CS 216: Introduction to Blockchain Assignment 3: Bitcoin Scripting

Date: 13.02.2025

Deadline: 23rd March 2025 (Thursday) by 11:30 PM

1. Introduction

Bitcoin scripting allows users to create programmable transactions by leveraging a stack-based, Forth-like scripting language. This assignment delves into Bitcoin transactions using both Legacy (P2PKH) and SegWit (P2SH-P2WPKH) address formats. The primary objectives include:

- Using bitcoind and RPC commands for transaction processing.
- Writing and signing transactions programmatically.
- Comparing transaction sizes and analyzing efficiency differences between Legacy and SegWit transactions.

We employed Python and C to interact with bitcoind, conducting all transactions in regtest mode to simulate a secure and cost-free Bitcoin environment.

2. Setup and Tools Required

To execute this assignment, the following tools and configurations were required:

Software and Libraries:

- 1. **Bitcoin Core (bitcoind)** Bitcoin's full node software.
- 2. Bitcoin CLI (bitcoin-cli) Command-line interface to interact with bitcoind.
- 3. **Programming Languages:**
 - Python: Libraries such as python-bitcoinlib or bitcoinrpc for transaction handling.
 - **C:** Usage of libbitcoin or curl for RPC interactions.
- 4. Bitcoin Debugger To verify and debug Bitcoin scripts.

Configuration in bitcoin.conf

To ensure smooth transaction execution, the following configurations were added to bitcoin.conf:

paytxfee=0.0001 fallbackfee=0.0002 mintxfee=0.00001 txconfirmtarget=6

After configuring, bitcoind was launched in regtest mode:

bitcoind -regtest -daemon

Its status was verified using:

bitcoin-cli -regtest getblockchaininfo

3. Part 1: Legacy Address Transactions (P2PKH)

Step 1: Wallet Setup and Address Generation

 Wallet creation and initialization: bitcoin-cli -regtest createwallet "testwallet"

Generating three Legacy (P2PKH) addresses: bitcoin-cli -regtest getnewaddress "LegacyA" "legacy" bitcoin-cli -regtest getnewaddress "LegacyB" "legacy"

2. bitcoin-cli -regtest getnewaddress "LegacyC" "legacy"

Step 2: Funding Transactions

- Mining test bitcoins:
 bitcoin-cli -regtest generatetoaddress 101 \$(bitcoin-cli -regtest getnewaddress)
- Sending 10 BTC to LegacyA: bitcoin-cli -regtest sendtoaddress "LegacyA" 10

Step 3: Creating a Transaction from A to B

 Constructing a raw transaction: bitcoin-cli -regtest createrawtransaction '[{"txid":"<TXID>","vout":0}]' '{"LegacyB":5}'

Signing and broadcasting:

bitcoin-cli -regtest signrawtransactionwithwallet "<RAW TX>"

bitcoin-cli -regtest sendrawtransaction "<SIGNED TX>"

Step 4: Creating a Transaction from B to C

- Identified UTXO using listurspent.
- Created and signed a transaction from B to C.
- Verified the transaction using decoderawtransaction.

4. Part 2: P2SH-SegWit Address Transactions (P2SH-P2WPKH)

Step 1: Generating SegWit Addresses

bitcoin-cli -regtest getnewaddress "SegwitA" "p2sh-segwit" bitcoin-cli -regtest getnewaddress "SegwitB" "p2sh-segwit" bitcoin-cli -regtest getnewaddress "SegwitC" "p2sh-segwit"

Step 2: Creating Transactions Similar to Part 1

- Funded Address A'.
- Created a raw transaction from A' to B'.
- Decoded, signed, and broadcasted it.
- Executed another transaction from B' to C'.

5. Part 3: Analysis and Explanation

Comparison of Legacy vs. SegWit Transactions

- 1. Transaction Size Comparison:
 - Legacy (P2PKH) transactions are larger due to ScriptSig containing a full signature and public key.
 - SegWit (P2SH-P2WPKH) transactions reduce size by storing witness data separately.
- 2. Script Structure Differences:
 - P2PKH (Legacy): Uses ScriptSig in input and ScriptPubKey in output.
 - P2SH-P2WPKH (SegWit): Uses Witness data for unlocking, reducing ScriptSig size.
- 3. Why SegWit is Better:
 - Reduces transaction weight (lower fees).
 - Fixes malleability issues.

More efficient block usage.

Debugging and Verification

- Transactions were verified using decoderawtransaction.
- Outputs and execution flow were checked using the Bitcoin Debugger.

6. Conclusion

This assignment provided valuable experience with Bitcoin scripting, transaction creation, and an in-depth comparison of different address formats. The results demonstrated that SegWit transactions are more efficient due to their reduced size and enhanced security features. Understanding these differences is crucial for blockchain developers aiming to optimize transaction efficiency and security.