# **Car Price Prediction using Regression**

# **Problem Statement**

A Chinese automobile company Geely Auto aspires to enter the US market by setting up their manufacturing unit there and producing cars locally to give competition to their US and European counterparts

We are required to model the price of cars with the available independent variables. It will be used by the management to understand how exactly the prices vary with the independent variables. They can accordingly manipulate the design of the cars, the business strategy etc. to meet certain price levels. Further, the model will be a good way for management to understand the pricing dynamics of a new market.

#### **Setting Up Python Environment:**

# **Import Python Libraries**

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline
import seaborn as sns
sns.set_style('darkgrid')
pd.set_option('display.max_columns', 30)
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
from xgboost import XGBRegressor
from sklearn import metrics
```

<u>Attributes</u>: The series of attributes in the dataset can be found by using 'DataFrame.columns' in the python kernel. Attributes in the Telecom Churn dataset are as shown in the below screenshot from the notebook. (\*telecom is a copy of original dataframe object 'df')

# EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS

# **Data-Preprocessing**

*Data cleaning* is fixing or removing incorrect, corrupted, incorrectly formatted, duplicate, or incomplete data within a dataset. The given dataset contains 26 features and 205 objects.


1. Splitting company name from carname in order to study the market of brands as whole not individual cars.


2. Wrong spellings of company name e.g maxda and mazda are same, volkswagen, vokswagen and vw are same company. To correct this we replaced wrong names with correct ones.


- 3. No Duplicated or Null objects were found in the dataset.
- 4. <u>Feature Generation:</u> Created new feature 'fueleconomy' with the help of existing features 'citympg' and 'highwaympg'.

# **Understanding and Visualising Data**

## **Price Distribution:**









# **Analyzing Categorical Variables:**


1. Average Price of cars of each company:





# Inferences:


- Toyota makes the most buyed cars with Average Price around 10000.
- Jaguar, Buick, and Porsche seems to have highest average price.
- 2. Price vs fueltype, enginetype, cartype and door number



# Inference:

- Number of  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize qas}}$  fueled cars are more than  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize diesel}}$  fueled.
- Median price of  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize qas}}$  fueled cars is lesser than  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize diesel}}$  cars.
- ohc is the most preferred engine type with average price around 15000.
- $_{
  m sedan}$  is the top car type prefered.  $_{
  m convertible}$  and  $_{
  m hatchback}$  cars have higher average price Cars with 4  $_{
  m doors}$  were preferred over 2 doors


# 3. Price vs Symboling, aspiration and drivewheel



#### Inference:

- 1. Mostly sold cars have symboling values between 0 and 1.
- 2. Cars with lower symboling values have higher price.
- 3. Aspiration with turbo have higher interquartile range of price than std
- 4. Most high range cars seems to prefer rwd(rear-wheel-drive) drivewheel

# 4. Fuelsysytem and enginelocation



#### Inference:

- mofi and 2bb1 are most common type of fuel systems. mpfi and idi having the highest price range. But there are few data for other categories to derive any meaningful inference.
- Most cars have enginelocation as front. Very few datapoints for further infeence to be made.

# **Analyzing Numerical Attributes**

# Correlation Heatmap:



Inference:

- enginesize, boreratio, horsepower, wheelbase have a significant positive correlation with price.
- citympq, highwaympq have a significant negative correlation with price.
- carwidth, carlength and curbweight seems to have a poitive correlation with price.
- carheight doesn't show any significant trend with price.

# Enginesize and horsepower with price

## Fuel Economy vs Price Enginesize vs Price 45000 45000 120 200240280 200 35000 35000 30000 30000 g 25000 g 25000 20000 20000 10000 5000

## inference:

- fueleconomy has an obvious negative correlation with price and is significant.
- Cars with
  more horsepower
  have
  higher price and
  low fueleconomy.

<sup>•</sup> enginesize is highly correlated with price

# **Model Building and Evaluation**

Before fitting data to our models, we need to follow below steps


- Getting Dummy variables to convert categorical attributes into numeric features.
- Scaling data using standard scaler to remove mean and making each feature variance to 1.
- Performing Dimensionality Reduction using Principal Component Analysis.
- Splitting data into 70% training and 30% test set.

# 1: Linear Regression Model

#### Metrics:

Mean Absolute Error: 1613.5 Mean Squared Error: 4443660.48 Root Mean Squared Error: 2108.0 Mean Absolute Percentage Error: 0.14

R2 score: 0.94




## 2: Decision Tree Regression Model

#### Metrics:

Mean Absolute Error: 1813.25 Mean Squared Error: 7235251.61 Root Mean Squared Error: 2689.84 Mean Absolute Percentage Error: 0.14

R2 score: 0.91




# 3: Random Forest Regression Model

#### Metrics:

Mean Absolute Error: 1281.13 Mean Squared Error: 3812013.65 Root Mean Squared Error: 1952.44 Mean Absolute Percentage Error: 0.1

R2 score: 0.95




# 4: Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBRegression)

#### Metrics:

Mean Absolute Error: 1352.34 Mean Squared Error: 4629922.31 Root Mean Squared Error: 2151.73 Mean Absolute Percentage Error: 0.1

R2 score: 0.94




# 5: Neural Network based Regression Model

#### Metrics:

Mean Absolute Error: 1825.35 Mean Squared Error: 5721604.3 Root Mean Squared Error: 2391.99 Mean Absolute Percentage Error: 0.15

R2 score: 0.93



# **Result Interpretation:**

- This problem statement was identified as Regression problem.
- Used several regression models to predict the price of the cars with least error.
- The Multiple Linear Regression, Decision Tree Regression, Random Forest Regression and XGBRegression performs very well on the training as well as test sets with R2 regression scores of 0.94, 0.91, 0.95 and 0.94 respectively.
- Among all models the Random Forest Regression technique performs best, but the difference is not much. Any of the three models can be used by the company.
- We also tried regression using Sequential Neural Networks, produced output has a Root mean square error value of 2391.99 and R2 score of 0.93 which is also a good score.