

Goal-programming-assignment-QMM

ANURODH-SINGH

2023-11-26

CONCLUSION

- The penalty for y_1 , representing the change in the current level of employment, is expressed using two variables: y_{1+} (the amount over the current employment level goal of 5000 employees) and y_{1-} (the amount under the current employment level goal of 5000 employees). Similarly, y_2 represents the penalty for the change in next year's earnings from the current year's level, with the variables y_{2+} (amount over the next year's earnings from the current year's level) and y_{2-} (amount under the next year's earnings from the current year's level).
- Linear programming models are formulated using p, q, r, s in terms of $y_{1+}, y_{1-}, y_{2+}, y_{2-}$ respectively. Now, let x_1 be the production rate (number of products) of product 1, x_2 for product 2, and x_3 for product 3 to achieve maximum profit.
- To maximize the target function, the objective function utilizes x_1, x_2 , and x_3 as combination units. The corporation should produce 15 units of Product 3 the only product in order to increase profits.
- The goal was to stabilize the employment level with a maximum of 5000 employees, but the firm has to exceed the employment levels by 2500 employees (y_{1+}), leading to a penalty.
- Variables y_{2+} and y_{2-} were designed to capture the increase or decrease in next year's earnings from the current level, which, in this case, is "0," indicating no change in next year's earnings compared to the current year. As a result, earnings for the following year remain stable.
- The objective function value, \$225 million, represents the profit that the firm seeks to maximize in this particular case.

SUMMARY

- The "lpSolveAPI" library was installed to enable linear programming problem solving.
- The objective of this decision-making scenario for Emax Corporation's new products is to maximize the expression $Z = P - 6C - 3D$, where P represents total discounted profit, C denotes the change in employment, and D signifies the decrease in next year's earnings.
- Management, prioritizing profit, workforce stability, and a modest earnings increase, formulates goals and employs goal programming. The linear programming model is expressed in an "Goal-pr.lp" file, defining the objective function and constraints.
- Upon solving, the optimal solution indicates a maximum objective value of 225, with production rates for the three products and specific values for employment and earnings adjustments.
- This analysis aligns with management's goals and aids in decision-making for product production.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The Research and Development Division of the Emax Corporation has developed three new products. A decision now needs to be made on which mix of these products should be produced. Management wants primary consideration given to three factors: total profit, stability in the workforce, and achieving an increase in the company's earnings next year from the \$75 million achieved this year. In particular, using the units given in the following table, they want to

Maximize $Z = P - 6C - 3D$,

where,

P = total (discounted) profit over the life of the new products, C = change (in either direction) in the current level of employment, D = decrease (if any) in next year's earnings from the current year's level.

The amount of any increase in earnings does not enter into Z , because management is concerned primarily with just achieving some increase to keep the stockholders happy. (It has mixed feelings about a large increase that then would be difficult to surpass in subsequent years.)

1. Define $y1+$ and $y1-$, respectively, as the amount over (if any) and the amount under (if any) the employment level goal. Define $y2+$ and $y2-$ in the same way for the goal regarding earnings next year. Define $x1$, $x2$, and $x3$ as the production rates of Products 1, 2, and 3, respectively. With these definitions, use the goal programming technique to express $y1+$, $y1-$, $y2+$ and $y2-$ algebraically in terms of $x1$, $x2$, and $x3$. Also express P in terms of $x1$, $x2$, and $x3$.
2. Express management's objective function in terms of $x1$, $x2$, $x3$, $y1+$, $y1-$, $y2+$ and $y2-$.
3. Formulate and solve the linear programming model. What are your findings?

```
library(lpSolveAPI)
```

Q2.Express management's objective function in terms of $x1$, $x2$, $x3$, $y1+$, $y1-$, $y2+$ and $y2-$.

```
x <- read.lp("C:/Users/ASUS/Desktop/GOAL-PR-ASSIGNMENT-QMM/Goal-pr.lp")
x
```

```
## Model name:
##           x1    x2    x3    p    q    r    s
## Maximize   20    15    25   -6   -6    0   -3
## Constraint1  6     4     5   -1    1    0    0  =  50
## Constraint2  8     7     5    0    0   -1    1 >=  75
## Kind        Std   Std   Std   Std   Std   Std   Std
## Type        Real  Real  Real  Real  Real  Real  Real
## Upper       Inf   Inf   Inf   Inf   Inf   Inf   Inf
## Lower        0    0    0    0    0    0    0
```

Q3.Formulate and solve the linear programming model. What are your findings?

```
solve(x)
```

```
## [1] 0
```

```
get.objective(x)      # get objective value
```

```
## [1] 225
```

```
get.variables(x)          # get values of decision variables
```

```
## [1]  0  0 15 25  0  0  0
```

```
get.constraints(x)        # get constraint RHS values
```

```
## [1] 50 75
```