

Lecture 2 Problem Solving using Search

-

Topic Contents

Problem Solving Agents

Problem Formulation and Search

Spaces Example Problems

Tree Search Algorithm

- ☐ Breadth-First Search
- ☐ Uniform-Cost Search
- ☐ Depth-First Search
- ☐ Depth Limited Search
- ☐ Iterative Deepening Search
- ☐ Bidirectional Search

Introduction


- In this topic, we see how an agent can find a sequence of actions that achieves its goals, when no single action will do.

Problem-Solving Agent

Four general steps in problem solving:

- **Goal formulation**
 - What are the successful world states
- **Problem formulation**
 - What actions and states to consider given the goal
- **Search**
 - Examine different possible sequences of actions that lead to states of known value and then choose the best sequence
- **Execute**
 - Perform actions on the basis of the solution

Example: Romania



Example: Romania

On holiday the agent is in Romania visiting in Arad. Flight leaves tomorrow from Bucharest.

- Formulate goal

Be in Bucharest

- Formulate problem

- States: various cities

- Actions: drive between cities

- Find solution

Sequence of cities; e.g. Arad, Sibiu, Fagaras, Bucharest,...

Problem Type


Given how we have defined the problem:

- Environment is **fully observable**
- Environment is **deterministic**
- Environment is **sequential**
- Environment is **static**
- Environment is **discrete**
- Environment is **single-agent**

Problem Formulation

- A problem is defined by:
 - An initial state, e.g. $In(Arad)$
 - A successor function $S(x)$ = set of action-state pairs
 - $S(In(Arad)) = \{(Go(Sibiu), In(Sibiu)), (Go(Zerind), In(Zerind)), (Go(Timisoara), In(Timisoara))\}$
 - initial state + successor function = state space
 - Goal test
 - Explicit, e.g. $x = 'In(Bucharest)'$
 - Path cost (assume additive)
 - e.g. sum of distances, number of actions executed, ...
- A solution is a sequence of actions from initial to goal state
 - the optimal solution has the lowest path cost

Vacuum World



State space of the vacuum world

Example Problems

The problem-solving approach has been applied to a vast array of task environments.


Some of the best known are listed here, distinguishing between *toy* and *real-world* problems.

A ***toy problem*** is intended to illustrate or exercise various problem-solving methods.

It is usable by different researchers to compare the performance of algorithms.


A ***real-world problem*** is one whose solutions people actually care about.

Toy Problems: 8-Puzzle



- **States:** location of each tile plus blank
- **Initial state:** Any state can be initial
- **Actions:** Move blank {*Left, Right, Up, Down*}
- **Goal test:** Check whether goal configuration is reached
- **Path cost:** Number of actions to reach goal

Toy Problems: 8-Queens




Place eight queens on a chessboard such that no queen attacks any other.

Two kinds of problem formulation:

- Complete-state formulation
- Incremental formulation

Toy Problems: 8-Queens




Complete-state formulation

States: Any arrangement of 0 to 8 queens on the board

- Initial state: No queens
- Actions: Add queen to any empty square
- Goal test: 8 queens on board and none attacked
- Path cost: N/A
- $64 \cdot 63 \cdot 62 \cdot \dots \cdot 57 \approx 3 \times 10^{14}$ possible sequences to investigate

Toy Problems: 8-Queens



Incremental formulation

- States: n (0 to 8) queens on the board, one per column in the n leftmost columns with no queen attacking any other
- Actions: Add queen in leftmost empty column such that the queen is not attacking any other queen
- 2057 possible sequences to investigate; solutions are easy to find

But with 100 queens the problem is much harder

Real-World Problems

- ☐ Route-finding problems
- ☐ Touring problems
- ☐ Traveling Salesman problem
- ☐ VLSI layout problem
- ☐ Robot navigation
- ☐ Automatic assembly sequencing
- ☐ Internet searching


Basic Search Algorithms

How do we find the solutions of previous problems?

- Search the state space
 - State space can be represented by a **search tree**
 - Root of the tree is the initial state
 - Children generated through successor function
- In general we may have a search graph rather than tree (same state can be reached through multiple paths)

Simple Tree Search Example


(a) The initial state



```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) return a solution or failure
  Initialize search tree to the initial state of the problem
  do
    if no candidates for expansion then return failure
    choose leaf node for expansion according to strategy
    if node contains goal state then return solution
    else expand the node and add resulting nodes to the search tree
  enddo
```

Simple Tree Search Example


(b) After expanding Arad



```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) return a solution or failure
  Initialize search tree to the initial state of the problem
  do
    if no candidates for expansion then return failure
    choose leaf node for expansion according to strategy
    if node contains goal state then return solution
    else expand the node and add resulting nodes to the search tree
  enddo
```

Simple Tree Search Example

(c) After expanding Sibiu



function TREE-SEARCH(*problem, strategy*) **return** a solution or failure

Initialize search tree to the *initial state* of the *problem*

do

if no candidates for expansion **then return** *failure*

choose leaf node for expansion according to *strategy*

if node contains goal state **then return** *solution*

else expand the node and add resulting nodes to the search tree

← **Determines search process**


enddo

State Space vs. Search Tree

- *state* corresponds a configuration of the world

A *node* is a data structure in a search tree

e.g. *node* = $\langle \text{state}, \text{parent-node}, \text{action}, \text{path-cost}, \text{depth} \rangle$



Search Strategies

A search strategy is defined by picking the order of node expansion

Problem-solving performance is measured in four ways:

Completeness: Is a solution found if one exists?

Optimality: Does the strategy find the optimal solution?

Time Complexity: How long does it take to find a solution?

Space Complexity: How much memory is needed to perform the search?

Time and space complexity are measured in terms of problem difficulty defined by:


- b - branching factor of the search tree
- d - depth of the shallowest goal node
- m - maximum length of any path in the state space

Uninformed Search Strategies


- **Uninformed search (or blind search)**
 - Strategies have no additional information about states beyond that provided in the problem definition
- **Informed (or heuristic) search**
 - Search strategies know whether one state is more promising than another
- Uninformed strategies (defined by order in which nodes are expanded):
 - Breadth-first search
 - Uniform-cost search
 - Depth-first search
 - Depth-limited search
 - Iterative deepening search
 - Bidirectional search

Breadth-First Search


- Expand *shallowest* unexpanded node
- ***Fringe*** is the collection of nodes that have been generated but not yet expanded.
- The set of all leaf nodes available for expansion at any given point is called the ***frontier***.
- Implementation: *fringe/frontier* is a FIFO queue




Breadth-First Search



Breadth-First Search



Breadth-First Search



Breadth-First Search

- Completeness: is a solution always found if one exists?
 - YES
 - If shallowest goal node is at some finite depth d
 - If branching factor b is finite
- BF search is optimal if the path cost is a non-decreasing function of the depth of the node

Breadth-First Search


- Time complexity: Assume a state space where every state has b successors
 - Assume solution is at depth d
 - Worst case: expand all but the last node at depth d
 - Total number of nodes generated:
 - $b + b^2 + b^3 + \dots + b^d + (b^{d+1} - b) = O(b^{d+1})$
- Space complexity: every node generated must remain in memory, so same as time complexity

Uniform-Cost Search


- Extension of BF-search:
- Expand node with *lowest path cost*
- Implementation: *fringe* = queue ordered by path cost
- UC-search is the same as BF-search when all step costs are equal

Uniform-Cost Search


Let us consider the following search tree, where **A** and **G** are the initial and goal node, respectively.




Uniform-Cost Search




Uniform-Cost Search




Uniform-Cost Search



Uniform-Cost Search




Uniform-Cost Search




Uniform-Cost Search


□ Let us consider another search tree, where **A** and **G** are the initial and goal node, respectively.




Uniform-Cost Search




Uniform-Cost Search



Uniform-Cost Search



Uniform-Cost Search




Uniform-Cost Search

- Completeness:
 - YES, if step-cost $>$ some small positive constant ϵ
- Optimality:
 - nodes expanded in order of increasing path cost
 - YES, if complete
- Time and space complexity:
 - Uniform-cost search is guided by path costs rather than depths, so its complexity cannot easily be characterized in terms of b and d .
 - *Instead, assume C^* is the cost of the optimal solution*
 - Assume that every action costs at least ϵ
 - Worst-case: $O(b^{C^*/\epsilon})$


Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)




Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)



Depth-First Search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node
- Implementation: *fringe* is a LIFO queue (= stack)



DF-Search: Evaluation

- Completeness:
 - Is a solution always found if one exists?
 - No (unless search space is finite and no loops are possible)
- Optimality:
 - Is the least-cost solution always found?
 - No


DF-Search: Evaluation

- Time complexity: $O(b^m)$
- In general, time is terrible if m (maximal depth) is much larger than d (depth of shallowest solution)
 - But if there exist many solutions then faster than BF-search
- Space complexity: $O(bm)$
 - Backtracking search uses even less memory (one successor instead of all b)


Depth-Limited Search

- DF-search with depth limit l
 - i.e. nodes at depth l have no successors
 - Problem knowledge can be used
- Solves the infinite-path problem
- If $l < d$ then incompleteness results
- Time complexity: $O(b^l)$
- Space complexity: $O(bl)$


Depth-Limited Search with $l = 2$




Depth-Limited Search with $l = 2$



Depth-Limited Search with $l = 2$



Depth-Limited Search with $l = 2$



Iterative Deepening Search

- A general strategy to find best depth limit /
 - Goal is found at depth d , the depth of the shallowest goal-node
 - Often used in combination with DF-search
- Combines benefits of DF- and BF-search




ID-Search: Example

- Limit=0




ID-Search: Example

- Limit=1




ID-Search: Example

● Limit=2



ID-Search: Example

● Limit=3




Bidirectional Search

□ Two simultaneous searches run from start and goal.

- Motivation:

$$b^{d/2} + b^{d/2} \neq b^d$$


- One forward from the initial state
- Other backward from goal
- Stops when the two searches meet in the middle
 - Check whether the node belongs to the other fringe before expansion



Bidirectional Search

- Time complexity: $O(b^{d/2})$
- Space complexity: $O(b^{d/2})$
 - This space complexity is the most significant weakness of bidirectional search.
- Completeness and Optimality: Yes
 - If step costs are uniform
 - If both searches are breadth-first search.

Bidirectional Search



The reduction in time complexity makes bidirectional search attractive, but *how do we search backwards?*

The predecessor of each node should be efficiently computable.


- When actions are easily reversible.

Summary of Algorithms

Criterion	Breadth-First	Uniform-Cost	Depth-First	Depth-Limited	Iterative Deepening	Bidirectional (if applicable)
Complete?	Yes ^a	Yes ^{a,b}	No	No	Yes ^a	Yes ^{a,d}
Time	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{1+\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^l)$	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{d/2})$
Space	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{1+\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$	$O(bm)$	$O(bl)$	$O(bd)$	$O(b^{d/2})$
Optimal?	Yes ^c	Yes	No	No	Yes ^c	Yes ^{c,d}

Figure 3.17 Evaluation of search strategies. b is the branching factor; d is the depth of the shallowest solution; m is the maximum depth of the search tree; l is the depth limit. Superscript caveats are as follows: ^a complete if b is finite; ^b complete if step costs $\geq \epsilon$ for positive ϵ ; ^c optimal if step costs are all identical; ^d if both directions use breadth-first search.

Informed search algorithms



Outline


Best-first search

Greedy best-first search

A* search

Heuristics

Memory Bounded A* Search



Informed Search Strategy

- A search strategy which searches the most promising branches of the state-space first can:
 - find a solution more quickly,
 - find solutions even when there is limited time available,
 - often find a *better* solution, since more profitable parts of the state-space can be examined, while ignoring the unprofitable parts.
- A search strategy which is better than another at identifying the most promising branches of a search-space is said to be more *informed*.

Best-first search

Idea: use an **evaluation function** $f(n)$ for each node

$f(n)$ provides an estimate for the total cost.

Expand the node n with smallest $f(n)$.

Implementation:

Order the nodes in fringe increasing order of cost.


Special cases:

greedy best-first search

A^* search



Romania with straight-line dist.



Straight-line distance
to Bucharest


Arad	366
Bucharest	0
Craiova	160
Dobreta	242
Eforie	161
Fagaras	176
Giurgiu	77
Hirsova	151
Iasi	226
Lugoj	244
Mehadia	241
Neamt	234
Oradea	380
Pitesti	10
Rimnicu Vilcea	193
Sibiu	253
Timisoara	329
Urziceni	80
Vaslui	199
Zerind	374

Greedy best-first search


$f(n)$ = estimate of cost from n to goal

e.g., $f(n)$ = straight-line distance from n to Bucharest


Greedy best-first search expands the node that **appears** to be closest to goal.





Greedy best-first search example




Greedy best-first search example




Greedy best-first search example



Greedy best-first search example



GBFS is not complete



$f(n)$ = straightline distance

Properties of greedy best-first search

Complete? No – can get stuck in loops.

Time? $O(b^m)$, but a good heuristic can give dramatic improvement

Space? $O(b^m)$ - keeps all nodes in memory

Optimal? No

e.g. Arad>Sibiu>Rimnicu Virea>Pitesti>Bucharest is shorter!



Heuristic evaluation functions

A *heuristic evaluation* function, $h(n)$, is the estimated cost of the cheapest path from the state at node n , to a goal state.

Heuristic evaluation functions are very much dependent on the domain used. $h(n)$ might be the estimated number of moves needed to complete a puzzle, or the estimated straight-line distance to some town in a route finder.

Choosing an appropriate function greatly affects the effectiveness of the state-space search, since it tells us which parts of the state-space to search next.

A heuristic evaluation function which accurately represents the *actual cost* of getting to a goal state, tells us very clearly which nodes in the state-space to expand next, and leads us quickly to the goal state.

A* search

Idea: avoid expanding paths that are already expensive

Evaluation function $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$

$g(n)$ = cost so far to reach n

$h(n)$ = estimated cost from n to goal

$f(n)$ = estimated total cost of path through n to goal

Best First search has $f(n)=h(n)$

Uniform Cost search has $f(n)=g(n)$

Admissible heuristics

A heuristic $h(n)$ is **admissible** if for every node n ,
 $h(n) \leq h^*(n)$, where $h^*(n)$ is the **true** cost to reach the goal state from n .

An admissible heuristic **never overestimates** the cost to reach the goal, i.e., it is **optimistic**

Example: $h_{\text{SLD}}(n)$ (never overestimates the actual road distance)