

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

227

CRM-M-25368-2022

Date of Decision: 23.11.2022

Kamal @ Tota

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned State counsel has informed that the matter is fixed before the trial Court today itself for final arguments.

In view of the aforesaid position, wherein the matter being at its fag end, this Court does not deem it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage, who stands involved under Sections 354A(2)/354(D)/376/506/450 IPC; Section 3(2)(v) of the SC & ST Act and Section 67(A) of the Information Technology Act.

The trial Court is, however, directed to take all necessary steps for expeditious conclusion of trial.

In case, there is any inordinate delay in conclusion of trial, it shall be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again.

Disposed of accordingly.

23.11.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No