

**HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL**

**First Bail Application No. 1658 of 2021**

Prashant @ Dhananjay .....Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand .....Respondent

Present:-

Mr. Narendra Bali, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. Lalit Miglani, A.G.A. with Ms. Sonika Khulbe, Brief Holder for the State.

**Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)**

Applicant Prashant @ Dhananjay in judicial custody in FIR No.08 of 2021, under Sections 376, 323, 506 IPC and Section 67/67(A) of the Information Technology Act, 2000, Police Station Rudraur, District-Udham Singh Nagar. He has sought his release on bail.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the applicant that the victim has not supported the prosecution case.

4. Learned State Counsel admits that the victim, in her examination in the court, did not support the prosecution case. He would submit that there is no

mention of any biological or forensic evidence against the applicant in the counter affidavit filed by the State.

5. Having considered, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

6. The bail application is allowed.

7. Let the applicant be released on bail, on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)  
11.10.2022

Ravi Bisht