> for empirical calibration.

## <sup>198</sup> 1.6 Paper Outline

<sup>199</sup> The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the overall model  
<sup>200</sup> architecture, agent representation, and simulation flow. Sections 3–6 detail the disease,  
<sup>201</sup> genetics, population dynamics, and spatial modules, respectively. Section 7 presents four  
<sup>202</sup> rounds of global sensitivity analysis, identifying the parameters with greatest influence  
<sup>203</sup> on epidemiological, demographic, and evolutionary outcomes. Section 8 describes model  
<sup>204</sup> validation against available empirical data. Section 9 synthesizes findings, discusses lim-  
<sup>205</sup> itations, and outlines the path toward calibrated conservation scenario evaluation. Pa-  
<sup>206</sup> rameter tables and supplementary analyses are provided in Appendix A.

## <sup>207</sup> 2 Model Architecture

## <sup>208</sup> 3 Disease Module

## <sup>209</sup> 4 Genetics Module

## <sup>210</sup> 5 Population Dynamics

## <sup>211</sup> 6 Spatial Module

## <sup>212</sup> 7 Sensitivity Analysis

## <sup>213</sup> 8 Validation

## <sup>214</sup> 9 Discussion

## <sup>215</sup> References

- <sup>216</sup> [1] Emilius A. Aalto, Kevin D. Lafferty, Susanne H. Sokolow, Richard E. Grewelle,  
<sup>217</sup> Tal Ben-Horin, Charles A. Boch, Peter T. Raimondi, Steven J. Bograd, Elliott L.  
<sup>218</sup> Hazen, Michael G. Jacox, Fiorenza Micheli, and Giulio A. De Leo. Models with  
<sup>219</sup> environmental drivers offer a plausible mechanism for the rapid spread of infectious  
<sup>220</sup> disease outbreaks in marine organisms. *Scientific Reports*, 10:5975, 2020. doi: 10.  
<sup>221</sup> 1038/s41598-020-62118-4.

- 222 [2] Citlalli A. Aquino, Ryan M. Besemer, Christopher M. DeRito, Jan Kocian, Ian R.  
223 Porter, Peter T. Raiber, John E. Episale, and Ian Hewson. Evidence that microor-  
224 ganisms at the animal-water interface drive sea star wasting disease. *Frontiers in*  
225 *Microbiology*, 11:610009, 2021. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.610009.
- 226 [3] Einar Árnason, Jere Koskela, Katrín Halldórsdóttir, and Bjarki Eldon. Sweepstakes  
227 reproductive success via pervasive and recurrent selective sweeps. *eLife*, 12:e80781,  
228 2023. doi: 10.7554/eLife.80781.
- 229 [4] Jorge Arroyo-Esquivel, Alyssa Gehman, Katie Collins, and Fernanda Sanchez. Man-  
230 aging populations after a disease outbreak: exploration of epidemiological con-  
231 sequences of managed host reintroduction following disease-driven host decline.  
232 *bioRxiv*, 2025. doi: 10.1101/2025.02.28.640833.
- 233 [5] AZA SAFE. Sunflower sea star program plan 2024–2027. Technical report, Associa-  
234 tion of Zoos and Aquariums, 2024.
- 235 [6] Jenn M. Burt, M. Tim Tinker, Daniel K. Okamoto, Kyle W. Demes, Katie Holmes,  
236 and Anne K. Salomon. Sudden collapse of a mesopredator reveals its complementary  
237 role in mediating rocky reef regime shifts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 285:  
238 20180553, 2018. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2018.0553.
- 239 [7] California Ocean Protection Council. Staff recommendation item 9: Consideration  
240 and approval of disbursement of funds to support sunflower sea star reintroduction.  
241 Technical report, California Ocean Protection Council, 2025.
- 242 [8] Matthew Clement et al. Eco-evolutionary individual-based model for coevolution  
243 between Tasmanian devils and devil facial tumour disease. *Evolution*, 2024. doi:  
244 10.1093/evolut/qpae143.
- 245 [9] Michael L. Dungan, Thomas E. Miller, and Donald A. Thomson. Catastrophic  
246 decline of a top carnivore in the Gulf of California rocky intertidal zone. *Science*,  
247 216:989–991, 1982. doi: 10.1126/science.216.4549.989.
- 248 [10] Bjarki Eldon and Wolfgang Stephan. Sweepstakes reproduction facilitates rapid  
249 adaptation in highly fecund populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 33:e16903, 2024. doi:  
250 10.1111/mec.16903.
- 251 [11] Aaron W. E. Galloway, Sarah A. Gravem, Jenna N. Kobelt, et al. Sunflower sea  
252 star predation on urchins can facilitate kelp forest recovery. *Proceedings of the Royal*  
253 *Society B*, 290:20221897, 2023. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2022.1897.
- 254 [12] Joanna C. Gascoigne and Romuald N. Lipcius. Allee effects in marine systems.  
255 *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 269:49–59, 2004. doi: 10.3354/meps269049.

- 256 [13] Àlex Giménez-Romero, Antoni Grau, Iris E. Hendriks, and Manuel A. Matías. Mod-  
257 ellng parasite-produced marine diseases: The case of the mass mortality event of  
258 *Pinna nobilis*. *Ecological Modelling*, 459:109740, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.  
259 2021.109740.
- 260 [14] Sarah A. Gravem and Bruce A. Menge. Metapopulation-scale resilience to disease-  
261 induced mass mortality in a keystone predator: From stasis to instability. *Ecosphere*,  
262 16:e70426, 2025. doi: 10.1002/ecs2.70426.
- 263 [15] Sarah A. Gravem, Walter N. Heady, Vienna R. Saccomanno, Kathleen F. Alvstad,  
264 Alyssa-Lois M. Gehman, Taylor N. Frierson, and Scott L. Hamilton. *Pycnopodia*  
265 *helianthoides*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*, 2021. doi: 10.2305/IUCN.  
266 UK.2021-1.RLTS.T178290276A197818455.en.
- 267 [16] Mary Hagedorn et al. Assisted gene flow using cryopreserved sperm in critically en-  
268 dangered coral. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118:e2110559118,  
269 2021. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2110559118.
- 270 [17] Scott L. Hamilton et al. Disease-driven mass mortality event leads to widespread  
271 extirpation and variable recovery potential of a marine predator across the eastern  
272 Pacific. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 288:20211195, 2021. doi: 10.1098/rspb.  
273 2021.1195.
- 274 [18] C. Drew Harvell, Diego Montecino-Latorre, Joseph M. Caldwell, Jenn M. Burt,  
275 Kathryn Bosley, et al. Disease epidemic and a marine heat wave are associated  
276 with the continental-scale collapse of a pivotal predator (*Pycnopodia helianthoides*).  
277 *Science Advances*, 5:eaau7042, 2019. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aau7042.
- 278 [19] Walter N. Heady, Rodrigo Beas-Luna, Michael N. Dawson, et al. Roadmap to re-  
279 covery for the sunflower sea star along the West Coast of North America. Technical  
280 report, The Nature Conservancy, 2022.
- 281 [20] Dennis Hedgecock and Alexander I. Pudovkin. Sweepstakes reproductive success  
282 in highly fecund marine fish and shellfish: A review and commentary. *Bulletin of*  
283 *Marine Science*, 87:971–1002, 2011. doi: 10.5343/bms.2010.1051.
- 284 [21] Ian Hewson. Microbial respiration in the asteroid diffusive boundary layer influenced  
285 sea star wasting disease during the 2013–2014 northeast Pacific Ocean mass mortality  
286 event. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 668:231–237, 2021. doi: 10.3354/meps13710.
- 287 [22] Ian Hewson. When bacteria meet many arms: Autecological insights into *Vibrio*  
288 *pectinicida* FHCF-3 in echinoderms. *bioRxiv*, 2025. doi: 10.1101/2025.08.15.670479.

- 289 [23] Ian Hewson, Jason B. Button, Brent M. Gudenkauf, et al. Densovirus associated with  
290 sea-star wasting disease and mass mortality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111:17278–17283, 2014. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1416625111.
- 291
- 292 [24] Ian Hewson, Morgan R. Johnson, and Benjamin Reyes-Chavez. Lessons learned  
293 from the sea star wasting disease investigation. *Annual Review of Marine Science*,  
294 17:257–279, 2025. doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-040623-082617.
- 295 [25] Ian Hewson et al. Investigating the complex association between viral ecology, envi-  
296 ronment, and Northeast Pacific sea star wasting. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5:77,  
297 2018. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00077.
- 298 [26] Ian Hewson et al. Perspective: Something old, something new? Review of wasting  
299 and other mortality in Asteroidea (Echinodermata). *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6:  
300 406, 2019. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00406.
- 301 [27] Ilse Höllinger, Pleuni S. Pennings, and Joachim Hermission. Polygenic adaptation:  
302 From sweeps to subtle frequency shifts. *eLife*, 11:e66697, 2022. doi: 10.7554/eLife.  
303 66697.
- 304 [28] Ryan E. Langendorf, James A. Estes, James C. Watson, Michael C. Kenner, Brian B.  
305 Hatfield, M. Tim Tinker, Elizabeth Waddle, Michelle L. DeMarch, and Daniel F.  
306 Doak. Dynamic and context-dependent keystone species effects in kelp forests. *Pro-  
307 ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2025. doi: 10.1073/pnas.XXXXXXX.
- 308 [29] Harilaos A. Lessios. The great *Diadema antillarum* die-off: 30 years  
309 later. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 8:267–283, 2016. doi: 10.1146/  
310 annurev-marine-122414-033857.
- 311 [30] Dayv Lowry, Sarah Wright, Melissa Neuman, et al. Endangered Species Act status  
312 review report: Sunflower sea star (*Pycnopodia helianthoides*). Technical report,  
313 NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, 2022.
- 314 [31] Carolyn J. Lundquist and Louis W. Botsford. Model projections of the fishery  
315 implications of the Allee effect in broadcast spawners. *Ecological Applications*, 14:  
316 929–941, 2004. doi: 10.1890/02-5325.
- 317 [32] Coralie Lupo et al. Spatial epidemiological modelling of infection by *Vibrio aestuari-*  
318 *anus* shows that connectivity and temperature control oyster mortality. *Aquaculture*  
319 *Environment Interactions*, 12:511–527, 2020. doi: 10.3354/aei00379.
- 320 [33] Ryan T. Mancuso, Sarah A. Gravem, Rachel S. Campbell, Nathan Hunter, Pete  
321 Raimondi, Aaron W. E. Galloway, and Kristy J. Kroeker. Sunflower sea star chemical

- 322 cues locally reduce kelp consumption by eliciting a flee response in red sea urchins.  
323 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 2025. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2025.0949.
- 324 [34] Zofia D. Meunier, Sally D. Hacker, and Bruce A. Menge. Regime shifts in rocky  
325 intertidal communities associated with a marine heatwave and disease outbreak.  
326 *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 8:1285–1297, 2024. doi: 10.1038/s41559-024-02425-5.
- 327 [35] C. Melissa Miner, Jennifer L. Burnaford, Richard F. Ambrose, Liam Antrim, et al.  
328 Large-scale impacts of sea star wasting disease (SSWD) on intertidal sea stars and  
329 implications for recovery. *PLoS ONE*, 13:e0192870, 2018. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.  
330 0192870.
- 331 [36] Diego Montecino-Latorre, Morgan E. Eisenlord, Morgan Turner, Reyn Yoshioka,  
332 C. Drew Harvell, et al. Devastating transboundary impacts of sea star wasting  
333 disease on subtidal asteroids. *PLoS ONE*, 11:e0163190, 2016. doi: 10.1371/journal.  
334 pone.0163190.
- 335 [37] Maya B. Prentice, Citlalli A. Aquino, Amy M. Chan, Kalia M. Davis, Paul K. Her-  
336 shberger, Jan F. Finke, Jason Hodin, Aquiala McCracken, Christina T. E. Kellogg,  
337 Rute B. G. Clemente-Carvalho, Christy Prentice, Kiana X. Zhong, C. Drew Harvell,  
338 Curtis A. Suttle, and Alyssa-Lois M. Gehman. *Vibrio pectenicida* strain FHCF-3 is  
339 a causative agent of sea star wasting disease. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2025. doi:  
340 10.1038/s41559-025-02797-2.
- 341 [38] Laura Rogers-Bennett and Cynthia A. Catton. Marine heat wave and multiple  
342 stressors tip bull kelp forest to sea urchin barrens. *Scientific Reports*, 9:15050, 2019.  
343 doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-51114-y.
- 344 [39] Lauren M. Schiebelhut, Jonathan B. Puritz, and Michael N. Dawson. Decimation  
345 by sea star wasting disease and rapid genetic change in a keystone species, *Pisaster*  
346 *ochraceus*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115:7069–7074, 2018.  
347 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1800285115.
- 348 [40] Lauren M. Schiebelhut et al. A reference genome for ecological restoration of the  
349 sunflower sea star, *Pycnopodia helianthoides*. *Journal of Heredity*, 115:86–93, 2024.  
350 doi: 10.1093/jhered/esad054.
- 351 [41] Sea Star Lab. Sea star cryopreservation breakthrough inspires hope for sunflower  
352 stars, 2025. Press release.
- 353 [42] Sunflower Star Lab. First-ever temporary experimental outplanting of sunflower  
354 stars in California, 2025. Press release.

- 355 [43] Nick Tolimieri. Appendix A: Population viability analysis of *Pycnopodia he-*  
356 *lianthesoides*. In: Lowry et al., *ESA Status Review Report, NOAA NMFS*, 2022.
- 357 [44] David L. J. Vendrami, Lloyd S. Peck, Melody S. Clark, Bjarki Eldon, Michael Mered-  
358 ith, and Joseph I. Hoffman. Sweepstake reproductive success and collective dispersal  
359 produce chaotic genetic patchiness in a broadcast spawner. *Science Advances*, 7:  
360 eabj4713, 2021. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abj4713.

361 **A Parameter Tables**