

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

Mid Semester Examination

M.Tech CS

Computational Complexity

Date: 11 September 2025

Maximum Marks: 50

Duration: 2 hours

General comment. Answer as much as you can, but the maximum you can score is 50.

(Q1) Give a parsimonious reduction of SAT to 3SAT. [10]

(Q2) (40 Marks) Recollect the definition of **ZPP**, **RP**, **coRP** and **BPP**. Prove that

$$\mathbf{ZPP} = \mathbf{RP} \cap \mathbf{coRP}.$$

[10]

(Q3) (40 Marks) Prove that **IP** \subseteq **PSPACE**. [10]

(Q4) Construct (with a proof) a complete problem for the class **coNP**. [10]

(Q5) Suppose $L_1, L_2 \in NP$. Then is $L_1 \cup L_2 \in NP$? What about $L_1 \cap L_2$? [5]

(Q6) Show that $P \neq EXP$. [5]

(Q7) A language L is called unary if $L \subseteq 1^*$. Show that if a unary language is NP -complete, then $P = NP$. [10]

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

End Semester Examination

M.Tech CS

Computational Complexity

Date: 24 November 2025

Maximum Marks: 50

Duration: 3 hours

General comment. Answer as much as you can, but the maximum you can score is 50.

Part A ($5 \times 5 = 25$ marks)

1. (a) Define the classes **P**, **NP**, and **coNP**.
(b) Give an example of a problem in NP that is *not known* to be in P or NP-complete.
(c) State whether the following inclusions are known to be true or false (justify briefly):
 - i. $P \subseteq NP$
 - ii. $NP \subseteq PSPACE$
 - iii. $P = NP$
 2. Explain what it means for a problem *A* to be *polynomial-time reducible* to a problem *B*. Give an example of one NP-complete problem and briefly describe how another problem can be reduced to it.
 3. Explain in your own words how the **Time Hierarchy Theorem** is proved using diagonalization. What is the main idea behind the construction?
 4. What does it mean for a proof technique to *relativize*? Give an example showing that relativization cannot resolve the *P* vs *NP* question.
 5. (a) Define the *deterministic decision tree complexity* of a Boolean function.
(b) What is the deterministic decision tree complexity of the OR function on n variables? Justify briefly.
-

Part B ($5 \times 12 = 60$ marks)

1. Show that **3SAT** is polynomial-time reducible to **CLIQUE**. Clearly describe the reduction and argue correctness. (You may assume 3SAT is NP-complete.)
2. State the **Time Hierarchy Theorem** formally. Explain why it implies that $P \subsetneq EXP$. What would happen if the theorem did not hold? Discuss briefly.
3.
 - (a) State (without proof) the **PCP theorem**.
 - (b) Explain in simple terms what it means for NP to have a PCP verifier.
 - (c) Mention one important implication of the PCP theorem in the context of *hardness of approximation*.
4. Suppose there exists an oracle A such that $P^A = NP^A$, and another oracle B such that $P^B \neq NP^B$.
 - (a) What does this tell us about the limitations of relativizing proof techniques?
 - (b) Which of the following techniques are **non-relativizing**: diagonalization, arithmetization, or probabilistic checking?
 - (c) Why was the discovery of the PCP theorem considered a breakthrough in non-relativizing techniques?
5.
 - (a) Define the **deterministic communication complexity** of a function $f(x, y)$.
 - (b) For the **Equality** function

$$EQ(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$, prove that its deterministic communication complexity is at least $n + 1$ bits. (Hint: Use the fooling set argument.)