

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A No. 9107 of 2022

Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Yadav @ Santosh Kumar Yadav

.... Petitioner(s).

Versus

State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA SEN.

FOR THE PETITIONER(S) : Mr.A.K. Kashyap, Senior Advocate

Ms. Shalaka Srivastava, Advocate

FOR THE STATE

: Mr. Shailendra Kumar Tiwari, APP

03/23.09.2022

The petitioner, who is accused for the offence punishable under Sections 212, 353, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B IPC and Sections 66 (B), 66(C), 66(D), 84((C) of the Information Technology Act, is praying for grant of regular bail, as he is in custody since 10.12.2021.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner renews his prayer for bail which was twice rejected. It has been submitted that cognizance has been taken and charge has been framed against the petitioner in this case.

Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the period of custody and the fact that charge has already been framed, I am inclined to allow this application. Accordingly, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Deoghar in connection with Cyber Crime Case No. 26 of 2022, arising out of Deoghar Cyber P.S. Case No. 105 of 2021, subject to the condition that petitioner will appear before the trial court once in a month, failing which, necessary steps shall be taken for his re-arrest, further one of the bailor must be the close relative of the petitioner having sufficient landed property in his own name and he will give an undertaking that he will ensure that the petitioner will appear before the trial court once in a month till conclusion of trial.

(ANANDA SEN , J)