# CSCI 5105: Introduction to Distributed Systems Spring 2019

**Instructor: Abhishek Chandra** 

**Programming Assignment 3: Simple Distributed File System** 

(Due: Apr/24/2019 - 10:00pm)

#### 1. Overview

In this programming assignment, you will implement a *simple distributed file system* in which multiple clients can share files together. In this file system, the files will be replicated to several servers for increased performance and availability.

# 2. Project Details

In this project, multiple clients will send requests at the same time to the file system. The operations that the client should be able to perform are:

- a. Write (update) files in the file system.
- b. Read files. If there is no corresponding filename on file system, the client will see appropriate error message.

The files are replicated across multiple file servers. For consistency across the replicated files, the *quorum based protocol* should be implemented. The basic idea of this protocol is that the clients need to obtain the permission from multiple servers before either reading or writing a file to the server.


# 2.1. Quorum based protocol

A quorum is a subgroup of replicas whose size gives it a right to perform operations. In a quorum consensus scheme, update operation may be performed by only a subset of replicas, while other replicas can have out-of-date copies. Version numbers are used to determine which copies are up-to-date and operations are applied to only copies with the current version number. Each replica is assigned an ability to vote which is used during the formation of quorums. In this protocol, in order for the client to be able to read a file which has N replicas, it needs to assemble a read quorum  $(N_R)$  which is an **arbitrary** collection of **any** replicated file servers. Similarly, to write (update) the file, the client needs to assemble a write quorum  $(N_W)$ , which is also an **arbitrary** collection of **any** replicated file servers. The values of  $N_R$  and  $N_W$  are subject to following two constraints:

- 1.  $N_R + N_W > N$
- 2.  $N_w > N/2$

The components of the system to be built are:

- 1. **Clients**: There are multiple clients performing read and write operations on the shared files. The client can **contact any server**. The system should consist of at least 3 clients.
- 2. **File Servers**: There are servers containing replicas of the files. The system should consist of at least 7 servers containing the file replicas.
- 3. Coordinator: One of file servers will act as the control point for your quorum. A file server which gets a request from the client will contact the coordinator to carry out the operation. That is, any servers can receive read/write requests from users and they will forward the requests to the coordinator. The coordinator will then contact the other randomly chosen servers needed for the quorum to complete the operation. The coordinator will be well known to all file servers and will be chosen by you.



With this overview, we now look at the steps involved for performing the system operations:

#### 1. Read:

- a. A read quorum should be assembled by the Coordinator and version number enquiries should be made.
- b. The most recent version number should be selected from  $N_R$  replicas. As each read quorum overlaps with every write quorum, every read quorum is guaranteed to contain at least one current copy.

c. The read operation may be performed on **any** up-to-date copy (one of the replicas with the most recent version).

#### 2. Write:

- A write quorum should be assembled by the Coordinator and version number enquiries should be made.
- b. If there are insufficient up-to-date copies within the quorum, the write operation should be applied to the ones with latest version numbers and the outdated copies should be replaced with this latest copy.
- c. After performing the write operation the version numbers should be incremented, and the completion should be notified back to the requesting client. The remaining replicas (outside of the quorum) can then be updated in the background.

### 3. Concurrency Control:

- a. The Coordinator should be responsible for implementing the concurrency control.
- b. It should implement a request queue and queue up the requests coming from the servers. By doing this, all the write operations are made sequentially consistent by the Coordinator.

#### 2.2 Performance Evaluation

The performance of the system should be evaluated for different combinations of the  $N_R$  and  $N_W$  and the results **should be plotted**. That is, measure the cost of client "Write" and "Read" operations in terms of time. The system should also be evaluated for varying work-loads. E.g.: where all the clients in the system are writing (write-heavy workload) or reading (read-heavy workload) at the same time. You should try out various values and observe which combinations of  $N_R$  and  $N_W$  perform well under different work-loads and why.

# 3. Implementation Details

Your system may be implemented in C++ or Java using Thrift (or TCP).

To make multiple servers run easily, your servers may run in a single machine with different port numbers. Note that your servers are also expected to work well when deployed across different machines. In the quorum protocol, replicas can get out of synch. That is, a reader is always guaranteed to get the most recent file (i.e., the latest version) from one of the replicas, but there is no guarantee that the history of updates will be preserved at all replicas. To fix this problem, implement a *synch* operation that brings all replicas up to date with each other and can be called periodically in the background. This operation will be done eventually (with eventual consistency). You may want to print some log messages to see when synch works in background.

For testing (grading) purpose, you should provide some UI to list the files (with version) on the file system.

## Assumptions and Hints:

- The number of files you need to handle will be small (< 10).
- Servers know other servers' and coordinator's information (IP and port).
- The file contents will be very simple (e.g., file name with version number).
- Please consider how you can manage locks for requests. The coordinator will need to hold a lock for each file but not for all requests. That is, accessing different files should be done concurrently.
- You must make sure that your system works on the CSELabs machines (separate machines), e.g., KH 4-250 (csel-kh4250-xx.cselabs.umn.edu) as you will be graded on these machines.
- Please avoid using any hard-coding in your code.
- Please read this document more carefully before you ask.

# 4. Project Group

All students should work in groups of size no more than 2 members.

#### 5. Testcases

Basic test cases include testing the system for <u>read-heavy</u> and <u>write-heavy</u> workloads. You must also develop your own test cases, and provide documentation that explains how to run each of your test cases, including the expected results.

#### 6. Deliverables

- Design document describing each component.
- User document explaining how to run each component and how to use the service as a whole, including command line syntax, configuration file particulars, and user input interface
- Testing description, including a list of cases attempted (which must include negative cases) and the results.
- Source code, Makefiles and/or a script to start the system. (<u>No ".git", ".idea", "MACOSX" directories, and class (object) files in your submission.</u>)
- Only one submission for each group. (Don't forget put all names in a group)

# 7. Grading

The grade for this assignment will include the following components:

- 40% The document you submit
  - o Detailed description of the system design and operation -10%
  - Description of test cases and the performance evaluation result for the system 30%
- 50% The functionality and correctness of your program
  - o Read, Write operations
- 10% The quality of the source code, in terms of style and in line documentation

You will lose points if there is any exception, crash or freezing on your programs.

## 8. References

• D. K. GIFFORD, Weighted voting for replicated data, in Proc. 7th Annual ACM Symp. Oper. Sys. Principles (SIGOPS), ACM, New York, 1979.