

Lecture 14: Location, Scale and LOTUS

$$Z \sim N(0,1)$$

CDF Φ

$$E(Z) = 0 \rightarrow \text{first moment}$$

$$\text{Var}(Z) = E(Z^2) = 1 \rightarrow \text{second moment}$$

$$E(Z^3) = 0 \rightarrow \text{Third moment}$$

$$-Z \sim N(0,1) \text{ (by symmetry)} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{z^3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2}}_{\text{odd function}} dz \text{ (by LOTUS)} = 0$$

flipping the sign changes the random variable, it makes a positive into negative and vice versa, but it does not change the distribution (that's what the symmetry says)

General Normal Distribution

Let $X = \mu + \sigma Z$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ (mean or location),
(real number)

$\sigma > 0$ (SD or scale)

Then we say $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

because we are just rescaling everything by multiplying by a constant;
that's going to affect if we draw one of the density, it's going to affect how wide or narrow that curve is.

because we are just adding a constant, it means a shift in location;
we are not changing what the density looks like by adding μ ;
we are just moving it around left or right

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \mu \\ \text{Var}(\mu + \sigma Z) &= \sigma^2 \text{Var}(Z) = \sigma^2 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \mu \\ \text{Var}(\mu + \sigma Z) &= \sigma^2 \text{Var}(Z) = \sigma^2 \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{Normal distribution}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X) &= E((X - EX)^2) \\ &= EX^2 - (EX)^2 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X) &= E((X - EX)^2) \\ &= EX^2 - (EX)^2 \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{Variance (in general)}$$

$$\text{Var}(X+c) = \text{Var}(X)$$

$$\text{Var}(cX) = c^2 \text{Var}(X)$$

$$\text{Var}(X) \geq 0$$

$\text{Var}(X) = 0$ if and only if $P(X=a)=1$, for some a

$\text{Var}(X+Y) \neq \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)$ (in general)

[equal if X, Y are independent]

$$\text{Var}(\underbrace{X+X}_{\text{X is extremely dependent}}) = \text{Var}(2X) = 4 \text{Var}(X)$$

\rightarrow X is extremely dependent.

$$\checkmark \quad Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \quad [\text{standardization}] \quad \rightarrow \text{dimensionless quantity}$$

Find PDF of $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CDF: } P(X \leq x) &= P\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{PDF: } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{CDF} = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) \right|$$

\downarrow
PDF of standard Normal distribution

$$-X = -\mu + \sigma(-Z) \sim N(-\mu, \sigma^2)$$

~~Later~~

✓ If $X_j \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$ independent,

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim N(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

$$X_1 - X_2 \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

✓ 68-95-99.7% Rule [How likely is it that a normal random variable will be a certain distance from its mean measured in terms of SD?

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$P(|X - \mu| \leq \sigma) \approx 0.68$$
 [The probability that x is within 1 standard deviation of its mean is about 68%]

$$P(|X - \mu| \leq 2\sigma) \approx 0.95$$
 [The prob. that x is within 2 SD of its mean is about 95%]

$$P(|X - \mu| \leq 3\sigma) \approx 0.997$$
 [The probability that x is within 3 SD of its mean is about 99.7%]

LOTUS Continued...

Prob. $X : 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$X^2 : 0, 1^2, 4, 9, \dots$

$$E(X) : \sum_x x P(X=x)$$

$$E(X^2) : \sum_x x^2 P(X=x)$$

↓
A/c to LOTUS, this still works regardless of whether we have duplications

$$X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^2) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda} (\lambda^2 + \lambda) \\ &= (\lambda^2 + \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = \lambda^2 + \lambda - \lambda^2$$

$$\boxed{\text{Var}(X) = \lambda}$$

Poisson distribution, $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ has mean λ and variance λ .

Poisson does not have units, it's just counting number of things, so it does not have that some dimensional interpretation.

$$X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p), \text{ find } \text{var}(X).$$

$$X = I_1 + \dots + I_n, I_j \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p)$$

(Indicator of success on the j th trial, add up those and we get a binomial distribution)

$$\cancel{E(X^2)} = X^2 = I_1^2 + \dots + I_n^2 + 2I_1I_2 + 2I_1I_3 + \dots +$$

$$E(X^2) = n E(I_1^2) + 2 \binom{n}{2} E(I_1I_2) \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{these are just i.i.d.} \\ \text{so by symmetry} \\ n \times \text{anyone of them} \end{array} \right]$$

↙ $\binom{n}{2}$ cross terms

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = e^{\lambda} \quad (\text{Taylor's series})$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \cdot \lambda^{k-1}}{k!} = e^{\lambda} \quad (\text{take derivative of both side})$$

$$\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \lambda^{k-1}}{k!} = \lambda e^{\lambda}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \lambda^k}{k!} = \lambda e^{\lambda}$$

take derivative again,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 \lambda^{k-1}}{k!} = \lambda e^{\lambda} + e^{\lambda} = e^{\lambda} (\lambda + 1)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 \lambda^k}{k!} = e^{\lambda} (\lambda^2 + \lambda)$$

(Using Symmetry, indicator random variables and linearity)

$$I_1^2 = I_1$$

$$E(I_1) = E(X \sim \text{Bern}(p)) = p$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^2) &= np + n(n-1)p^2 \\ &= np + n^2p^2 - np^2 \end{aligned}$$

$E(I_1 I_2) \rightarrow$ The probability of success on both the first trial and the second trial, because the trials are independent $= p^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{Var}(X) &= E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 \\ &= np + n^2p^2 - np^2 - n^2p^2 \\ &\quad [\because E(X) = np] \end{aligned}$$

$$= np(1-p)$$

$$= npq$$

Product of indicator random variables is an indicator random variable.

$I_1 I_2 \rightarrow$ Indicator of success on both the first and second trial.

$$I_1 I_2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if at least one of } I_1 \text{ or } I_2 \text{ is } 0 \\ 1, & \text{if both } 1 \end{cases}$$

Prove LOTUS for discrete sample space.

$$\text{Show } E(g(X)) = \sum_x g(x) P(X=x).$$

Proof

$$\underbrace{\sum_x g(x) P(X=x)}_{\text{grouped}} = \sum_{s \in S} \underbrace{g(X(s)) P(\{s\})}_{\substack{\text{Think as a pebble} \\ \text{Sample Space} \\ \text{man of that pebble}}} \\ \text{Ungrouped}$$

$\sum_{s \in S} g(X(s)) P(\{s\}) \rightarrow$ ungrouped case
 This says take each pebble compute function $g(X(s))$ and then take a weighted average. $P(\{s\}) \rightarrow$ weight of a given pebble s .

$\sum_x g(x) P(X=x) \rightarrow$ grouped case
 This says, first combine all of the pebbles that have the same value of x in a super-pebble. A super-pebble means we grouped together all pebbles with the same x value (not the same $g(x)$ value), then average them.

Think of above as double sum

$$\sum_x \sum_{s: X(s)=x} \overbrace{g(X(s))}^{g(x)} P(\{s\}) = \sum_x g(x) \underbrace{\sum_{s: X(s)=x} P(\{s\})}_{\text{sum of masses of pebbles labeled } x}$$

first sum over x values, group together and sum over all the pebbles that have that value.

$$= \sum_x g(x) P(X=x)$$

Add up the masses of all the pebbles labeled x . Sum of masses of the little pebbles form the super-pebble