

Theory Assignment-2: ADA Winter-2024

Aarzoo (2022008)

Anushka Srivastava (2022086)

1 Subproblem Definition

The subproblem definition in the problem is:

r : ring
d : ding

r_1	r_2	r_3	\dots	r_{i-3}	r_{i-2}	r_{i-1}	r_i	r_{i+1}	\dots	r_n
d_1	d_2	d_3	\dots	d_{i-3}	d_{i-2}	d_{i-1}	d_i	d_{i+1}	\dots	d_n

ring(i): The largest number of chickens that Mr. Fox earns by running the obstacle course from $A(1, \dots, i)$ if at the i^{th} index Mr. Fox chooses to **Ring** given the constraints that Mr. Fox is forbidden to say the same word more than three times a row.

ding(i): The largest number of chickens that Mr. Fox earns by running the obstacle course from $A(1, \dots, i)$ if at the i^{th} index Mr. Fox chooses to **Ding** given the constraints that Mr. Fox is forbidden to say the same word more than three times a row.

2 Recurrence of the sub-problem

$$Ring[i] = \{A[i-1] + \max(Ding[i-1], Ring[i-2], Ding[i-2], Ring[i-3], Ding[i-3])\} \quad (1)$$

$$Ding[i] = \{-A[i-1] + \max(Ring[i-1], Ring[i-2], Ding[i-2], Ring[i-3], Ding[i-3])\} \quad (2)$$

- **Case 1:** $Ring[i] = A[i-1] + \max(Ding[i-1], Ring[i-2], Ding[i-2], Ring[i-3], Ding[i-3])$

The recurrence relation considers the 7 possible cases (DDDR, RRDR, DDRR, RDRR, RDDR, DRRR, DRDR) excluding the case of 4 consecutive Rings, i.e., (RRRR), which determines the maximum number of chickens at the i^{th} index where at i^{th} position Mr. Fox chooses to Ring.

- $Ding[i-1]$ represents consecutive Dings till $i-1$ index from $i-3$ index (DDDR).
- $Ring[i-2]$ represents consecutive Rings till $i-2$ index from $i-3$ index (RRDR).
- $Ding[i-2]$ represents consecutive Dings till $i-2$ index from $i-3$ index (DDRR).
- $Ring[i-3]$ represents consecutive Rings till $i-3$ index from $i-3$ index (RDRR, RDDR).
- $Ding[i-3]$ represents consecutive Dings till $i-3$ index from $i-3$ index (DRRR, DRDR).

- **Case 2:** $Ding[i] = -A[i-1] + \max(Ring[i-1], Ring[i-2], Ding[i-2], Ring[i-3], Ding[i-3])$

The recurrence relation considers the 7 possible cases (RRRD, DDRD, RRDD, DRDD, DRRD, RDDD, RDRD) excluding the case of 4 consecutive Rings, i.e., (RRRR), which determines the maximum number of chickens at the i^{th} index where at i^{th} position Mr. Fox chooses to Ring.

- $Ring[i-1]$ represents consecutive Rings till $i-1$ index from $i-3$ index (RRRD).
- $Ring[i-2]$ represents consecutive Rings till $i-2$ index from $i-3$ index (RRDD).
- $Ding[i-2]$ represents consecutive Dings till $i-2$ index from $i-3$ index (DDRD).
- $Ring[i-3]$ represents consecutive Rings till $i-3$ index from $i-3$ index (RDRD, RDDD).
- $Ding[i-3]$ represents consecutive Dings till $i-3$ index from $i-3$ index (DRRD, DRDD).

3 Specific subproblem that solves the actual problem

The algorithm is based on the principle of filling up the tables, i.e., tabulation.

The subproblem that solves the final problem is: $\max(\mathbf{Ring}[n], \mathbf{Ding}[n])$ where $Ring[n]$ represents the case when Mr. Fox chooses to Ring at the n^{th} index to achieve the largest number of chickens, and $Ding[n]$ represents the case when Mr. Fox chooses to Ding at the n^{th} index to achieve the largest number of chickens.

4 Algorithm Description

The algorithm is based on 1-based indexing for *ring* and *ding*.

Base Cases: The base cases are defined until the array size 2 because of the given constraint that there cannot be more than 3 consecutive Rings or Dings.

- If the length of the array A is less than 1, return -1 .
- If the length of the array A is 1, return $\max(A[0], -A[0])$.
- If the length of the array A is 2, return $\max(A[0] + A[1], A[0] - A[1], -A[0] + A[1], -A[0] - A[1])$.

Dynamic arrays initialization: There are 2 dp arrays: *ring* and *ding*, each initialized with the size $n + 1$ (where n represents the size of array A). The dp arrays are initialized with indexes 0, 1, 2 and 3 based on the recurrence relation. For index 0, the *ring* and *ding* arrays are initialized to 0 at index 0 to follow 1-based indexing. The corresponding indices are initialized for indexes 1 and 2, similar to the base case. For index 3, the *ring*[3] and *ding*[3] are initialized with the maximum value possible when either Ring or Ding is spoken at index 3.

Filling up the tables: The *forloop* runs from 4 to $n + 1$ considering the 7 possible cases for Ring and Ding and excluding the case for 4 consecutive rings and dings. In the for loop, we are building up on our dp base cases such that we get the maximum value possible at each index.

- $prev_prev_ring = ring[i - 2] - A[i - 2]$: represents the case of $..R..$ where the dashes can take any value from R and D .
- $prev_prev_ding = ding[i - 2] + A[i - 2]$: represents the case of $..D..$ where the dashes can take any value from R and D .
- $prev_prev_prev_ring = \max(ring[i - 3] - A[i - 3] + A[i - 2], ring[i - 3] - A[i - 3] - A[i - 2])$: represents the case of $R....$ where dashes can take any value from R and D .
- $prev_prev_prev_ding = \max(ding[i - 3] + A[i - 3] + A[i - 2], ding[i - 3] + A[i - 3] - A[i - 2])$: represents the case of $D....$ where dashes can take any value from R and D .

For the case $..D..$, we set $prev_ding$ as $ding[i - 1]$. We take the max of these values and add the value of $A[i]$ if Ring is spoken at the i^{th} index. This value is then stored at $ring[i]$.

For the case $..R..$, we set $prev_ring$ as $ring[i - 1]$. We take the max of these values and add the value of $A[i]$ if Ding is spoken at the i^{th} index. This value is then stored at $ding[i]$.

Hence, at i^{th} position of both of these arrays, we have the optimized solution of the case if either Ring or Ding is spoken at that position.

Finally, we return the maximum of $ring[n]$ and $ding[n]$, which is the maximum number of chickens that can be earned if we speak Ring or Ding at the final index.

Final solution: Returned by the $\max(ring[n], ding[n])$.

5 Pseudocode

Illustrated below

Algorithm 1 Bottom up Approach using Tabulation

```
1: function MAXCHICKENSEARNED( $n, A$ )
2:   if  $n < 1$  then
3:     return -1
4:   end if
5:   if  $n == 1$  then
6:     return  $\max(A[0], -A[0])$ 
7:   end if
8:   if  $n == 2$  then
9:     return  $\max(A[0] + A[1], A[0] - A[1], -A[0] + A[1], -A[0] - A[1])$ 
10:  end if
11:  ring  $\leftarrow$  array of length  $n + 1$  initialized with 0s
12:  ding  $\leftarrow$  array of length  $n + 1$  initialized with 0s
13:  ring[0]  $\leftarrow$  0
14:  ding[0]  $\leftarrow$  0
15:  ring[1]  $\leftarrow A[0]$ 
16:  ding[1]  $\leftarrow -A[0]$ 
17:  ring[2]  $\leftarrow \max(\text{ring}[1] + A[1], \text{ding}[1] + A[1])$ 
18:  ding[2]  $\leftarrow \max(\text{ring}[1] - A[1], \text{ding}[1] - A[1])$ 
19:  ring[3]  $\leftarrow \max(\text{ring}[2] + A[2], \text{ring}[1] - A[1] + A[2], \text{ding}[1] + A[1] + A[2], \text{ding}[2] + A[2])$ 
20:  ding[3]  $\leftarrow \max(\text{ring}[2] - A[2], \text{ring}[1] - A[1] - A[2], \text{ding}[1] + A[1] - A[2], \text{ding}[2] - A[2])$ 
21:  for  $i \leftarrow 4$  to  $n + 1$  do
22:    prev_prev_ring  $\leftarrow \text{ring}[i - 2] - A[i - 2]$ 
23:    prev_prev_ding  $\leftarrow \text{ding}[i - 2] + A[i - 2]$ 
24:    prev_prev_prev_ring  $\leftarrow \max(\text{ring}[i - 3] - A[i - 3] + A[i - 2], \text{ring}[i - 3] - A[i - 3] - A[i - 2])$ 
25:    prev_prev_prev_ding  $\leftarrow \max(\text{ding}[i - 3] + A[i - 3] + A[i - 2], \text{ding}[i - 3] + A[i - 3] - A[i - 2])$ 
26:    prev_ding  $\leftarrow \text{ding}[i - 1]$ 
27:    ring[i]  $\leftarrow \max(\text{prev\_ding}, \text{prev\_prev\_ring}, \text{prev\_prev\_ding}, \text{prev\_prev\_prev\_ring}, \text{prev\_prev\_prev\_ding}) +$   

     $A[i - 1]$ 
28:    prev_ring  $\leftarrow \text{ring}[i - 1]$ 
29:    ding[i]  $\leftarrow \max(\text{prev\_ring}, \text{prev\_prev\_ring}, \text{prev\_prev\_ding}, \text{prev\_prev\_prev\_ring}, \text{prev\_prev\_prev\_ding}) -$   

     $A[i - 1]$ 
30:  end for
31:  return  $\max(\text{ring}[n], \text{ding}[n])$ 
32: end function
```

6 Explanation of Running Time of the Algorithm

The algorithm is based on tabulation. The base cases, i.e., if the array A size is either 1, 2, or 3, then it involves constant time operations followed by a for loop ranging from 4 to $n + 1$ to determine the values of $ring[i]$ and $ding[i]$ from 4 to $n + 1$, which takes $O(n)$ time.

The polynomial in the worst case, which determines the time complexity, is $f(n) = n + c$. Then the time complexity is $O(n)$.

The space complexity of the algorithm is also $O(2 * n)$, which is simply $O(n)$, since it takes 2 arrays $ring$ and $ding$, each of size $n + 1$, to store the values in a bottom-up manner.