IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A. No.11460 of 2022

Shubham Kumar @ Subham Yadav ... Petitioner

-versus-

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA SEN

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate

Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate

For the Respondents: Mr. Shailendra Kumar Tiwari, A.P.P.

4/ 18.11.2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner is an accused for allegedly committing offence punishable under Sections 212, 353, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 66(B), 66(C), 66(D), 84(C) of the Information Technology Act, in connection with Deoghar Cyber Police Station Case No.105 of 2021 corresponding to Cyber Crime Case No.26 of 2022, pending in the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge II, Deoghar.

Prayer was earlier rejected once. Now, petitioner has renewed his prayer for bail as he is in custody since 10.12.2021.

In this case cognizance has already been taken and charge has been framed, but, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that not a single witness has been examined. Though the petitioner has criminal antecedents, counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated co-accused Santosh Kumar Yadav has been granted bail by this Court.

Considering the aforesaid submissions and also considering the arguments of the learned A.P.P. that the petitioner has got criminal antecedents, yet he cannot deny that the co-accused Santosh Kumar Yadav has been granted bail by this Court, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, petitioner, namely, Shubham Kumar @ Subham Yadav, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Additional Sessions Judge II, Deoghar in connection with Deoghar Cyber Police Station Case No.105 of 2021 corresponding to Cyber Crime Case No.26 of 2022, subject to the condition that petitioner will appear before the Trial Court once in a month, failing which, necessary steps shall be taken for

his re-arrest, further one of the bailor must be a close relative of the petitioner, having sufficient landed property in his own name and he will give an undertaking that he will ensure that the petitioner will appear before the Trial Court once in a month till conclusion of trial.

(Ananda Sen, J.)

Kumar/Cp-03