VC-DENSITY IN AN ADDITIVE REDUCT OF P-ADIC NUMBERS

ANTON BOBKOV

ABSTRACT. [1] computed a bound $2n+1$ for the VC function in p-adic numbers, but it is not known to be optimal. I investigate a C-minimal additive reduct of p-adic numbers and using techniques of [2] I compute an optimal bound n for that structure.

VC density was introduced in [1] by Aschenbrenner, Dolich, Haskell, MacPherson, and Starchenko as a natural notion of dimension for NIP theories. In a NIP theory we can define the VC function

$$\mathrm{vc} : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

Where $vc(n)$ measures complexity of definable sets in an n-dimensional space. Simplest possible behavior is $\mathrm{vc}(n) = n$ for all n. [1] computes an upper bound for this function to be $2n+1$, and it's not known whether it's optimal. This same bound would hold in any reduct of p-adic numbers, so one may hope that the simplified structure of the reduct would allow a better bound. In [2], Leenknegt provides a cell decomposition result for the C-minimal additive reduct of p-adic numbers. Using that I'm able to improve the bound for the VC function, showing that $\mathrm{vc}(n) = n$.

1. Cell Decomposition

We work with the reduct of p-adic numbers in the language $\mathrm{L} = \left\{\mathbb{Q}_p, Q_{n,m}, +, -, \{\bar{c}\}_{c \in K}\right\}$, where $\bar{c}$ is a scalar multiplication by c, and $Q_{n,m}$ is a unary predicate

$$Q_{n,m} = \left\{ \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{kn}(1 + p^m \mathbb{Z}_p) \right\}$$

[2] provides a cell decomposition result for this structure. Any formula $\phi(t,x)$ with t singleton decomposes as the union of the following cells:

$$\{(x,t) \in D \times K \mid \mathrm{val}\, a_1(x) \square_1 \mathrm{val}(t - c(x)) \square_2 \mathrm{val}\, a_2(x), t - c(x) \in \lambda Q_{n,m}\}$$

where D is a cell of a smaller dimension, a_1, a_2, c are linear polynomials in x, $\square$ is $<$ or no condition, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.

We analyze a formula $\phi(x;y)$ to find an upper bound of its VC-density. Using cell decomposition, without loss of generality we may assume that we only need to bound the following family of formulas $\Psi(x,y)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{val}\, p_i(x) - c_i(y) < \mathrm{val}\, p_j(x) - c_j(y) && i,j \in I \\ &\mathrm{val}\, p_i(x) - c_i(y) \in \lambda_k Q && i \in I, k \in K \end{aligned}$$

where I, K some finite index sets, p_i is linear in x, c_i is a linear polynomial in y, $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, and $Q = Q_{n,m}$ for some n', m'.

To see that apply cell decomposition theorem to $\phi(x_1, \bar{x}; y)$. Extract from the cells all the polynomials $a_1(\bar{x}, y), a_2(\bar{x}, y), x_1 - c(\bar{x}, y)$, and separate x and y parts into $p_i(x) - c_i(y)$. Choose n', m' large enough to cover all n, m that come up in the cells. Finally choose λ_k to go over all cosets of Q.

Then $(x,y), (x', y')$ agreeing on Ψ, will agree on being contained in those cells, and thus will agree on satisfying ϕ.

2. Key Lemmas and Definitions

Definition 2.1. A tuple $p \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ can be viewed as a vector $\vec{p}$, treating $\mathbb{Q}_p^m$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose we have a collection of vectors $\{\vec{p}_i\}_{i \in I}$ with each $\vec{p}_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$. Pick a subset $J \subset I$ and $j \in I$ such that*

$$\vec{p}_j \in \mathrm{span}\, \{\vec{p}_i\}_{i \in J}$$

Suppose we have $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\mathrm{val}(\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x}) > \alpha \textit{ for all } i \in J$$

Then

$$\mathrm{val}(\vec{p}_j \cdot \vec{x}) > \alpha - \gamma$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Moreover γ can be chosen independent of choice of $J, j, \vec{x}, \alpha$ depending only on $\{\vec{p}_i\}_{i \in I}$.

The following lemma is an adaptation of lemma 7.4 in [1].

Lemma 2.3. *For n, m there exists $D = D(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any $x, y, a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ if*

$$\mathrm{val}\, x - a = \mathrm{val}\, y - a < \mathrm{val}(x - y) - D$$

then $x - a, y - a$ are in the same coset of $Q_{n,m}$.

Definition 2.4. For $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define an open ball

$$B(c, \alpha) = \{c' \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid \mathrm{val}\,(c' - c) \leq \alpha\}$$

Suppose we have a finite $T \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$. We view it as a tree as follows. Branches through the tree are elements of T. With this tree we associate open balls $B(t_1, \mathrm{val}(t_1 - t_2))$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in T$. An interval is two balls $B(t_1, v_1) \supset B(t_2, v_2)$ with no balls in between. An element $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ belongs to this interval if $a \in B(t_1, v_1) \backslash B(t_2, v_2)$. There are at most $2|T|$ different intervals and they partition the entire space.

We may rewrite our collection of formulas $\Psi(x,y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{val}\, \vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x} - c_i(y) < \mathrm{val}\, \vec{p}_j \cdot \vec{x} - c_j(y) && i,j \in I \\ &\mathrm{val}\, \vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x} - c_i(y) \in \lambda_k Q && i \in I, k \in K \end{aligned}$$

Fix a parameter set B of size N.

Consider a tree $T = \{c_i(b) \mid b \in B, i \in I\}$ It has at most $O(N) = N \cdot |I|$ many intervals. For the remainder of the paper we work with this tree.

For some $c, c' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ we say they have the same Ψ-type if they have the same Ψ type over B.

For some $x, x' \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ we say they have the same tree type if

- $x + c_i(b)$ is in the same Q-coset as $x' + c_i(b)$ for all $i \in I, b \in B$
- $\mathrm{val}(x + c_i(b)) < \mathrm{val}(x + c_j(b))$ iff $\mathrm{val}(x' + c_i(b)) < \mathrm{val}(x' + c_j(b))$ for all $i, j \in I, b \in B$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $c, c' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$. If $p_i(c), p_i(c')$ have the same tree type for all $i \in I$, then c, c' have the same Ψ-type.*

Lemma 2.6. *For any $Q = Q_{n,m}$ there exists θ_Q such that for all $\theta \geq \theta_Q$ the following holds. Suppose we have $x, y, c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that*

$$\mathrm{val}(x - y) - \theta > \mathrm{val}(x - c) = \mathrm{val}(y - c)$$

Then $x - c$ and $y - c$ lie in the same coset of Q.

Next lemma is along the lines of lemma 7.5 of [1].

Lemma 2.7. *Fix θ sufficiently large to satisfy previous lemma for all Q_i. Define an enumeration of near balls*

$$B_1(c, \alpha), B_2(c, \alpha), \ldots B_{N_\theta}(c, \alpha)$$

Definition 2.8. Let $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. It lies in our tree in one of the intervals $B(c_L, \alpha_L) \backslash B(c_U, \alpha_U)$. Suppose c lies in one of the near balls corresponding to $B(c_L, \alpha_L)$ or $B(c_U, \alpha_U)$. Then define its interval type to be the index of that near ball. Otherwise define its interval type to be the coset of $c - c_U$ of Q. Denote the space of all the possible branch types Bt. We have

$$|\,\mathrm{Bt}\,| = N_\theta + \text{number of cosets of } Q$$

depending only on Ψ, independent from B.

Lemma 2.9. *If c, c' are in the same interval and have the same interval type then they have the same tree type.*

Definition 2.10. For $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $c \restriction [\alpha, \beta] \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\beta-\alpha}$ be the record of coefficients of c for valuations between α, β. More precisely write c in its power series form

$$c = \sum_{\gamma \in Z} c_\gamma p^\gamma \text{ with } c_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

Then $c \restriction [\alpha, \beta]$ is just $(c_\alpha, c_{\alpha+1}, \dots c_\beta)$.

Definition 2.11. Let $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. It lies in our tree in one of the intervals $B(c_L, \alpha_L) \backslash B(c_U, \alpha_U)$. Define $F(c)$, the floor of c to be α_L.

Let $f : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^I$ with $f(\bar{c}) = (p_i(\bar{c}))_{i \in I}$. Define segment space Sg to be the image of f.

For some element (a_i) in segment space look at floors $F(a_i)$. Partition the segment space by order type of $\{F(a_i)\}$. Work in a fixed partition Sg'. After relabeling we may assume that

$$F(a_1) \geq F(a_2) \geq \dots$$

Consider (relabeled) sequence of vectors $\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \dots, \vec{p}_I$. Choose the unique subset of linearly independent vectors $J \subset I$. For any index $i \in I$ we call it independent if $i \in J$ and we call it dependent otherwise.

For all a_i record its interval type.

For a_i with i independent, record the interval of a_i.

Pick a_i with i dependent. Let j be the largest independent index with $j < i$. Record $a_i \restriction [F(a_j) - \gamma, F(a_j)]$.

Combining all the records defines a function

$$g : \mathrm{Sg}' \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bt}^I \times \mathrm{Pt}^m \times \mathrm{Ct}^I$$

We claim that for $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \in \mathrm{Sg}'$ if we have $g(\bar{a}) = g(\bar{a}')$ then all a_i, a_i' have the same tree type.

Proof. Suppose we have $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \in \mathrm{Sg}'$ that map to the same image by g. Suppose i is independent. Then by construction, a_i, a_i' map to the same interval of the tree and have the same interval type. Thus they have the same tree type. Otherwise, suppose i is dependent. Pick largest $j < i$ such that j is independent. We have $F(a_i) \leq F(a_j)$ and $F(a_i') \leq F(a_j')$. Moreover $F(a_j) = F(a_j')$ as they are mapped to the same interval (as j is independent).

Claim 2.12. $\mathrm{val}(a_i - a_i') > F(a_j) - \gamma$

Proof. Let $\bar{x}, \bar{x}' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ be some elements with

$$\vec{p}_k \cdot \bar{x} = a_k$$
$$\vec{p}_k \cdot \bar{x}' = a_k' \text{ for all } k \in I$$

Let J be the set of independent indices less than i. We have

$$\mathrm{val}(a_k - a_k') > F(a_k) \text{ for all } k \leq J$$

as for independent indices a_k, a_k' lie in the same interval.

$$\mathrm{val}(a_k - a_k') > F(a_j) \text{ for all } k \leq J \text{ by monotonicity of } F(a_k)$$
$$\mathrm{val}(\vec{p}_k \cdot \bar{x} - \vec{p}_k \cdot \bar{x}') > F(a_j) \text{ for all } k \leq J$$
$$\mathrm{val}(\vec{p}_k \cdot (\bar{x} - \bar{x}')) > F(a_j) \text{ for all } k \leq J$$

J and i match the requirements of the claim above by independence so we conclude

$$\mathrm{val}(\vec{p}_i \cdot (\bar{x} - \bar{x}')) > F(a_j) - \gamma$$
$$\mathrm{val}(\vec{p}_i \cdot \bar{x} - \vec{p}_i \cdot \bar{x}') > F(a_j) - \gamma$$
$$\mathrm{val}(a_i - a_i')) > F(a_j) - \gamma$$

as needed. □

By record of continuations (which a_i, a_i' agree on) we have

$$a_i = a_i' \restriction F(a_j)$$

As $F(a_i) \leq F(a_j)$, a_i, a_i' have to lie in the same interval. They also agree on interval type. Thus they have the same tree type.

□

Now suppose we have $c, c' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ such that $g(f(c)) = g(f(c'))$. Then $f(c)$ components have the same tree type as $f(c')$ components. Then c, c' have the same Ψ-type. Thus the number of possible Ψ-types is bound by the size of the range of g.

$$|\,\mathrm{Ct}\,| = p^\gamma$$
$$|\,\mathrm{Pt}\,| \leq N \cdot I^2 \text{ (the only component dependent on } N)$$

Moreover we need at most $I!$ many partitions of Sg. This gives us

$$I! \cdot |Bt|^I \cdot (N \cdot I^2)^m \cdot p^{\gamma I} = O(N^m)$$

upper bound for the possible number of Ψ-types.

References

[1] M. Aschenbrenner, A. Dolich, D. Haskell, D. Macpherson, S. Starchenko, *Vapnik-Chervonenkis density in some theories without the independence property*, I, preprint (2011)

[2] insert citation

E-mail address: `bobkov@math.ucla.edu`