# Part B

# 6.1:

**Notes:** If the query contains nested selects is ok. Creating permanent tables is not ok.

#### 1) Top 5 highest rated movies per user gender

Data	Data Output Explain Messages Query History					
4	gender characte	er varying	movie_id integer	avg numeric	rownumber bigint	
1	F		3817	5.00000000000000000	1	
2	F		3292	5.00000000000000000	2	
3	F		2675	5.00000000000000000	3	
4	F		681	5.00000000000000000	4	
5	F		1450	5.00000000000000000	5	
6	M		3656	5.00000000000000000	1	
7	М		3280	5.00000000000000000	2	
8	М		3233	5.00000000000000000	3	
9	М		3172	5.00000000000000000	4	
10	М		439	5.00000000000000000	5	

#### 2) Top 5 highest rated movies per user age group

4	age Integer	movie_id integer	avg numeric	rownumber bigint
1	1	3789	5.000000000000000000	
2	1	57	5.00000000000000000	
3	1	937	5.00000000000000000	
4	1	2518	5.00000000000000000	
5	1	299	5.00000000000000000	
6	18	2905	5.00000000000000000	
7	18	2192	5.00000000000000000	
8	18	2215	5.00000000000000000	
9	18	3038	5.00000000000000000	
0	18	3280	5.00000000000000000	
1	25	3640	5.00000000000000000	
2	25	167	5.00000000000000000	
3	25	853	5.00000000000000000	
4	25	3232	5.00000000000000000	
5	25	1420	5.00000000000000000	
6	35	598	5.00000000000000000	
7	35	1486	5.00000000000000000	
8	35	1164	5.00000000000000000	
9	35	2503	5.00000000000000000	
20	35	2911	5.00000000000000000	
21	45	1659	5.00000000000000000	
12	45	1421	5.00000000000000000	
23	45	3245	5.00000000000000000	
24	45	1859	5.00000000000000000	
25	45	84	5.00000000000000000	
26	50	38	5.00000000000000000	
27	50	2760	5.00000000000000000	
8.8	50	3645	5.00000000000000000	
19	50	771	5.00000000000000000	
10	50	3233	5.00000000000000000	
1	50	1570	5.00000000000000000	
12	56	1812	5.00000000000000000	
3	56	298	5.00000000000000000	
14	56	1348	5.000000000000000000	

#### 3) Top 5 highest rated movies per use ocupation

4	occupation integer	movie_id integer	avg numeric	rownumber bigint
1	0	145	00000000000	1
2	0	570	00000000000	2
3	0	598	00000000000	3
4	0	2503	00000000000	4
5	0	2538	000000000000	5
6	1	801	00000000000	1
7	1	854	00000000000	2
8	1	939	00000000000	3
9	1	966	00000000000	4
10	1	1002	00000000000	5
11	2	2131	00000000000	1
12	2	2156	00000000000	2
13	2	2175	00000000000	3
14	2	2293	00000000000	4
15	2	2309	00000000000	5
16	3	77	00000000000	1
17	3	97	00000000000	2
18	3	105	00000000000	3
19	3	297	00000000000	4
20	3	304	00000000000	5
21	4	53	00000000000	1
22	4	439	00000000000	2
23	4	462	00000000000	3
24	4	583	00000000000	4
25	4	602	00000000000	5
26	5	650	00000000000	1
	-			-

### 6.2

**Notes:** Step 1 and 4 can be combined. In my solution I combine the steps. Alternatively, one could create the table in step 1) and populate it with a select.

#### 1) Create a table called movie\_genre containing the fields movie\_id, genre

SELECT movie\_id, unnest(string\_to\_array(genres,'|')) as genre INTO movie\_genre FROM movie

#### 2) Define a foreign key for movie\_id

ALTER TABLE movie\_genre ADD FOREIGN KEY(movie\_id) REFERENCES movie(movie\_id);

3) Create a btree index for the field movie\_id.

CREATE INDEX movie genre movie id ON movie genre USING btree (movie id);

#### 4) Already done in 1.

# 6.3

**Notes:** For 1.1, any query that does not uses a temporal table is ok. For 1.2, Students should use the cost to compare the queries. If the student's proposed approach improves the cost from the original query, no further explanation is required. If not, the student should explain why the index did not help.

### 1.1) Provide the SQL for the query:

SELECT extract(year from r.rating\_timestamp), mg.genre, avg(r.rating)
FROM rating r
INNER JOIN movie\_genre mg ON r.movie\_id = mg.movie\_id
GROUP BY extract(year from r.rating\_timestamp), mg.genre
ORDER BY extract(year from r.rating\_timestamp) ASC, avg(r.rating) DESC

## 1.2) The execution plan for the query:

Sort (cost=610547.69614842.24 rows=1717818 width=47) (actual time=2982.2632982.267 rows=72 loops=1)	
Sort Key: (date_part('year'::text, r.rating_timestamp)), (avg(r.rating)) DESC	
Sort Method: quicksort: Memory: 30kB	
-> Finalize GroupAggregate (cost=109115.55326956.21 rows=1717818 width=47) (actual time=2403.3492982.163 rows=72 loops=1)	
Group Key: (date_part('year'::text, r.rating_timestamp)), mg.genre	
-> Gather Merge (cost=109115.55290452.57 rows=1431516 width=47) (actual time=2363.0292981.903 rows=216 loops=1)	
Workers Planned: 2	
Workers Launched: 2	
-> Partial GroupAggregate (cost=108115.52124220.08 rows=715758 width=47) (actual time=2069.4472452.118 rows=72 loops=3)	
Group Key: (date_part('year'::text, r.rating_timestamp)), mg.genre	
-> Sort (cost=108115.52109904.92 rows=715758 width=19) (actual time=2021.8962251.486 rows=700605 loops=3)	
Sort Key: (date_part('year'::text, r.rating_timestamp)), mg.genre	
Sort Method: external merge Disk: 10408kB	
-> Hash Join (cost=179.1823832.23 rows=715758 width=19) (actual time=2.891714.062 rows=700605 loops=3)	
Hash Cond: (r.movie_id = mg.movie_id)	
-> Parallel Seq Scan on rating r (cost=0.0010538.54 rows=416754 width=16) (actual time=0.041111.692 rows=333403 loops=3)	
-> Hash (cost=99.0899.08 rows=6408 width=11) (actual time=2.7902.790 rows=6408 loops=3)	
Buckets: 8192 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 344kB	
-> Seq Scan on movie_genre mg (cost=0.0099.08 rows=6408 width=11) (actual time=0.0221.094 rows=6408 loops=3)	
Planning time: 0.438 ms	

#### 2.1) Provide the SQL for your new index:

CREATE INDEX rating\_timestamp\_year ON rating USING btree (extract(year from rating\_timestamp));

REINDEX INDEX rating\_timestamp\_year

#### 2.2) New execution plan and description:

```
Sort (cost=610547.69..614842.24 rows=1717818 width=47) (actual time=3000.906..3000.910 rows=72 loops=1)
Sort Key: (date_part('year'::text, r.rating_timestamp)), (avg(r.rating)) DESC

Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 30kB

-> Finalize GroupAggregate (cost=109115.55.326956.21 rows=1717818 width=47) (actual time=2351.261..3000.785 rows=72 loops=1)
                                                                                                                                                                                             9 Sort using quicksort
                                                                                                                                                                                             8 Partial aggregation of PSQL - Final Aggregate
    Group Key: (date part('year'::text, r.rating timestamp)), mg.genre
    -> Gather Merge (cost=109115.55..290452.57 rows=1431516 width=47) (actual time=2314.402..3000.538 rows=216 loops=1) Workers Planned: 2
                                                                                                                                                                                            7 Combine workers
        -> Partial GroupAggregate (cost=108115.52..124220.08 rows=715758 width=47) (actual time=2213.183..2818.710 rows=72 loops=3)
                                                                                                                                                                                            6 Partial aggregation of PSQL - Partial Aggregate
            Group Key: (date_part(Year'::text, r.rating_timestamp)), mg.genre
-> Sort (cost=108115.52..109904.92 rows=715758 width=19) (actual time=2119.055..2473.910 rows=700605 loops=3)
                Sort Key: (date_part('year'::text, r.rating_timestamp)), mg.genre
                Sort Method: external merge Disk: 37456kB -> Hash Join (cost=179.18.23832.23 rows=715758 width=19) (actual time=7.592..767.078 rows=700605 loops=3)
                   Hash Cond: (r.movie_id = mg.movie_id)
                    >- Parallel Seq Scan on rating r (cost=0.00.10538.54 rows=416754 width=16) (actual time=0.046...164.497 rows=333403 loops=3)
-> Hash (cost=90.08..99.08 rows=6408 width=11) (actual time=7.466...7.466 rows=6408 loops=3)

Buckets: 8192 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 344kB
                                                                                                                                                                                           3 Parallel scan on rating, as the table is larger
                        -> Seq Scan on movie_genre mg (cost=0.00..99.08 rows=6408 width=11) (actual time=0.022..1.021 rows=6408 loops=3)
                                                                                                                                                                                            1 PSQL Scans the full table as it considers it small
Planning time: 0.864 m
```

#### 2.3) Does it improve the execution time at all?

It did not improve the efficiency of the query. The cost is the same for both queries. Possible reasons:

- The query optimizer is not using the index. We can confirm this by checking select \* from pg\_stat\_user\_indexes.
- The b-tree index is too small (4 years: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003)
- The Group Key function requires two keys in the aggregation.

### 6.4:

**Notes:** If the students created queries *without* <u>json functions</u> and the result is correct, it counts only 50% of the points.

### **1.1)** Write a query that counts the number times each property occurs:

```
SELECT json_key, count(*) json_key_count FROM (SELECT movie_id, json_object_keys(dbpedia_content) AS json_key FROM dbpedia) keys GROUP BY json_key
ORDER BY json_key_count DESC
```

4	json_key text	json_key_count bigint	
1	abstract	3266	
2	wikiPageR	3265	
3	wikiPageID	3265	
4	subject	3135	
5	director	3115	

# **1.2.1)** Average rating of the starring actors

```
SELECT actor, avg(rating) FROM

(SELECT

ma.movie_id,
cast(ma.actor as text),
r.rating

FROM (SELECT

movie_id,
json_array_elements(dbpedia_content->'starring') as actor
FROM dbpedia
WHERE json_typeof(dbpedia_content->'starring')='array') ma
INNER JOIN rating r ON r.movie_id = ma.movie_id) mar

GROUP BY actor

ORDER BY avg(rating) DESC
```

4	actor text	avg numeric
1	"Han_Dongfang"	5.0000000000000000
2	"Ding_Zilin"	5.0000000000000000
3	"Dai_Qing"	5.0000000000000000
4	"Ben_Becker"	5.0000000000000000
5	"Lucille_Ball"	5.0000000000000000

# 1.2.2) Average rating of the starring actors per user gender:

```
SELECT gender, actor, avg(rating) FROM
       (SELECT
              ma.movie_id,
               cast(ma.actor as text),
              r.rating,
               u.gender
       FROM (SELECT
                      movie_id,
                      json_array_elements(dbpedia_content->'starring') as actor
                      FROM dbpedia
                      WHERE json_typeof(dbpedia_content->'starring')='array') ma
       INNER JOIN rating r ON r.movie_id = ma.movie_id
       INNER JOIN user_profile u ON r.user_profile_id = u.user_profile_id
       ) mar
GROUP BY gender, actor
ORDER BY avg(rating) DESC
```

4	gender character varying	actor text	avg numeric
1	F	"Carmelo_Di_Mazzarelli"	5.0000000000000000
2	F	"Charles_KFrench"	5.0000000000000000
3	F	"Carl_Miller_(actor)"	5.0000000000000000
4	F	"Adolphe_Menjou"	5.0000000000000000
5	F	"Clarence_Geldart"	5.0000000000000000

# 1.2.3) Make a join of both queries and give actor, average\_rating, averating\_rating\_M, average\_rating\_F:

```
SELECT
       ar.actor,
       average_rating,
       average_rating_F,
       average rating M
FROM
(SELECT actor, avg(mar.rating) as average_rating FROM
       (SELECT
               ma.movie id,
               cast(ma.actor as text),
               r.rating
        FROM (SELECT
                      movie id,
                      json array elements(dbpedia content->'starring') as actor
                      FROM dbpedia
                      WHERE json typeof(dbpedia content->'starring')='array') ma
        INNER JOIN rating r ON r.movie_id = ma.movie_id
        INNER JOIN user_profile u ON r.user_profile_id = u.user_profile_id
       ) mar
GROUP BY actor
) ar
INNER JOIN
(SELECT actor,
         avg(rating_F) as average_rating_F,
         avg(rating M) as average rating M
FROM (SELECT
               ma.movie_id,
               cast(ma.actor as text),
               CASE u.gender WHEN 'F' THEN r.rating END as rating_F,
       CASE u.gender WHEN 'M' THEN r.rating END as rating M
        FROM (SELECT
                      movie id,
                      json array elements(dbpedia content->'starring') as actor
                      FROM dbpedia
                      WHERE json typeof(dbpedia content->'starring')='array') ma
        INNER JOIN rating r ON r.movie id = ma.movie id
        INNER JOIN user profile u ON r.user profile id = u.user profile id
        ) gar
GROUP BY actor
) afm
ON ar.actor = afm.actor
ORDER BY average rating DESC
```

4	actor text	average_rating numeric	average_rating_f numeric	average_rating_m numeric
1	"Han_Dongfang"	5.0000000000000000	5.0000000000000000	5.0000000000000000
2	"Ding_Zilin"	5.0000000000000000	5.0000000000000000	5.0000000000000000
3	"Dai_Qing"	5.0000000000000000	5.0000000000000000	5.0000000000000000
4	"Ben_Becker"	5.0000000000000000	[null]	5.0000000000000000
5	"Lucille_Ball"	5.0000000000000000	[null]	5.0000000000000000

# 1.2.4) If these queries were executed often, how would you improve the execution time?

- Change from JSON to JSONB
- Create an index on dbpedia content->'starring'
- Check with the explain analyze if the new index is helping
- Check for postgreSQL JSON functions that would work with the new index
- I would create materialized views from the previous queries

# 2.1) How frequent the actors participate together? Make a query that returns actor\_1, actor\_2, total\_movies\_together

**Note:** In my solution, the pair (actor\_1,actor\_2) is duplicated as (actor\_2,actor\_1).

```
SELECT
```

t1.actor as actor\_1,
t2.actor as actor\_2,
count(\*) as total\_movies\_together

#### **FROM**

(SELECT movie\_id, cast(json\_array\_elements(dbpedia\_content->'starring') as text) actor FROM dbpedia

WHERE json\_typeof(dbpedia\_content->'starring')='array') t1

**INNER JOIN** 

(SELECT movie\_id, cast(json\_array\_elements(dbpedia\_content->'starring') as text) as actor FROM dbpedia

WHERE json typeof(dbpedia content->'starring')='array') t2

ON t1.movie id = t2.movie id

WHERE t1.actor != t2.actor

GROUP BY actor\_1, actor\_2

ORDER BY total\_movies\_together DESC

4	actor_1 text	actor_2 text	total_movies_together bigint
1	"James_Doohan"	"Walter_Koenig"	7
2	"Dave_Goelz"	"Frank_Oz"	7
3	"Frank_Oz"	"Dave_Goelz"	7
4	"Walter_Koenig"	"James_Doohan"	7
5	"Nichelle_Nichols"	"George_Takei"	6

# 2.2) If this query was executed often, how would you improve the execution time? (Use the concepts seen in class)

- Change from JSON to JSONB
- Create an index on dbpedia\_content->'starring'
- Check with the explain analyze if the new index is helping
- Check for postgreSQL JSON functions that would work with the new index
- I would create materialized views from the previous query