

Summary of the lead scoring project

Interpretation Logistic regression model with multiple predictor variables:

In general, we can have multiple predictor variables in a logistic regression model as below:

$$\text{logit}(p) = \log(p/(1-p)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * X_1 + \dots + \beta_n * X_n$$

Applying such a model to our example dataset, each estimated coefficient is the expected change in the log odds of being a potential lead for a unit increase in the corresponding predictor variable holding the other predictor variables constant at a certain value. Each exponentiated coefficient is the ratio of two odds, or the change in odds in the multiplicative scale for a unit increase in the corresponding predictor variable holding other variables at a certain value.

Applying such a model to our example dataset, each estimated coefficient is the expected change in the log odds of being a potential lead for a unit increase in the corresponding predictor variable holding the other predictor variables constant at a certain value. Each exponentiated coefficient is the ratio of two odds, or the change in odds in the multiplicative scale for a unit increase in the corresponding predictor variable holding other variables at a certain value

The magnitude and sign of the coefficients loaded in the logit function:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{logit}(p) = \log(p/(1-p)) = & (3.42 * \text{Lead Origin_Lead Add Form}) + \\ & (2.84 * \text{Occupation_Working Professional}) + (1.99 * \text{Lead} \\ & \text{Source_Welingak Website}) + (1.78 * \text{Last Activity_SMS Sent}) + \\ & (1.25 * \text{Last Activity_Unsubscribed}) + (1.09 * \text{Total Time Spent on} \\ & \text{Website}) + (0.98 * \text{Lead Source_Olark Chat}) + (0.84 * \text{Last} \\ & \text{Activity_Unreachable}) + (0.66 * \text{Last Activity_Email Opened}) - \\ & (0.25 * \text{Lead Origin_Landing Page Submission}) - (0.87 * \text{Last} \\ & \text{Activity_Olark Chat Conversation}) - (1.26 * \text{Do Not Email}) - 1.77 \end{aligned}$$

We can make predictions from the estimates. We do this by computing the effects for all of the predictors for a particular scenario, adding them up, and applying a logistic transformation. Consider the scenario of a lead who is a working professional and who was identified from Welingak website and who had chatted on Olark Chat and who spent no time on the website and wanted to be contacted by E-mail.

Then we can calculate his conversion probability as $3.42 * 0 + 2.84 * 1 + 1.99 * 1 + 1.78 * 0 + 1.25 * 0 + 1.09 * 0 + 0.98 * 0 + 0.84 * 0 + 0.66 * 0 - 0.25 * 0 - 0.87 * 1 - 1.26 * 0 - 1.77 = 2.84 + 1.99 - 0.87 - 1.77 = 2.19$ which is $\log(p/(1-p))$.

The logistic transformation is:

$$\text{Probability} = 1 / (1 + \exp(-x)) = 1 / (1 + \exp(-2.19)) = 1 / (1 + \exp(2.2)) = 0.10 = 10\%$$

Predicting Probabilities

We can make predictions from the estimates. We do this by computing the effects for all of the predictors for a particular scenario, adding them up, and applying a logistic transformation.

Consider the scenario of a lead who is a working professional and who was identified from Welingak website and who had chatted on Olark Chat and who spent no time on the website and wanted to be contacted by E-mail.

Then we can calculate his conversion probability as $3.41 * 0 + 2.82 * 1 + 2.34 * 0 + 2.01 * 1 + 1.86 * 0 + 1.32 * 0 + 1.09 * 0 + 0.97 * 0 + 0.93 * 0 + 0.76 * 0 - 0.26 * 0 - 0.77 * 1 - 1.24 * 0 - 1.86$ which is $2.82 + 2.01 - 0.77 - 1.86 = 2.2$ which is $\log(p/(1-p))$

The logistic transformation is:

$$\text{Probability} = 1 / (1 + \exp(-x)) = 1 / (1 + \exp(-2.2)) = 1 / (1 + \exp(2.2)) = 0.143 = 14.3\%$$

Sometimes, marketing team may need to get odds rather than probabilities as the concept of odds ratios is of sociological rather than logical importance.

To understand odds ratios we first need a definition of odds, which is the ratio of the probabilities of two mutually exclusive outcomes. Consider our prediction of the probability of lead conversion of 10% from the earlier section on probabilities. As the probability of lead conversion is 10%, the probability of non-conversion is $100\% - 10\% = 90\%$, and thus the odds are 10% versus 90%. Dividing both sides by 90% gives us 0.11 versus 1, which we can just write as 0.11. So, the odds of 0.11 is just a different way of saying a probability of lead conversion of 10%.

Similarly We can interpret from the model that, holding all categorical and numerical variables at a fixed value, the odds of a lead being converted for a Working Professional (Working Professional = 1) over the odds of lead being converted for non-working professionals (Working Professional = 0) is $\exp(2.84) = 17.11$

This means $\log(p/(1-p)) = 17.11$ when all other variables are at fixed value

We can use this odds ratios method to **identify** the potential lead conversions on comparing the individuals profile.