

Notes on Surreal Numbers

Math 285: Fall 2014

Class Taught by Prof. Aschenbrenner
Notes by John Susice

December 3, 2014

Day 1: Friday October 3, 2014

We define a map which will eventually be proven to be an ordered field isomorphism.

$$K = \mathbb{R}((t^{\mathbf{No}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{No}$$

We have an element written as

$$f = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{No}} f_{\gamma} t^{\gamma}$$

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{\gamma : f_{\gamma} \neq 0\}$$

where $\text{supp}(f)$ is a well-ordered subset. Now let $x = t^{-1}$ and write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i < \alpha} f_i x^{a_i}$$

where $(a_i)_{i < \alpha}$ is strictly decreasing in \mathbf{No} , α ordinal and $f_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i < \alpha$. Also define $l(f(x))$ to be the order type of $\text{supp}(f)$ (which may be smaller than α as we allow zero coefficients).

Question 1. *What is the relationship of what we are going to do with Kaplansky's results from valuation theory?*

For $f(x) = \sum_{i < \alpha} f_i x^{a_i}$ define $\sum_{i < \alpha} f_i \omega^{a_i} = f(\omega)$ recursively on α :
When $\alpha = \beta + 1$ is a successor:

$$\sum_{i < \alpha} f_i \omega^{a_i} = \left(\sum_{i < \beta} f_i \omega^{a_i} \right) + f_{\beta} \omega^{a_{\beta}}$$

When α is a limit ordinal:

$$\sum_{i < \alpha} f_i \omega^{a_i} = \{L \mid R\}$$

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{i < \beta} f_i \omega^{a_i} + (f_{\beta} - \epsilon) \omega^{a_{\beta}} : \beta < \alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \right\}$$

$$R = \left\{ \sum_{i < \beta} f_i \omega^{a_i} + (f_{\beta} + \epsilon) \omega^{a_{\beta}} : \beta < \alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \right\}$$

Simultaneously with this definition we prove the following statements by induction:

1. For

$$f(x) = \sum_{i < \alpha} f_i x^{a_i}$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{i < \alpha} g_i x^{a_i}$$

we have $f(x) > g(x) \Rightarrow f(\omega) > g(\omega)$

Tail property if $\gamma < \kappa < \alpha$

$$\left| \sum_{i < \alpha} f_i \omega^{a_i} - \sum_{i < \kappa} f_i \omega^{a_i} \right| << \omega^{a_\gamma}$$

Suppose we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{i < \alpha} f_i x^{a_i}$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{i < \alpha} g_i x^{a_i}$$

with $f(x) < g(x)$

Choose γ smallest such that $f_\gamma \neq g_\gamma$. It has to be that $f_\gamma > g_\gamma$. Also $f(x) \upharpoonright_\gamma = g(x) \upharpoonright_\gamma$

Case 1: $\alpha = \beta + 1$

$$f(x) = f(x) \upharpoonright_\beta + f_\beta x^{a_\beta}$$

$$g(x) = g(x) \upharpoonright_\beta + g_\beta x^{a_\beta}$$

Suppose $\gamma = \beta$. Then $\bar{f}(x) = \bar{g}(x)$, $\bar{f}(\omega) = \bar{g}(\omega)$, so compute

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) - g(\omega) &= \\ &= f(\omega) \upharpoonright_\beta + f_\beta \omega^{a_\beta} - g(\omega) \upharpoonright_\beta - g_\beta \omega^{a_\beta} \\ &= f_\beta \omega^{a_\beta} - g_\beta \omega^{a_\beta} \\ &= (f_\beta - g_\beta) \omega^{a_\beta} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\gamma < \beta$.

Group the terms

$$f(\omega) = h(\omega) + f_\gamma \omega^{a_\gamma} + f^* + f_\beta \omega^{a_\beta}$$

$$g(\omega) = h(\omega) + g_\gamma \omega^{a_\gamma} + g^* + g_\beta \omega^{a_\beta}$$

where

$$h(\omega) = f(\omega) \upharpoonright_\gamma = g(\omega) \upharpoonright_\gamma$$

$$f^* = f(\omega) \upharpoonright_\beta - f(\omega) \upharpoonright_{\gamma+1}$$

$$g^* = g(\omega) \upharpoonright_\beta - g(\omega) \upharpoonright_{\gamma+1}$$

Then we have by tail property $f^* << x^{a_\gamma}$ and $g^* << x^{a_\gamma}$. Compute

$$f(\omega) - g(\omega) = (f_\gamma - g_\gamma) x^{a_\gamma} + (f^* - g^*) + (f_\beta - g_\beta) x^{a_\beta}$$

We have $f_\gamma > g_\gamma$. All f_* , g_* and $(f_\beta - g_\beta)x^{a_\beta}$ are $<< x^{a_\gamma}$. Thus $f(\omega) - g(\omega) > 0$ as needed.

Case 2: α is a limit ordinal.

$f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) &= \{L_f \mid R_f\} \\ g(\omega) &= \{L_g \mid R_g\} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} L_f &= \left\{ \sum_{i < \beta} f_i \omega^{a_i} + (f_\beta - \epsilon) \omega^{a_\beta} : \beta < \alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \right\} \\ R_g &= \left\{ \sum_{i < \beta} g_i \omega^{a_i} + (g_\beta + \epsilon) \omega^{a_\beta} : \beta < \alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Pick any β with $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, and pick limit elements $\bar{f}(\omega) \in L_f$ and $\bar{g}(\omega) \in R_g$ corresponding to β, ϵ .

Then $\bar{f}(x) < \bar{g}(x)$ as first coefficient where they differ is x^{a_γ} and $f_\gamma > g_\gamma$. Thus by inductive hypothesis $\bar{f}(\omega) < \bar{g}(\omega)$. As choice of those was arbitrary we have $L_f < R_g$ so $f(\omega) > g(\omega)$.

Tail property

It is easy to see that statement holds for all $\gamma < \kappa < \alpha$ iff it holds for all $\gamma < \kappa \leq \alpha$.

Case 1: $\alpha = \beta + 1$.

Suppose we have $\gamma < \kappa < \alpha$, then $\gamma < \kappa \leq \beta$ and induction hypothesis applies.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i < \alpha} f_i \omega^{a_i} - \sum_{i < \kappa} f_i \omega^{a_i} &= \\ \left[\sum_{i < \beta} f_i \omega^{a_i} - \sum_{i < \kappa} f_i \omega^{a_i} \right] + f_\alpha \omega^{a_\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Expression $[\dots]$ is $<< \omega^{a_\gamma}$ by induction hypothesis. $f_\alpha \omega^{a_\alpha} << \omega^{a_\gamma}$ as $a_\alpha < a_\gamma$. Thus the entire sum is $<< \omega^{a_\gamma}$ as needed.

Case 2: α is a limit ordinal.

Write definitions of $f(\omega)$ using κ

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) &= \{L_f \mid R_f\} \\ F(\omega) &= f(\omega) \upharpoonright_\kappa = \sum_{i < \kappa} f_i \omega^{a_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_f &= \left\{ \sum_{i < \beta} f_i \omega^{a_i} + (f_\beta - \epsilon) \omega^{a_\beta} : \beta < \alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \right\} \\ R_f &= \left\{ \sum_{i < \beta} f_i \omega^{a_i} + (f_\beta + \epsilon) \omega^{a_\beta} : \beta < \alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Pick any β with $\kappa < \beta < \alpha$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, and pick limit elements $\bar{l}(\omega) \in L_f$ and $\bar{r}(\omega) \in R_f$ corresponding to β, ϵ .

By induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{l}(\omega) - F(\omega) &= \bar{l}(\omega) - \bar{l}(\omega) \restriction_{\kappa} << \omega^{a_{\kappa}} \\ \bar{r}(\omega) - F(\omega) &= \bar{r}(\omega) - \bar{r}(\omega) \restriction_{\kappa} << \omega^{a_{\kappa}}\end{aligned}$$

$$l(\omega) \leq f(\omega) \leq r(\omega)$$

$$l(\omega) - F(\omega) \leq f(\omega) - F(\omega) \leq r(\omega) - F(\omega)$$

Thus $f(\omega) - F(\omega) << \omega^{a_{\kappa}}$ as it is between two elements that are $<< \omega^{a_{\kappa}}$.

We also need to check that the function is well-defined. For $f(x)$ define its reduced form, where we only keep non-zero coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \sum_{i < \alpha} f_i \omega^{a_i} \\ \bar{f}(x) &= \sum_{j < \alpha'} f'_j \omega^{a'_j}\end{aligned}$$