

# Contract Testing

Toni Masotti  
[masotti@bikeleasing.de](mailto:masotti@bikeleasing.de)  
Bikeleasing-Service

November 26, 2023

# Outline

---

- 1 Introduction.. get the ball rolling
- 2 The problem(s) we're trying to solve
- 3 Option C : Contract Testing
- 4 Demo Time - Hands on lab
- 5 Concluding thoughts

- 1 Introduction.. get the ball rolling
- 2 The problem(s) we're trying to solve
- 3 Option C : Contract Testing
- 4 Demo Time - Hands on lab
- 5 Concluding thoughts

# It all started with Bob...



...and it continues with Bob

It all started with Bob...

They do work on  
my local machine  
though 😢



Bob

BOB ! the changes you  
made do not work on the  
live system 😡



Angry chef

# Bob has learnt the lesson...







Financial	Healthcare	Governments	Transport	Education	Telecom	Retail	Technology

# Extreme examples...

- 50 Million Deployments a Year
- Software enhancements delivered every second



Gigantic Web of Micro-services at Amazon



# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture
- Fast onboarding

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture
- Fast onboarding
- Cost-efficiency on the long run

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture
- Fast onboarding
- Cost-efficiency on the long run
- Cloud native

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture
- Fast onboarding
- Cost-efficiency on the long run
- Cloud native
- Tech-agnostic approach

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture
- Fast onboarding
- Cost-efficiency on the long run
- Cloud native
- Tech-agnostic approach
- Scalability out of the box

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture
- Fast onboarding
- Cost-efficiency on the long run
- Cloud native
- Tech-agnostic approach
- Scalability out of the box
- Improved Security (no SPOF, no SPOC)

# Benefits of microservices

---

- Big promise: Independent deployability
- Fast feedback loops
- Strong team focus, ideally crossfunctional
- Loosely coupled architecture
- Fast onboarding
- Cost-efficiency on the long run
- Cloud native
- Tech-agnostic approach
- Scalability out of the box
- Improved Security (no SPOF, no SPOC)
- Optimized Time to Market

# Two key factors for success

“ Chief among the benefits of service-enabling an enterprise’s application landscape are **increased organizational agility** and **reduced overall cost of implementing change**.

A SOA increases organizational agility by placing high-value business functions in **discrete, reusable services**, and then connecting and orchestrating these services to satisfy core business processes.

It reduces the cost of change by **reducing the dependencies** between services, allowing them to be rapidly recomposed and tuned in response to change or unplanned events.

”

martinFowler.com

Refactoring Agile Architecture About Thoughtworks

## Consumer-Driven Contracts: A Service Evolution Pattern

This article discusses some of the challenges in evolving a community of service providers and consumers. It describes some of the coupling issues that arise when service providers change parts of their contract, particularly document schemas, and identifies two well-understood strategies – adding schema extension points and performing “just enough” validation of received messages – for mitigating such issues. Both strategies help protect consumers from changes to a provider contract, but neither of them gives the provider any insight into the ways it is being used and the obligations it must maintain as it evolves. Drawing on the assertion-based language of one of these mitigation strategies – the “just enough” validation strategy – the article then describes the “Consumer-Driven Contract” pattern, which imbues providers with insight into their consumer obligations, and focuses service evolution around the delivery of the key business functionality demanded by consumers.

12 June 2006



Ian Robinson

Ian Robinson is a Principal Consultant with Thoughtworks. He specializes in helping clients create sustainable service-oriented development capabilities that align business and IT teams successfully through to operation. He is a frequent speaker at conferences on Microservices, cloud and service-oriented systems with Microservices technologies, and has published articles on business-oriented development methodologies and distributed systems design – most recently in *The ThoughtWorks Guide to Microservices* co-authored a book on Web-friendly enterprise software.

### CONTENTS

- Evolving a Service: An Example
- Interface-Bordered With Services
- Schema Versioning
- Extension Points
- Breaking Changes
- Schematization
- Consumer-Driven Contracts
- Provider Contracts
- Contract Evolution
- Consumer-Driven Contracts
- Summary of Contract Characteristics
- Implementation
- Benefits
- Liabilities

- APPLICATION INTEGRATION
- WEB SERVICES


# But...

# It's always a tradeoff

“ *The first (and probably only) law of software architecture states “Everything in software architecture is a tradeoff”*

– Neal Ford

”




- 1 Introduction.. get the ball rolling
- 2 The problem(s) we're trying to solve
- 3 Option C : Contract Testing
- 4 Demo Time - Hands on lab
- 5 Concluding thoughts


# Bob's company is being very successfull...




# The shy beginning...




# Baby's first steps...



# Bigger steps...



# ...towards madness



*Toni on a good day*


# The Problem(s)...

“


*Every time we touch one service, all the others break :(*

”


- Risk of dependency hell
- Devs loose confidence in deploying changes
  - Consequences of changes are hard to track
  - Distributed architectures are *hard* to test



# Architecture example: Online Delivery



# Architecture example: Leasing Calculator



# Updating a microservice: the sad Atlassian case

```
{  
  "users": "Mike",  
  "address": "Something"  
}
```

```
1 {  
  2   "user": "Mike",  
  3   "address": "Something"  
  4 }  
 5
```




Something's gone wrong



Our team has been notified. If the problem persists,  
please contact Atlassian Support.

[Reload page](#)

# Test Pyramid



# The problem with Unit Tests alone

---


- Local tests do not assure that the system as whole is still working
- Mocks are not guaranteed to really represent the other part of the system

# Embedded Animation

# Problem with E2E Tests


They're very important (*qua* realistic), but...

- they're hard to run locally  
(especially in a distributed system)
- it's hard or impossible to test some scenarios (e.g. system not available)
- they're timely and financially expensive
  - The longer we need to find out what's wrong, the more expensive it is
- not few companies fall in the trap of *excessive test setup*
- they're prone to flakiness



Link: Trinitatum Blog (2015-03-02)

# Architecture example



# Distributed ≠ Decoupled



*Is this one or three pipelines?*

# What do we need?

---

We need a way to **test and ensure correct data exchange** between services, with the following characteristics:

- **Fast feedback** on changes
- **Confidence** in our changes
- **Reproducibility** of tests
- **Realistic** tests
- **Cheap** tests
- **Easy** to write and maintain

# What do we need?

---

*Seen so far:*

- **Option A:** Mocks
- **Option B:** E2E / System Tests with real infrastructure

*Is there an option C?*

- 1 Introduction.. get the ball rolling
- 2 The problem(s) we're trying to solve
- 3 Option C : Contract Testing
- 4 Demo Time - Hands on lab
- 5 Concluding thoughts

# Contracts

## Contracts

*A formal agreement between parties or individual. Synonyms: pact, agreement, protocol, deal*

— Oxford English Dictionary

## Contract Testing

*A contract test is a test at the boundary of an external service, verifying that it meets the contract expected by a consuming service.*

— Martin Fowler

# A pact between who?

- **Consumer:** the service that consumes the information (typically closer to the user)
- **Provider:** the service that provides the information (typically closer to the data)



# A pact between who?

- **Consumer:** the service that consumes the information (typically closer to the user)
- **Provider:** the service that provides the information (typically closer to the data)

## Where do we find this architecture?

- REST, GraphQL, gRPC, SOAP ...APIs
- Microservices communication (over TCP, HTTP, Quic, AMQP, ...)
- SOA
- Other kinds of distributed systems (IoT, ...)
- Client-Server
- Messaging systems (Kafka, RabbitMQ, SQS ...)
- Notifying systems (Webhooks, SNS, ...)

# The role of contracts in testing




# Who does initiate the contract?

---


Both parties can initiate the contract, but...

Here I will present you the **Consumer Driven Contracts** approach.

# Provider driven contracts



# Provider driven contracts





# Consumer Driven Contracts

“

*A strange inversion of reasoning... – D. Dennet*


”




# Consumer Driven Contracts

“ A strange inversion of reasoning... – D. Dennet ”

Consumer driven contracts



# Pact - Overview



- 1 Introduction.. get the ball rolling
- 2 The problem(s) we're trying to solve
- 3 Option C : Contract Testing
- 4 Demo Time - Hands on lab
- 5 Concluding thoughts

# Hintergrund

---



- 1 Introduction.. get the ball rolling
- 2 The problem(s) we're trying to solve
- 3 Option C : Contract Testing
- 4 Demo Time - Hands on lab
- 5 Concluding thoughts

# Other tools

The image displays five separate screenshots of different open-source testing platforms, each with its own unique interface and branding:

- Dredd — HTTP API Testing Framework:** Shows a screenshot of the Dredd GitHub repository page, featuring a golden knight helmet icon and the text "No more outdated API Documentation".
- Cucumber:** Shows the Cucumber website, which has a dark background with a portrait of a man wearing glasses. The header includes "Tools", "Docs", "Learn BDD", and "Resources".
- Karate Labs:** Shows the Karate Labs homepage, titled "Open-Source Test Automation Platform". It features a large purple button labeled "GET STARTED" and a "WATCH VIDEO" button.
- Specmatic:** Shows the Specmatic website, which has a purple header and a banner about "Micro Services done right without the pain of integration".
- MicrOCKS:** Shows the MicrOCKS homepage, which has a blue background and features the text "OPEN SOURCE KUBERNETES NATIVE TOOL FOR API MOCKING AND TESTING".

# CDC vs. PDC

---

## ■ Consumer Driven Contract

- Open and incomplete
- Multiple and collaborative
- Bounded stability and immutability

## ■ Provider Driven Contract

- Closed and complete
- Singular and authoritative
- Bounded stability and immutability

# Benefits of CDC

---

- Customer centric
  - Focus on what to deliver to customers
  - Fine grained insights about dynamics of the system
- Contract change as proposal
- Fast feedback loop, with realistic scenarios, while keeping tests isolated
- Improved communication between teams

# Consequences for the development process

---

- Design by contract (SOLID, especially the I)
- Need for separated API Layers
- More focus on the client-facing parts of the system

