An Implementation of Quality Minus Junk

by Anthoney Tsou, David Kane, Ryan Kwon

Abstract The **qmj** package produces quality scores for companies based on the work of Asness et. al (2013). It measures the quality of each of the 3000 largest US companies from the Russell 3000 Index based on profitability, growth, safety, and payout, using the latest available data from Google Finance. The package includes tools to automatically gather relevant financial documents and stock return data, allowing users to update their data whenever desired. The package also provides utilities for analyzing the scores of individual companies, various plotting and filtering tools, and generally helps separate the list of companies into "junk" stocks, which are expected to underperform relative to the market, and "quality" stocks, which are expected to outperform.

Introduction

qmj implements the methodology of the work of **Asness et. al** (2013); a paper which utilizes several financial measures to calculate the relative profitability, growth, safety, and payouts of a company within a given universe. These "component" (a term we will be using in this paper frequently) scores are used to quantify the quality of a company. Asness's paper includes the performance of a "quality minus junk" portfolio, or a QMJ portfolio. Their ultimate conclusions were that QMJ portfolios that longed high-quality stocks and shorted low-quality stocks "earn[ed] significant risk-adjusted returns with an information ratio above 1", and they documented "strong and consistent abnormal returns to quality" (Asness, Frazzini, and Pedersen 2013). The exact details behind the processes used to determine each of these components are given in the appendix of this paper.

Asness's paper includes the performance of a "quality minus junk" portfolio, or a QMJ portfolio. Their ultimate conclusions were that QMJ portfolios that longed high-quality stocks and shorted low-quality stocks "earn[ed] significant risk-adjusted returns with an information ratio above 1", and they documented "strong and consistent abnormal returns to quality" (Asness, Frazzini, and Pedersen 2013).

qmj provides tools to practically apply their results, and also expedites the data-gathering process. Contained within the package are frequently updated, pre-compiled data sets in addition to tools needed to automatically gather relevant financial statements and information from Google Finance for a given data frame of companies. The data sets included within this package includes several measures taken from the 10-K's of these companies, as well as the two most recent years of closing stock prices. All data sets pre-compiled with **qmj** may be re-created within the package for an arbitrary data frame of companies. This is further discussed in the **Data** section of this paper.

Also provided are various utilities to aid in analyzing the resultant quality data set. This includes a **qmj class** that reveals all information about a single company, and is also able to plot where the company's relative quality, profitability, growth, e.t.c. stand relative to the entire universe. **qmj** also provides a filter function to minimize the amount of "noise" that may result from bad data or falsified financial information.

Calculating Quality

We calculate quality scores for publicly traded companies in the Russell 3000 Index by summing the z-scores for each company's profitability, growth, safety, and payouts. We attempt to perform the same calculations as Asness, but we have a few adjustments given the availability of data from public sources.

Profitability

Profitability is composed of six variables: gross profits over assets ($GPOA$), return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), cash flow over assets ($CFOA$), gross margin ($GMAR$), and accruals (ACC). $GPOA$ is calculated as gross profits ($GPROF$) over total assets (TA).

$$GPOA = \frac{GPROF}{TA}$$

ROE is calculated as net income (NI) over book equity (BE), which is shareholders' equity (the difference of Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity ($TLSE$) with Total Liabilities (TL)) - preferred stock (the sum of redeemable preferred stock (RPS) and non redeemable preferred stock ($NRPS$)).

$$ROE = \frac{NI}{BE}$$

ROA is calculated as NI over TA.

$$ROA = \frac{NI}{TA}$$

$CFOA$ is calculated as NI + depreciation ($DP.DPL$) - changes in working capital (CWC) - capital expenditures (CX) all over TA.

$$CFOA = \frac{NI + DP.DPL - CWC - CX}{TA}$$

$GMAR$ is calculated as $GPROF$ over total revenue ($TREV$).

$$GMAR = \frac{GPROF}{TREV}$$

Finally, ACC is calculated as $DP.DPL$ - CWC all over TA.

$$ACC = \frac{DP.DPL - CWC}{TA}$$

We then standardize all components of profitability to z-scores and then standardize all profitability scores into z-scores.

$$Profitability = z(z_{gpoa} + z_{roe} + z_{roa} + z_{cfoa} + z_{gmar} + z_{acc})$$

Growth

Growth is measured by differences in profitability across a time span of four years. Though AQR recommends measuring growth across a time span of five years, public information that is both consistent and well-organized in 10-K forms is only available for a time span of four years, and it is still too early in the most recent year (2015) for most companies to have submitted a 10-K form. Thus, we measure growth using a time span of four years, which we will update once this year's 10-K form is submitted for each company in the Russell 3000 Index. As of now,

$$Growth = z(z_{\Delta gpoa_{t,t-4}} + z_{\Delta roe_{t,t-4}} + z_{\Delta roa_{t,t-4}} + z_{\Delta cfoa_{t,t-4}} + z_{\Delta gmar_{t,t-4}} + z_{\Delta acc_{t,t-4}})$$

Safety

Safety is composed of six variables: beta (BAB), idiosyncratic volatility ($IVOL$), leverage (LEV), Ohlson's O (O), Altman's Z (Z), and earnings volatility ($EVOL$). BAB is calculated as the negative covariance of each company's daily price returns ($pret_{c_i}$) relative to the benchmark daily market price returns ($pret_{mkt}$), in this case the S&P 500, over the variance of $pret_{mkt}$.

$$BAB = \frac{-cov(pret_{c_i}, pret_{mkt})}{var(pret_{mkt})}$$

$IVOL$ is the standard deviation of daily beta-adjusted excess returns. In other words, $IVOL$ is found by running a regression on each company's price returns and the benchmark, then taking the standard deviation of the residuals. Leverage is -(total debt (TD) over TA).

$$Leverage = -\frac{TD}{TA}$$

$$O = -(-1.32 - 0.407 * log\left(\frac{ADJASSET}{CPI}\right) + 6.03 * TLTA - 1.43 * WCTA$$
$$+ 0.076 * CLCA - 1.72 * OENEG - 2.37 * NITA - 1.83 * FUTL$$
$$+ 0.285 * INTWO - 0.521 * CHIN)$$

ADJASSET is adjusted total assets, which is *TA* + 0.1 * (market equity (*ME*, calculated as average price per share for the most recent year * total number of shares outstanding (*TCSO*) - *BE*)).

$$ADJASSET = TA + 0.1 * (ME - BE)$$

CPI, the consumer price index, is assumed to be 100, since we only care about the most recent year. *TLTA* is book value of debt (*BD*, calculated as *TD* - minority interest (*MI*) - (*RPS* + *NRPS*)) over *ADJASSET*.

$$TLTA = \frac{BD}{ADJASSET}$$

WCTA is current assets (*TCA*) - current liabilities (*TCL*) over *TA*.

$$WCTA = \frac{TCA - TCL}{TA}$$

CLCA is *TCL* over *TCA*.

$$CLCA = \frac{TCL}{TCA}$$

OENEG is a dummy variable that is 1 if total liabilities (*TL*) is greater than *TA*.

$$OENEG = TL > TA$$

NITA is *NI* over *TA*.

$$NITA = \frac{NI}{TA}$$

FUTL is income before taxes (*IBT*) over *TL*.

$$FUTL = \frac{IBT}{TL}$$

INTWO is another dummy variable that is 1 if *NI* for the current year and *NI* for the previous year are both negative.

$$INTWO = MAX(NI_t, NI_{t-1}) < 0$$

CHIN is *NI* for the current year - *NI* for the previous year all over the sum of the absolute value of *NI* for the current year and the absolute value of *NI* for the previous year

$$CHIN = \frac{NI_t - NI_{t-1}}{|NI_t| + |NI_{t-1}|}$$

Altman's Z is calculated using weighted averages of working capital (*WC*, calculated as *TCA* - *TCL*),

$$WC = TCA - TCL$$

retained earnings (*RE*, calculated as *NI* - dividends per share (*DIVC*) * *TCSO*),

$$RE = NI - DIVC * TCSO$$

earnings before interest and taxes (*EBIT*, calculated as *NI* - Discontinued Operations(*DO*) + (income before tax (*IBT*) - income after tax (*IAT*)) + interest expense (*NINT*)),

$$EBIT = NI - DO + (IBT - IAT) + NINT$$

ME, and *TREV*, all over *TA*.

$$Z = \frac{1.2 * WC + 1.4 * RE + 3.3 * EBIT + 0.6 * ME + TREV}{TA}$$

EBIT is likely an overestimate for a given company due to potentially missing information. *EVOL* is calculated as the standard deviation of *ROE* for a four year span. AQR recommends the past five years, but for the same reason stated in the Growth section, we use a four year span.

$$EVOL = \sigma \left(\sum_{i=t-4}^{t} ROE_i \right)$$

Likewise, we standardize each variable and then standardize each safety measure, so

$$Safety = z(z_{bab} + z_{ivol} + z_{lev} + z_o + z_z + z_{evol})$$

Payouts

Payouts is composed of three variables: net equity issuance (*EISS*), net debt issuance (*DISS*), and total net payout over profits (*NPOP*). *EISS* is calculated as the negative log of the ratio of *TCSO* of the most recent year and *TCSO* of the previous year.

$$EISS = -log\left(\frac{TCSO_t}{TCSO_{t-1}}\right)$$

Though AQR uses split-adjusted number of shares, we are currently using *TCSO* given available information and will adjust for splits in future iterations of qmj. *DISS* is calculated as the negative log of the ratio of *TD* of the most recent year and *TD* of the previous year.

$$DISS = -log\left(\frac{TD_t}{TD_{t-1}}\right)$$

NPOP is calculated as *NI* - Δ*BE* over a four year span all over sum of *GPROF* for the past four years (for the same reason as explained in the Growth section).

$$NPOP = \frac{NI - \Delta BE}{\sum_{i=t-4}^{t} GPROF_i}$$

Data

The package **qmj** comes pre-compiled with data, using a universe comprised of all companies in the Russell 3000 Index as of February 1, 2015. The Russell 3000 Index is a list of the 3000 largest US companies, according to market cap, updated yearly, usually around May or June. The Russell 3000 Index was chosen due to the US-centric nature of our financial sources (Google Finance and Yahoo Finance), in addition to providing reliable, interesting data (i.e. no anomalies such as Gross Profits over Assets (GPOA) doubling for a tiny company due to a small absolute change in profit occurs).

For this data, **qmj** is able to automatically retrieve the most recent list of companies, retrieve the previous four 10-K's when possible.

```
> library(qmj)
> data(companies)
> data(financials)
> data(prices)
> data(quality)
> head(quality,n=5)

  ticker                 name profitability  growth  safety  payouts  quality
1   ANGI        ANGIES LIST INC        -0.158  24.558  -0.891   -1.870   21.639
2   SBCF SEACOAST BANKING CORP F       -0.955  21.177   0.236  -1.0821   19.376
3   UHAL                 AMERCO        -0.335  19.256  -0.161   -1.986   16.775
4   GUID   GUIDANCE SOFTWARE INC        0.225  13.618   0.0957  -1.559   12.379
5    BRO       BROWN & BROWN INC        0.148 -0.0406  11.766    0.225  12.0991
```

'companies' stores names and tickers from the Russell 3000 Index component list, 'financials' stores, whenever possible, the four most recent years of financial data (balance sheets, income statements, and cash flow statements) which are relevant to our calculations. 'prices' stores closing stock prices and calculated price returns for each company as well as the S&P 500 for the past two years, and 'quality' is the list of quality scores, as well as the component scores, of each of our companies.

Updating Data

Though **qmj** keeps datasets updated, it has a few functions that can extract information directly from Google Finance to grab the most recent data.

```
> #raw_prices <- get_prices(companies)
> #raw_data <- get_info(companies)
```

get_prices() takes a data frame of companies, organized by name and ticker, and returns the daily prices and returns for the past two years including the most recent trading day. **get_info()** also takes a data frame of companies, organized by name and ticker, and grabs the most recent company 10-K

financial statements. Thus, **get_info()** does not need to be called often since it will only grab new data once per year. Both functions will return a data frame that can be organized easily. An easy way to make the data more readable is through tidy functions in the **qmj** package.

```
> #clean_prices <- tidy_prices(raw_prices)
> #clean_data <- tidyinfo(raw_data)
```

tidy_prices() takes as input the result of **get_prices()**, which is assigned here as **raw_prices**, and organizes the data into columns for ticker, date, price, and price return. **tidyinfo()** takes as input the result of **get_info()**, which is assigned here as **raw_data**, and organizes the data into columns for ticker, year, and various items found in company financial statements such as total assets and net income. The column names themselves are abbreviations that are used in the Appendix.

Analyzing the Universe (Of Companies)

In the quality data set, it can quickly be seen that the growth score for Angies List Inc. is abnormally high, and accounts for virtually all of its quality score. In many cases, it is undesirable to consider companies with high quality scores that are "driven" (here defined as composing at least half the quality score) by a single component score. **qmj** provides a filter.

```
> data(quality)
> head(quality)
```

	ticker	name	profitability	growth	safety	payouts	quality
1	ANGI	ANGIES LIST INC	-0.158	24.558	-0.891	-1.870	21.639
2	SBCF	SEACOAST BANKING CORP F	-0.955	21.177	0.236	-1.0821	19.376
3	UHAL	AMERCO	-0.335	19.256	-0.161	-1.986	16.775
4	GUID	GUIDANCE SOFTWARE INC	0.225	13.618	0.0957	-1.559	12.379
5	BRO	BROWN & BROWN INC	0.148	-0.0406	11.766	0.225	12.0991
6	CFFN	CAPITOL FEDERAL FINL IN	5.754	-0.0490	5.738	0.229	11.672

```
> sans_growth <- filter_companies(quality, filter="growth")
> head(sans_growth)
```

	ticker	name	profitability	growth	safety	payouts	quality
5	BRO	BROWN & BROWN INC	0.1484	-0.0406	11.766	0.225	12.0991
6	CFFN	CAPITOL FEDERAL FINL IN	5.754	-0.0490	5.738	0.229	11.672
8	CENX	CENTURY ALUMINUM CO	-0.191	3.440	6.177	-0.196	9.230
9	CXW	CORRECTIONS CORP OF AME	-0.328	3.220	4.101	0.191	7.184
10	RSE	ROUSE PROPERTIES INC	3.621	0.0470	1.888	0.986	6.541
11	PDCO	PATTERSON COS INC	-0.741	-0.0530	0.166	6.781	6.152

If desirable, we may also select specifically for those companies which are driven by a particular component. Note that 'remove' is, by default, set to TRUE, and 'isolate', is set to FALSE.

```
> cpayouts <- filter_companies(quality, filter="payouts", remove=FALSE, isolate=TRUE)
> head(cpayouts)
```

	ticker	name	profitability	growth	safety	payouts	quality
11	PDCO	PATTERSON COS INC	-0.741	-0.0530	0.166	6.781	6.152
18	KTWO	K2M GROUP HLDGS INC	0.166	-0.0443	0.789	3.925	4.836
23	CMCO	COLUMBUS MCKINNON CORP	1.482	-0.0389	-0.0215	3.169	4.591
30	WIFI	BOINGO WIRELESS INC	-0.534	-0.0299	0.929	3.663	4.0280
32	SXT	SENSIENT TECHNOLOGIES	0.531	-0.0250	0.443	3.0387	3.988
36	CALD	CALLIDUS SOFTWARE INC	-0.136	-0.0434	-0.413	4.510	3.917

Or, we can select for all companies which are not driven by any component score.

```
> well_rounded <- filter_companies(quality, filter="all")
> head(well_rounded)
```

	ticker	name	profitability	growth	safety	payouts	quality
6	CFFN	CAPITOL FEDERAL FINL IN	5.754	-0.0490	5.738	0.229	11.672
21	HASI	HANNON ARMSTRONG SUSTAI	1.217	-0.0277	1.736	1.728	4.652

```
22   ADMS    ADAMAS PHARMACEUTICALS          1.692    0.500    2.226    0.211    4.629
24   OPLK    OPLINK COMMUNICATIONS           0.999    1.898    1.211    0.218    4.326
33   WSO              WATSCO INC             1.940   -0.0182   1.876    0.171    3.969
34   PCCC            P C CONNECTION          1.499   -0.0200   0.502    1.979    3.959
```

It may also be desirable to look at quality scores specific to a subset of our extant universe. For example, it may be desirable to focus on a specific industry, instead of the entire market.

```
> data(companies)
> data(financials)
> data(prices)
> subset_companies <- companies[1:35,]
> subset_qualities <- market_data(subset_companies, financials, prices)
> head(subset_qualities)

  ticker                name  profitability  growth   safety   payouts  quality
1    ACN   ACCENTURE PLC IRELAND      1.497   0.102    1.470    0.684    3.752
2   AAON             AAON INC         1.201   0.125    0.839    0.776    2.940
3   AAPL            APPLE INC         0.562   0.387    0.859    1.0367   2.844
4   AAOI APPLIED OPTOELECTRONICS      0.494   1.616   -1.0127   1.226    2.323
5   AAMC   ALTISOURCE ASSET MGMT     -0.389   2.846   -0.625    0.458    2.290
6   ABBV            ABBVIE INC        1.570   0.0751   0.353    0.197    2.195
```

Conclusion

In the **qmj** package, we automate AQR's method of assigning quality scores for publicly traded companies in today's market. The package itself provides convenient datasets and utility functions, and it also takes advantage of R's robust nature to allow seamless interaction with functions in the base R package and other packages.

Anthoney Tsou
Williams College
2460 Paresky Center
Williamstown, MA 01267
United States
anttsou@gmail.com

David Kane
Williams College
Williamstown, MA 01267
United States
dave.kane@gmail.com

Ryan Kwon
Williams College
1309 Paresky Center
Williamstown, MA 01267
United States
rynkwn@gmail.com