

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M No.36416 of 2022
Date of Decision: 16.09.2022**

Nanku

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.253 dated 26.08.2021 registered at Police Station Farrukh Nagar, District Gurugram, under Sections 406 and 420 IPC (wherein the offence under Section 66-D of the Information Technology Act, 2000, is stated to have been added later-on), with the allegations that he, along-with his co-accused Aarti, duped informant-Pawan Kumar of an amount of Rs.12.70 lac.

2. Reply submitted on behalf of the respondent-State, by way of the affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Pataudi, Gurugram, is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in the present petition and have also perused the file carefully.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is behind the bars since 27.08.2021 and the Challan has already been presented

and the charges have been framed and the trial proceedings are also going in the case and moreover, the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case of the similar nature and in these circumstances, he deserves the relief as prayed for in the present petition.

5. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position.

6. Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances as well as the fact that no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner in judicial custody any more and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Nanku is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petition in hand stands allowed accordingly.

16.09.2022
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>