SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
	10.11.2022		C482 No. 2003 of 2022
			Hon'ble Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.
			Mr. Amar Murti Shukla, Advocate,
			for the applicants.
			Mr. T.C. Aggarwal, Deputy Advocate
			General, along with Mr. Tumul Kumar
			Nainwal, Brief Holder, for the State.
			Mr. Amish Tiwari, Advocate, for the
			complainant.
			Though this C482 Application is
			listed today on a Compounding Application
			in a proceeding, which stood instituted at
			the behest of registration of an FIR, being
			FIR No. 3 dated 16th February 2022, the
			complainant/respondent No. 2, herein has
			levelled a set of allegations initially against
			the unnamed person for having wrongfully
			withdrawn a sum of about Rs. 11 lakh, from
			his account, by use of fraudulent ATMs.
			The matter was investigated upon
			and during the course of investigation, the
			involvement of the present accused
			persons, who are the applicants herein was
			reflecting during the course of the
			investigation and hence they were charge
			sheeted by the Investigating Officer for the
			offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471
			& 120B of IPC and Section 66D of the
			Information Technology Act.
			The complainant has made a
			statement before this Court, that he has
			already received the amount, which was

said to have been wrongfully withdrawn by the present applicants, as would be apparent from the respective Compounding Application, which has been duly endorsed by the present applicants, as well as the complainant.

lt might be a fact, that the complainant's grievance stands redressed, because the amount, which was said to have been wrongly withdrawn from his account, has been paid by the present applicants, but that in itself cannot be a reason to accept a made in the Compounding averments Application, because the compounding application, it would be amounting, to an admission of an offence, by the present and owing to the applicants set allegations levelled in the FIR and stood established by the Chargesheet and the consequential summoning order, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the present C482 Application as some of the offences being tried are not compoundable.

Accordingly, the C482 Application stands dismissed.

The learned trial Court will make all efforts, to expedite the trial of the proceedings.

Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.) 10.11.2022

Mahinder/