



143A: Principles of Operating Systems


Lecture 5: Address translation (Segmentation and Paging)

Anton Burtsev
October, 2019

Two programs one memory



Two programs one memory




- How can we do this?

Relocation

- One way to achieve this is to relocate program at different addresses
 - Remember relocation (from linking and loading)

Relocate binaries to work at different addresses



- One way to achieve this is to relocate program at different addresses
 - Remember relocation (from linking and loading)
 - **This works! But not ideal.**
- What is the problem?

- One way to achieve this is to relocate program at different addresses
 - Remember relocation (from linking and loading)
 - **This works! But not ideal.**
- What is the problem?
 - Isolation can be enforced in software
 - Software Fault Isolation (SFI)
 - Google NaCl (Chrome Sandbox)
 - WASM (Web Assembly, another sandbox standard)


- Another way is to ask for hardware support

Segmentation


What are we aiming for?

- Illusion of a private address space
 - Identical copy of an address space in multiple programs
 - Simplifies software architecture
 - One program is not restricted by the memory layout of the others

Two processes, one memory?



Two processes, one memory?



- We want hardware to add base value to every address used in the program


Seems easy

- One problem
 - Where does this base address come from?

Seems easy


- One problem
 - Where does this base address come from?
 - Hardware can maintain a table of base addresses
 - One base for each process
 - Dedicate a special register to keep an index into that table

- One problem
 - Where does this base address come from?
 - Hardware can maintain a table of base addresses
 - One base for each process
 - Dedicate a special register to keep an index into that table



Segmentation: example

```
mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX  
EAX = 0x0  
EBX = 0x300010
```




Segmentation: address consists of two parts

**Segment register
(CS, SS, DS, ES, FS, GS)**

0x1


mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0x0
EBX = 0x300010, DS = 0x1




- Segment register contains segment selector
- General registers contain offsets
- Intel calls this address: “logical address”

Segmentation: Global Descriptor Table

Segment register
(CS, SS, DS, ES, FS, GS)




Global Descriptor Table
(table of segment sizes and bases)



mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0x0
EBX = 0x300010, DS = 0x1


Process 1 (ls)



Process 2 (ls)




Physical Memory




- GDT is an array of segment descriptors
 - Each descriptor contains base and limit for the segment
 - Plus access control flags

Segmentation: Global Descriptor Table




Segmentation: base + offset



- Segment register (0x1) chooses an entry in GDT
 - This entry contains base of the segment (0x110000) and limit (size) of the segment (not shown)

Segmentation: base + offset

Segment register
(CS, SS, DS, ES, FS, GS)




mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0x0
EBX = 0x300010, DS = 0x1

Global Descriptor Table
(table of segment sizes and bases)


0x0
0x110000
0x510000
...
0x0

base_{P1}

Physical Memory




GDT register
(pointer to the GDT,
physical address)



- Physical address:

- $0x410010 = 0x300010$ (offset) + $0x110000$ (base)
- Intel calls this address “linear”

Segmentation: process 2




- Each process has a private GDT
 - OS will switch between GDTs


New addressing mode:
“logical addresses”

All addresses are logical address

- They consist of two parts
 - Segment selector (16 bit) + offset (32 bit)



- Segment selector (16 bit)
 - Is simply an index into an array (Descriptor Table)
 - That holds segment descriptors
 - Base and limit (size) for each segment



Elements of the descriptor table are segment descriptors

- Base address

- 0 – 4 GB

- Limit (size)

- 0 – 4 GB


- Access rights

- Executable, readable, writable


- Privilege level (0 - 3)

Access	Limit
Base Address	


- Offsets into segments (x in our example) or “Effective addresses” are in registers




- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - $\text{Effective address} + \text{DescriptorTable}[selector].Base$




- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base



- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base




- Logical addresses are translated into physical
 - Effective address + DescriptorTable[selector].Base



- *Physical address =*

$$\text{Effective address} + \text{DescriptorTable}[selector].Base$$
- Effective addresses (or offsets) are in registers
- **Selector is in a special register**



Segment registers

- Hold 16 bit segment selectors
 - Indexes into GDT
- Segments are associated with one of three types of storage
 - Code
 - Data
 - Stack

Segmented programming (not real)

```
static int x = 1;           ds:x = 1; // data
int y; // stack           ss:y;      // stack
if (x) {                  if (ds:x) {
    y = 1;                ss:y = 1;
    printf ("Boo");       cs:printf(ds:"Boo");
} else                      } else
    y = 0;                ss:y = 0;
```

Programming model

- Segments for: code, data, stack, “extra”
 - A program can have up to 6 total segments
 - Segments identified by registers: cs, ds, ss, es, fs, gs
- Prefix all memory accesses with desired segment:
 - `mov eax, ds:0x80` (load offset 0x80 from data into eax)
 - `jmp cs:0xab8` (jump execution to code offset 0xab8)
 - `mov ss:0x40, ecx` (move ecx to stack offset 0x40)

Programming model, cont.

- This is cumbersome,
- Instead the idea is: infer code, data and stack segments from the instruction type:
 - Control-flow instructions use code segment (jump, call)
 - Stack management (push/pop) uses stack
 - Most loads/stores use data segment
- Extra segments (es, fs, gs) must be used explicitly

Code segment

- Code
 - CS register
 - EIP is an offset inside the segment stored in CS
- Can only be changed with
 - procedure calls,
 - interrupt handling, or
 - task switching

Data segment

- Data
 - DS, ES, FS, GS
 - 4 possible data segments can be used at the same time

Stack segment


- Stack
 - SS
- Can be loaded explicitly
 - OS can set up multiple stacks
 - Of course, only one is accessible at a time

Segmentation: what did we achieve


- Illusion of a private address space
 - Identical copy of an address space in multiple programs
 - We can implement `fork()`
- Isolation
 - Processes cannot access memory outside of their segments

Segmentation works for isolation, i.e., it does provide programs with illusion of private memory


Segmentation is ok... but




What if process needs more memory?




What if process needs more memory?



You can move P2 in memory



Or even swap it out to disk




Problems with segments


- Segments are somewhat inconvenient
 - Relocating or swapping the entire process takes time
- Memory gets fragmented
 - There might be no space (gap) for the swapped out process to come in
 - Will have to swap out other processes

Paging

Pages



Pages




Paging idea

- Break up memory into 4096-byte chunks called pages
 - Modern hardware supports 2MB, 4MB, and 1GB pages
- Independently control mapping for each page of linear address space
- Compare with segmentation (single base + limit)
 - many more degrees of freedom


How can we build this translation mechanism?

Paging: naive approach: translation array



- Linear address $0x410010$
 - Remember it's result of logical to linear translation (aka segmentation)
 - $0x410010 = 0x300010$ (offset) + $0x110000$ (base)

Paging: naive approach: translation array




- Linear address 0x410010
- Remember it's result of logical to linear translation (aka segmentation)
 - $0x410010 = 0x300010 \text{ (offset)} + 0x110000 \text{ (base)}$

What is wrong?


What is wrong?

- We need 4 bytes to relocate each page
 - 20 bits for physical page number
 - 12 bits of access flags
- Therefore, we need array of 4 bytes x 1M entries
 - 4MBs

Paging: naive approach: translation array




Paging: array with size




- The size controls how many entries are required

But still what may go wrong?


Paging: array with size



Paging: array with size




Paging: array with chunks




Paging: array with chunks

$0x410010 = [00\ 0000\ 0001|00\ 0001\ 0000|0000\ 0001\ 0000]$



Paging: page table



```
mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX  
EAX = 0  
EBX = 20 983 809
```

20 983 809 =  00 0000 0101|00 0000 0011|0000 0000 0001


page number

1M (1,048,575)

Virtual Address
Space (or Memory)
of the Process



0 1 2

page number = 5123
or (0b1 0100 0000 0011)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Physical
Memory



```
mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX  
EAX = 0  
EBX = 20 983 809
```

20 983 809 = **00 0000 0101|00 0000 0011|0000 0000 0001**

page number

1M (1,048,575)

Virtual Address
Space (or Memory)
of the Process




CR3 = 0

0 1 2

page number = 5123
or (0b1 0100 0000 0011)

Physical
Memory





```

mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0
EBX = 20 983 809

```

20 983 809 =  1M (1,048,575)

page number



```


mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0
EBX = 20 983 809

```

$20\ 983\ 809 = \boxed{00\ 0000\ 010} \boxed{00\ 0000\ 0011} \boxed{0000\ 0000\ 0001}$

page number

1M (1,048,575)



```


mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0
EBX = 20 983 809

```


$20\ 983\ 809 = \boxed{00\ 0000\ 0101} \boxed{00\ 0000\ 0011} \boxed{0000\ 0000\ 0001}$

page number


1M (1,048,575)




Page translation



Page translation




Page directory entry (PDE)




- 20 bit address of the page table

Page directory entry (PDE)




- 20 bit address of the page table
- Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits

Page directory entry (PDE)




- 20 bit address of the page table
- Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits
 - Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GB
 - Pages start at 4KB (page aligned boundary)

Page translation




Page table entry (PTE)



- 20 bit address of the 4KB page
 - Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GB

Page translation



- Result:
 - $EAX = 55$


Benefit of page tables

... Compared to arrays?

- Page tables represent sparse address space more efficiently
 - An entire array has to be allocated upfront
 - But if the address space uses a handful of pages
 - Only page tables (Level 1 and 2 need to be allocated to describe translation)
- On a dense address space this benefit goes away
 - I'll assign a homework!

What about isolation?

- Two programs,
one memory?
- Each process has
its own page table
 - OS switches
between them



P1 and P2 can't access each other memory

0xe000000
(PHYSSTOP)
234MB


Top of physical memory

Compared to segments pages allow ...

- Emulate large virtual address space on a smaller physical memory
 - In our example we had only 12 physical pages
 - But the program can access all 1M pages in its 4GB address space
 - The OS will move other pages to disk

Compared to segments pages allow ...

- Share a region of memory across multiple programs
 - Communication (shared buffer of messages)
 - Shared libraries



More paging tricks

- Protect parts of the program
 - E.g., map code as read-only
 - Disable code modification attacks
 - Remember R/W bit in PTD/PTE entries!
 - E.g., map stack as non-executable
 - Protects from stack smashing attacks
 - Non-executable bit

More paging tricks

- Determine a working set of a program?

More paging tricks

- Determine a working set of a program?
 - Use “accessed” bit

More paging tricks

- Determine a working set of a program?
 - Use “accessed” bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
 - Used for virtual machine migration

More paging tricks

- Determine a working set of a program?
 - Use “accessed” bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
 - Used for virtual machine migration
 - Use “dirty” bit

More paging tricks


- Determine a working set of a program?
 - Use “accessed” bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
 - Used for virtual machine migration
 - Use “dirty” bit
- Copy-on-write memory, e.g. lightweight fork()?

More paging tricks

- Determine a working set of a program?
 - Use “accessed” bit
- Iterative copy of a working set?
 - Used for virtual machine migration
 - Use “dirty” bit
- Copy-on-write memory, e.g. lightweight `fork()`?
 - Map page as read/only

TLB

- Walking page table is slow
 - Each memory access is 240 (local) - 360 (one QPI hop away) cycles on modern hardware
 - L3 cache access is 50 cycles




TLB

- CPU caches results of page table walks
 - In translation lookaside buffer (TLB)

Virt	Phys
0xf0231000	0x1000
0x00b31000	0x1f000
0xb0002000	0xc1000

TLB invalidation

- TLB is a cache (in CPU)
 - It is not coherent with memory
 - If page table entry is changes, TLB remains the same and is out of sync



TLB invalidation

- After every page table update, OS needs to manually invalidate cached values
 - Flush TLB
 - Either one specific entry
 - Or entire TLB, e.g., when CR3 register is loaded
 - This happens when OS switches from one process to another
 - This is expensive
 - Refilling the TLB with new values takes time

Tagged TLBs

- Modern CPUs have “tagged TLBs”,
 - Each TLB entry has a “tag” – identifier of a process
 - No need to flush TLBs on context switch
- On Intel this mechanism is called
 - Process-Context Identifiers (PCIDs)

Virt	Phys	Tag
0xf0231 000	0x1000	P1
0x00b31 000	0x1f000	P2
0xb0002 000	0xc1000	P1

When would you disable paging?


When would you disable paging?

- Imagine you're running a memcached
 - Key/value cache
- You serve 1024 byte values (typical) on 10Gbps connection
 - 1024 byte packets can leave every 835ns, or 1670 cycles (2GHz machine)
 - This is your target budget per packet
-


When would you disable paging?


- Now, to cover 32GB RAM with 4K pages
 - You need 64MB space
 - 64bit architecture, 3-level page tables
- Page tables do not fit in L3 cache
 - Modern servers come with 32MB cache
- Every cache miss results in up to 3 cache misses due to page walk (remember 3-level page tables)
 - Each cache miss is 250 cycles
- Solution: 1GB pages


Page translation for 4MB pages





Recap: complete address translation























Questions?

Back of the envelope

- If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?

Back of the envelope

- If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?
 - 1k

Back of the envelope

- If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?
 - 1k
- How large of an address space can 1 page represent?

Back of the envelope

- If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?
 - 1k
- How large of an address space can 1 page represent?
 - $1\text{k entries} * 1\text{page/entry} * 4\text{K/page} = 4\text{MB}$


Back of the envelope

- If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?
 - 1k
- How large of an address space can 1 page represent?
 - $1\text{k entries} * 1\text{page/entry} * 4\text{K/page} = 4\text{MB}$
- How large can we get with a second level of translation?

Back of the envelope

- If a page is 4K and an entry is 4 bytes, how many entries per page?
 - 1k
- How large of an address space can 1 page represent?
 - $1\text{k entries} * 1\text{page/entry} * 4\text{K/page} = 4\text{MB}$
- How large can we get with a second level of translation?
 - $1\text{k tables/dir} * 1\text{k entries/table} * 4\text{k/page} = 4 \text{ GB}$
 - Nice that it works out that way!

Segment descriptors



L — 64-bit code segment (IA-32e mode only)

AVL — Available for use by system software

BASE — Segment base address

D/B — Default operation size (0 = 16-bit segment; 1 = 32-bit segment)

DPL — Descriptor privilege level

G — Granularity


LIMIT — Segment Limit

P — Segment present


S — Descriptor type (0 = system; 1 = code or data)

TYPE — Segment type


Page translation



Page translation




Page directory entry (PDE)




- 20 bit address of the page table

Page directory entry (PDE)



- 20 bit address of the page table
- Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits

Page directory entry (PDE)




- 20 bit address of the page table
- Wait... 20 bit address, but we need 32 bits
 - Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GB
 - Pages start at 4KB (page aligned boundary)

Page directory entry (PDE)

31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Address of page table												Ignored		0	I g n	A	P C D	P W T	U S	R / W	1	PDE: page table										


- Bit #1: R/W – writes allowed?
 - But allowed where?

Page directory entry (PDE)




- Bit #1: R/W – writes allowed?
 - But allowed where?
 - One page directory entry controls 1024 Level 2 page tables
 - Each Level 2 maps 4KB page
 - So it's a region of $4\text{KB} \times 1024 = 4\text{MB}$

Page directory entry (PDE)




- Bit #2: U/S – user/supervisor
 - If 0 – user-mode access is not allowed
 - Allows protecting kernel memory from user-level applications

Page translation




Page table entry (PTE)



- 20 bit address of the 4KB page
 - Pages 4KB each, we need 1M to cover 4GB
- Bit #1: R/W – writes allowed?
 - To a 4KB page
- Bit #2: U/S – user/supervisor
 - If 0 user-mode access is not allowed
- Bit #5: A – accessed
- Bit #6: D – dirty – software has written to this page

Page translation



```
mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX  
EAX = 0  
EBX = 20 983 809
```

20 983 809 =  00 0000 0101|00 0000 0011|0000 0000 0001


page number

1M (1,048,575)

Virtual Address
Space (or Memory)
of the Process



0 1 2

page number = 5123
or (0b1 0100 0000 0011)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Physical
Memory




```
mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX  
EAX = 0  
EBX = 20 983 809
```

20 983 809 = **00 0000 0101|00 0000 0011|0000 0000 0001**

page number

1M (1,048,575)

Virtual Address
Space (or Memory)
of the Process




CR3 = 0

0 1 2

page number = 5123
or (0b1 0100 0000 0011)

Physical
Memory




```

mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0
EBX = 20 983 809

```

20 983 809 =  1M (1,048,575)

page number





```
mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX  
EAX = 0  
EBX = 20 983 809
```

$$20\ 983\ 809 = \boxed{00\ 0000\ 010}.\boxed{00\ 0000\ 0011}\boxed{0000\ 0000\ 0001}$$

—

1M (1,048,575)





- Result:
 - $EAX = 55$

But why do we need page tables

... Instead of arrays?


- Page tables represent sparse address space more efficiently
 - An entire array has to be allocated upfront
 - But if the address space uses a handful of pages
 - Only page tables (Level 1 and 2 need to be allocated to describe translation)
- On a dense address space this benefit goes away
 - I'll assign a homework!

What about isolation?

- Two programs,
one memory?

What about isolation?

- Two programs,
one memory?
- Each process has
its own page table
 - OS switches
between them




P1 and P2 can't access each other memory

Compared to segments pages allow ...

- Emulate large virtual address space on a smaller physical memory
 - In our example we had only 12 physical pages
 - But the program can access all 1M pages in its 4GB address space
 - The OS will move other pages to disk

Compared to segments pages allow ...


- Share a region of memory across multiple programs
 - Communication (shared buffer of messages)
 - Shared libraries





More paging tricks


- Protect parts of the program
 - E.g., map code as read-only
 - Disable code modification attacks
 - Remember R/W bit in PTD/PTE entries!
 - E.g., map stack as non-executable
 - Protects from stack smashing attacks
 - Non-executable bit

Recap: complete address translation
























Why do we need paging?

- Compared to segments pages provide fine-grained control over memory layout
 - No need to relocate/swap the entire segment
 - One page is enough
 -
- You're trading flexibility (granularity) for overhead of data structures required for translation

Questions?

Back to real page tables

Segment register
(CS, SS, DS, ES, FS, GS)




mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0x0
EBX = 0x300010, DS = 0x1

Global Descriptor Table
(table of segment sizes and bases)

0x0
0x110000
0x510000
...
0x0

base_{P1}

Physical Memory




0x7095
0x0

Process 1 (ls)



Process 2 (ls)



GDT register
(pointer to the GDT,
physical address)

- Physical address:

- $0x410010 = 0x300010$ (offset) + $0x110000$ (base)
- Intel calls this address “linear”

Paging

Segment register
(CS, SS, DS, ES, FS, GS)



0x1

`mov (%EBX), EAX` # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
EAX = 0x0
EBX = 0x300010
DS = 0x1

Global Descriptor Table
(table of segment sizes and bases)


0x0
0x110000
0x510000
...

base_{P1}



0 1 2 0x110000 0x410010

Page table
Level 1



Level 2

Physical Memory

0x0

0x7095

0xc010

- Each process has a private GDT
- OS will switch between GDTs

GDT register
(pointer to the GDT,
physical address)

Paging

```
mov (%EBX), EAX # mov value from the location pointed by EBX into EAX
```

EAX = 0

EBX = 0x300010

DS = 0x1

Linear address for 0x300010 is 0x410010

0x410010 = 
page number

1M (1,048,575)

Virtual Address Space
(aka Virtual Memory)



0 1 2

GDT

page number = 1040 or 0x410
or (0b 100 0001 0000)

CR3 = 0x2


0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Physical
Memory



...

32 bits (4 bytes)



1023

Level 1
(Page Table
Directory)

Level 2
(Page Table)

Page

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

...

1023

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

...

1023

Level 2
(Page Table)

0

4

8

12

16

55

20

24

...

4092

Page