02424 - week2, Likelihood theory
 ex 1
a) Cf. 5lide 21/60 $\int_{Y} (y_{:;\mu}) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{1/2} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{1/2} \frac{(y_{:}-\mu)^2}{2}\right)$
b) cf. slide 22 x 24 y is a sufficient statistic for the mean and hence fy (y:i\mu) = (\frac{12.(\overline{y} - \mu)^2}{2}) \cdot \const.
fy(y:ip) = (12.17)12 exp(12.(g-p)) - const.
C) Solved in R
NB! Der er en feil i a) og b) da o-2 er antaget
NB! Der er en feil i a) og b) da o-2 er antaget lig 1 men man skap antage bloovråd var i y.

02424 - week2	-
ex2)	
a) - likelihood function	
,	
$L(y_1,,y_n) = \frac{n}{11} \frac{\lambda^{y_1} \exp(-\lambda)}{y_1}$	
j=1 y;	
- log-likelihood function.	
log-L(y2ynix) = > log(x3'exp(-x))	
109-L(y2,,yn/) - 2 109/ 4.1	
	77.0
$=-n\lambda+\log(\lambda)\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_i+\log$	(112,1)
$=-n\lambda + \log(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i + con$	54.
- Score-function	
10g-L(y, -, yn; x) = -n + = y;	
	* 3
- observed information	Cry
n 1	× %.
$j(y;\lambda) = \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} og_{7} \cdot (y_{11}, y_{n}; \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$	
i(yix) = 1/2 097 - (91111 / yn)) = 1/2	
	7
b) We derive the MLE	
d)	
d 10g-L(y, y, j) = 0 = 1 = n	
2 upon	
We calculate le obsorred information using i	
, n ²	
$j(y;\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\sum y}$	
$j(y;\lambda) = -\frac{x^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}$	(2)

02424 - WE	ek2			
exH				
	50,000	vol	Concentration	
	samples 1	1	> back/ml	
1			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
,	2	10	1 7, 1	
		1 /		
	. 0			
bacticia	count of	sample	1: Y_~Pois(X)	
1	- 1 0			
bacteria	count of	Sample	2: Y2 ~ Pois (1/10)	
a) Carilla	· Ale estin	\ .	200	
) CONSI DE	,			
	1, 5	1 + 10 7	2	
0				
- Varity	that Ti	is unb	iased, i.e. that EIT	i]= λ .
N-5 Order				
1-	(-) c (Y	1+10Y2	- HE[Y3] + 10 HE[Y2]	-
E	[Ts] = -	2		
\$.	X			
		7	(o =)	
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
- Estimate	the varia	nce		
			Yo] (indpendent)	
Vo	of Til = Van	2	Yz (indpendent)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(10)	7	
			+ Var [5 Y2] =	
	-	cc[X]+	25 Var[Y2] =	
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	X +25	$\frac{\lambda}{10} = 1/4 \lambda$	
- compared			10	
- Compared	Var[Y1]=	λ		
	_ 11			

	02424 - week2
	ex4 con't
	b) we now consider an unbiased estimator
	-1ω = ωY1+ (1-ω)10 Y2, with 05ω51
	Derive Var[Ta]
	Var[Tw] = Var[wY1 + (1-w)10/2] (idesperduse) Var[wY1] + Var[(1-w)10/2]
	= \omega^2 \tan \left[\frac{1}{4} \right] + 100 (1-\omega)^2 \tan \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] = \omega^2 \tan \tan \left[\frac{1}{4} \right] + 100 (1-\omega)^2 \tan \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]
-	we now minimize w.r.t. w
	$\frac{d}{d\omega} Var[T_{\omega}] = 2\omega \lambda - 20(1-\omega)\lambda$
	d Var[Tw]=0 > w=10/11
	We now find the Valiance of w"
	Var [Tw] = 10/11 >

1

P. 1.