# VC-DENSITY IN AN ADDITIVE REDUCT OF P-ADIC NUMBERS

#### ANTON BOBKOV

ABSTRACT. [1] computed a bound 2n + 1 for the VC function in p-adic numbers, but it is not known to be optimal. I investigate a C-minimal additive reduct of p-adic numbers and using techniques of [2] I compute an optimal bound n for that structure.

VC density was introduced in [1] by Aschenbrenner, Dolich, Haskell, MacPherson, and Starchenko as a natural notion of dimension for NIP theories. In a NIP theory we can define the VC function

$$vc : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

Where vc(n) measures complexity of definable sets in an n-dimensional space. Simplest possible behavior is vc(n) = n for all n. [1] computes an upper bound for this function to be 2n + 1, and it's not known whether it's optimal. This same bound would hold in any reduct of p-adic numbers, so one may hope that the simplified structure of the reduct would allow a better bound. In [2], Leenknegt provides a cell decomposition result for the C-minimal additive reduct of p-adic numbers. Using that  $\Gamma$  able to improve the bound for the VC function, showing that vc(n) = n.

## 1. Cell Decomposition

We work with the reduct of p-adic numbers in the language  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbb{Q}_p, Q_{n,m}, +, -, \{\bar{c}\}_{c \in K}\}$ , where  $\bar{c}$  is a scalar multiplication by c, and  $Q_{n,m}$  is a unary predicate

$$Q_{n,m} = \left\{ \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{kn} (1 + p^m \mathbb{Z}_p) \right\}$$

[2] provides a cell decomposition result for this structure. Any formula  $\phi(t,x)$  with t singleton decomposes as the union of the following cells:

$$\{(x,t)\in D\times K\mid \operatorname{val} a_1(x)\square_1\operatorname{val}(t-c(x))\square_2\operatorname{val} a_2(x), t-c(x)\in\lambda Q_{n,m}\}$$

where D is a cell of a smaller dimension,  $a_1, a_2, c$  are linear polynomials in x,  $\square$  is < or no condition,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_v$ .

We analyze a formula  $\phi(x;y)$  to find an upper bound of its VC-density. Using cell decomposition, without loss of generality we may assume that we only need to bound the following family of formulas  $\Psi(x,y)$ 

$$\text{val}\, p_i(x) - c_i(y) < \text{val}\, p_j(x) - c_j(y)$$
 
$$i, j \in I$$
 
$$\text{val}\, p_i(x) - c_i(y) \in \lambda_k Q$$
 
$$i \in I, k \in K$$

where I,K some finite index sets,  $p_i$  is linear in  $x, c_i$  is a linear polynomial in  $y, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $Q = Q_{n,m}$  for some n', m'.

To see that apply cell decomposition theorem to  $\phi(x_1, \bar{x}; y)$ . Extract from the cells all the polynomials  $a_1(\bar{x}, y), a_2(\bar{x}, y), x_1 - c(\bar{x}, y)$ , and separate x and y parts into  $p_i(x) - c_i(y)$ . Choose n', m' large enough to cover all n, m that come up in the cells. Finally choose  $\lambda_k$  to go over all cosets of Q.

Then (x,y),(x',y') agreeing on  $\Psi$ , will agree on being contained in those cells, and thus will agree on satisfying  $\phi$ .

1

ANTON BOBKOV

## 2. Key Lemmas and Definitions

**Definition 2.1.** A tuple  $p \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  can be viewed as a vector  $\vec{p}$ , treating  $\mathbb{Q}_p^m$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

We may rewrite our collection of formulas  $\Psi(x,y)$  as

$$\operatorname{val}(\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_i(y) < \operatorname{val}(\vec{p_j} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_j(y)$$
  $i, j \in I$   
 $\operatorname{val}(\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_i(y) \in \lambda_k Q$   $i \in I, k \in K$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose we have a collection of vectors  $\{\vec{p_i}\}_{i\in I}$  with each  $\vec{p_i}\in\mathbb{Q}_p^m$ . Pick a subset  $J\subset I$  and  $j\in I$  such that

$$\vec{p}_i \in \operatorname{span} \{\vec{p}_i\}_{i \in I}$$

Suppose we have  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$val(\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{x}) > \alpha \text{ for all } i \in J$$

Then

$$\operatorname{val}(\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{x}) > \alpha - \gamma$$

for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ . Moreover  $\gamma$  can be chosen independent of choice of  $J, j, \vec{x}, \alpha$  depending only on  $\{\vec{p}_i\}_{i \in I}$  independent of their order.

**Definition 2.3.** For  $c \in \mathbb{Q}_n$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define an open ball

$$B(c, \alpha) = \{c' \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid \operatorname{val}(c' - c) \le \alpha\}$$

**Definition 2.4.** Suppose we have a finite  $T \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ . We view it as a tree as follows. Branches through the tree are elements of T. With this tree we associate open balls  $B(t_1, \operatorname{val}(t_1 - t_2))$  for all  $t_1, t_2 \in T$ . An interval is two balls  $B(t_1, v_1) \supset B(t_2, v_2)$  with no balls in between. An element  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  belongs to this interval if  $a \in B(t_1, v_1) \setminus B(t_2, v_2)$ . There are at most 2|T| different intervals and they partition the entire space.

Fix a parameter set B of size N.

Consider a tree  $T = \{c_i(b) \mid b \in B, i \in I\}$  It has at most  $O(N) = N \cdot |I|$  many intervals. Denote the set of all intervals as Pt. For the remainder of the paper we work with this tree.

**Definition 2.5.**  $a, a' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  have the same  $\Psi$ -type if they have the same  $\Psi$  type over B.

**Definition 2.6.**  $x, x' \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  have the same tree type if

- $x + c_i(b)$  is in the same Q-coset as  $x' + c_i(b)$  for all  $i \in I, b \in B$
- $\operatorname{val}(x + c_i(b)) < \operatorname{val}(x + c_i(b))$  iff  $\operatorname{val}(x' + c_i(b)) < \operatorname{val}(x' + c_i(b))$  for all  $i, j \in I, b \in B$

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $a, a' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ . If  $p_i(a), p_i(a')$  have the same tree type for all  $i \in I$ , then a, a' have the same  $\Psi$ -type.

The following lemma is an adaptation of lemma 7.4 in [1].

**Lemma 2.8.** For n, m there exists  $D = D(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that for any  $x, y, a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  if

$$val(x - a) = val(y - a) < val(x - y) - D$$

then x - a, y - a are in the same coset of  $Q_{n,m}$ .

Next lemma is along the lines of lemma 7.5 of [1].

Lemma 2.9. Using D from the previous lemma define an enumeration of near balls

$$B_1(c,\alpha), B_2(c,\alpha), \dots B_{N_D}(c,\alpha)$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . It lies in our tree in one of the intervals  $B(c_L, \alpha_L) \setminus B(c_U, \alpha_U)$ . Suppose c lies in one of the near balls corresponding to  $B(c_L, \alpha_L)$  or  $B(c_U, \alpha_U)$ . Then define its interval type to be the index of that near ball. Otherwise define its interval type to be the coset of  $c - c_U$  of Q. Denote the space of all the possible branch types Bt. We have

$$|\operatorname{Bt}| = N_D + \text{number of cosets of } Q$$

depending only on  $\Psi$ , independent from B.

#### VC-DENSITY IN AN ADDITIVE REDUCT OF P-ADIC NUMBERS

**Lemma 2.11.** If c, c' are in the same interval and have the same interval type then they have the same tree type.

**Definition 2.12.** For  $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $c \upharpoonright [\alpha, \beta] \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^{\beta-\alpha}$  be the record of coefficients of c for valuations between  $\alpha, \beta$ . More precisely write c in its power series form

$$c = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\gamma} p^{\gamma}$$
 with  $c_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ 

Then  $c \upharpoonright [\alpha, \beta]$  is just  $(c_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha+1}, \dots c_{\beta})$ 

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . It lies in our tree in one of the intervals  $B(c_L, \alpha_L) \setminus B(c_U, \alpha_U)$ . Define F(c), the floor of c to be  $\alpha_L$ .

## 3. Main Proof

Fix  $\gamma$  corresponding to  $\{\vec{p_i}\}_{i\in I}$  according to Lemma 2.2.

**Definition 3.1.** Denote  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}$  as Ct.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Q}_p^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^I$  with  $f(\bar{c}) = (p_i(\bar{c}))_{i \in I}$ . Define segment space Sg to be the image of f.

Given a tuple  $(a_i)_{i\in I}$  in segment space look at corresponding floors  $\{F(a_i)\}_{i\in I}$ . Those are ordered as elements of  $\mathbb Z$  Partition the segment space by order type of  $\{F(a_i)\}$ . Work in a fixed partition Sg'. After relabeling we may assume that

$$F(a_1) \geq F(a_2) \geq \dots$$

Consider (relabeled) sequence of vectors  $\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \dots, \vec{p}_I$ . There is a unique subset  $J \subset I$  such that all vectors with indices in J are linearly independent, and all vectors with indices outside of J are a linear combination of preceding vectors. For any index  $i \in I$  we call it independent if  $i \in J$  and we call it dependent otherwise. Now, we define the following function

$$g: \operatorname{Sg}' \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bt}^I \times \operatorname{Pt}^J \times \operatorname{Ct}^{I-J}$$

Let  $\bar{a} = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in Sg'$ . To define  $g(\bar{a})$  we need to specify where it is taking in each individual component of the product.

For all  $a_i$  record its interval type  $\in$  Bt giving the first component.

For  $a_i$  with  $j \in J$ , record the interval of  $a_i$  giving the second component.

For the third component do the following computation. Pick  $a_i$  with i dependent. Let j be the largest independent index with j < i. Record  $a_i \upharpoonright [F(a_j) - \gamma, F(a_j)]$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \in Sg'$  if  $g(\bar{a}) = g(\bar{a}')$  then  $a_i, a'_i$  have the same tree type for all  $i \in I$ .

Proof. For each i we show that  $a_i, a_i'$  are in the same interval and have the same interval type, so the conclusion follows by Lemma 2.11. Bt records interval type of each element, so if  $g(\bar{a}) = g(\bar{a}')$  then  $a_i, a_i'$  have the same interval type for all  $i \in I$ . Thus it remains to show that  $a_i, a_i'$  lie in the same interval for all  $i \in I$ . Suppose i is an independent index. Then by construction, Pt records interval for  $a_i, a_i'$ , so those have to belong to the same interval. Now suppose i is dependent. Pick largest j < i such that j is independent. We have  $F(a_i) \le F(a_j')$  and  $F(a_i') \le F(a_j')$ . Moreover  $F(a_j) = F(a_j')$  as they are mapped to the same interval (using the earlier part of the argument as j is independent).

Claim 3.4.  $val(a_i - a_i') > F(a_i) - \gamma$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{x}' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  be some elements with

$$\vec{p}_k \cdot \vec{x} = a_k$$
  
 $\vec{p}_k \cdot \vec{x}' = a'_k \text{ for all } k \in I$ 

It is always possible to do that as  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \in Sg'$ . Let J' be the set of independent indices less than i. We have

$$\operatorname{val}(a_k - a_k') > F(a_k)$$
 for all  $k \in J'$ 

ANTON BOBKOV

as for independent indices  $a_k, a'_k$  lie in the same interval.

$$\operatorname{val}(a_k - a_k') > F(a_j)$$
 for all  $k \in J'$  by monotonicity of  $F(a_k)$   
 $\operatorname{val}(\vec{p}_k \cdot \vec{x} - \vec{p}_k \cdot \vec{x}') > F(a_j)$  for all  $k \in J'$   
 $\operatorname{val}(\vec{p}_k \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')) > F(a_j)$  for all  $k \in J'$ 

J' and i match the requirements of Lemma 2.2 so we conclude

$$val(\vec{p}_i \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')) > F(a_j) - \gamma$$

$$val(\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x} - \vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x}') > F(a_j) - \gamma$$

$$val(a_i - a_i')) > F(a_i) - \gamma$$

as needed.

moreover because  $a_i, a_i'$  have the same image in Ct component under g we can conclude that

$$\operatorname{val}(a_i - a_i')) > F(a_j)$$

As  $F(a_i) \leq F(a_i)$ ,  $a_i, a'_i$  have to lie in the same interval

Corollary 3.5.  $\Psi(x,y)$  has dual VC-density  $\leq |x|$ 

Proof. Suppose we have  $c, c' \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  such that f(c), f(c') are in the same partition and g(f(c)) = g(f(c')). Then by the previous lemma  $p_i(c)$  has the same tree type as  $p_i(c')$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then by Lemma 2.7 c, c' have the same  $\Psi$ -type. Thus the number of possible  $\Psi$ -types is bound by the size of the range of g times the number of possible partitions.

$$|\operatorname{Ct}| = p^{\gamma}$$
 
$$|\operatorname{Pt}| \leq N \cdot I^2 \text{ (the only component dependent on } N)$$

Moreover we need at most |I|! many partitions of Sg. This gives us

$$|I|! \cdot |Bt|^{|I|} \cdot (N \cdot |I|^2)^{|J|} \cdot p^{\gamma |I-J|} = O(N^{|J|})$$

upper bound for the possible number of  $\Psi$ -types.

#### References

- [1] M. Aschenbrenner, A. Dolich, D. Haskell, D. Macpherson, S. Starchenko, Vapnik-Chervonenkis density in some theories without the independence property, I, preprint (2011)
- [2] insert citation

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: bobkov@math.ucla.edu}$