Feedback Comments

Task 1:

The analysis is well-done, with a comprehensive coverage of defects, accurate classifications, and relevant and detailed descriptions.

It meets the criteria for an excellent evaluation of the vision document's inconsistencies.

Task 2:

The provided evaluation doesn't specify the exact category for Task 2, but it does state that the evaluator correctly calculates the total number of conflicts and overlaps.

Task 3:

The evaluator has successfully covered all the conflicts specified in the previous section, used correct operators for resolving the conflicts, fully explained how the operators solve the conflicts, and provided at least 2 operators for each conflict.

Task 4:

The evaluator have proposed clear evaluation criteria based on Non-functional Requirements (NFR) and selected the correct weight for all evaluation criteria according to project objectives.

Task 5:

The evaluator have used 3 techniques to identify all the risks, accurately assessed their likelihood of occurrence and the severity of possible consequences, identified countermeasures for all the risks, provided 2 countermeasure alternatives for each risk, and evaluated them using RRL or weighted matrices.