Theory of Automata Home work- 1 1) Show that (AUC) n(BUC) = (ANB)UC And: To prove this, we aim to show any x E (AUC) n(BUC), x E (ANB) UC -> By the definition of intersection x E (AUC) n (BUC) means x E (AUC) and x E (BUC) > Thus we aim to show i) x E(AUC), then x E (ANB) UC ii) x E (BUC), then x E (ANB)UC (a) If x E (AUC) then x E A (O) x E C cose 1) - Become x EC, x E (AnB) UC/By definition of (ose III) Now let us consider x EA Also According to statement (ii) x E (BUC) which Cose (11-a); If XEA and XEB then x & (ANB) [By deforion of indersection] > If x E (ANB) then x E (ANB) UC By deservation of union cose (11-b): If XEA and XEC then IE (ANB)UC [By defenition of Union] From (1 (2) and (3) we can say x & (ANB) UC => (AU()n(BU() = (ANB)UC Hence Proved

2)	Write the following explicitly
Ans:	a) \$\times \
OB	
	The certisian product of a null set with
	any set is a null set.
	$S_0 \not \boxtimes \times \{1,2\} = \emptyset$
	1) {1,2}
	b) 2 ^{1,2} × {1,2}
	g 11,23 is the power set of {1,23
	·· 9 {1,2} = S & , \(\) \{ \) \{ \) \} \{ \) \}
	$9^{\{1,2\}}$ is the power set of $\{1,2\}$ $9^{\{1,2\}} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$ Now $9^{\{1,2\}} \times \{1,2\} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\} \times \{1,2\}$
	$\left(\left\{ 1,2\right\} ,2\right) $
2	
	. 1 - 01 11 11 0 0 1 70 0
3)	Let f: A >> B. Show that following relation is an
	equivalence relation on A: (a,b) ER iff f(a) = f(b)
Any,	(niven condition is for a relation Ron A
	(ab) EQ off f(a) = f(b)
	To any that the relation R is an equivalence
	relation, we need to show R is reflexive, Symmetric
	. 1 0 6
	O e referring we need to see it
	(a,a) $\in R \Rightarrow f(a) = f(a)$ which is true.
	So R is reflexive
h.	

	1) According to the given condition
	if (a,b) f R then f(a) = f(b)
_	then if (b, a) ER then f(b) = f(a) which is true.
_	So $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(b,a) \in \mathbb{R}$ so
_	R is symmetric (2)
_	(iii) If (a,b) ER then f(a) = f(b)
	9f (b,c) ER then f(b) = f(c)
	From the above two statements and if
	$(a,c) \in R$ then $f(a) = f(c)$ $f(a) = (f(b) = f(c))$
	Hence R is transitive - 3
	From 1 2 and 3 we can say that the
	relation R on A is an equivalence relation.
	The state of the s
-	
1)	
14)	Let R, and R2 be any two partial
.1+)	Let R, and R, be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, DR, is
1+)	Let R, and R, be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, DR, is
14) Ans:	Let R, and R2 be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, DR2 is a partial order if
14) Ans:	Let R, and R2 be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, DR2 is a partial order if
14) Ans:	Let R, and R, be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, DR, is
- 14) Ans:	Let R, and R2 be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, NR, is partial order. A relation R is a partial order if R is reflexive, anti-symmetric and branchive.
14) Ans:	Let R, and R, be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, NR, is partial order. A relation R is a partial order if R is reflexive, anti-symmetric and branchive. i) To prove R, NR, is seflexive Let us consider (a, a) ER, and
Ans:	Let R, and R, be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, NR, is partial order. A relation R is a partial order if R is reflexive, anti-symmetric and branchive. i) To prove R, NR, is seflexive Let us consider (a, a) ER, and
- 14) - Ans:	Let R, and R2 be any two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, DR; is partial order. A relation R is a partial order if R is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transfive. i) To prove R, DR2 is seffexive Let us consider (a, a) ER, and (a, a) ER2 since they are reflexive. So (a, a) ER1 R, DR2 [By the definition of indersection]
Ans:	Let R, and R2 be any, two partial orders on the same set A. Show R, DR, is partial order. A relation R is a partial order if R is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transfive. i) To prove R, DR2 is reflexive Let us consider (a,a) ER, and (a,a) ER2 since they are reflexive. So (a,a) ER2 since they are reflexive.

11) To prove (R, OR2) is anti-symmetric, let us go with the contradiction method which means we consider RINR2 as non anti symmetric and if there is any error then our assumption is Since R, and R2 are arti-symmetric $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}$, and $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_2$ but $(b, a) \notin \mathbb{R}$, and (b,a) & Re But as we considered R, NR2 as non arti-symmetric if (a,b) ER, & (a,b) ER, then (a,b) ER, NR, and also (b,a) ER, NR, => (b,a) ER, & (b,a) ER2 which is a contradiction. So our assumption is wrong and RIRR, is artisymmetric Consider (a,b) and (b,c) & R,nR2) then (a,b), (b,c) ER, and (a,b), (b,c) ER2 By intersection - Since R, and R2 are from the, (a,c) ER, and (a,c) ∈ R. > (a,c) ER, NR2 [By definition of intersection Thus RIDR2 is transitione - (3) From 1 2 2 3 R, NR, is also a Partial Oxoler

8	
5)	Show that any function from a finite set to "tself contains a cycle.
	itself contains a cycle.
Ans:	A set is sould to be finite if it
	confains finite number of elements in it.
	Let us consides a fonéte set
	$A = \{1/2/3\}$
	A function from a finite set to itself
	Ean be S(1,2),(2,3)(3,13
_	AAAA
/	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$
	3) (3)
	So we can show this as
r	2
5-	3
10	Thus we can cour in a finite set, a function
	goe from first element and age to lost and
	lost element prints to first one making it a wile
	Thus we con say in a finite set, a function of goes from first element and goes to lost and lost element points to first one making it a yele
	So we con easy that a function from from a finite set to itself contains a cycle.
	from a finite set to itself contains a yelle
(