QUANTIFIER ELIMINATION IN SHELAH-SPENCER GRAPHS

ANTON BOBKOV

Abstract. We simplify [?] proof of quantifier elimination in Shelah-Spencer graphs.

1. Introduction

Laskowski's paper [?] provides a combinatorial proof of quantifier elimination in Shelah-Spencer graphs. Here we provide a simplification of the proof using only maximal chains and avoiding technical lemmas of sections 3 and 4.

2. Preliminaries

We will use notation of [?], in particular things like $\boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$, $\delta(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$, $X_m(\mathcal{A})$, S_α, maximal embedding, etc.

3. Proof

Definition 3.1. Fix $\mathcal{B} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$, Φ, Γ finite subsets of $\boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$, and $m \in \omega$ such that for each $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ or $\mathcal{C} \in \Gamma$ we have $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $|C \backslash B| < m$. Define $Z(\mathcal{B}, \Phi, \Gamma, m)$ to be all $\mathcal{B}^* \in X_m(\mathcal{B})$ such that

(1) For every $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ there *are no* $\mathcal{H}$ with $|H \backslash B^*| < m$ satisfying

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathcal{H} & \\
\mathcal{B}^* \arrow[ur, dash, "\leq"] & & \mathcal{C} \arrow[ul, dash] \\
& \mathcal{B} \arrow[ul, dash] \arrow[ur, dash] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) For every $\mathcal{D} \in \Gamma$ there *is some* $\mathcal{G}$ with $|G \backslash B^*| < m$ satisfying

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{G} \arrow[dl, dash, "\leq"'] \arrow[dr, dash] & \\
\mathcal{B}^* \arrow[dr, dash] & & \mathcal{D} \arrow[dl, dash] \\
 & \mathcal{B} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \models S_\alpha$, $\mathcal{B} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$, embedding $f \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}$, Φ finite subset of $\boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$

(1) Say that f *omits* Φ if there are no $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ and $g \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ extending f.
(2) Say that f *admits* Φ if for every $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ there is $g \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ extending f.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{B} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$, Φ, Γ finite subsets of $\boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$, and $m \in \omega$ such that for each $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ or $\mathcal{C} \in \Gamma$ we have $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $|C \backslash B| < m$. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *f omits Φ and admits Γ*
(2) *There exists $\mathcal{B}^* \in Z(\mathcal{B}, \Phi, \Gamma, m)$ maximally embeddable into $\mathcal{M}$ over f.*

References

[1] Klaus-Peter Podewski and Martin Ziegler. Stable graphs. *Fund. Math.*, 100:101-107, 1978.
[2] Aharoni, Ron and Berger, Eli (2009). "Menger's Theorem for infinite graphs". *Inventiones Mathematicae* 176: 162
[3] P. Simon, *On dp-minimal ordered structures*, J. Symbolic Logic 76 (2011), no. 2, 448460.

E-mail address: `bobkov@math.ucla.edu`