

**22.03.2023**

**Serial no. 11**

[Dd]

(Anticipatory bail)

**(Rejected)**

**CRM (A) 1161 of 2023**

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Bhutni** Police Station Case No. **250** of **2022** dated **04.12.2022** under Sections **448/376/506** of the IPC read with adding Section **67A** of the Information Technology Act, corresponding to G.R. case no. 7530 of 2022.

-And-

In the matter of : **Pintu Mandal**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Kalidas Saha, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury,

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty, Advocates

... ...For the State

The victim recorded a statement under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code where, she states that the petitioner used to rape her on the threat of killing her daughter. Offensive video photograph was taken of the incident.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that video photograph as claimed by the de facto complainant was found in the Internet.

In such circumstances, considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

**CRM (A) 1161 of 2023** is **dismissed**.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)**