## Corrected KernelUCB algorithm

October 8, 2018

#### We chose RBF kernel for our tests.

Using the following definitions (clears up inconsistencies):

- $k_{x^*,t} = [k(x^*,x_1), \dots k(x^*,x_t)]^{\mathsf{T}}$
- $y_t = [r_1, \dots, r_t]^\mathsf{T}$

-Here phi(x) is the vector transformation o

•  $\Phi_t = [\phi(x_1)^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \phi(x_t)^{\mathsf{T}}]^{\mathsf{T}}$ 

K(t) is Kernel Matrix at time t.Gauss

- $K_t = \Phi_t^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi_t$  Wrong! Swap the matrices
- $\bullet \ \hat{\sigma}_{a,t+1} = \left[\phi(x_{a,t+1})^\intercal (\Phi_t^\intercal \Phi_t + \gamma I)^{-1} \phi(x_{a,t+1})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- $\bullet | \hat{\mu}_{a,t+1}| = k_{x_{a,t+1},t}^{\mathsf{T}} (K_t + \gamma I)^{-1} y_t$

In mu (mean) formula, we are assuming that phi\_upper is square

### Algorithm 1 KernelUCB with online updates

**Input:** N the number of actions, T the number of pulls,  $\gamma$ ,  $\eta$  regularization and exploration parameters,  $k(\cdot,\cdot)$ kernel function

```
1: for t \in 1, ..., T do
             Receive contexts \{x_{1,t},\ldots,x_{N,t}\}
 2:
             if t = 1 then
 3:
                    u_t \leftarrow [1, 0, \dots, 0]^{\mathsf{T}}
 4:
             else
 5:
                    for n \in \{1, \dots N\} do
 6:
                          \sigma_{n,t} \leftarrow \left[ k(x_{n,t}, x_{n,t}) - k_{x_{n,t},t-1}^{\mathsf{T}} K_{t-1}^{-1} k_{x_{n,t},t-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
 7:
                          u_{n,t} \leftarrow k_{x_{n,t},t-1}^{\mathsf{T}} K_{t-1}^{-1} y_{t-1} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \sigma_{n,t}
 8:
                    end for
 9:
10:
             end if
```

These can be proved to be equal using Woo

Choose action  $a_t \leftarrow \arg \max u_t$  and receive reward  $r_t$ 

Store context for action  $a_t$ :  $x_t \leftarrow x_{a,t}$ 12:

Update reward history:  $y_t \leftarrow [r_1, \dots, r_t]^\intercal$ 13:

if t = 1 then 14:

 $K_t^{-1} \leftarrow (k(x_t, x_t) + \gamma)^{-1}$ 15:

16: else

11:

17:

18:

21:

 $b \leftarrow k_{x_t, t-1}$ 

$$\begin{split} &K_{22} \leftarrow \left(k(x_t, x_t) + \gamma - b^\intercal K_{t-1}^{-1} b\right)^{-1} \\ &K_{11} \leftarrow K_{t-1}^{-1} + K_{22} K_{t-1}^{-1} b b^\intercal K_{t-1}^{-1} \\ &K_{12} \leftarrow -K_{22} K_{t-1}^{-1} b \end{split}$$

19:

20:

 $K_{21} \leftarrow -K_{22}b^{\intercal}K_{t-1}^{-1}$ 

 $K_t^{-1} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ 22:

end if 23:

24: end for

⊳ initialise kernel matrix inverse

▷ online update of kernel matrix inverse

# Proof of Standard Deviation formula, in Kernel-UCB algorithm, using Woodbury Matrix Identity

Anubhav Singh

January 30, 2019

#### Proof

According to the Woodbury Matrix Identity:

$$(A + BD^{-1}C)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(D + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1}$$
(1)

Now, assign the following to matrices A, B, C and D

$$\mathbf{A} = \gamma \mathbf{I_d}, \ \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{\Phi_{dxt}^T}, \ \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{\Phi_{txd}}, \ \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I_t}$$
 (2)

Using equation (1),

$$(\gamma \mathbf{I_d} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Phi})^{-1} = (\gamma \mathbf{I_d} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{I_t^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\Phi})^{-1}$$

$$= \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d^{-1}} - \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}} (\mathbf{I_t} + \boldsymbol{\Phi} (\gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d^{-1}}) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d^{-1}}$$

$$= \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d} - \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}} (\mathbf{I_t} + \boldsymbol{\Phi} (\gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d}) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d}$$

$$= \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{I_d} - \gamma^{-2} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}} \gamma (\gamma \mathbf{I_t} + \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}$$

$$= \gamma^{-1} (\mathbf{I_d} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}} (\gamma \mathbf{I_t} + \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi})$$

$$= \gamma^{-1} (\mathbf{I_d} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathbf{T}} (\gamma \mathbf{I_t} + \mathbf{K})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi})$$

$$(3)$$

Applying the results obtained in (3) to the Standard Deviation Formula, we get the formula used in Algorithm-1

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}} = \left[ \phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}}^{\mathbf{T}} (\gamma \mathbf{I} + \Phi_{\mathbf{t}-1}^{\mathbf{T}} \Phi_{\mathbf{t}-1})_{\mathbf{t}-1}^{-1} \phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}} \right]^{1/2} 
= \left[ \gamma^{-1} \phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}}^{\mathbf{T}} (\mathbf{I} - \Phi_{\mathbf{t}-1}^{\mathbf{T}} (\gamma \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{t}-1})^{-1} \Phi_{\mathbf{t}-1}) \phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}} \right]^{1/2} 
= \left[ \gamma^{-1} \left\{ (\phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}}^{\mathbf{T}} \phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}}) - (\phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}}^{\mathbf{T}} \Phi_{\mathbf{t}-1}^{\mathbf{T}}) (\gamma \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{t}-1})^{-1} (\Phi_{\mathbf{t}-1} \phi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}}) \right\} \right]^{1/2} 
= \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}}) - \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}},\mathbf{t}-1}^{\mathbf{T}} (\gamma \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{t}-1})^{-1} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}},\mathbf{t}-1} \right]^{1/2}$$
(4)