- 1. Use the pumping theorem to show that the following languages are not context-free
  - a).  $\{www: w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$ 
    - (c) Assume  $L = \{www : w \in \Sigma^*\}$  were context-free. Then there is a number k > 0 such that for any  $w \in L$  such that  $|w| \ge k$  there exist  $u, v, x, y, z \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $w = uvxyz, |vxy| \le k, vy \ne e$ , and  $uv^nxy^nz \in L$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Consider the string  $w = a^kba^kba^kb$ . This string is in L and satisfies  $|w| \ge k$ . By our assumption, u, v, x, y, and z exist as above. Neither v nor y can contain more than one b. This follows from the fact that  $|vxy| \le k$ , so in particular  $|v|, |y| \le k$  so each cannot contain more than one b. In fact, neither v nor y can contain any instance of b at all. Suppose, without loss of generality, that v contained a b. Then  $uv^2xy^2z$  contains four occurrences of b and hence certainly cannot be in L (as four is not divisible by three). Similarly, if v and v each contained a v, the string v and v expression of v is in the same reasoning could not be in v. So the only case remaining is v, v and v is in the first set of v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v and v is in the second set of v. Then v is v in the second set of v. Then v is v in the second set of v in the seco
  - b).  $\{w \in \{a, b, c\}^* : w \text{ has equal number of } a's, b's \text{ and } c's\}$

The intersection of context-free language and regular language is context-free. So if it is context-free, we intersect L with  $a^*b^*c^*$  and get  $\{a^nb^nc^n:n\geq 0\}$  which should be context-free, but  $\{a^nb^nc^n:n\geq 0\}$  not context-free, a contradiction.

- 2. Decide whether the following language is context-free or not, and state your reason:
  - a).  $\{a^m b^n c^p : m = n \text{ or } n = p \text{ or } m = p\}$

Context-free. Hint: This is the union of  $\{a^mb^mc^p\colon m\geq 0, p\geq 0\}$ ,  $\{a^mb^pc^p\colon m\geq 0, p\geq 0\}$ ,  $\{a^mb^pc^m\colon m\geq 0, p\geq 0\}$ , each of which is essentially like  $\{a^nb^n\colon n\geq 0\}$ , which can be generated by similar context-free grammar, e.g.,  $\{a^mb^mc^p\colon m\geq 0, p\geq 0\}$  is the concatenation of  $\{a^nb^n\colon n\geq 0\}$  and  $c^*$ , where one can use  $S\to S_1S_2$ , while  $S_1\to aS_1b|e$  is the one for  $\{a^nb^n\colon n\geq 0\}$ , and  $S_2\to cS_2|e$  for  $c^*$ . Or you can modify the PDA in our slide for it.

b).  $\{a^mb^nc^p: m \neq n \text{ or } n \neq p \text{ or } m \neq p\}$ 

Context-free. Hint: This is the union of  $\{a^mb^nc^p: m \neq n\}$ ,  $\{a^mb^nc^p: n \neq p\}$ ,  $\{a^mb^nc^p: m \neq p\}$ . Each of them, say,  $\{a^mb^nc^p: m \neq p\}$ , is essentially the same as  $\{a^mc^p: m \neq p\}$ , which you can use the material in slides for showing the **complement** of  $\{a^nb^n: n \geq 0\}$  is context-free.

c).  $\{a^mb^nc^p: m=n \text{ and } n=p \text{ and } m=p\}$ Not context-free. This is  $\{a^nb^nc^n: n \geq 0\}$