

Total No. of Questions: 6

Total No. of Printed Pages: 3

Enrollment No.....



Faculty of Science

End Sem (Even) Examination May-2022

MA5CO07 Real Analysis -II

Programme: M.Sc.

Branch/Specialisation: Mathematics

Duration: 3 Hrs.

Maximum Marks: 60

Note: All questions are compulsory. Internal choices, if any, are indicated. Answers of Q.1 (MCQs) should be written in full instead of only a, b, c or d.

- Q.1 i. Let $\{A_n\}$ be a collection of sets of real number and m^* is outer measure, then- 1
- (a) $m^*(\cup A_n) \geq \sum m^*(A_n)$
 - (b) $m^*(\cup A_n) \leq \sum m^*(A_n)$
 - (c) $m^*(\cup A_n) = \sum m^*(A_n)$
 - (d) None of these
- ii. If A is countable set, then $m^*(A)$ is equal to- 1
- (a) 0
 - (b) 1
 - (c) \emptyset
 - (d) 2
- iii. If c is a constant and f is measurable real valued function then- 1
- (a) $f+c$ is measurable function
 - (b) $f+c$ is non-measurable function
 - (c) $c.f$ is not measurable function
 - (d) None of these
- iv. If $f^*(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$ and $f^*(x) = \min\{-f(x), 0\}$ then- 1
- (a) $|f| = f^+ + f^-$
 - (b) $|f| = f^+ - f^-$
 - (c) $|f| = f^+$
 - (d) $|f| = f^-$
- v. If f and g is measurable function and $f \leq g$ almost everywhere then- 1
- (a) $\int_E f = \int_E g$
 - (b) $\int_E f > \int_E g$
 - (c) $\int_E f \leq \int_E g$
 - (d) None of these

P.T.O.

(MA5C007) Solution by scheme

Rules

Q1 MCQs

1 Ans (b) $m^*(\bigcup A_n) \leq \sum m^*(A)$

2 Ans (a) 0

3 Ans (g) $f+g$

4 Ans (a) $|f| = f^+ + f^-$

5 Ans (c) $\int f \leq \int g$

6 Ans. (c) $f < \infty$

7 Ans. (a) $N(f) = f(b) - f(a)$

8 Ans. (b) $\|f+g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2 + \|g\|_2$

9 Ans. (c) Complete

10 Ans. (a) $\|f-g\|_2 < \epsilon$

Q2(i)

2 (i) Case I:-

Suppose I is a closed finite interval
say $[a, b]$.

For given $\epsilon > 0$, we see that open
interval $(a - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, b + \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ contains $[a, b]$. 1

So we have $m^*(I) \leq l((a - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, b + \frac{\epsilon}{2}))$

$= b - a + \epsilon$

Since this being true for each $\epsilon > 0$
so on letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we must
have $m^*(I) \leq b - a = l(I)$

Thus I in order to complete the proof

we need to show that

$$m^*(I) \geq b-a \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

By definition of outer measure we have $m^*(I) = \inf \sum_i l(I_i)$

where the infimum is taken over all countable collections $\{I_i\}$ of open intervals such that $I \subset \bigcup_i I_i$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

$$m^*(I) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n) - \epsilon \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\sum_n l(I_n) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k l(a_i, b_i)$$

$$= (b_k - a_k) + (b_{k-1} - a_{k-1}) + \dots$$

$$+ \dots + (b_1 - a_1)$$

$$= b_k - a_1 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \because a_i < b_{i-1} \forall i \\ \therefore b_k > b \text{ and } a_1 < a \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{So } m^*(I) \geq b-a-\epsilon$$

so as letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we must have

$$m^*(I) \geq b-a \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Hence from (1) and (2), we get

$$m^*(I) = b-a$$

Case 2:- Suppose I is any finite interval:-

Then given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a closed interval $J \subset I$ such that

$$I(J) > l(I) - \varepsilon$$

Therefore $l(I) - \varepsilon < l(J)$

$$= m^*(J)$$

$$\leq m^*(I) \leq m^*(\bar{I})$$

$$= l(\bar{I}) = l(I).$$

$$\Rightarrow l(I) - \varepsilon < m^*(I) \leq l(I)$$

This is true for each $\varepsilon > 0$ Hence $m^*(I) = l(I)$

Case 3:- Suppose I is an infinite interval

Then given any real number $K > 0$

there exists a closed finite interval

$J \subset I$ such that $I(J) = K$

$$\text{Thus, } m^*(I) \geq m^*(J) = l(J) = K ;$$

$m^*(I) \geq K$ for any arbitrary real number $K > 0$ Hence

$$m^*(I) = \infty = l(I) \quad (Q.E.D)$$

^{sol:} (iii) we prove the theorem by induction on n

Sol(ii) For $n=1$,

the result is trivially true

For $n=2$

$$\text{we have } m^*\left(A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^2 E_i \right]\right) = m^*(A \cap [E_1, E_2])$$

$$= m^*((A \cap E_1) \cup (A \cap E_2))$$

$$= m^*(A \cap E_1) + m(A \cap E_2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^2 m^*(A \cap E_i)$$

1

Hence theorem is true for $n=2$

Assume that the result is true for $n-1$

sets E_i , we would have

$$m^*(A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i \right]) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m^*(A \cap E_i)$$

Adding $m^*(A \cap E_n)$ on both sides we get

$$m^*\left(A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right]\right) + m^*(A \cap E_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_i) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Since E_i are disjoint sets, we have

$$A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right] \cap E_n = A \cap E_n \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

and

$$A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right] \cap E_n^c = (A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i \right] \cap E_n^c) \\ \cup (A \cap E_n \cap E_n^c)$$

$$= (A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i \right]) \cup (A \cap \emptyset)$$

$$= (A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i \right]) \cup \emptyset$$

$$= A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i \right] \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

from (1), (2) and (3) eq. gives

$$m^*(A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right] \cap E_n^c) + m^*(A \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right] \cap E_n)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_i) \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{1}$$

Hence

$$m^*[A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i)] = \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_i) \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{2(i)}$$

2(iii)

Again let A be any set. Since E_i is a measurable set,

$$\text{we have } m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E_i) + m^*(A \cap E_i^c) \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{1}$$

Clearly, the sets $E_1 \cup E_2$,
 $[E_1 \cup E_2] \cap E_1$ and
 $[E_1 \cup E_2] \cap E_1^c$ are

measurable.

So on taking $A = E_1 \cup E_2$ in eq $\textcircled{1}$
we obtain

$$m(E_1 \cup E_2) = m([E_1 \cup E_2] \cap E_1) + \\ m([E_1 \cup E_2] \cap E_1^c)$$

$$\Rightarrow m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) =$$

$$m([E_1 \cup E_2] \cup E_1) + m([E_1 \cup E_2] \cap E_1^c) \\ + m(E_1 \cap E_2)$$

on adding $m(E_1 \cap E_2)$ both sides

$$\Rightarrow m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) = m(E_1) + m([E_1 \cap E_2] \cap \\ E_1) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{2}$$

$$m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) = m(E_1) + m(E_2)$$

23

i)

S(i) Proof -

For each $n \in E$, let us define

$$f^*(x) = \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}$$

$$= \max\{f_1(x_1), f_2(x_1), f_3(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_1)\}$$

$$\text{and } f^*(x) = \min\{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x)\} \quad |$$

Then $f^*(x)$ can be defined as follows

$$f^*(x) = -\max\{-f_1(x), -f_2(x), \dots, -f_n(x)\}$$

True if we show that $f^*(x)$ is measurable on E , the result will follow.

To prove this, it is enough to prove that $E(f^* > a)$ is a measurable set for every real number a .
 f_i is a measurable function on E .

$\Rightarrow E(f_i > a)$ is a measurable set $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\text{Evidently } E(f^* > a) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E(f_i > a)$$

= a finite union of measurable

sets

= a measurable set

$E(f^* \Delta g)$ is a measurable set by
definition.

2

This proves that $E(f^* \Delta g)$ is measurable

Hence

$\max\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ and

$\min\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ are measurable

At 3(i)

1)

ii) If f is a measurable function defined on a measurable set E and if f and g are equivalent (equal a.e.) functions, then to show that g is a measurable function on E .

Let a be any real number.

Since f and g are equivalent function i.e. $f = g$, almost everywhere on E therefore by definition

$$m[E(f \neq g)] = 0$$

1

Let $E(f \neq g) = A$ and $E(f = g) = B$

$\Rightarrow B = A^c$, where A^c is the complement of A relative to E . Also $E = A \cup B$ and

$$A \cap B = \emptyset$$

Again $B = A^c$ is a measurable set as

1

A is measurable set.

f is measurable over $E \Rightarrow$

f is measurable over BCE

$\Rightarrow B(f \geq a)$ is a measurable set.

But $f = g$ on B , $B(f \geq a) = B(g \geq a)$. Thus

$\Rightarrow B(g \geq a)$ is a measurable set.

$\Rightarrow f$ is g measurable over E

Now $E(g \geq a) = [B(g \geq a)] \cup [A(g \geq a)]$

= Union of two measurable sets;

for $A(g \geq a) \subset A$ and $m(A) = 0$

and also every subset of measure zero is measurable.

Thus $E(g \geq a)$ is a measurable set.

Hence function g is measurable on E where f and g are equivalent.

QED 3(iii)

3(iii)

Ans(iii) It is sufficient to show that $E(f \geq a)$ is measurable.

Let f be a continuous function defined on a measurable set E .

Let a be any real number.

We now claim that $E(f \geq a)$ is closed

Let $A = E(f \geq a)$ (1)

To prove that A is closed, it is just

sufficient to show that $D(A) \subseteq A$ — (2)

$D(A)$ being derived set of A

Let $\alpha \in D(A)$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\alpha \in D(A)$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha \in D(A) \Rightarrow \alpha$ is a limit point of A

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ whose elements $\gamma_n \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \alpha$

Moreover, f is continuous at α

it follows by Heine's definition of continuity that $\gamma_n \rightarrow \alpha$

$$\Rightarrow f(\gamma_n) \rightarrow f(\alpha) \quad \text{--- (3)} \quad 2$$

By eq(1), we see that

$$\gamma_n \in A \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\gamma_n) \geq a \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_n) \geq a$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha) \geq a \text{ by eq (3)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \in A, \text{ by eq (1)}$$

Further, any $\alpha \in D(A) \Rightarrow \alpha \in A$

$$\Rightarrow D(A) \subseteq A$$

$\Rightarrow A$ is closed

$\Rightarrow A$ is measurable

$\Rightarrow E(f \geq a)$ is measurable

Hence f is a measurable function

on the set E . Ans 3(iii) 2

Q4(i)

Since f is Riemann integrable over $[a, b]$

we have

$$\lim_{\Phi_i \leq f} \int_a^b \psi(x) dx = \sup_{\Phi_i \leq f} \int_a^b \Phi_i(x) dx = R \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

where

Φ are step functions on $[a, b]$

ψ are step functions on $[a, b]$

But every step function is a simple function

Therefore

$$\sup_{\Phi_i \leq f} \int_a^b \Phi_i(x) dx \leq \sup_{\Phi \leq f} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx$$

$$\inf_{\psi_i \geq f} \int_a^b \psi_i(x) dx \geq \inf_{\psi \geq f} \int_a^b \psi(x) dx$$

2

where Φ and ψ vary over all simple functions defined on $[a, b]$. Thus, in view of the above relations we have

$$R \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \sup_{\Phi \leq f} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \leq$$

$$\inf_{\psi \geq f} \int_a^b \psi(x) dx \leq$$

$$R \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\phi \leq f} \int_a^b \phi(x) dx = \inf_{\phi \geq f} \int_a^b \phi(x) dx$$

$$= R \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_a^b f(x) dx = R \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Hence proved

$$R \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx \quad [R=R] \quad 2$$

Any ϵ (i)

Riemann Integral

4(iii)

Solution: Statement:-

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions and

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ a.e. on } E$$

2

$$\text{Then } \int_E f \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n$$

Proof:- without loss of generality we may assume that the sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges to f everywhere on E since the integrals over the sets of measure zero are zero.

Let h be a bounded measurable function such that $h \leq f$ and

which vanishes outside a set E' of finite measure, viz

$$E' = m(\{x \in E : h(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty$$

Define a sequence $\{h_n\}$ of functions by setting

$$h_n(x) = \min\{h(x), f_n(x)\}$$

Then it is clear that each h_n is bounded by the bounds of h and vanishes outside E' .

Moreover, we note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min\{h(x), f_n(x)\} \\ = h(x), x \in E'$$

Thus, $\{h_n\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of measurable functions such that $h_n \leq f \Rightarrow h_n \leq h$ on E'

Therefore, by the Bounded convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{E'} (h) = \int_{E'} (h) \text{ over } E' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E'} h_n \\ \Rightarrow \int_E h = \int_{E'} h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E'} h_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n$$

Hence taking the sup over all $h \leq f$,

we get

$$\int_E f \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n$$

Hence proved
Ans 4(i)

4 (iii)

sol 4(iii) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = |x|$
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

where \mathbb{R} is real numbers set
we know that

$$D^+ f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad (\text{upper right d})$$

$$D_+ f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad (\text{lower right d})$$

$$D^- f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad (\text{upper left d})$$

$$D_- f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad (\text{lower left d})$$

Now $|x| = +x \quad x > 0$
 $= 0 \quad x = 0$
 $= -x \quad x < 0.$



Now from (i) and (2)

$$D^+ f(0) = D_+ f(0) = 1$$

Since $D^+ f(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow D^+ f(0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(h) - 0}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(h)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} (1) = 1 \\
 \Rightarrow D^+ f(0) &= 1 \quad \text{--- (5)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and $D_+ f(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{f(h)}{h} \right) = 1$

$$D_+ f(0) = 1 \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

from (5) and (6)

$$D^+ f(0) = D_+ f(0) = 1$$

Now

$$D^- f(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(0-h) - f(0)}{-h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(-h) - 0}{-h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \left(\frac{(-h)}{-h} \right) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \left(\frac{1}{-h} \right) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \left(\frac{k}{-k} \right) = -1$$

$$D^- f(0) = (-1) = -1$$

$$\text{And } D_{-}f(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(0-h) - f(0)}{-h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-h - 0}{-h} \right] = -1$$

$$\text{So } D_{-}f(0) = D_{+}f(0) = -1$$

$$\text{So } D^{+}f(0) = D_{+}f(0) = 1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$D_{-}f(0) = D^{-}f(0) = -1 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

from (1) and (2)

Both are different

It is not common to all $f'(0)$

So it is not differentiable at $x=0$
and

$$\begin{aligned} D^{+}f(0) &= 1 \\ D_{+}f(0) &= 1 \\ D^{-}f(0) &= -1 \\ D_{-}f(0) &= -1 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{by 4(iii)}$$

(OS(i))

sd5(i) Let f be monotonic and bounded on $[a, b]$

Then it shows that f is of bounded

variation on $[a, b]$ and $f(b) - f(a) = V(f)$.

We know that the definition of bounded variation

$V_a^b(f, P)$ or $V(f)$ of a function f defined on $[a, b]$ with respect to a partition P of $[a, b]$

is given by

$$V_a^b(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n |f(\eta_i) - f(\eta_{i-1})|$$

It is clear that f is bounded variation
on $[a, b]$ if and only if $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V_a^b(f, P) < \infty$ — (1)
 $\Rightarrow f \in BV$.

Now

Let f be a increasing function defined
on the closed interval $[a, b]$ so that

$$f(\eta_8) \leq f(\eta_{8+1})$$

whenever $\eta_8 < \eta_{8+1}$.

Let $P = \{a = \eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n = b\}$
be a partition of closed interval $[a, b]$

i.e. $a = \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \eta_3 < \eta_4 < \dots < \eta_n = b$

Now $V_a^b(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n |f(\eta_i) - f(\eta_{i-1})|$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n [f(\eta_i) - f(\eta_{i-1})]$

since $f(\eta_i) - f(\eta_{i-1}) \geq 0$

$$= f(\eta_n) - f(\eta_0)$$

$= f(b) - f(a) =$ a finite number
since f is monotone

$\Rightarrow f(b)$ and $f(a)$ are finite number

Thus $T_a^b(f) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]} V_a^b(f, P) =$ a finite number
 $< \infty$

Hence f is of bounded variation proved 2
~~As s(i)~~

5(i)

Sol/soln Statement:-

Let ϕ be a convex function on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and f be an integrable function on $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\int_0^1 \phi(f(t)) dt \geq \phi\left[\int_0^1 f(t) dt\right] \quad 2$$

Proof:-

$$\text{Let } \alpha = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$$

let $y = m(n - \alpha) + \phi(\alpha)$ be the equation of a supporting line at α . — (1)

Then by very definition of supporting line, we have for any $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\phi(f(t)) \geq m(f(t) - \alpha) + \phi(\alpha). \quad 2$$

Integrating both sides of eq (2) with respect to t over $[0, 1]$,

we get

$$\int_0^1 \phi(f(t)) dt \geq m \int_0^1 (f(t) - \alpha) dt +$$

$$\geq m \left[\int_0^1 f(t) dt - \alpha \right] + \phi(\alpha).$$

$$= m[\alpha - \alpha] + \phi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha)$$

Theorefore $\int_0^1 \phi(f(t)) dt \geq \phi\left[\int_0^1 f(t) dt\right] \quad 1$

Hence proved Any 5(i)

S(iii)

Soln) Statement:-

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $f, g \in L^p(\Omega)$.

Then $f+g \in L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$\|f+g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$$

Proof:- For $p=1$

The inequality is clearly true.

If $p=\infty$,

we note that $|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ a.e.

$|g| \leq \|g\|_\infty$ a.e.

$$\Rightarrow |f+g| \leq |f| + |g| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty \text{ a.e. } \boxed{1}$$

Hence result follows in this case also.

Thus

assume $1 < p < \infty$

Let $1 < q < \infty$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Since $L^p(\Omega)$ is a linear space; it follows
that $f, g \in L^p(\Omega) \Rightarrow f+g \in L^p(\Omega)$

Also we have

$$\int_X |f+g|^p d\mu \leq \int_X |f+g|^{p-1} |f| d\mu +$$

$$\int_X |f+g|^{p-1} |g| d\mu$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \Rightarrow (p-1)q = p \quad \boxed{2}$$

we observe that

$$\int_X (|f+g|^{p-1})^q d\mu = \int_X |f+g|^p d\mu$$

and therefore $|f+g|^{p-1} \in L^q(\mu)$

By theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we have } & |f+g|^{p-1} \in L^q(\mu), f, g \in L^p(\mu) \\ \Rightarrow & |f+g|^{p-1} \in L^q(\mu), |f|, |g| \in L^p(\mu) \\ \Rightarrow & |f+g|^{p-1} |f|, |f+g|^{p-1} |g| \in L^1(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

By Holder's Inequality, we have

$$\int_X |f+g|^{p-1} |g| d\mu \leq \|g\|_p \| (|f+g|)^{p-1} \|_q$$

If $\|f+g\|_p$ is non zero finite
then dividing both sides by
 $(\|f+g\|_p)^{p-1}$ we obtain

$$(\|f+g\|_p)^{p-\frac{p}{q}} \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$$

$$\text{i.e. } \|f+g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$$

2

Hence proved Any 5(iii)

6(i)

6(ii) To prove the theorem for the case $p = \infty$
let $\{f_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $L^\infty(\mu)$
Then

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty$$

except on a set $A_{min} \subset X$ with $\mu(A_{min}) = 0$

If $A = \bigcup_{n,m} A_{n,m}$, then it is clear that

$$\mu(A) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty$$

for all n, m and for all $x \in X - A$.

Consequently, the sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to a bounded limit (say) f in $X - A$ and observing the fact that convergence in $L^\infty(\mu)$ is equivalent to uniform convergence outside a set of measure zero.

Now we assume that $1 \leq p < \infty$. To prove the result it is enough to show that each absolutely summable sequence in $L^p(\mu)$ is summable in $L^p(\mu)$ to some element in $L^p(\mu)$.

$$\int_X g^p d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (g_n)^p d\mu \leq a^p < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow g^p$ is integrable

$\Rightarrow g^p$ is finite a.e. on X

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x)$$

2

$$h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x), \text{ a.e.}$$

$$= k \sum_{k=1}^n f_n(x), \text{ a.e.}$$

\Rightarrow this measurable function

$$\int_X |S_n - h|^p d\mu \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|S_n - h\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) - h(x) \right\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is summable in $L^p(\mu)$ and has the sum h in $L^p(\mu)$.

Hence L^p spaces are complete. proved

~~Q.E.D.~~ [G(i)].

2

(ii)

|G(ii)| If Part:-

Let ϕ is convex and

Let $a < u < v < z < b$

then we have

$$\frac{\phi(v) - \phi(u)}{v-u} \leq \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(a)}{z-a}$$

Taking limit

$v \rightarrow u$ and $z \rightarrow a$ to left and right hand side

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow u} \frac{\phi(v) - \phi(u)}{v-u} \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(a)}{z-a}$$

2