

07.11.2022

43
sdas
rejected

C.R.M.(DB) No. 3633 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bidhannagar Cyber Police Station Case No. 90 of 2020 dated 28.12.2020 under Sections 469/500/509/376/376(ii)(f)/376(ii)(j)/376D /370(1)(3)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 66E/67A of the Information Technology Act.

And

In Re : Prakash Das petitioner

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty
... for the petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, leaned APP
Ms. Sonali Das
... for the State

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits the petitioner is in custody for 335 days. It is also submitted that he is no way connected with the alleged crime. He prays for bail.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits trial is in progress.

We have considered the materials on record. Statements of the victims show that the petitioner played an active role in procuring the victims who were exploited to make pornography films.

In view of nature of offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein and as trial is in progress, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)