HERMANN GMEINER DEGREE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL (New Name) SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BHIMTAL (Old Name)

Recognized by NCTE, Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital UGC 2(f) Listed Institute

Feedback Samples

TEACHERS FEEDBACK (2018-2020)

Attiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by PCTE



TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (2018-2020)

The teachers' feedback was collected through a questionnaire. Total 100 responses were received in the form of a chart on various attributes like interest generated by teacher, sincerity, provision of sufficient time, integration with course, communication skills, knowledge, using added tools, ability to design tests and assignments etc. The feedback was taken offline and the final survey is based on the response of 100 students of (2018-2020). The analysis done on the observation of the questionnaire filled up by the students reveals that:

- The feedback says that students get interest in the class while teachers deliver their lecture, they make it more interesting using aided tools with good communication skills. The teachers are very efficient and 98% of syllabus completed on time.
- Curriculum development, examination and evaluation is an important part of the teaching. About 85% of the teachers are involved in the curriculum development while 15% are not involved in any curriculum development.94.6% of the teachers do evaluation.
- Teachers have designed all the tests/ assignments/ quizzes/ projects very well to evaluate students understanding of the course.
- 4. The teachers always support their students both in and out of the class so that the students are able to access the teachers easily only to get further help in the study.
- The teachers have good command on their subject. They have good knowledge of latest technologies used in study to provide a broader perspective of any topic.
- 6. Maximum number of teachers have good communication skills which helps the students to understand the conveyed content. However, rest of the teachers are sometimes become unable to clarify any doubt.
- Overall, the feedback on the teachers' performance in the institute which was rated by the students reveals that- the teachers are sincere and committed to their duty and are punctual

TEACHER FEEDBACK FORM SOS J.N. Kaul Institute of Education Bhimtal

Batch ... 2048 - RORO

Please rate your course teacher on the following 1-10 point scale shown.

- 1°	lease tale jour to					6 96			GPOI	VIS		D T	G.C	16.3	Dr.Y.V.	H.F
	PARAMETERS	Dr.V.C	B.N.	CILS.	S.S	J.J	R.G	G.F.	M.A	V.K	L.S.	-7	7	0.	6	6
	COLUMN TRANSPORTER TO THE VICTOR OF THE VICT	0	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	8	8	1	-1	0		77
	Interest generated by the teacher .	q	a	8	8	7	8.	8	8	8	8	8	8.	8	1	1
7	Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher.	_	8	-1	1-7	16	7	8	7	7	7	7	7_	0	D	6
-	Provision of sufficient time for feetback.	10	0	1	16	12	7	7	6	7	7	16	1	6	6.	7
	Ability to integrate content with other course.	8	1	6	0	0	-	3.6		a	10	-	7	8	-	6
-	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and	10	9	8	7	7	17	8	17	8	8	1	1	0	7.	-
	es inorehensibility)	10	0	-	17	17	7	8	17	1.8	17	7	6.	6	7_	6
	the Labor base of the teacher (as perceived by you).	1 4	8	-	1	1.	+		1		6	16	6	6	16-	16
-	Ability to integrate course material with environment differ	8	8	6	16	6	7	17	6	1.1	0	10	1	-	V	1
	1 to the state of	12770	-	-	+-	+		13.	1	10	1_	1-	-	16	- 1	17
	13.11 of the templet in and out of the class (menade	1-10	9	7	17	8	6	8	0	8	17	1,	1	1	5	1.
1121	availability of the teacher to motivate further study and	300	1	1 1	100	1				1		1 5	-	-	-	-
	t at the annual design of the second				10	-	8	CS	1-1	8	8	18	18	6	1.6	16
4	The state of the s	lo	10	8	18	17	0	17	1	10	0	10	-	-	-	-
013	projects to evaluate students understanding of the course.	-	-	10	10	1-	18	8	17	18	18	18	17	17	17	17
in	Overall rating-	19	19	8	8	13	10	10	10	1	1	1.	1 10	1	1- "	1
100			-	1111			1 0	21	14	ach	01.	In	in	1.1	eren	f
110	THE WAY GO	od d	2200	die	nce	8	1 1	ach	1	22	14.			DI	1/4	and the
1	Comments and suggestion :- IT	A		11.	ari	n#	11	8 on	1. t	earc	per	4	mo	44	45	01.
	classes. 1	A	ave	Me	4	V	5/12	3	16	16	2/7	177	1(70	169	(64)	(6)
	Clasisco.	(0)	1 18	5) (73	3/17	1)(6	5/7	217	1)16	1	1	70	-	100	#00 SS	-

Dr.V.C.- U.N.- CH.S.- S.S. J.J.- R.G.- G.F.- M.A.Dr. Vaibleav Chaulian Ms. Becka Negi Chandra Shekhar Ms. Sanal Shukla Ms. Jyoti Joshi Ms. - Rubi Gupta Ms. Gauhar Fatima Ms. Maal Agarwal
V.K.- L.S.- R.- G.C.- K.J.- DR.Y.V.- 11.F.Mr. Vipin Kumar Lata Shahi Mr. Ramesh Mr. Ganesh Chandra Kalpana Joshi Dr. Yogita Verma Mr. Himanshu. Fartiyol

TEACHER FEEDBACK FORM SOS J.N. Kaul Institute of Education Bhimtal

Batch... 2018 - 20-20

Please rate your course teacher on the following 1-10 point seale shown.

	1		_		_		1	MITA	G POI	NIS		-	-	15. 1	Dr.Y.V	Ji.F
NO	PARAMETERS	Dr.V.C	B.N.	CILS.	S.S	J.J	R.G	G.F.	M.A	V.K	L.S.	R.	C.C	14.4	Dr. r.v	-
-		0	0	G	7	٦	6.	8	7	8	8	17.1	6	6	6	6
1	Interest generated by the teacher.	7	G	8	7	-	3	1	7.	8	9	7	7	7	6	1.6
	Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher.	- 4		1	-	후	6	2	1	2	18	181	8	6	7	7
, 1	Provision of sufficient time for feedback,	8	3	8	6	6	6	0	6	1 7	-	6	7	6	=	6
1	Ability to integrate content with other course.	8	8	8	7	6	6	+	7	1		-		-	-	1
	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and	q	9	.9	6	7	6	8	8	8	8	7	6	6	6	6
	comprehensibility)	q	8	q	18	13	54	18	17	18	18	17	16	6	0	6
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you).		-	-	-	-	-	8	17	8	8	18	13	17	2	16
	Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issue, to provide a broader perspective,		7	8	7	6	7	0	13	10	-	-	-	1	1	-
1	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (include availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class).	٩	٩	9	8	8	8	9	8	9	9	8	L	6		7
-	Ability to design quizzes/ test/assignments / examination and	a	a	0	18	18	8	19	18	19	0	18	18	7	8	7
۱.	projects to evaluate students understanding of the course.	1 7	13	1	1	-	-		-71	1	1	-	10		-	-1-
	Overall rating.	9	8	9	7	13	77	8	17	18	18	18	8 8	3 3	7	F
_1		<u> </u>	-	+-	+	+	-		1	1	1,	1		1	5	10
*!	Comments and suggestion: all Teather	el are	(80	cod (86)	16	160	(66)	1	1	1/6) (3	3/1	W.	रें।स्थि),@	16,

Dr.V.C	B.N	CH.S -	S.S-	J.J-	R.G	G.F-	M.A-
Dr. Vaibhay Chashasi	Ms.Heena Negi	Chandra Shekkar	Ms.Sonal Shukla	Ms. Jyetî Joshî	Ms, -Rubi Gupta	Ms.Goubar Fatima	Ms.Mani Agarwal
V.K -	L.S. – Lata Shahi	R- Mr.Ramesh	Mr. Ganes	2 -	K.J Kafpana Joshi	DR VV-	H.F Mr. Himanshu Fartiyal

TEACHER FEEDBACK FORM SOS J.N. Kauf Institute of Education Bhimtal

Batch 2013-20

Please rate your course teacher on the following 1-10 point scale shown.

-			15.5	13.5	-	1	_	G.F.	M.A	VK	L.S.	R.	C.C	K.I	Dr.Y.V	11.5
VO	PARAMETERS	Dr.V.C	B.N.	CH.S.	S.S	Jal	R.G	G.P.	62	Q	27	7	.6	8	6:	16
lo I	Interest generated by the teacher .	R	7	10	7_	의.	8.	4	9	9	8	8	8	Q.	ं न	16
	Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher,	9	3	le _	8	9	7	4	-9	0	7	7	1	7	5	16
7 14	Provision of sufficient time for feedback.	ව	8	9	8	8	न	7	8	8	7	4	-7	-7	6.	16
	Ability to integrate content with other course.	9	8	9	7	0	8	6	9	9		0		- 5	7 49 (e)	9
+	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and	9	8	9.	7	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	4	0	5	13
3	comprehensibility)	9	9	10	8	9	8	8	9	9.	8	67	7	8	6 .	15
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you).		100	10	-		14		.53	D)	-	17	6	7	5	
- D	Ability to integrate course material with environment other issue, to provide a broader perspective.	7.5	8	9	7	Ø	7	7	0	-0	1	7	-	-	-	1
	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (include uvailability of the teacher to motivate further study and	न	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	8	न	6	+	1	6	
. 1	Proventon outside place	44	8	9	17	8	7	7	8	8	7	6	6	7	6	1
1	Ability to design quizzes/ test/assignments / examination and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course.	7	Ø	1	1.1	1	1	1	-	5.	1-	-	1-	-	16	1
	Overal! rating.	9	8	9	17	9	8	17	8	13	17	17	14	14		1
1	Overage raisings		-	1	100	100	1	100	12	10	10	10	10	V	1/	1
1	Comments and suggestion :- A)	(66)	(79)	(92)	(73)	(85)	75	(F3)	0	(84)	74)(69	163	型	1 (38)	

Dr. V.C.- B.N.- CH.S.- S.S. I.I.- R.G.- G.F. M.A.

Dr. Vaibhny Chauhan Ms. Beena Negi Chandra Shekhar Ms. Sonat Shekla Ms. Jyoti-Joshi Ms. Rubi Gupta Ms. Gauhar Fatino Ms. Mani Agarwal

V.K.- L.S.- R. G.C.- K.J. DR. V.Y.- H.F.
V.K.- L.S.- R. G.C.- Kalpana Joshi Dr. Yogita Verma Mr. Himanshu Fartiya

Mr. Vipir, Kumar Lata Shabi Mr. Ramesh Mr. Ganesh Chandra Kalpana Joshi Dr. Yogita Verma Mr. Himanshu Fartiya

3

TEACHER FEEDBACK FORM SOS J.N. Kaul Institute of Education Bhimtal

Burch 2018-7020

METERS				-	11813	ri lataliti nepo	CATEN	G POI	NTS -	-	VIII.	43.41			171.7
	Dr.V.C	E.N.	CH.S.	8.8	331	ICG:	G.F.	MLA	V,K	LaS.	16.	G.C	K.J.	Dr.Y.V	HE
control by the teacher	10	. 8	9	8	9	8	0.5	91.	8	q-	8	88	q.	9	9
consituent of the tenefier	10	8	9	8.5	9	9	9	9.	8	8.5	9.	8-5	8:	_8	8
or sofficient time for feedback.	q	7	9-	9	q	8	9.5	9	8	9.	9	8	8	9	. 4
is degrate content with other course,	Q.C	7	9.5	9	9-	8	8	9	8	8_	9	9	8	9	1.9
eather skills (in terms of articulation and	10	7	8 -	8	9	8	9.5	4	9	9.5	9	2.3	8	9	8
. In se of the tenener (as perceived by you),	lo	4	9.5	8-5	8.5	9.	8	9	B.S.	q	4	8	9	8	19
interactic course material with environment/other		0		0	9	a:	6	q	0			0		0	+ 0
n de a bioneer perspective.	q	8	4	19	7.		18		17	9	19	8	1.8	. 6	17
Ty of the tenency in and our of the class (include of the tensher to molivate further study and	9.5	8.	q.	lq.	6	q	8	q.	1	85	0	0	0	G	0
omaide class.	- 1	8		4	13		10	. 1.	8	0	T	18	18	1.7	.10
le sur ignores, test assignments ("Communicion and"	9:5		de	1.	-	'n	Tar	1.7	0	100	-	13	0	-	1.
es abate duteurs understanding of the coarse.	1.2	+	9.5	18	18.8	8	8.5	1 1	8	18.5	19	18	18	1- 8	1 8
14.	In	31	0	18	0	Q.	50	10	189	0	0	To	0	10	
	10	173	1 Jan	100	17	1 0	1	17	1.0.	11	17	10	18	18-	18
	(96.5)	(74.5)	19015	185.5	189)	184	5)(28	1(90	1 (83	(88	Nes	82.	5 8	0 (850	5 1
Among Students -	he &	de of	-660	le au	meta	-	dya	Ka	部分	m	12	Ocu	IM	SIN 1	T
~ 1 0 - 0 0	. 0	0.9	1	0 11		1		1	14		100	12.	0	0	1.
Can be Compulso	ny	Du	E U	+	ney	N	econ	ne.	3/5	air	-	-100	uhi	49 8	VICE
0 0 = 11.	1 09 -	101	5	8	Ų.			1		-	1	100	1	0	0
Can be approac	rea	C	evely	1 0		1	DAIL	0			-	100	1		
	A	a.	84.4	4	1	0.00	1	N. al	Lint	2100	21	so A	TO		
· Cearning Should	1 xx	u.c	huh	4	. 6	asc	u	ww	-Cont	or wil			00	20	
0	70 70		100		1	20	9. P	3			3				
100 G		100	-	201		-	(1)h								
a.V.C. CILS -	8.80		- J.	Je .		16.5	GA-		Ci.F-			0.000	M.A-	12100	

FEACHERS FEEDBACK (2020-2022)

Affillated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE



TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (2020-2022)

Total 98 students responded to the question-table drew on the feedback given to the teachers by rating them according to the parameters such as the abilities to integrate the course material with latest technologies, accessibility, communication skills, command on their respective subject etc. They also gave their comments and suggestion below the table regarding the improvement needed to implement.

- ✓ Interest generated by teacher-94% of the students rated it in the range of excellent to good, whereas 6% is in fair.
- Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher- 97% of the students rated it in the range of excellent to very good, but only 3% students rated it to the range of very good.
- ✓ Ability to integrate content with other course- 60% students rated the teachers from the range very good to good in this particular section which reveals that there is a need to make improvements in the teachers.
- Communication Skills- In terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 47% students rated the teachers ranging from very good to average. The response of rest of the students says that the communication skills of teachers need some improvement.
- ✓ Ability and Accessibility- The feedback showcases that 95% of teachers are able to integrate course material with environment/ other issue to provide a broader perspective, and they are easy to access in the college regarding the matter of study.
- ✓ The overall rating given by the students to the teachers is satisfactory.

SOS J.N. Kaul Institute of Education, Bhimtal

Please rate your course teacher on the following 1-10 point scale shown,

S.N		_		11		100			-									
o.	PARAMETERS	H. N	5.8	V.K	A.	I RS	G	G.	N K	5	0	AS.	De.G	Dr.H-	1'A	ĸ	731	11,5
1	Interest generated by the teacher .	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	ID	9	9
2	Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher.	10	10	q.	9.	10	110	10	la	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10
3	Provision of sufficient time for feedback.	10	10	9	8	110	10	10	10	10	(t)	10	10	110	10	10	10	18
4	Ability to integrate content with other course,	lo	10	8	8	10	10	10	10	ID	to	ID.	lo.	10	10	10	10	10
5	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility	ID	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
6	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you).	lo	10	m	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
7	Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issue, to provide a broader perspective.	10	10	9	8	10	10	9	lò	10	10	Ю	(0.	10	lo	9	9	10
8	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (include availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class).	10	10	8	9	10	10	9	0)	0	10	10	10	10	lb	9.	8	10
		lo,	10	9	8	lo	10	8	9	10.	9	10	10	(O	10	10	7	10
_	Overall rating.	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	10	lo.	10	10	10	10	10	10	18	10
	N/	00	100	01	87	100	to	96	90	bo	99	100	100	100	100	40) ge	197

comments and suggestion - All Teachers asce good.

B.N	S,S+	V.K.	M.A.	R.S.K.	D.G.	G.C -
Ms,Beena Negi Chandha	ry Ms,Sonal Shukla	Mr. Vipin Kumar	Mr.Manoj Kumar	Mr. Rajendra Singh Khati	Ms. Deepall Gupta	Mr. Ganesh Chnedra
NK	\$	DD	AS	Dr. G.T.	Dr. B. R.	
Mr. Neeraj Kumar	Ms.Shalini	Decpika Dlianik	Akansha Shaily	Dr. Gokul Tewari	Dr. Binita Rai	
H.F. Mr. Himanshu F	P.A. Partiyal Ms. Pushpo	Adhikari Kahko	The second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the section of th	M. cetal Maurya	1000	-

SOS F.N. Kaul Institute of Education, Bhimtal

Batch 2020-22

Please rate your course teacher on the following 1-10 point scale shown.

-	Married Control of the Control of th	22		14							3.							
S.N.	PARAMETERS	U.	SS	V.K	M.	iks K	0	G.	N K	5	D	AS 1	Dr.C	fre.B	PA	K	534	HF
1.7	Interest generated by the teacher.	9	10	10	9	10	10	9	10	9	to	10	10	10	10	9	10	9
.2	Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher,	10	Q.	Lo			10				2000	10	10	10	10	Q	10	9
3	Provision of sufficient time for feedback.	10	10	9	8	to	10	9	10	9	16	10	10	10	10.	9	10	9
4	Ability to integrate content with other course.	10	9	q	1	10	145 F. C		No. of Lots		-	-	10	10	146	9	10	9
5	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility	10	10	9.	8	9	09	R	10	9	10	09	9	10	9	g	10	19
6	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you).	09	9	10	9	10	09	9	9	9	09	69	9	0.9	19	9	10	0
7	Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issue, to provide a broader perspective.	09	9	10	8	09	09	8	9	8	09	10	10	09	9	9	9	9
8	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (include availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class).	To	10	io	8	39	80	.9.	9	B	10	og	10	0.9	9	9	9	9
9.	Ability to design quizzes/ test/assignments / examination and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course.	1	03	0.9	:8	09	08	-	9	8	09	10	09	09	10	9	9	8
10	Overall rating.	100	09	10	18	10	10	18	10	19	10	10	10.	10	10	9	9	18
11	01	96	94	96	23	96	: 43	85	9	3	196	96	97	96	96	90	96	90

imments and suggestion:-

B.N	S.S-	V.K.	M.A.	R.S.K.	D.G.	G.C •
Ms.Beena Negl Chaudhary	Ms.Sonal Shukla	Mr. Vipin Kamar	Mr.Manoj Kumur	Mr. Rajandra Singh Khuti	Ms. Deepali Gupta	Mr. Ganesh Chandra
NK	S	DD	AS .	Dr. G.T.	Dr. B. R.	
Mr. Neeraj Kumar	Ms.Shalini	Deepika Dhanik	Akansha Shally	Dr. Gokut Towari	Dr. Biolia Rai	
H.F. Mr. Himanshu Fu	P.A. rtiyal Ms. Pushp		Company of the Compan	. M. heetal Meurya		- W

SOS J.N. Kaul-Institute of Education, Bhimtal-

Please rate your course teacher on the following 1-10 point scale shown.

×	A Committee of the Comm	7			11.52	RESE.	15871	40											
	PARAMETERS Interest generated by the tencher.	N	i, S.	S V.	K	51. A	RS K	G	G.	N K	8	in D	AS	Deff	Dig.li	PA	K	834	n.v
-	Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher.	le	0 0	1	3	B	2	9	9	19	3	42.	lin	9.	9	9	9	9	9
3		19	9	8		8	9	18	19	9	19	n	10	9	9	9	2	10	9
1	Provision of sufficient time for feedback.	19	9	1 3		8	9	9	3	18	9	0	9	9	9	0	0	0	8
	Ability to integrate content with other course.	2	1 3	9		8	9	0	9	9	a	0	9	1	9	9	12	9	0
1	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility	19	9	1.9		9	9	R	8	B	9	R	3	9	9	0	a	0	12
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you).	9	13	19		-	3	-O-	B	9	19	0	0	-J	8	0	0	3	- 3
1	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issue, to provide a broader perspective.	2	10	8			0.	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	9	9	8	9
1	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (include availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class).	9	3	8	1	1	9	9	9	8	2	9	9	8	8	9	9	9	9
	Ability to design quizzes/ test/assignments / examination and projects ovaluate students understanding of the course.	9	9	8	0		3	9	9	8	3	8	9	8	9	9	8	8	9
O	verall rating:	9	9	9	19		9	9/	9.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	7	9	9
	and the same of th	al	41	24	2	6 0	18	16	90	3%	90	85	QI	27	20	GA	00	07	27

B.N	S.S-	V.K.	M.A.	-R.S.K.	D.G.	G.C-
ds.Beena Negi Chaudhary	Ms.Sonal Shukla	Mr. Vipin Kumur	Mr.Manoj Kumar	Mr. Rajendra Singh Khati	Ms. Deepali Gupta	Mr. Gauesh Clandra
NK	S	DD	AS	Dr. G.T.	Dr. B. R.	
Mr. Neeraj Kumar	Ms.Shalini	Deepika Dhanik	Akaesha Shoily	Dr. Gokul Townei	Dr. Bisita Rai	
H.F. Mr. Himanshu Farti	P.A. yal Ms. Pushpa	K Adhikari Kahka	120	M. celal Maurya		

SOS J.N. Kaul Institute of Education, Bhuntal

Please rate your course teacher on the following 1-10 point scale shown.

1	PARAMETERS	K.	5.5	VK	M. A	ill's K	6	6. C	N K	N	0	AN	Pasi 1	His-H	P.A	K	SVI	n,
1	Interest generated by the teacher.	10	10	09	09	10	10	0	0	9	8	10.	10	10	10	9	8	8
:	Sinserty/ commitment of the teacher.	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	A	J	10	9	10	3	2	8
1	Provision of sufficient time for feedback.	09	09	09	03	uj	05	09	03	0)	0.2	0)	09	ag	ej	og	03	09
4	Ability to integrate content with other course.	10	10	09	09	0"]	03	09	09	03	0)	03	09	09	03	01	03	03
5	Communication Wills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility	10	10	10	[0	10	10	10	10	10	10	la	10	10	10	10	10	io
0	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you).	10	10	10	10	10	(0	10.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
7	Ability to integrate course material with environment other issue, to provide a broader perspective.		03	00	00	09	_	_	1	1	1	-	05	to	9	09	05	00
S	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (include availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class).		09	09	03	03	37	09	09	60	9	1)	09	09	03	09	0.9	0:3
11	Ability to design quirres/ test/assignments / examination and projects to exabrate students understanding of the course.	10	10	to	fa		1	1					10	10	-	10	-	10
10	Overall rating.	10	10	0.9	0.5	Tio	1/0	110	110	1115	10	10	Lto	110	1.4	110	10	10
	104	97	9	1 53	8	9	5 96	93	34	di	19	1 95	96	95	45	48	93	91

Comments and suggestion:-

U.No	8 S-	V.K.	M.A	R.S.K.	D.O.	G.C -
McDeena Negi Chrodhay	Ms Senal ShaMa	Mr. Vipin Kumar	Mr.Manej Kumor	Mr. Rajenha Slogh Khati	Ms. Despail Gopta	Me, Gancoli Chandra
NK	S	DD	AS	Dr. G.F.	Dr. II. R.	
Mr. Necrol Kumat	Ma Shalad	Deeplea Dhank	Aktiona Shally	Dr. Gokal Tewark	Dr. Hisha Ral	
H.F. Mr. Hopsowbu La	P.A raiyal Ma Posty	AASAM Kahi		S. M. Sectal Manya		

TEACHERS FEEDBACK (2022-2024)

Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (2022-2024)

3

The following observation on teachers' feedback has done on the basis of questionnaire filled up by 75 students. The students responded to the various parameters rating them on the rating scale from 10-0. They also gave their comments and suggestions at the bottom of the question table. The observation says-

- Maximum number of students have given number 10 on the rating scale to the attributes such as assignment discussion, class management, coverage of syllabus, illustrations with example, subject matter competence, use of technology in teaching, dressing sense, communication skills and attitude towards profession.
- About 60% of students have rated 9 on the rating scale to the parameter speed of presentation of the content. It reveals that teachers need to improve their speed of delivering the content neither slow nor fast but in medium pace.
- 25% of the students have rated 7 to the dressing sense of the teachers. They have suggested the teachers to improve their dressing style which is necessary indeed.
- > 80% students rated the teachers 10 on the rating scale in the parameter of nature and character of the teacher. The teachers are helpful, easily accessible and down to earth.
- The parameters like coverage of syllabus and class management are rated 09 on the rating scale. The observation says that teachers are able to complete the syllabus on the given time period and then maximum time they gave to clear their doubts and to do revision.

Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE

- The parameters like explanation clarity and use of technology in teaching is rated 08 on the rating scale that showcases that the teachers make less use of the information technology tools to make the clear explanation of the content.
- Maximum number of students rated 08 on the rating scale to the male teachers regarding the parameter -attitude towards students of opposite gender. They suggested that male teachers need to be slightly more flexible towards the students of opposite gender so that they could be easily accessible to them also.



\$: Vo.	PARAMETER	. Ms. Beena Negl Chaudhary	Mr. Rajendra Singh Khati	Mr. Neeraj Kumar	Ms. Shalini Bharti	Ms. Akansha Shaily	Or. Gokulanand Tiwari	Or, Binita Rai	Ms. Pushpa Adhikari	Ms. Kabakasha	Mr. Sheetal Singh Maurya	Or. Manoj Joshi	Dz.Hema Mehra
1	Assignment discussion	10	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Audiability during teaching	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		10	10
3	Class management	10	10	10	10	10	10	-		-	10	10	10
4.	Communication skills	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
5	Coverage of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10.	10	10	-	10	10	10	1.
6	Discussions on university questions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
7	Explanation clarity	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	- 0	10	10
8	illustrations with examples	10	10	10	10	10	1 10	10	-	10	10	10	10
9	Lecture prepration	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	_ 0	10	10
10	Legibility in writing	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	.0	10	10
11	Oragnisation of content	1 10	10	10	1 10	10	10	10	10	- 10	10	0	10
12	Speed of presentation of content	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
13	Style of teaching	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
14	Subject matter competence	10	10	110	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
15	Use of technology in teaching	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	-10	10	10	10	10
16	Attitude towards st.of opposite gender	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
17	Dressing Sense	10	10	10	1 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
18	Nature and character	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
19	Availability for guidence	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	-	10	10	10
20	Attitude towards profession	10	1 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
-	Average	1	1	1	10	10	10	1	10	10	10	10	10

amment and Suggestions:

Reduse Paper work, woind ment Practium

					Company of the contract of the		-					L
No. PARAMETER	Ms. Beena Negi Chaudhary	Mr. Rajendra Singh Khati	Mr. Neeraj Kumar	Ms. Shalini Bharti	Ms. Akansha Shaily	Dr. Gokulanand Tiwari	Dr. Binita	Ms. Pushpa	Ms. Kahakasha	Mr. Sheetal Singh	Dr. Mano	Dr. Manoj
1 Accionate				200000	200	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Nai	Addikan		Maurya	iusor	-
	10	0	0	10	0 (5					Ī	
2 Audiability during teaching	,	-	-		-	-	10	10	10	10	10	
3 Class management	0	-	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	0	-	
1	0	0	6	0	0	7	5	5	,	5	,	
	0	0	0	0	7	- 0	0	-	0	10	0 1	
5 Coverage of syllabus	5	5			-	10	0	10	10	0	10	
6 Discussions on university questions	-	-	-	0)	0)	(0	10	10	10	0	0	
7 Explanation clarity	0	0	10	0	10	10	10	10	10	0	0	
4	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	-	
4	0	10	ō	0	0 (-	0	,	5	-	-	
9 Lecture prepration	0	0	0	5	0			0		0	.10	
10 Legibility in writing	-		0			0	0	0	10	0	0	
11 Oragnisation of contact		10	-	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	-	OFF
	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	a	0	
-	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	10	10	0	-	٠,
_	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
14 Subject matter competence	0	10	0	10	o	0	0	0	5	5	5	91
15 Use of technology in teaching	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	5	-	1 (
16 Attitude towards st. of opposite gender	0	0	10	10	5	10	5	5	2 0	2	-	1
17 Dressing Sense	0	10	10	0	0	5	2	10	, (- 0	-	0
18 Nature and character	10	5	-		5				-	- 0	0	10
_		0	0	C	0	0	0	0	10	0		0
19 Availability for guidence	0	(0	10	10	0	10	0	0	0	0		0
20 Attitude towards profession	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Average				100							-	

Comment and Suggestions:-

Reduse Paper work, assingment Practium

	The state of the last of the l	THE RESERVE	and the second s		1		unuwing 1-10 point scale shown	scale sho	WO			
No.	PARAMETER	Ms. Beena Negl Chaudhary	Mr. Rajendra Singh Khati	Mr. Neeraj Kumar	Ms. Shalini Bharti	Ms. Akansha Shally	Dr. Gokulanand Thwari	Dr. Binita	Ms. Pushpa	Ms. Kahakasha	Mr. Sheetal Singh	Dr. Manoj
	Assignment discussion	5			The state of	No. of the last		741	Adnikari		Maurya	Joshi
2 Audi	Audiability during teaching	2 6	0	0	a	a	đ	0	0	1	5	5
3 Class	Class management	5	2	4	10	a	0	9	9		0	10
4 Com	Communication skills		0	0	0	9	39.	0	0	1	5	5
5 Cove	Coverage of willabus	10	10	10	10	10	9	0	0	,	3	50
6 Disc	Discussions on university quarties.	0	0	9	2	0	a	Q	p		4	1
7 Expl	Explanation clarity	96	2	9	10	9	+	٥	0	1	0	0
Sulli 8	Illustrations with accounts	10	10	0	9	2	2	0	5		5	-
	Sandania Camping	10	0	0	0	9	q	5	0			1
-	econe prepiation	10	0	0	10	co	0	5	d	, ,	00	10
-	Sunta in Author	10	0	0	0	2	20	2	5 0		1	0
_	Oraginisation of content	2	10	5	è	0	٥	9	20		10	-
-	Speed of presentation of content	10	10	5	2	0	0	5	25		0	0
-	Style of teaching	10	9	0(2	0	20	20	20		2	10
-	Subject matter competence	0	ō	9	5	0	2	5	0		10	0
15 Use	Use of technology in teaching	6	0	10	0	5	0	2	0.	,	7	1
-	Attitude towards st. of opposite gender	9	æ.	9	ō	2	0	10	2		50	50
-	Dressing Sense	10	0	10	a	0	5	0	2	1	P	20
-	Nature and character	10	9	2	2	9	5	0	0	1	5	5
19 Av.	Availability for guidence	10	03	9	10	9	0	0	5		5	3 5
20 Att	Attitude towards profession	10	9	0	10	0	0%	01	0		5	5
-	Average	0	10	0	6	10	2	10	5	,	0	10
Comme	Comment and Suggestions:	courcellor should	ક	enpurphy se	30	porp	(*) (*)		ı.			-

Comr			20	19	-	+	-	-	-	_	_	-	\vdash	-	_		1	_	1		L	_	No.
AU +ea	- 1	Average	Attitude towards profession	Availability for guidence	Nature and character	Dressing Sense	Attitude towards st. of opposite gender	Use of technology in teaching	Subject matter competence	Style of teaching	Speed of presentation of content	Oragnisation of content	Legibility in writing	Lecture prepration	illustrations with examples	Explanation clarity	Discussions on universityquestions	Coverage of syllabus	Communication skills	Class management	Audiability during teaching	Assignment discussion	PARIAMETER
teacheris		5	0	10	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	,	0	0	200	7	9	0	5	9	Ms. Beena Hegi Chaudhary
5	-	9	٥	o o	9	0	q	٥	٥	٥	0	0	0	0	,	0	2	0	7	2	2	9	Mr. Rajendra Singh Khati
E ON		q	9	0	0	6	9	7	0	9	20	9	20	00	5	0	-	0 4	2		0	-	Mr. Neeral Kumar
wonderful	-		1			0	0	0	9	2	0 9	50	00	-		,	-	C	, 0	-		D	Ms. Shalini Bharti
J.	9	,	0	e	9	0	0						, 0	-	, -	9	9	9	0	9	-		Ms. Akansha Shally
1 neally	4	7	9	0	a	a	5	2	00	-	0	00	0	-		-	9	9	P	-	9		Dr. Gohulanand Timari
		6	6	-	0	5 0	5	0	0	-	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1001	Dr. Binita
enjoy	0	0	- 0	, 0	, 0	,	50	, 6	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	-0	0	0	0	-0	0	None and	Ms. Pushpa
end	R	, .	00	0	, 0	,	00	0	0	120	8	0	8	0	0	8	8	Ø	0	0	2		Ms. Kahakasha
8:1	9	9	Q	, 0	. 0		0			9	0	9	9	9	9	9	b	0	2	0	2	Maurya	Mr. Sheetat Singh
definited	9	10	9	0	5	0	000	9	9	-	9	0	5	4	0	h	9	٥	٥	2	5	Joshi	Dr. Manoj
to the same	10	5	0	10	10		=	0	10	10	10	-0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5 8	200	,		Dr. Harma



Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE **NAAC Certified Institute** UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)










PARENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (INSTITUTION) 2018-20

The Parent's Feedback Survey (PFS) was conducted by the institute on various dimensions. The questionnaire (five-point Likert scale) was set as per the NAAC guidelines. The survey conducted by online and the identity of the parents is not revalidated result of this survey is based on the response of 98 students of (2018-20). The analysis of the Parents' Satisfactions Survey (PSS) is revealed that-Here's a 09-points analysis summering the overall feedback for the college based on the provided criteria.

- Environment rated as very good, indicating a conducive and satisfactory atmosphere
- Infrastructure was good, suggesting that the facilities meet expectations.
- Faculty rated as average, indicating a need for enhancement in teaching quality or expertise.
- Fee structure are described as aligned with university norms, implying fairness and transparency in fee- related matters.
- Evaluated as good, indicating effective practical training and skill development.
- Library is good with a satisfactory collection and resources.
- Skill development rated as ranging from good to average, suggesting variability in effectiveness.
- Quality of support material rated as good but in need of updates, implying that while useful, materials could benefit from being more current.
- . Overall rating generally falls within the range of very good to good, reflecting a positive but balanced view with room for improvement in certain areas.


The feedback highlights strengths in the environment, infrastructure, and training, while identifying opportunities for improvements in faculty quality and the updating of support materials.



SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

SOS Complex, Bhimtal (Nainital)







Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE
NAAC Certified Institute
UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)










SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BIHMFAL PARENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS PLEASE HER. 5.No. Attributes Excellent V.Good Good Average Pour 1. Environment 2. Infrastructure -3. Faculty -4. Fee Structure 5. Training سسا 6. Library Facility 7. 5kili Desviopment 8. Quality Of Support Overall Rating good wheateste / Good Envillanment. Comments and suggestions Barry Trackers. KEER it up. Name (Parent/Ga : Heera Bhatt Name of Student



Environment Infrastructure	-		-		-
Infrastructure					
		~			П
Faculty	~				Г
Fee Structure		~			П
Training	~				Г
Library Facility	~				Г
Skill Development	~				Г
Quality Of Support Material	-				Г
Overall Rating	~				
	Training Library Facility Skill Development Quality Of Support Material	Training Library Facility Skill Development Quality Of Sapport Material	Training Library Facility Skill Development Quality Of Support Material	Training Library Facility Skill Development Quality Of Support Material	Training Library Facility Skill Development Quality Of Support Material



sos_jnkie_bhimtal

S Illiantii

Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE

NAAC Certified Institute

UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)









PARENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (INSTITUTION) 2019-21

The Parent's Feedback Survey (PFS) was conducted by the institute on various dimensions. The questionnaire (five-point Likert scale) was set as per the NAAC guidelines. The survey conducted by online and the identity of the parents is not revalidated result of this survey is based on the response of 107 students of (2019-21). The analysis of the Parents' Satisfactions Survey (PSS) is revealed that- Here's analysis summering the overall feedback for the college based on the provided criteria.

- ✓ The college environments are highly praised, with an excellent rating, indicating a positive and satisfactory atmosphere.
- ✓ The infrastructure is very good, rated good meeting.
- ✓ The faculty is rated as very good, suggesting that teachers are well-qualified, knowledgeable.
- ✓ The fee structure is considered good and aligned with university norms, indicating the fairness and transparency.
- √ The training programs are rated excellent, reflecting effective practical training.
- ✓ The skill development programs are mixed, showing variability in effectiveness.
- ✓ Training programs are rated excellent showcasing the college 's strong focus on practical learning and industry readiness.
- ✓ Both the library and student help services are good, providing necessary resources and support, though outstanding.

The overall, parents are satisfied with the college, appreciating its excellent environment, robust infrastructure, and strong training programs. Faculty and skill development also received positive feedback. The college effectively balanced affordability with effectively with quality education, although improvements in support materials could further enhance the student experience.



SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

SOS Complex, Bhimtal (Nainital)






Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE
NAAC Certified Institute
UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)


















sos_jnkie_bhimtal

Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE **NAAC Certified Institute** UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)










PARENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (INSTITUTION) 2020-22

The Parent's Feedback Survey (PFS) was conducted by the institute on various dimensions. The questionnaire (five-point Likert scale) was set as per the NAAC guidelines. The survey conducted by online and the identity of the parents is not revalidated result of this survey is based on the response of 98 students of (2020-2022). The analysis of the Parents' Satisfactions Survey (PSS) is revealed that-Here's a 09-points analysis summering the overall feedback for the college based on the provided criteria.

- o The college environments are rated as excellent, providing a conducive atmosphere for learning and personal development.
- o The infrastructure is very good, suggesting that the facilities and physical resources available to students are well- maintained and effective.
- The faculty is rated as good, indicating competent and knowledgeable teachers, though there might be some improvements.
- o The fee structure is also rated as good, implying that it is reasonable and offers value for the education and services provided.
- o The quality of support materials, such as textbook, are considered average, suggesting there may be a need for better or more up -to -date materials.
- Training programs at the college are excellent, indicating strong hands-on learning opportunities and practical exposure for students.
- o Skill development programs are mixed with some rated very good and others good, showing variability in how effectively different skills are taught.
- o The library facilities are good, providing adequate resources for study and research, though it may not be exceptional.
- o Student support services are rated as good, indicating that students receive adequate assistance when needed.

The overall feedback received college positively from parents with strengths in the environments, infrastructure, training, and skill development. Areas such as support materials could benefit from improvement.



SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

SOS Complex, Bhimtal (Nainital)






Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE
NAAC Certified Institute
UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)


















sos_jnkie_bhimtal

HERMANN GMEINER HERMANN GMEINER DEGREE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL

SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BHIMTAL (Old Name)

Recognized by NCTE, Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital
UGC 2(f) Listed Institute

PARENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (INSTITUTION) 2022-24

The Parent's Feedback Survey (PFS) was conducted by the institute on various dimensions. The questionnaire (five-point Likert scale) was set as per the NAAC guidelines. The survey conducted by online and the identity of the parents is not revalidated result of this survey is based on the response of 74 students of (2022-2024). The analysis of the Parents' Satisfactions Survey (PSS) is revealed that- Here's analysis summering the overall feedback for the college based on the provided criteria.

- ✓ The college environments are highly praised, with an excellent rating, indicating a
 positive and supportive atmosphere for students.
- ✓ The infrastructure is very good, reflecting well -maintained and modern facilities that support both academic and extracurricular activities.
- ✓ The faculty is rated as very good, suggesting that teachers are well-qualified, knowledgeable, and effective in delivering quality education.
- ✓ The fee structure is considered good and aligned with university norms, suggesting
 affordability and value for money.
- ✓ The training programs are rated excellent, showcasing the college's strong focus on practical learning and industry readiness.
- The skill development programs are mixed, with some aspects rated very good and others good, reflecting variability in the effectiveness of different initiatives.
- ✓ Training programs are rated excellent showcasing the college 's strong focus on practical learning and industry readiness.
- ✓ Both the library and student help services are good, providing necessary resources and support, though outstanding.

The overall, parents are satisfied with the college, appreciating its excellent environment, robust infrastructure, and strong training programs. Faculty and skill development also received positive feedback. The college effectively balanced affordability with effectively with quality education, although improvements in support materials could further enhance the student experience.

HERMANN GMEINER HERMANN GMEINER DEGREE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL

DEGREE COLLEGE

SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BHIMTAL (Old Name)

Recognized by NCTE, Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital UGC 2(f) Listed Institute

SOS J.N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BIHMTAL PARENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

5.No.	Attributes	Excellent	V. Good	Gened	Average	Poor
1.	Environment		V			-
2.	Infrastructure		~			
3.	Eaculty	V-				
4.	Fee Structure	V				
5.	Training	1				
6.	Library Facility		~			
7.	Skill Development	1				
8.	Quality Of Support Material		-			
9.	Overall Rating		-			

Comments and suggestions

my orange Single

Ma Hospigan Siegh Birt

1	-	NOS LALKAGE	EINER DEGREE CO	CATION BURNEY	(NEW NAME	
1		PARENT'S	FEEDBACK F	ORM (INS	TITUTION	1
Į.		PLEASE	TICK	~		
1	No.	Attributes	Excellent	V.Good	Good	Average
L	1	Environment	~		0.500	- Annuage
L	2	Infrastruction	~			
	1	faculty	~			
		Fee Structure		~		
	5	Quality of Support Material	~			
L	4	Training	~			
L	,	Skill Development	-			
		Ubrary		-		
		Student's Hulp	-			
2		ents and Suggestion	ons:			
9	gnatu	re: Kuldneb				

	PLEASE	TICK	~		
S. No.	Attributes	Excellent	V.Good	Good	Average
1	Environment	v			
1	Infrastructure	V			
1	Faculty	V			
4	Fee Structure		V		
3	Quality of Support Material		~		
6	Training	~			
7	Skill Development				
	Library		~		
9	Student's Help		~		
इस र	ents and Suggesti	दा सरधीर्ग	- ACTION W	या ।	

	PLEASE	FEEDBACK F			,
		100	~		
5, No.	Attributes	Excellent	V.Good	Good	Average
1.	Environment	-			
2	Infrastructure	~			
3	Faculty	~			
4:	Fee Structure			-	
5	Quality of Support Material		-		
6	Training				
7	Skill Development		-		
80	Library				
9.	Student's Help	~			
Comm	ents and Suggesti		-		
Signati	Palus	nks anka Ran			











STUDENT'S SATISFACTORY SURVEY (SSS) REPORT


REPORT OF PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK

Name- Dr. Ranjana Ruhela

B. Ed. Session- (2018-2020)

The student's satisfactory survey on the basis of Principal's feedback form was conducted by the Institute on various parameters set as per the NAAC guidelines. They survey conducted offline and scoring criteria from 1 to 10 marks and analysis of student's satisfactory survey is revealed that:

- On the basis of scores, the report given by students is satisfactory. Out of total 10 parameters of feedback form, scored good marks on 7 parameters in which scores received in between 6 and 10.
- Students highly appreciated discipline, professional behavior and respectful in communication, in-depth knowledge of the effective teaching practices, quite sensitive to the needs of students, effective decision making and sound judgements, making designs and implementation of new strategies for effective teacher training and promotes extra-curricular activities in the Institute.
- Some suggestions like good culture in the Institute and quick response regarding the requests and queries and ensures that the teacher educators provide quality instruction.



SOS Complex, Bhimtal (Nainital)

SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION





Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE **NAAC Certified Institute** UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)











SOS ANACULINSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BRIMTAL PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK FORM NAME : Dr. Ranjana Rubela Batch : And And 20 Date: 241.10 1 018 Please rate your course teachers on the following attributes using the 1-10 C S. No Parameters 1. Responds quickly to your requests and queries. 10 Knowledge of the effective teaching practices. 10 Emeric that the teacher educators provide quality autraction 10 to dialents. 4. Demantaties usual judgment and effective decision making g - Effectively assist teachers with readouts discipline issues. 10 6. Professional and respectful in communication with analysis 10 χ. Designs and implements new amorgies for effective seacher 10 training. 8. Establishes good culture. 10 Aware of and sensitive to the needs of students. 10 Common and supportion . Special right STAP LA CALLED नद्रम अन्य है। जन्मी क्रिसियेन है

tile one servicial ?.

	SOS J.N.KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BRIM PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK FORM	
	E : Dr. Ranjano Ruhelu	
Batch	1. A.42-4.2008-20 Date: 4.9/10	1.1¢
	rare your course teachers on the following attributes using scale shown.	the 1+1
S, Ne	Parameters	Rating
1	Respireds quickly to your requests and queries.	10
2.	Knowledge of the effective teaching practices.	(0
3.	Ensures that the teacher educators provide quality instruction to analysis.	ю
A.	Denoncornes sound judgment and effective decision making.	10
. 5.	Effectively must leachers with materix discipline issues.	10
ń.	Professional and respectful in concrumication with students.	10
-7.	Designs and implements new transpires for effective waters training.	10
	Establishes good culture.	10
9.	Aware of and sensitive to the needs of stellents.	10
	कर्म है। यह उन्हों के कर्म है। यह है। ते कर्म है। यह उन्हों क्या है। ती क्या है। ते कर्म हैं। असे असी क्या है।	. सं ^{प्} र १ ५९३
















Student's Satisfactory Survey (SSS) Report REPORT OF PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK

Name- Dr. Ranjana Ruhela

B. Ed. Session- (2019-2021)

The student's satisfactory survey on the basis of Principal's feedback form was conducted by the Institute on various parameters set as per the NAAC guidelines. They survey conducted offline and scoring criteria from 1 to 10 marks and analysis of student's satisfactory survey is revealed that:

- On the basis of scores, the report given by students is satisfactory. Out of total 10 parameters of feedback form, scored good marks on 6 parameters.
- Students highly appreciated professional behavior and respectful in communication, in-depth knowledge of the effective teaching practices, quite sensitive to the needs of students, effective decision making and sound judgements, making designs and implementation of new strategies for effective teacher training and promotes extra-curricular activities in the Institute.
- Some suggestions like good culture in the Institute and quick response regarding the requests and queries and ensures that the teacher educators provide quality instruction.



SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION






Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE **NAAC Certified Institute** UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)













Nan	SOS J.N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BIHM FEEDBACK FORM ne:-Dr. Hanjama Rabela (Principal) sense your persipal on the following artifacts using the point took for	
No.	Parameters	Hating Points
L;	Establishes good culture in the Intrinsic	10
2.	Professional and respectful in communication	3.0
3.	Knowledge of the effective reaching practices	10
4.	Required quickly to your requests and queries	10
5.	Aware of and sensitive to the works of students	10
6.	Expanse that the trapher educators provide quality instruction	9
2,	Demonstrates used judgment and effective decision reaking	10
4.	Designs and implements new strategies for effective teacher transes	10
9.	Promotes entra-confector solicities in the localities	10
10.	Maintains effective teaching learning one-comment in the Institute	10
	and Suggestion. Only benefits 230'200 is well and hard 2000 king.	4





SOS Complex, Bhimtal (Nainital)

Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE NAAC Certified Institute UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)









Student's Satisfactory Survey (SSS) Report REPORT OF PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK

Name- Dr. Ranjana Ruhela

B. Ed. Session- (2020-2022)

The student's satisfactory survey on the basis of Principal's feedback form was conducted by the Institute on various parameters set as per the NAAC guidelines. They survey conducted offline and scoring criteria from 1 to 10 marks and analysis of student's satisfactory survey is revealed that:

- Students appreciated professional behavior and respectful in communication, in-depth knowledge of the effective teaching practices, quite sensitive to the needs of students, effective decision making and sound judgements, making designs and implementation of new strategies for effective teacher training and promotes extra-curricular activities in the Institute.
- > Some suggestions like good culture in the Institute and quick response regarding the requests and queries and ensures that the teacher educators provide quality instruction.
- Overall, the report given by students is satisfactory. Out of total 10 parameters of feedback form, scored good marks on 6 parameters.







Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital & Approved by NCTE **NAAC Certified Institute** UGC Listed Institute - Under Section 2 (f)











SOS J, N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BRIMTAL PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK FORM Pictoc take your Principal on the following attributes using the point scale from 1-10. 8. Sa. Parameters Establishes good exhare in the Institute 10 2. Professional and respectful in communication 10 1. Knowledge of the effective scocking practices 10 4. Безропо срокі пуске параго вы состо 10 5. Sensitive to the needs of students 10 6. Enteres that the teacher educators provide quality instruction 10 Demonstrates usual indpreent and officials decision making Soriem and implementation of new armegies for effective washin to Promotes extra-curricular activities to the Institute 10 10. Manuam effective reaching learning environment in the favoing 10 100



SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BUINTAL PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK FORM Picase rate year Principal on the following attributes using the print scale from 1-10. Poleto 1. I stablishes good entire in the Institute 10 2. Professional and respectful in communication 10 2 Kimm jerga of the effective teaching praction 10 4. Response quickly to your requests and queries 10 Sensitive to the received students 10 6. Envero that the teacher esticators provide quality instruction 10 7. Demonstrates sound independe and effective decision ensured 10 Designs and implementation of new sentegies for effective teacher 10 Promotes extra-corricular activities in the limitude 10 10. Maintains effective teaching learning environment in the Instance 10 100 Hatch 2020-2022 Bate 2/09/2022

Batch : ... 2029 - 3022 Date: ... 21/802/2027





HERMANN GMEINER HERMANN GMEINER DEGREE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL

SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BHIMTAL (Old Name)

Recognized by NCTE, Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital UGC 2(f) Listed Institute

Student's Satisfactory Survey (SSS) Report

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK

Name- Dr. Mukta

B. Ed. Session- (2022-2024)

The student's satisfactory survey on the basis of Principal's feedback form was conducted by the Institute on various parameters set as per the NAAC guidelines. They survey conducted offline and analysis of student's satisfactory survey is revealed that:

- Overall, the report given by 74 students is more than satisfactory. Out of total 10 parameters of feedback form, scored good marks on 8 parameters.
- Students appreciated good culture in the Institute, professional behavior and respectful in communication and in-depth knowledge of the effective teaching practices, quite sensitive to the needs of students, and making designs and implementation of new strategies for effective teacher training and promotes extra-curricular activities in the Institute.
- Some suggestions with quick response regarding the requests and queries and effective decision making and sound judgements and ensures that the teacher educators provide quality instruction.

Principal

HERMANN GMEINER HERMANN GMEINER DEGREE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL

SOS J. N. KAUL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BHIMTAL (Old Name)

Recognized by NCTE, Affiliated to Kumaun University, Nainital UGC 2(f) Listed Institute

HERMANN GMEINLR DEGHEE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL (NEW NAME) 105 LA KARL WISTITUTE OF EFECTION, BHIMTAL (NEB HAME) PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK FORM NAME : Dr., MUKTA Please nate your Frincipal on the following attributes using the point scale from 1-10.					
L	1	Establishes good culture in the Institute	10		
		Professional and respectful in communication	10		
1		trowledge of the effective teaching practices	Jo.		
	1	Responds quisily to your requests and queries.	lo		
		Sensitive to the needs of Students	10		
		Ensures that the tracker educators provide quality instruction	lo:		
7		Demonstrates sound pulgerness and effective decision making	10		
		Designs and implementance of new strategies for effective tracher training	lo		
9		Promotes extra curricular activities in the institute	10		
11		Maintains effective tracking -learning environment in the institute	10		

	HERMANN GMEINER DEGREE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL (NEW N SOLLNAME INSTITUTE OF SILIC ARION, BHIMTAL (OLD NAME) PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK FORM NAME: Dr. MUKTA						
	Please rate your Procesul on the following attributes using the point scale from 1-10						
	S. No	Parameters	Rating Points				
	1	Litablishes good culture in the Institute	10				
	3	Professional and respectful in communication	10				
0	2	Knowledge of the efficitive tracking practices	10				
-		Responds quickly to your requests and queees.	10				
	5	Sensitive to the needs of Students	10				
	1	Ensures that the teacher educators provide quality instruction	lo.				
	7	Demonstrates sound judgement and effective decision making	10				
		Designs and employmentation of new strategies for effective teacher training	10				
)		Prometes extra curricular activities in the inclinitie	10				
	10	Montains effective teaching -learning enviorement in the implate	(o				

		EW NAME)				
	Please rate your Possique on the Advancy attributes using the point scale from [10]					
	S. No	Parameters	Rating Points			
1	i	established growth authorises they beething	to			
	7	Professional and respectful in continuous about	10			
	å	knowledge of the effective tracking practices	10			
		Congression grow Might represent contract appearing.	to			
	,	Servation to the nevels of Students.	(0)			
	*	Limites that the teacher celecation provide quality indication	10			
	,	Demonstrates werd polyement and offective decreases along	10			
		Designs and amplications all new strategies for effective tractive training	10			
		Fromotes extraconculor activities in the antititie	10			
		Maission effective tracking feating construent in	10			

1	HERMANN GMEINER DEGREE COLLEGE, BHIMTAL (NEW NAME) 503 ANAGE INSTITUTE OF FULLATION, BRINTIN (FILE MAME) PRINCIPAL'S FEEDBACK FORM NAME: Dr. MUSTA				
100	Page rate your Principal on the following attributes using the point scale from 1-10.				
S. No	Parameters	Rating Point			
1	Establishes good culture in the multitute	10			
1	Professional and projectful in communication	10			
3	Knowledge of the effective teaching practices	f e			
•	Responds quacily to your requests and queries	f o			
5	Sensitive to the needs of Students	l e			
0	Ensures that the teacher educators provide quality instruction	l e			
,	Demonstrates sound adaptment and officitive decision making	10			
	Designs and implementation of new strategies for effective teacher training	10			
	Promotes outre outricular activities in the institute	10			
10	Mointains effective teaching learning environment in	I.			