

All-set-homogeneous spaces

Nina Lebedeva and Anton Petrunin

Abstract

A metric space is said to be all-set-homogeneous if any of its partial isometries can be extended to a genuine isometry. We give a classification of a certain subclass of all-set-homogeneous length spaces.

1 Main result

A metric space M is said to be *all-set-homogeneous* if for any subset $A \subset M$ any distance-preserving map $A \rightarrow M$ can be extended to an isometry $M \rightarrow M$.

Examples of all-set-homogeneous spaces include all *classical spaces*; these are complete simply-connected Riemannian manifolds.

Nonclassical examples include the universal \mathbb{R} -trees of finite valence; these are discussed in the next section.

The following two results are closely related to our theorem; see also the survey by Semeon Bogatyĭ [3].

- ◊ *Any complete all-set-homogeneous geodesic space with locally unique nonbifurcating geodesics is classical*; it was proved by Garrett Birkhoff [2].
- ◊ *Any locally compact three-point-homogeneous geodesic space is classical*. This result was proved by Herbert Busemann [4]; it also follows from the more general result of Jacques Tits [8] about two-point-homogeneous spaces.

Given a metric space M and a positive integer n , consider all pseudometrics induced on n points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$. Any such metric is completely described by $N = \frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$ distances $|x_i - x_j|_M$ for $i < j$, so it can be encoded by a point in \mathbb{R}^N . The set of all these points $F_n(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ will be called n^{th} *fingerprint* of M .

Theorem. *Let M be a complete all-set-homogeneous length space. Suppose that all fingerprints of M are closed. Then M is classical.*

Proof. If M is locally compact, then the statement follows from the result of Jacques Tits [8].

Assume M is not locally compact. Then there is an infinite sequence of points x_1, x_2, \dots such that $\varepsilon < |x_i - x_j| < 1$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying the Ramsey theorem, we get that for arbitrary positive integer n and $\delta > 0$ there is a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that $|x_i - x_j| \leq r \pm \delta$ where $\varepsilon \leq r \leq 1$. Since the fingerprints are closed, there is an arbitrarily long sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that $|x_i - x_j| = r$ for some fixed $r > 0$.

Choose a maximal (with respect to inclusion) set of points $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that $|x_\alpha - x_\beta| = r$ for any $\alpha \neq \beta$. Since M is all-set-homogeneous, we can

assume that \mathcal{A} is infinite. In particular, there is an injective map $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(\mathcal{A})$ is a proper subset of \mathcal{A} .

Note that the map $x_\alpha \mapsto x_{f(\alpha)}$ is distance preserving. Since $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ is maximal, for any $y \notin \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ we have that $|y - x_\alpha|_M \neq r$ for some α . It follows that a distance preserving map $M \rightarrow M$ that agrees with $x_\alpha \mapsto x_{f(\alpha)}$ cannot have in its image a point x_α for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus f(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, no isometry $M \rightarrow M$ agrees with the map $x_\alpha \mapsto x_{f(\alpha)}$ — a contradiction. \square

2 Example

For any cardinality $n \geq 2$ there is a uniquely defined up to isometry space \mathbb{T}_n that satisfies the following properties:

- ◊ The space \mathbb{T}_n is a complete \mathbb{R} -tree; in particular, \mathbb{T}_n is geodesic.
- ◊ \mathbb{T}_n is homogeneous; that is, the group of isometries acts transitively on \mathbb{T}_n .
- ◊ The space \mathbb{T}_n is n -universal; that is, \mathbb{T}_n includes an isometric copy of any \mathbb{R} -tree of maximal valence at most n .

The space \mathbb{T}_n is called a *universal \mathbb{R} -tree of valence n* . An explicit construction of \mathbb{T}_n is given by Anna Dyubina and Iosif Polterovich [5]. Their proof of the universality of \mathbb{T}_n admits a straightforward modification that proves the following claim.

Claim. *If n is finite, then \mathbb{T}_n is all-set-homogeneous.*

Note that the claim implies that the condition on fingerprints in the theorem is necessary. In fact, if $n \geq 3$, then the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ fingerprint of \mathbb{T}_n is not closed — \mathbb{T}_n does not contain $n+1$ points on distance 1 from each other, but it contains an arbitrarily large set with pairwise distances arbitrarily close to 1.

Proof. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_n$ be a distance preserving map for some subset $A \subset \mathbb{T}_n$. Let us extend f to a distance preserving map $\mathbb{T}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_n$.

Applying the Zorn lemma, we can assume that A is maximal; that is, the domain of f cannot be extended by a single point. Note that in this case, A is a closed convex set in \mathbb{T}_n ; in particular, A is an \mathbb{R} -tree with maximal valence at most n .

Arguing by contradiction, suppose $A \neq \mathbb{T}_n$, choose $a \in A$ and $b \notin A$. Let $c \in A$ be the last point on the geodesic $[ab]_{\mathbb{T}_n}$. Note that the valence of c in A is smaller than n .

Let $c' = f(c)$; since n is finite, at least one of connected components of $\mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{c'\}$ does not intersect $A' = f(A)$. Choose a point b' in this component such that $|c' - b'|_{\mathbb{T}_n} = |c - b|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$. Observe that f can be extended by $b \mapsto b'$ — a contradiction.

It remains to show that $f(\mathbb{T}_n) = \mathbb{T}_n$ for any distance-preserving map $f: \mathbb{T}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_n$. Assume the contrary; that is, $B = f(\mathbb{T}_n)$ is a proper subset of \mathbb{T}_n . Note that B is a closed convex set in \mathbb{T}_n . Choose $a \in B$ and $b \notin B$. Let $c \in B$ be the last point on the geodesic $[ab]_{\mathbb{T}_n}$. Observe that the valence of c in B is smaller than n — a contradiction. \square

3 Remarks

Let us list examples for related classification problems. We would be interested to see other examples or a proof that there are no more.

First of all, we do not see other examples of complete all-set-homogeneous length spaces except those listed in the theorem and the claim.

Without length-metric assumption, examples include finite discrete spaces, Cantor sets with natural ultrametrics, and many more spaces.

The definition of all-set-homogeneous spaces can be restricted to the distance-preserving map with *small* domains; for example, *finite* or *compact* domains. In these cases, we say that the space is *finite-set-homogeneous* or *compact-set-homogeneous* respectively.

Examples of complete separable compact-set-homogeneous length spaces include the spaces listed in the theorem, plus the Urysohn spaces \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{U}_d (the space \mathbb{U}_d is isometric to a sphere of radius $\frac{d}{2}$ in \mathbb{U}). Without the separability condition, we get in addition the \mathbb{R} -trees from the claim.

The finite-set-homogeneous spaces include, in addition, infinite-dimensional analogs of the spaces in the theorem; in particular the Hilbert space.

Let us also mention that finite-set homogeneity is closely related to the *metric version of Fraïssé limit* introduced by Itay Ben-Yaacov [1].

Acknowledgments. This note is inspired by the question of Joseph O’Rourke [7]. We want to thank James Hanson for his interesting and detailed comments to our question [6]. The second author wants to thank Rostislav Matveyev for an interesting discussion on Rubinstein Street.

References

- [1] I. Ben Yaacov. “Fraïssé limits of metric structures”. *J. Symb. Log.* 80.1 (2015), 100–115.
- [2] G. Birkhoff. “Metric foundations of geometry. I”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1944), 465–492.
- [3] S. A. Bogatyi. “Metrically homogeneous spaces”. *Russian Math. Surveys* 57.2 (2002), 221–240.
- [4] H. Busemann. *Metric Methods in Finsler Spaces and in the Foundations of Geometry*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 8. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1942, viii+243.
- [5] A. Dyubina and I. Polterovich. “Explicit constructions of universal \mathbb{R} -trees and asymptotic geometry of hyperbolic spaces”. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 33.6 (2001), 727–734.
- [6] J. Hanson. *All-set-homogeneous spaces*. MathOverflow. eprint: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/430738>.
- [7] J. O’Rourke. *Which metric spaces have this superposition property?* MathOverflow. eprint: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/118008>.
- [8] J. Tits. “Sur certaines classes d’espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie”. *Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci. Mém. Coll. in 8°* 29.3 (1955), 268.