

Homework 5 . Predicate Logic: Syntax and Semantics

Submit your solution in PDF file (and Latex source file if you use Latex) to blackboard by **11:59pm Sun Nov 20**.

1. Consider the following sentence.

Every number greater than or equal to 4 can be written as the sum of two prime numbers.

- (a) Write a language (as defined in Def 2.1) such that some formulas of the language can be used to represent the sentence above.
- (b) Write a formula of your language that should reflect the meaning of the sentence above.
- (c) In terms of your language, write three example terms, three example atomic formulas, and three example formulas.

2. Write a formula to represent the following information. Your formula should be as close as possible to the intended meaning of these sentences.

(a) *There is a mother to all children.*

(b) ALL ITEMS NOT AVAILABLE AT ALL STORES.

Note, the sentence above is a disclaimer in the weekly flyer of specials of a grocery store chain.

3. Given the language defined in Def 2.1, which of the following are formulas defined by Def 2.5?

- (a) $f(x, c)$
- (b) $R(c, f(d, z))$
- (c) $\forall x(P(x))$
- (d) $((\exists x)((\forall y)P(z)) \rightarrow R(x, y))$

4. Given

$$((\exists x)((\forall y)P(z)) \rightarrow R(x, y)),$$

- (a) List all its subformulas.
 - (b) Draw its formation tree.
5. Which of the following terms are substitutable for x in the corresponding formulas?
- (a) $f(z, y)$ in $((\exists y)(P(y) \wedge R(x, z)))$.
 - (b) $g(f(z, y), a)$ in $((\exists x)(P(x) \wedge R(x, y)))$.
6. Connect predicate calculus to the study of this course. To focus on the substance, we need to extend (informally) predicate calculus (syntax) as follows
- You can use sets or proposition as a parameter of a predicate.
 - You can use $=$ as a predicate symbol in the normal way. For example, that two terms t_1 and t_2 are equal can be represented by $t_1 = t_2$.
 - You can use a variable to refer to a function or a set or a proposition. (e.g., $((\exists V)\forall(x) = T)$ where T is constant.)

The form of formula will be expanded accordingly to the extension above. Consider the definition of *consequence* (Def 3.7 of Part I).

- (a) Represent it as a formula. You need to introduce all predicates you need in the formula, in the way we did in L11.1 for the subset example. Intuitively, a concept name and its parameters/arguments you identify in the definition is a good candidate of a predicate. You also need to introduce any constant or function symbol you need. Note the main “if” in a definition should be understood as “if and only if.” Note statement “ $\forall x \in A, x \in B$ ” in the subset definition. We translate it to “ $((\forall x)(x \in A \rightarrow x \in B))$.”
 - (b) Let your formula be α . What can you logically derive (some intuition/experience from your earlier study is needed here) from the formula $(\alpha \wedge \text{“} B \text{ is a consequence of } \{A, A \rightarrow B\}\text{”})$? Note you should translate the English in the formula using predicate(s) you introduced. Your answer to this question has to be in the form of a formula. You need to do a variable substitution (Σ in English definition would be replaced by $\{A, A \rightarrow B\}$, and σ by B).
7. 1) Let $A = \{1, 2\}$. a) List all functions from A to A in the form of sets of pair. For example, one function is $\{(1, 1), (2, 2)\}$. If we let the function be named g . Then in the example function, $g(1)$ is 1 and $g(2)$ is 2. b) List all unary relations on A . Your relations must be represented as sets.
- 2) Show that $\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(f(x))) \wedge \forall x p(x) \wedge \exists x \neg q(x)$ is satisfiable. The format of your structure should follow the one in L12.1. In your structure, you must use the domain $A = \{1, 2\}$. You must represent the function assigned to f in the set of pairs. You must represent the relations assigned to p and q in the set forms. Note you have to figure out the constants in the language on which the formula is defined.

8. Prove that $\mathcal{A} \models \neg \exists x \varphi(x)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A} \models \forall x \neg \varphi(x)$. You have to follow the proof format we used earlier. Working backward again is a good idea. You have to be able to apply the definitions.