

Theorems in Complex Analysis

A cheat sheet for formulae
Anurag Pallaprolu
BITS Pilani

April 10, 2014

1 Preliminary Definitions and Formalities

We shall start with the basics of the complex number field \mathbb{C} . The axiomatic definition follows from the fact that they are all ordered pairs of two reals plotted on the Argand diagram. To name a few standard field properties

1. $z_1 + z_2 = z_2 + z_1$
2. $(z_1 + z_2) + z_3 = z_1 + (z_2 + z_3)$
3. $z + 0 = z$
4. $z * 1 = z$

Now we move on to a few standard inequalities like the two triangle inequalities:

Triangle Inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 \pm z_2| &\leq |z_1| + |z_2| \\ |z_1 \pm z_2| &\geq ||z_1| - |z_2|| \end{aligned}$$

We can generalize the first one by induction

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i| \quad (1)$$

Also we must not forget the most important equation of all of complex analysis, the Euler equation and its obvious generalization, the De Moivre formula

$$\exp(i\theta) = \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta) \quad (*)$$

$$\exp(in\theta) = \cos(n\theta) + i \sin(n\theta) \quad (2)$$

The n th roots of any complex number z can be given from the above formulae as

$$z = \sqrt[n]{r_0} \exp(i(\theta + 2k\pi)/n) \quad (3)$$

where r_0 is the length or the modulus of z and θ is the argument of z in the complex plane. This will be all for the basic equations that one must have in mind when studying complex analysis.

2 Analytic Functions

Functions of a complex variable share many properties similar to vector fields. To review the definition of a vector field, it is a vector function whose components are also functions of the cartesian or any set of coordinates. Mathematically, we can state a vector field $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ as,

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y, z) = u(x, y, z)\mathbf{i} + v(x, y, z)\mathbf{j} + w(x, y, z)\mathbf{k}$$

except that in the case of complex numbers, we usually map from the set of real ordered pairs to the complex number space as

$$f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$$

where both u and v are functions from $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Most of the functions that occur in complex analysis starkly differ from what we encounter in reals that is, they are mostly multivalued, even standard single valued functions like the logarithm.

2.1 Limits and continuity

As in any analysis course, we have to first focus on limits and continuity. Limits of complex functions are rather simple to evaluate, following similar rules as to real functions. Here are two theorems that should suffice the discussion on limits.

Theroem 1

If $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ and $w_0 = u_0 + iv_0$, then
if

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = w_0$$

iff

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} u(x,y) = u_0$$

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} v(x,y) = v_0$$

Theorem 2

Suppose that $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = w_0$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} F(z) = W_0$ then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) + F(z) = w_0 + W_0$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) * F(z) = w_0 * W_0$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z)/F(z) = w_0/W_0$$

The last equality will exist under obvious circumstances.

So much for limit evaluation. Let us now look at continuity of functions. This is too, much similar to what we have studied in real analysis. To be more succinct, a function $f(z)$ is continuous at z_0 if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z)$$

exists

$$f(z_0)$$

exists

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = f(z_0)$$

And as usual, there is the all the more important theorem of continuity

The composition of continuous functions is itself a continuous function

A statement which can be proven directly from the Cauchy definition of continuity.

Continuity at a point and the value of the function

If a function is continuous and non zero at a point, then it is also non zero in any δ interval of the point. Failure of this clause might lead to pathological properties of functions at hand such as fractal discontinuities etc.

2.2 Derivatives and Analytic Property

Now, we get to the interesting part of analysis. The definition of the complex derivative is given below

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}$$

. Functions of the explicit complex variable z , the calculus of such functions is not complicated as all that is needed is to replace z as any real quantity and proceed with the analysis. However, functions of two *real* variables, we have to be careful, for they are restricted by the concept of *analyticity*. But first, the important theorem

Cauchy Riemann Equations

If the function

$$f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$$

is differentiable at any point z , then the components u and v should satisfy the Cauchy Riemann conditions i.e;

$$\partial u / \partial x = \partial v / \partial y \quad (4)$$

$$\partial u / \partial y = -\partial v / \partial x \quad (5)$$

The Cauchy Riemann conditions are necessary but not sufficient conditions for differentiability. That is, a slight adjustment can be made so that these equations provide a sufficient condition. The theorem below follows,

Modified Cauchy Riemann Theorem

If the function

$$f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$$

is differentiable at any point z , and is defined through an δ neighbourhood of a point z and the first order partial derivatives of u and v exist everywhere in the neighbourhood. If the partials are smooth enough, and satisfy

$$\partial u / \partial x = \partial v / \partial y$$

$$\partial u / \partial y = -\partial v / \partial x$$

then the hypothesis is claimed to be true.

Now we can extend the equations to polar coordinates as well. Noting that there is (*) for switching from both systems, we can see that the new equations take the form of,

$$r\partial u/\partial r = \partial v/\partial \theta \quad (6)$$

$$\partial u/\partial \theta = -r\partial v/\partial r \quad (7)$$

Harmonic Functions

Functions which satisfy the Laplace equation

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \mathcal{F} = 0$$

are called as harmonic functions. The components which satisfy the Cauchy Riemann conditions also, inherently satisfy the Laplace equation **The components of any analytic function are also harmonic.** This is the important result that must be carried on.

Schwarz Reflection Principle

Another important, and the last concept in analyticity is the famous reflection principle. Suppose that a function f is analytic in some domain \mathcal{D} which contains a segment of the x axis and is also such that the lower half is a reflection of the upper half in the axis. Then we can say that

$$\overline{f(z)} = f(\bar{z})$$

3 Integrals of Complex Functions

As in any analysis procedure, we must also include integration. Integration is much more native to mathematics than differentiation because, it carries a much more clearer physical meaning as the area under a real curve. But in complex analysis, this clarity will be lost slightly as we look at various types of integral evaluation techniques. However, there will always be the method of converting the complex integral to sum of real and imaginary integrals just like how you convert a vector integral into many scalar integrals. But do note that, just like vector identities such as Stokes' theorem and Green's theorems, there are parallel theorems in complex analysis to resolve issues.

We begin with a simple definition of contour integrals and a theorem.

3.1 Contour Integration and the Modulus Upper Bound theorem

We must get a little bit pedantic here and introduce a few important terms. A set of points $z = (x, y)$ is called an **arc** if there exists a continuous parametrization of both x and y i.e.,

$$x = x(t)$$

$$y = y(t)$$

Such types of arcs are classified even further as **Jordan arcs** or simple arcs if they never cross each other. If there are points k and l such that $z(k) = z(l)$ then, the curve is called as a **Jordan curve** or a **Simple closed curve**. An arc defined in a complex plane or an Argand plane is called as a **contour**. A **contour integral** is an integral which is defined as follows

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z)dz = \int_a^b f[z(t)]z'(t)dt$$

where a and b are any two points on the Jordan arc / contour where we are performing our integration. This is called as **parametric integration**. Although, this is a standard procedure, we will be using some specialized theorems to reduce this problem to an easier level. The next theorem enables an approximation scheme for integrals

Upper Bound Theorem

If $f(z)$ is any complex function over any given contour \mathcal{C} , and if the function reaches its supremum \mathcal{M} over the contour and the length of the contour is L , then the following inequality holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z)dz \leq \mathcal{M}L$$

3.2 Cauchy's Theorem and Goursat Correction

The Cauchy theorem is another celebrated result in analysis, which was later corrected by Goursat and is now called as the Cauchy-Goursat theorem. The proof is quite lengthy but the statement is very simple. Let f be an analytic function with the domain as the region inside and on a Jordan curve \mathcal{C} . Then, the following identity holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z)dz = 0$$

This theorem is very important and very useful in proving certain identities. Say we had two functions f and g of z over the same contour \mathcal{C} and we wanted to check whether their contour integrals are the same or not, in this case if $f - g$ is analytic on \mathcal{C} it is enough, we need not even know the piecewise analyticity of f and g .

Another important corollary of the theorem follows

Deformation Principle

This theorem states that if there is a function analytic on and inside a Jordan curve \mathcal{C} and that there is another curve \mathcal{L} such that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ then we can say that the value of the contour integral will be the same over both the contours (and equal zero, but the deformation over here must be noted). Hence, given any complex contour we are given to evaluate, we can always choose a simpler sub-contour inside provided the function is analytic in both domains.

Goursat's correction

Up to now, whatever integral theorems we have seen all depend on the point of analyticity. However, Goursat proved that analyticity is not a necessary condition for the existence of Cauchy's theorem, it is enough if the function is continuous in and on the contour. Hence, whatever theorems that are stated and will be stated involving analyticity and integration, we can replace it with continuity as the necessary clause.

Simply and Multiply connected domains

This forms the basis of the aforementioned Deformation principle. In general, a simply connected domain is one in which there are no "holes" or any sort of, to be more precise, any two points can be joined by a curve lying completely inside the domain. Multiply connected domains have the aforementioned negated features. The fact that the Cauchy theorem holds true in both the types of domains helps proving the deformation principle.

3.3 Cauchy Integral Formula

We shall now discover the fact that it is common for analytic functions to be **infinitely smooth**. This facet, which is most missed in real analysis, is a direct consequence of the Cauchy theorem and the following formula which we now state

Cauchy integration principle

Let f be analytic everywhere inside and on a closed contour \mathcal{C} taken

in the positive sense. If z_0 is any point interior to \mathcal{C} then,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)dz}{z - z_0}$$

Infinitely Smooth Functions

This forms a corollary to the above theorem. If a function

$$f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$$

is defined and analytic at a point $z = (x, y)$ then the component functions u, v have partial derivatives of all orders at that point.

$$f^n(z_0) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(s)ds}{(s - z)^{(n+1)}}$$

Morera's Theorem

This theorem establishes the converse of Cauchy's integral theorem. Let f be a continuous function on a domain \mathcal{D} , if

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z)dz = 0$$

for **every closed contour** \mathcal{C} in the domain \mathcal{D} then, f is analytic throughout \mathcal{D} .

3.4 Liouville's Theorem and The Maximum Modulus Principle

To study the topic at hand, it would be more prudent to discuss the following auxiliary theorem or a lemma

Cauchy's Inequality

Suppose that a function f is analytic inside and on a positively oriented circle \mathcal{C}_R centered at z_0 and with a radius of R . If M_R denotes the maximum value of $|f(z)|$ on \mathcal{C}_R , then

$$|F^n(z_0)| \leq \frac{n!M_R}{R^n}$$

Using this inequality and tending R to infinite i.e, potentially covering the entire plane,, we have **Liouville's theorem**.

The Theorem

If f is entire and bounded in the complex plane then, $f(z)$ must be a constant function throughout the plane.

Maximum Modulus Principle

To sort this out, we must first look at **Gauss's mean value theorem**. Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function in some domain \mathcal{D} and let it be a circular domain with radius ρ . If z_0 is the center of \mathcal{D} . Then the following identity exists

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + \rho \exp(i\theta)) d\theta$$

Then, the maximum modulus principle states that, if a function f is analytic and non constant in a given domain \mathcal{D} , then $|f(z)|$ has no maximum value in \mathcal{D} . That is, there is no point z_0 in the domain such that $|f(z)| \leq |f(z_0)|$ for all points z in it. This has a very interesting corollary. Suppose that f is continuous on a closed bounded region \mathcal{R} and that it is analytic and non constant in the interior of \mathcal{R} . Then, the maximum value of f occurs only on the boundaries of \mathcal{R} and **never** in the interior. This principle is also sometimes called as **extremal principle** for its nature of finding extrema on the boundaries.

4 Taylor and Laurent Series

We now move on to representing analytic functions in forms of power series of a variable z . This procedure usually leads to two cases. If the domain in which we are generating the series has singularities, then these cannot be included in a **Taylor series** because the series expansion usually has positive powers of the variable and hence does not account for any indefiniteness. However, when we discuss about **Laurent Series** we usually include the exponent of the variable starting from $-\infty$ to ∞ . Hence, any sort of singularities such as **multiple poles** and **essential singularities** can be represented as either an exponent having a finite negative exponent or $-\infty$ negative exponent for an irremovable singularity.

There won't be much of a discussion about series here. Just the two formulae will be presented here.

Taylor

Let f be any analytic function in a domain \mathcal{D} , then it can be expressed

as a power series sum:

$$f(z) = \sum_n^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

where a_n depend upon the functional derivatives of f and are given by the old Cauchy formula:

$$f^n(z_0) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(s)ds}{(s - z)^{(n+1)}}$$