Privacy Cookbook for Business Processes As resource for IPEN

(Internet Privacy Engineering Network)

- draft - V"9E-10" - TO BE DISCUSSED -

begun by Markus Alexander Grete – feel free to participate !!!

Company Mail address: <u>Markus.Grete@gretEDV.de</u>

University Mail address: grete@l3s.de

Motivation

If you are in Business Process Modelling (BPM), you need to care about the privacy topics. Let's start with the basics.

The 7 basic principles of privacy

- 1. Proactive not Reactive; Preventative not Remedial
- 2. Privacy as default setting
- 3. Privacy embedded into design
- 4. Full functionality Positive-Sum not Zero-Sum
- 5. End-to-End Security Full Lifecycle Protection
- 6. Visibility and Transparency Keep it Open
- 7. Respect for User Privacy Keep it User-Centric

Basic concepts of privacy

- the right to be forgotten
- the minimum acquisition of data
- the processing by consent
- anonymity
- purpose-specification
- [...] help me to continue!

Into

As we consider privacy in IT systems, we have to deal with the basic principles of privacy. As to (3.) we have to embed privacy into design. As to (2) by default. That means that we have to consider privacy from the first step on.

Very often in software development in Year 2014 the software development process begins with an analysis of what is needed, an analysis of the Business Process (BP) the software has to support.

So we are starting top-down here.

Basic real-world problems making privacy more difficult

(Reasons for the need to preserve data)

- Requirements of completeness for audits
- Requirements for preparation against legal trails
- [...] help me to continue!

The real world outside

You can introduce privacy into business process modelling once at each project, or – if you are a consultant – once at each customer. And you can install a separate privacy guiding process in addition to the casual data protection guidelines or compliance guidelines almost every bigger company has. If you enter a fresh startup, you should try to install a privacy guiding process as data protection guideline, combining everything.

But to be honest, to me this is the boring part of the work and should be automated via standardized documentation (cookbooks) and tools. That's why I suppose we need a cookbook for that.

The interesting part is to analyze the existing (sometimes older and "established") business processes and data dictionaries, the business requirements and the current legal prerequisites. Moreover you have to look at the Databases and flat files, the Queues and Enterprise Service Buses and whether all of them are covered in the BP documentation. And – not for last – the Online- and Offline applications and their BP coverage.

Consider: If a business process (BP) is running untouched for more than 5 years, it will most probably not be up to date in all respects. And each time you pick up a BP you have to ask all the experts and sometimes use a lot of time to get all things done.

Borderlines

Topic borderline

In this cookbook we do not want to care much about the engineering or solely cryptographic perspective of privacy within applications. That will be topic of another cookbook. Nevertheless we will take every hint we get and assimilate it as good as we can.

Opinion borderline

Some of us have to make money out of the privacy business, my company too. So if I consider a document in the literature index to be more a "commercial" document - that does not mean I do not like it. We will have documents from "hactivisim", "science", "engineering", "commerce" and "legal" sources. That is what IPEN was built for, to combine those ideas. I will not go out and evaluate one of them to be bad or good from my point of view as I have very good friends in each of the privacy flavors.

Cost and security borderline

I don't know why, but I learned that companies usually do not want to show that they care about security or privacy, and want to keep their privacy enforcing projects secret. They do not even want the company name on any scientific slide about the "next generation" things we installed.

The only thing I can estimate about that is that a company's customer would think: "Hey, they have to improve their privacy ruleset, there must be something wrong about it!". The opposite is correct. <u>Kaizen</u> rules.

But – therefore – I cannot supply customer's project documentation and can only start from now on to tell the customers that everything we do could but needn't lead to a passage in the cookbook. If you have something you could pseudonymize¹ and supply, you're welcome.

¹ Sorry, in Germany we must make a difference between anonymized and pseudonymized as to "BDSG" law.

Contents

Motivation	2
The 7 basic principles of privacy	2
Basic concepts of privacy	2
Into	2
Basic real-world problems making privacy more difficult	2
The real world outside	2
Borderlines	3
Topic borderline	3
Opinion borderline	3
Cost and security borderline	3
Main document	5
Lifecycles	5
Customer Lifecycle	5
Business Process Lifecycle	5
Data retention in common	5
Ideas	5
Toolsets	5
Literature	6
Companies and Projects	7
Persons	7
Glossary	8

Main document

- STARTING -

Lifecycles

Customer Lifecycle

If the data of a customer is no longer needed, it can and should be deleted. If a separate part of customer data is no longer needed, it should be disposed.

Business Process Lifecycle

If a Business Process is finished, the data which was collected for this business process only should be deleted. The speed of this removal depends mostly on "Basic real-world problems making privacy more difficult".

Data retention in common

[...] help me to continue!

Ideas

Installation of "next generation" access control mechanism as Attribute Based Access Control.

Installation of "Power to the person described by the data" (sometimes "more power to the user", which would only sound correct in systems where the user is entering the data on his own).

Toolsets

If you can support tables etc. which can be used with e.g. Open Office or similar, please support.

If you are from a software vendor for BPM, please support.

Literature

Danger: The comment "Useable here for*" in the tables below is very from my point of view and towards what I think on my own. Please feel free to get me informed, when I am wrong from your point of view.

Title	Android Application Secure Design/Secure Coding Guidebook
Information supplied by	JSSEC.ORG / via FD
Useable here for*	Heading towards Round-Trip engineering privacy
Download-Page	http://www.jssec.org/English
Further Information	Seems to [MAG] as a technical and technological Guidebook
Added	04.10.2014

Title	Enable secure product delivery
Information supplied by	FD
Useable here for*	Thinking about basic processes as already established to secure coding
Download-Page	http://www8.hp.com/h20195/v2/GetPDF.aspx/4AA5-
	<u>3485ENW.pdf</u>
Further Information	
Added	04.10.2014

Title	Engineering privacy requirements
Information supplied by	JMdA
Useable here for*	More for the engineering cookbook
Download-Page	Not supplied but requested via mail on 04.10.2014
Further Information	
Added	04.10.2014

Title	Linking security with Economics	
Information supplied by	SJE	
Useable here for*	Finding the business and economic value of privacy	
Download-Page	https://ec.europa.eu/digital-agenda/sites/digital-agenda/files/Stephan.pdf	
Further Information	SJE supplied more links which seem not very important for this	
	document because they are more technological related. Just picked one	
	which could help to understand his point of view:	
	http://blog.privacytrust.eu/public/Slides/au conf670306 engberg en.pdf	
Added	04.10.2014	

Title	New Digital Security Models
Information supplied by	SJE
Useable here for*	Telco / ITK privacy issues
Download-Page	http://blog.privacytrust.eu/public/Reports/NewDigitalSecurityModels.pdf

Further Information	
Added	04.10.2014

Title	"Salt" spelled: NaCl
Information supplied by	CvL
Useable here for*	More for the engineering cookbook – About a network comm. library
Download-Page	http://cdn.media.ccc.de/congress/2013/workshops/30c3-WS-en-YBTI_OS-Bernstein_Lange_Schwabe-NaCl_and_TweetNaCl.webm
Further Information	http://cdn.media.ccc.de/congress/2013/workshops/30c3-WS-en-YBTI OS-Jon Solworth-Ethos Operating System.webm
Added	04.10.2014

Companies and Projects

Homepage	Suggested by	Info
http://pripareproject.eu/	[CJ]	"PReparing Industry to Privacy-by-design by supporting its Application in Research" Seems a good attempt. Interested ones should read. http://pripareproject.eu/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/PRIPARE-Position-Paper-v1-wp1.pdf
https://cryptech.is/	[EJ]	They are doing crypto stuff for secured communication. Seem to have some guidelines for HW-design and - evaluation.

Persons

If you want your Name added, just send me an email from the email account I have to add. If you want your Name deleted because you do not it in a book which is not 100% flavored as you like, send me an email with info what I could improve.

NAME	REAL NAME	EMAILADRESS	TASK FOR IPEN
[AB]	Aral Balkan	aral@ind.ie	=> Engineers cookbook
			Nice project
[CJ]	Christophe Jouvray	christophe.jouvray@trialog.com	Supplies project information
[CvL]	Carlo von Lynx	lynX@i.know.you.are.psyced.org	Supplies Info about end-to-
			end encryption, is IPEN lead
			for mobile solutions

NAME	REAL NAME	EMAILADRESS	TASK FOR IPEN
[EJ]	Erik JOSEFSSON	erik.josefsson@europarl.europa.eu	Supplies company
			Information
[FD]	Frank Dawson	frank.dawson@nokia.com	Supplies literature
[JMdA]	José M. del Álamo	jmdela@dit.upm.es	Supplies research
			documentation
[MAG]	Markus Alexander	markus.grete@gretEDV.de	Cook at the the Privacy
	Grete		Cookbook for Business
			Process
[MON]	Mike O'Neill	michael.oneill@baycloud.com	=> Engineers cookbook
[SJE]	Stephan J. Engberg	ipen.mail@obivision.com	Supplies slides and PDF

Glossary

Element	Short	Abstract
Business Process	BP	Please refer to: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Business_process
Business Process	BPM	Constructing BP's
Modelling		