Name: ANUJA PATIL Student ID: 862123752

## 1) Implement shared memory

<u>Approach</u>: We want to implement support to enable two processes to share a memory page. This is implemented by having an entry in both process page tables pointing to the same physical page. We already have a test file shm\_cnt.c which forks a process and each process accesses a memory page with same id. We have to write code in shm.c where we have to implement two functions – shm open() and shm close():

- a) shm open():
- (i) We first acquire lock on the shm table.
- (ii) We then find an entry in it with the id matching with the one passed as argument.
- (iii) If an entry is found, that means one process has already allocated a physical page for the memory with given id. Hence, we just have to increment the reference count of that page (to indicate how many processes are sharing this memory). We also create an entry for this in the process' page table by mapping a page. We call mappages() for current process. Size of page allocated is one page size. Virtual address for that page in the process is PGROUNDUP(currProc>sz). After mapping this page, we increment the size of stack pointer to one page size more than current one (after rounding currentProc->sz up as above). We store the virtual address of this page in a pointer passed in argument. Then we release the lock on shm\_table.
- (iv) If an entry in shm\_table having id matching with the passed argument idis not found, then that means current process is the first one to do shm\_open for memory page with given id. We are assuming that only store 64 pages can be stored because shm\_pages array in shm\_table has size 64. Thus, id passed as argument would be in range: 1 <= id <= 64. One way of trying to allocate physical memory page in the shm\_table is to find any entry whose id is 0 in shm\_pages array and allocate page for that entry. Another way is to simply allocate page at that entry which is at position (id-1) in the shm\_pages array (id-1) because arrays are 0 indexed. I preferred the second method. Hence, I set the id of entry (i-1) to argument id. Then, called kalloc() which allocates kernal memory and returns char pointer (address of allocated kernal memory) and assigned it to the frame of shm\_pages[id-1]. We also set this memory to 0 using memset(). Rest is now same as in (ii) from where we call mappages(). Then we release lock on shm\_table.

Following is the implementation of shm open():

```
int shm open(int id, char **pointer) {
  acquire(&(shm_table.lock));
  struct proc* currProc = myproc();
  //find the id in the shm_table
  int i;
  for(i = 0; i < 64; i++) {
   if(shm_table.shm_pages[i].id == id) {
      // Another process did shm_open before current process. So, get the physical page from shm_table
      // and map it to current process's page table using mappages().
      char* mem = shm_table.shm_pages[i].frame;
      uint va = PGROUNDUP(currProc->sz);
      mappages(currProc->pgdir, (void*)va, PGSIZE, V2P(mem), PTE_W|PTE_U);
      shm_table.shm_pages[i].refcnt++;
      *pointer = (char*) va;
      currProc->sz = PGROUNDUP(currProc->sz) + PGSIZE; //update size of process' virtual address space
      release(&(shm_table.lock));
      return 0;
  // Did not find page with id, so current process is the first one to shm_open for this id.
  // We are assuming that 1 \le id \le 64 because there are 64 pages in physical memory.
  shm_table.shm_pages[id-1].id = id;
  shm table.shm pages[id-1].frame = kalloc(); //allocating physical page for new kernal memory
  memset(shm_table.shm_pages[id-1].frame, 0, PGSIZE);
  //Rest is same like the condition "if that id is found in the shm table".
  char* mem = shm_table.shm_pages[id-1].frame;
  uint va = PGROUNDUP(currProc->sz);
  mappages(currProc->pgdir, (void*)va, PGSIZE, Y2P(mem), PTE_W|PTE_U);
  shm_table.shm_pages[id-1].refcnt = 1;
  *pointer = (char*) va;
  currProc->sz = PGROUNDUP(currProc->sz) + PGSIZE; //update size of process' virtual address space
  release(&(shm_table.lock));
  return 0; //added to remove compiler warning -- you should decide what to return
```

## b) shm close():

This implementation is pretty straight-forward. We first acquire lock on shm\_table. We know that physical memory page corresponding to argument id can be found at position (id-1) in the shm\_pages array (because second method was preferred. In case of first method, we would have needed a loop to search for an entry with argument id in the shm\_pages). We now decrement its refcnt and then check if it is greater than 0. If yes, then no worries - leave as it is. If refcnt is equal to 0, then we need to clear the shm\_table. According to the lab4 problem statement, we do not need to free up the page since it is still mapped in the page table. It is okay to leave it that way. Thus, we just reset the fields of shm\_pages[id-1] if its refcnt is 0 after decrementing it. Finally, we release the lock on shm\_table.

Following is the implementation of shm\_open():

```
int shm_close(int id) {
  acquire(&(shm_table.lock));
  // We know that physical memory page corresponding to this id can be found
  // at position (id-1) in the shm_pages array. Hence, we now check its
  // refcnt. Decrement it and then check if it is greater than 0. If yes, then
  // no worries - leave as it is. If refent is equal to 0, then we need to clear
  // the shm_table. According to the lab4 problem statement, we do not need
  // to free up the page since it is still mapped in the page table.
  // It is okay to leave it that way.
  int i = id - 1;
  shm_table.shm_pages[i].refcnt--;
  if(shm_table.shm_pages[i].refcnt == 0) { //Reset this page
    shm_table.shm_pages[i].id = 0;
    shm_table.shm_pages[i].frame = 0;
  release(&(shm table.lock));
  return 0; //added to remove compiler warning -- you should decide what to return
```

2) To make and run the shm cnt.c: (We are inside xv6 folder)

> make clean

> make gemu-nox

This will open gemu console. Then run:

\$ shm cnt

We can see how 2 processes created – child and parent are accessing same memory page (with id 1 as given in shm\_cnt.c). The virtual address of the page is returned by process in shm\_open()

inside the char\*\* variable "counter". This counter is shared between the parent and child processes. Thus, we can see that the address 4000 is same for both the processes, and the loop runs 10000 times each for parent and child. We can also see that once the counter started counting for a process, and there is a context switch to other process, then the other process will continue counting where the last process had left, indicating that it is shared. Thus, the last process to end the program has counter value 20000 and not 10000.

Following is the screenshot of output:

```
{\tt \sim--} a pati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim/xv6---} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge: {\tt \sim--} ssh a pati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.edu \\ \times \\ apati 027 @ sledge.cs.ucr.
iPXE (http://ipxe.org) 00:03.0 C980 PCI2.10 PnP PMM+1FF94780+1FED4780 C980
Booting from Hard Disk..xv6...
cpu0: starting 0
sb: size 1000 nblocks 941 ninodes 200 nlog 30 logstart 2 inodestart 32 bmap start 58
init: starting sh
[$ shm cnt
Counter in Parent is 1 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 1001 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 2001 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 3001 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 4001 at address 4000
Counter in Counter in Child is 5002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 6002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 7002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 8002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 9002 at address 4000
Counter in ChildParent is 5001 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 11002 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 12002 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 13002 at address 4000
Counter in Parent is 14002 at address is 10002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 15002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 16002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 17002 at address 4000
Counter in Child is 18002 at address 4000
s 4000
Counter in parent is 19001
Counter in child is 20000
```

## **Summary for lab4:**

The lab4 was about implementing support to enable two processes to share a memory page. We could learn how the pages are shared between processes via the shm\_table and id for each memory page.