

# All-set-homogeneous spaces

Nina Lebedeva and Anton Petrunin

## Abstract

A metric space is said to be all-set-homogeneous if any of its partial isometries can be extended to a genuine isometry. We give a classification of a certain subclass of all-set-homogeneous length spaces.

## 1 Main result

A metric space  $M$  is said to be *all-set-homogeneous* if for any subset  $A \subset M$  any distance-preserving map  $A \rightarrow M$  can be extended to an isometry  $M \rightarrow M$ .

Examples of all-set-homogeneous spaces include all *classical spaces*; these are complete simply-connected Riemannian manifolds and the circles.

Nonclassical examples include the universal  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees of finite valence; these are discussed in the next section.

The following two results are closely related to our theorem; see also the survey by Semeon Bogatyĭ [3].

- ◊ *Any complete all-set-homogeneous geodesic space with locally unique nonbifurcating geodesics is classical*; it was proved by Garrett Birkhoff [2].
- ◊ *Any locally compact three-point-homogeneous geodesic space is classical*. This result was proved by Herbert Busemann [4]; it also follows from the more general result of Jacques Tits [8] about two-point-homogeneous spaces.

Given a metric space  $M$  and a positive integer  $n$ , consider all pseudometrics induced on  $n$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ . Any such metric is completely described by  $N = \frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$  distances  $|x_i - x_j|_M$  for  $i < j$ , so it can be encoded by a point in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The set of all these points  $F_n(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  will be called  $n^{\text{th}}$  *fingerprint* of  $M$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a complete all-set-homogeneous length space. Suppose that all fingerprints of  $M$  are closed. Then  $M$  is classical.*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is locally compact, then the statement follows from the result of Jacques Tits [8].

Assume  $M$  is not locally compact. Then there is an infinite sequence of points  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  such that  $\varepsilon < |x_i - x_j| < 1$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Applying the Ramsey theorem, we get that for arbitrary positive integer  $n$  and  $\delta > 0$  there is a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  such that  $|x_i - x_j| \leq r \pm \delta$  where  $\varepsilon \leq r \leq 1$ . Since the fingerprints are closed, there is an arbitrarily long sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  such that  $|x_i - x_j| = r$  for some fixed  $r > 0$ .

Choose a maximal (with respect to inclusion) set of points  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  such that  $|x_\alpha - x_\beta| = r$  for any  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Since  $M$  is all-set-homogeneous, we can

assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is infinite. In particular, there is an injective map  $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(\mathcal{A})$  is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Note that the map  $x_\alpha \mapsto x_{f(\alpha)}$  is distance preserving. Since  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is maximal, for any  $y \notin \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  we have that  $|y - x_\alpha|_M \neq r$  for some  $\alpha$ . It follows that a distance preserving map  $M \rightarrow M$  that agrees with  $x_\alpha \mapsto x_{f(\alpha)}$  cannot have in its image a point  $x_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus f(\mathcal{A})$ . In particular, no isometry  $M \rightarrow M$  agrees with the map  $x_\alpha \mapsto x_{f(\alpha)}$  — a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2 Example

For any cardinality  $n \geq 2$  there is a uniquely defined up to isometry space  $\mathbb{T}_n$  that satisfies the following properties:

- ◊ The space  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree; in particular,  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is geodesic.
- ◊  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is homogeneous; that is, the group of isometries acts transitively on  $\mathbb{T}_n$ .
- ◊ The space  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is  $n$ -universal; that is,  $\mathbb{T}_n$  includes an isometric copy of any  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree of maximal valence at most  $n$ .

The space  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is called a *universal  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree of valence  $n$* . An explicit construction of  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is given by Anna Dyubina and Iosif Polterovich [5]. Their proof of the universality of  $\mathbb{T}_n$  admits a straightforward modification that proves the following claim.

**Claim.** *If  $n$  is finite, then  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is all-set-homogeneous.*

Note that the claim implies that the condition on fingerprints in the theorem is necessary. In fact, if  $n \geq 3$ , then the  $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$  fingerprint of  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is not closed —  $\mathbb{T}_n$  does not contain  $n+1$  points on distance 1 from each other, but it contains an arbitrarily large set with pairwise distances arbitrarily close to 1.

*Proof.* Let  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_n$  be a distance preserving map for some subset  $A \subset \mathbb{T}_n$ . Let us extend  $f$  to a distance preserving map  $\mathbb{T}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_n$ .

Applying the Zorn lemma, we can assume that  $A$  is maximal; that is, the domain of  $f$  cannot be extended by a single point. Note that in this case,  $A$  is a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{T}_n$ ; in particular,  $A$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree with maximal valence at most  $n$ .

Arguing by contradiction, suppose  $A \neq \mathbb{T}_n$ , choose  $a \in A$  and  $b \notin A$ . Let  $c \in A$  be the last point on the geodesic  $[ab]_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Note that the valence of  $c$  in  $A$  is smaller than  $n$ .

Let  $c' = f(c)$ ; since  $n$  is finite, at least one of connected components of  $\mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{c'\}$  does not intersect  $A' = f(A)$ . Choose a point  $b'$  in this component such that  $|c' - b'|_{\mathbb{T}_n} = |c - b|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Observe that  $f$  can be extended by  $b \mapsto b'$  — a contradiction.

It remains to show that  $f(\mathbb{T}_n) = \mathbb{T}_n$  for any distance-preserving map  $f: \mathbb{T}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_n$ . Assume the contrary; that is,  $B = f(\mathbb{T}_n)$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{T}_n$ . Note that  $B$  is a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{T}_n$ . Choose  $a \in B$  and  $b \notin B$ . Let  $c \in B$  be the last point on the geodesic  $[ab]_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Observe that the valence of  $c$  in  $B$  is smaller than  $n$  — a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 Remarks

Let us list examples for related classification problems. We would be interested to see other examples or a proof that there are no more.

First of all, we do not see other examples of complete all-set-homogeneous length spaces except those listed in the theorem and the claim.

Without length-metric assumption, examples include finite discrete spaces, Cantor sets with natural ultrametrics, and many more spaces.

The definition of all-set-homogeneous spaces can be restricted to the distance-preserving map with *small* domains; for example, *finite* or *compact* domains. In these cases, we say that the space is *finite-set-homogeneous* or *compact-set-homogeneous* respectively.

Examples of complete separable compact-set-homogeneous length spaces include the spaces listed in the theorem, plus the Urysohn spaces  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{U}_d$  (the space  $\mathbb{U}_d$  is isometric to a sphere of radius  $\frac{d}{2}$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ ). Without the separability condition, we get in addition the  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees from the claim.

The finite-set-homogeneous spaces include, in addition, infinite-dimensional analogs of the spaces in the theorem; in particular the Hilbert space.

Let us also mention that finite-set homogeneity is closely related to the *metric version of Fraïssé limit* introduced by Itay Ben-Yaacov [1].

**Acknowledgments.** This note is inspired by the question of Joseph O’Rourke [7]. We want to thank James Hanson for his interesting and detailed comments to our question [6]. The second author wants to thank Rostislav Matveyev for an interesting discussion on Rubinstein Street.

The first author was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant 20-01-00070, the second author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-2005279.

### References

- [1] I. Ben Yaacov. “Fraïssé limits of metric structures”. *J. Symb. Log.* 80.1 (2015), 100–115.
- [2] G. Birkhoff. “Metric foundations of geometry. I”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1944), 465–492.
- [3] S. A. Bogatyĭ. “Metrically homogeneous spaces”. *Russian Math. Surveys* 57.2 (2002), 221–240.
- [4] H. Busemann. *Metric Methods in Finsler Spaces and in the Foundations of Geometry*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 8. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1942, viii+243.
- [5] A. Dyubina and I. Polterovich. “Explicit constructions of universal  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees and asymptotic geometry of hyperbolic spaces”. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 33.6 (2001), 727–734.
- [6] J. Hanson. *All-set-homogeneous spaces*. MathOverflow. eprint: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/430738>.
- [7] J. O’Rourke. *Which metric spaces have this superposition property?* MathOverflow. eprint: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/118008>.
- [8] J. Tits. “Sur certaines classes d’espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie”. *Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci. Mém. Coll. in 8°* 29.3 (1955), 268.