|     |      | Office Notes, reports, |   |
|-----|------|------------------------|---|
|     |      | orders or proceedings  |   |
| SL. | Date | or directions and      | COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS                          |
| No  |      | Registrar's order with |   |
|     |      | Signatures             |   |
|     |      |                        |   |
|     |      |                        | C482 No.1691 of 2022                                |
|     |      |                        | Hon'ble Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.                     |
|     |      |                        |   |
|     |      |                        | Mr. Siddhartha Bankoti, Advocate holding            |
|     |      |                        | brief of Mr. Paritosh Dalakoti, Advocate for the    |
|     |      |                        | applicant.  |
|     |      |                        | Mr. Siddhartha Bisht, Brief Holder for the          |
|     |      |                        | State of Uttarakhand.                               |
|     |      |                        |   |
|     |      |                        | Mr. Jai Prakash, Advocate for respondent no.2.      |
|     |      |                        |   |
|     |      |                        | The parties to the proceedings are present in       |
|     |      |                        | person.  This Court has interacted with             |
|     |      |                        | complainant/respondent no.2. After a prolonged      |
|     |      |                        | interaction though she initially supported the      |
|     |      |                        | compounding application, but later on she revealed  |
|     |      |                        | the fact that in the fake ID, which was created by  |
|     |      |                        | the present applicant, it was her photographs which |
|     |      |                        | were in fact made viral.                            |
|     |      |                        | Owing to the aforesaid statement, which is          |
|     |      |                        | taken on record and which has been recorded in the  |
|     |      |                        | presence of the respective counsels, in the open    |
|     |      |                        | Court, it would suffice enough to not to accept the |
|     |      |                        | compounding application in relation to Criminal     |
|     |      |                        | Case No.1403 of 2020 State vs. Nazim, where he      |
|     |      |                        | has been tried for the offence under Sections 66(c) |
|     |      |                        | and 67 of the Information Technology Act and        |
|     |      |                        | Sections 469 and 509 of IPC registered at P.S.      |
|     |      |                        | Banbhoolpura, Haldwani, District Nainital.          |
|     |      |                        | The C-482 Application is, accordingly               |
|     |      |                        | rejected.   |
|     |      |                        | This Court is of the view, that as a                |
|     |      |                        | consequence of rejection of the present C-482       |
|     |      |                        | Application, and owing to the statement made by     |
|     |      |                        | the applicant/respondent no.2 that she was          |
|     |      |                        | subjected to duress at the behest of the present    |
|     |      |                        | applicant.  |
|     |      |                        | The S.H.O. concerned is directed to provide         |
|     |      |                        | all protection to respondent no.2, from any act of  |

| atrocities by the applicant.  Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the S.H.O. concerned for necessary compliance. |
|---|
| (Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.) 21.09.2022  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

