Regularized AFT models

Anuj Khare

This has been adapted from the Survival package vignette.

Model definition

Inputs: X_{n*p} , T_{n*2} , density f... Parameters: Coefficients $\beta = [\beta_0, \beta_1..., \beta_p]^T$, Scale σ where, β_0 is the intercept.

The type of censoring is determined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Left censoring} & \text{if: } -\infty = \underline{t}_i \text{ , } \overline{t}_i < \infty \\ \text{Right censoring} & \text{if: } -\infty < \underline{t}_i \text{ , } \overline{t}_i = \infty \\ \text{Interval censoring} & \text{if: } -\infty < \underline{t}_i \neq \overline{t}_i < \infty \\ \text{No censoring} & \text{if: } -\infty < \underline{t}_i = \overline{t}_i < \infty \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

Define the transformed output,

$$y_i = trans(T_i) \tag{2}$$

where trans depends on the distribution, and is log for the log-gaussian, log-logistic distributions, and so on.

The model is defined by the equation:

$$y = X\beta + \sigma\epsilon \tag{3}$$

where, $\epsilon \sim f$. Thus,

$$e_i = \frac{y_i - x_i^T \beta}{\sigma} \sim f \tag{4}$$

We define the elastic net (L1 + L2) penalty as follows:

$$\lambda P_{\alpha}(\beta) = \lambda(\alpha \|\beta\|_1 + 1/2(1-\alpha)\|\beta\|_2^2) \tag{5}$$

Our objective is to maximize the penalized, scaled log likelihood:

$$\hat{\beta} = argmax_{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{n} l(\beta) - \lambda P_{\alpha}(\beta) \right)$$
 (6)

Likelihood

For calculating likelihood, in the observations with no censoring, the pdf is used, and in censored observations, the cdf is used. Hence, the likelihood is given as:

$$lik = \left(\prod_{exact} f(e_i)/\sigma\right) \left(\prod_{right} 1 - F(e_i)\right) \left(\prod_{left} F(e_i)\right) \left(\prod_{interval} F(e_i^u) - F(e_i^l)\right)$$
(7)

"Exact", "left", "right", and "interval" refer to uncensored, left censored, right censored and interval censored observations respectively, and F is the cdf of the distribution. e_i^u , and e_i^l are upper and lower endpoints for interval censored data.

Hence the log likelihood is given as:

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{exact} g_1(e_i) - \log(\sigma) + \sum_{right} g_2(e_i) + \sum_{left} g_3(e_i) + \sum_{interval} g_4(e_i^l, e_i^u)$$
 (8)

$$g_1 = \log(f), g_2 = \log(1 - F), g_3 = \log(F), g_4(e_i^l, e_i^u) = \log(F(e_i^u) - F(e_i^l)).$$

Score and Hessian

Derivatives of the LL with respect to the regression parameters are:

$$\frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta_i} \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta_i}$$
(9)

$$\frac{\partial^2 l(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j \beta_k} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} x_{ik} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \eta_i^2} \tag{10}$$

where $\eta_i = x_i^T \beta$ is the vector of linear predictors.

Define $\mu_i = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta_i}$, where g is one of g_1 to g_4 depending on type of censoring in the i^{th} observation, and $\mu = [\mu_1, ... \mu_n]^T$. Then, partial derivative of log-likelihood is given as:

$$\frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} \mu_i \tag{11}$$

Hence, the score (gradient of log likelihood) is given as:

$$S = \nabla_{\beta} l(\beta) = X^T \mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \overline{x}_i$$
 (12)

The hessian can be written as:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{x}_{i} \overline{x}_{i}^{T} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial \eta_{i}^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{x}_{i} \overline{x}_{i}^{T} w_{i}$$

$$\tag{13}$$

Define $W = diag(w_1, ...w_n)$.

$$H = X^T W X \tag{14}$$

Taylor approximation for log-likeihood

A 2-step Taylor series centered at $\widetilde{\beta}$ is given as: We use Newton's algorithm to find MLE for the AFT model. The Newton update is as follows:

$$\beta = \widetilde{\beta} + H^{-1}\widetilde{S}$$

$$= \widetilde{\beta} + (X^{T}\widetilde{W}X)^{-1}X^{T}\widetilde{\mu}$$

$$= (X^{T}\widetilde{W}X)^{-1}((X^{T}\widetilde{W}X)\widetilde{\beta} + X^{T}\widetilde{\mu})$$

$$= (X^{T}\widetilde{W}X)^{-1}X^{T}(\widetilde{W}X\widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\mu})$$

$$= (X^{T}\widetilde{W}X)^{-1}X^{T}(\widetilde{W}X\widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\mu})$$

$$= (X^{T}\widetilde{W}X)^{-1}X^{T}(\widetilde{W}X\widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\mu})$$
(15)

where, define the working response $\widetilde{z} = X\widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{W}^{-1}\widetilde{\mu}$. Here, the tilde denotes that the respective values are evaluated using the parameters from the previous step.

Coordinate descent

Hence, at each step we are solving a penalized weighted least squares problem, which is a minimizer of (using the scaled approximate log-likelihood):

$$M = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{w}_i (\widetilde{z}_i - \overline{x}_i^T \beta)^2 + \lambda P_\alpha(\beta)$$
 (16)

The subderivative of the optimization objective is given as:

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial \beta_k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -\widetilde{w}_i x_{ik} (\widetilde{z}_i - \overline{x}_i^T \beta) + \lambda \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(\beta_k) + \lambda (1 - \alpha) \beta_k$$
 (17)

where, $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta_k)$ is 1 if $\beta_k > 1$, -1 if $\beta_k < 0$ and 0 if $\beta_k = 0$. Using the subderivative, three cases of solutions for β_k may be obtained. The solution is given by:

$$\hat{\beta}_k = \frac{S\left(-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{w}_i x_{ik} \left[\widetilde{z}_i - \sum_{j \neq k} x_{ij}\beta_j\right], \lambda\alpha\right)}{-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^p \widetilde{w}_i x_{ik}^2 + \lambda(1-\alpha)}$$
(18)

where, w_i and z_i are given in 13 and 16 respectively, and S is the soft thresholding operator given as:

$$S(x,\lambda) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)(|x| - \lambda)_{+} \tag{19}$$

The intercept is not regularized, and hence can be calculated as:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{w}_i \left[\widetilde{z}_i - \sum_{j \neq 0} x_{ij} \beta_j \right]}{-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^p \widetilde{w}_i}$$
(20)

The coordinate descent algorithm works by cycling through each β_j in turn, keeping the others constant, and using the above estimate to calculate the optimal value $\hat{\beta}_j$.

After each update cycle for β , the scale parameter σ is updated once using a Newton step:

$$\sigma_{new} = \sigma_{old} - \left(\frac{\partial l^2(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial l(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma}\right)$$
 (21)

This is repeated until convergence of both β and σ . Note that we have ignored the off-diagonal entries in the Hessian for the scale parameter.

Pathwise solution

This section is borrowed from section 2.3 of [3]. The iregnet function will return solutions for an entire path of vaules of λ , for a fixed α . We begin with λ sufficiently large to set the solution $\beta = 0$, and decrease λ until we arrive near the unregularized solution. The solutions for each value of λ are used as the initial estimates of β for the next λ value. This is known as warm starting, and makes the algorithm efficient and stable. To choose initial value of λ , we use Equation 18, and notice that for $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(0) x_{ij} z(0)_i < \alpha \lambda$ for all j, then $\beta = 0$ minimizes the objective 6. Thus,

$$\lambda_{max} = max_j \frac{1}{n\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(0) x_{ij} z(0)_i$$
 (22)

We will set $\lambda_{min} = \epsilon \lambda_{max}$, and compute solutions over a grid of m values, where $\lambda_j = \lambda_{max} (\lambda_{min}/\lambda_{max})^{j/m}$ for j = 0, ..., m.

Algorithm

```
The algorithm to be followed for fitting the distribution is: 
 Transform output variable y using log transformation; 
 Calculate \lambda_{max} using equation 22, and set \widetilde{\beta}=0, \widetilde{\eta}=0; 
 Calculate \lambda_{min} and a grid of m \lambda values; 
 foreach \lambda_j in j=m,...,0 do 
 | repeat | Compute \widetilde{w}_i and \widetilde{z}_i; 
 Find \widehat{\beta} by solving the penalized weighted least square problem defined in equation 6 using coordinate descent; 
 Set \widetilde{\beta}=\widehat{\beta}; 
 until convergence of \widehat{\beta}; 
 Set \widetilde{\beta}=\widehat{\beta}, \widetilde{\eta}=X\widetilde{\beta}; 
 end
```

Algorithm 1: Overall optimization algorithm

Scale parameter

So far, I have ignored the σ parameter from the calculations and equations. This is only reasonable if we treat σ as fixed. However, in other cases, σ needs to estimated along with the parameters β , by using the derivatives as listed below.

Derivatives

Iterations are done with respect to $\log(\sigma)$ to prevent numerical underflow.

$$\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right]
\frac{\partial g_4}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[\frac{f(z^u) - f(z^l)}{F(z^u) - F(z^l)} \right]
\frac{\partial^2 g_1}{\partial \eta^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\frac{f''(z)}{f(z)} \right] - (\partial g_1 / \partial \eta)
\frac{\partial^2 g_4}{\partial \eta^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\frac{f'(z^u) - f'(z^l)}{F(z^u) - F(z^l)} \right] - (\partial g_4 / \partial \eta)^2
\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \log \sigma} = -\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]
\frac{\partial g_4}{\partial \log \sigma} = -\left[\frac{z^u f(z^u) - z^l f(z^l)}{F(z^u) - F(z^l)} \right]
\frac{\partial^2 g_1}{\partial (\log \sigma)^2} = \left[\frac{z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right] - (\partial g_1 / \partial \log \sigma)^2
\frac{\partial^2 g_4}{\partial (\log \sigma)^2} = \left[\frac{(z^u)^2 f'(z^u) - (z^l)^2 f'(z^l)}{F(z^u) - F(z^l)} \right] - (\partial g_1 / \partial \log \sigma)(1 + \partial g_1 / \partial \log \sigma)
\frac{\partial^2 g_1}{\partial \eta \partial \log \sigma} = \left[\frac{z f''(z)}{\sigma f(z)} \right] - (\partial g_1 / \partial \eta)(1 + \partial g_1 / \partial \log \sigma)
\frac{\partial^2 g_4}{\partial \eta \partial \log \sigma} = \left[\frac{z^u f'(z^u) - z^l f'(z^l)}{\sigma [F(z^u) - F(z^l)]} \right] - (\partial g_4 / \partial \eta)(1 + \partial g_4 / \partial \log \sigma)$$
(23)

Derivatives for g_2 can be obtained by setting z_u to inf in the equations for g_4 , and similarly for g_3 .

The distribution specific values of f(z), etc. are omitted.

Subgradient of Cost

The cost to be minimized is the negative of the penalized, scaled log-likelihood:

$$J(\beta) = \left(-\frac{1}{n}l(\beta) + \lambda P_{\alpha}(\beta)\right) \tag{24}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = argmin_{\beta} \left(-\frac{1}{n} l(\beta) + \lambda P_{\alpha}(\beta) \right)$$
 (25)

The subderivative of the cost is given as:

$$\nabla_{\beta} J = -\frac{1}{n} S(\beta) + \lambda \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(\beta) + \lambda (1 - \alpha) \beta \tag{26}$$

where, $sgn(\beta)$ is calculated element-wise on the vector. S is the score as given in 12.

The closeness of the degree 1, 2, and inf norms of the subderivate to zero can be used as a mteric for judging the optimality of the obtained solutions.

Bibliography

- [1] Survival Terry M Therneau
- [2] Machine Learning: A Probabilistic Perspective Kevin Murphy
- [3] Regularization Paths for Cox's Proportional Hazards Model via Coordinate Descent Simon, Friedman, Hastie, Tibshirani
- [4] AFT TD Hocking