

SUPERFLAT GRAPHS ARE DP-MINIMAL

ANTON BOBKOV

ABSTRACT. We show that the theory of superflat graphs is dp-minimal.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We work with an infinite graph G and a subset of vertices $V \subset V(G)$. Say that V is n -connected if there aren't a set of $n - 1$ vertices removing which disconnects every pair of vertices in V . Connectivity of V is the smallest n such that V are n -connected.

Definition 1.1. Suppose $V \subset V(G)$ has finite connectivity $n + 1$. Let connectivity hull of V to be union of all n -point sets that disconnect it.

2. CONNECTIVITY HULL IS FINITE

Here we show our main technical lemma. This result is purely combinatorial, with no mention of model theory.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $\{a, b\}$ in G have finite connectivity $n + 1$. Then there are finitely many n -point sets that disconnect a from b .*

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose a finite $V \subset V(G)$ has finite connectivity $n + 1$. Then there are finitely many n -point sets that disconnect V .*

Proof. Fix set $P = p_1, \dots, p_m$ of all unordered pairs from V . Every pair p_i has connectivity $n_i \leq n + 1$ and by previous lemma has finitely many sets of n_i points that disconnect it, denoted by S_i . Every minimal set that disconnects V can be written as (not necessarily unique) union

$$\bigcup_{i \leq m} s_i \text{ where } s_i \in S_i$$

There are finitely many $(\prod |S_i|)$ ways to write that union giving finitely many minimal sets that can disconnect V . \square

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose a countable $V \subset V(G)$ has finite connectivity $n + 1$. Then there are finitely many n -point sets that disconnect V .*

Proof. Order $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ and consider increasing finite parts $V_i = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$. By compactness connectivity becomes equal to $n + 1$ for large enough i . Number of sets disconnecting V is bounded by number of sets disconnecting V_i for that large i , which has to be finite by previous lemma. \square

3. APPLICATION TO INDISCERNIBLE SEQUENCES

In this section we work in a flat graph. It is stable so all the indiscernible sequences are totally indiscernible. Also note that by indiscernibility all pairwise distances between points are the same.

We need a refined notion of connectivity for the following argument to work. Suppose we have two points a, b distance n apart. Denote $P(a, b)$ union of all paths of length n going from a to b . If we have a collection of vertices V such that every two have distance n between them, denote

$$P(V) = \bigcup_{a \neq b \in V} P(a, b)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be a countable indiscernible sequence over A . Let $n = d(a_i, a_j)$ for some (any) $i \neq j$. There exists a finite set B such that*

$$\forall i \neq j \ d_B(a_i, a_j) > n$$

Proof. By a flatness result we can find an infinite $J \subset I$ and a finite set B' such that each pair from $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ have infinite distance over B' . Using total indiscernibility we have an automorphism sending $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ to $(a_i)_{i \in I}$. Image of B' under this automorphism is the required set B . \square

In other words, B disconnects $P(\{a_i\})$. This shows that $\{a_i\}$ has finite connectivity in $P(\{a_i\})$. Applying lemma from last section we obtain that connectivity hull of $\{a_i\}$ in $P(\{a_i\})$ is finite.

Lemma 3.2. *Connectivity hull described above is definable.*

Proof. Consider finite parts of the sequence $I_i = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i\}$. $P(I_i)$ is I_i -definable as union of all n -paths. Connectivity hull is I_i -definable as well. With increasing i it should stabilize. \square

Lemma 3.3. *$\{a_i\}$ is indiscernible over the hull $\cup A$.*

Proof. Denote the hull by H . Fix an A -formula $\phi(x, y)$. Consider a collection of traces $\phi(\vec{a}_i, H^{\{y\}})$ for $i \in I$. Those are either all distinct or all the same. Finiteness of H forces latter. This shows indiscernability. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be a countable indiscernible sequence over A . Then there is a countable B such that (a_i) is indiscernible over $A \cup B$ and*

$$\forall i \neq j \ d_B(a_i, a_j) = \infty$$

Proof. Keep applying previous lemma to obtain larger B_i that provide higher separation while preserving indiscernibility. \square

That is every indiscernible sequence can be upgraded to have infinite distance over its parameter set.

4. SUPERFLAT GRAPHS ARE DP-MINIMAL

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $a \equiv_A b$ and $d_A(a, c) = d_A(b, c) = \infty$. Then $a \equiv_{A_c} b$*

Proof. partial automorphisms \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a flat graph with $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Q}}$ indiscernible over A and $b \in G$. There exists $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that all $(a_i)_{i \in \{\mathbb{Q}-c\}}$ have the same type over Ab .*

Proof. Find $B \supseteq A$ such that (a_i) is indiscernible over B and has infinite distance over B . b can have finite distance over B to only one member of the sequence. Let C be that member. Remainder of elements have the same type over Bb by the lemma. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Flat graphs are dp-minimal.*

E-mail address: bobkov@math.ucla.edu