HW04_Sampathirao_Anvita

 $Anvita\ Sampathirao$ 6/4/2019

R Markdown

```
#1a.
                                             z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}
z < -(45-70)/10
## [1] -2.5
#1b.
pnorm(45,mean = 70, sd =10, lower.tail = TRUE)
## [1] 0.006209665
#1c.
2*pnorm(45,mean = 70, sd =10, lower.tail = TRUE)
## [1] 0.01241933
\#2a.
SMPL<- as.data.frame(matrix(1:100, nrow = 10000, ncol = 10))
RNDM<- function(d, x) {</pre>
    s <- c(d[sample(nrow(d), 1), sample(ncol(d), 1)])
    x < -x - 1
    for (i in c(1:x)) {
        row <- sample(nrow(d), 1)</pre>
        col <- sample(ncol(d), 1)</pre>
        s<- append(s, d[row, col], after = length(s))
         i <- i + 1
    }
    return(s)
}
s1 <- RNDM(SMPL, 10)
   [1] 81 83 36 95 100 15 25 24 58 85
#2b.
                                           \bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i
```

```
mean1 <- sum(s1)/length(s1)</pre>
mean1
## [1] 60.2
#2c.
                                               s = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}}
standarddev<- sqrt(sum((s1-mean1)^2/(length(s1)-1)))</pre>
standarddev
## [1] 32.5672
#2d.
                                                     se = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}
standarderr <- standarddev/sqrt(length(s1))</pre>
standarderr
## [1] 10.29865
#2e.
                                        CI = [\bar{x} - 1.96 * se, \bar{x} + 1.96 * se]
lowerl<-mean1-1.96*standarderr</pre>
upprl<-mean1+1.96*standarderr
lowerl
## [1] 40.01464
upprl
## [1] 80.38536
#2f.
                                        CI = [\bar{x} - 1.83 * se, \bar{x} + 1.83 * se]
t < -qt(0.975,9)
## [1] 2.262157
```

[1] 36.90283

lowerl1

lowerl1<-mean1-t*standarderr
upprl1<-mean1+t*standarderr</pre>

```
upprl1
```

[1] 83.49717

#2g. For a larger sample set, the distribution of the sample mean will be normally distributed. As the sample set is small (i.e.n=10) here, there will be more variations within the observations and we cannot say with confidence that the data is statistically significant.

#3a.

```
n_sample<-c(1:100)
n<-length(n_sample)
m_1<- mean(n_sample)
sd_1<-sd(n_sample)</pre>
```

$$CI = \bar{x} \pm 1.96 * \frac{sd}{\sqrt{n}}$$

lowerlimit<-m_1-1.96*sd_1/sqrt(n)
lowerlimit</pre>

[1] 44.81375

```
upperlimit<-m_1+1.96*sd_1/sqrt(n)
upperlimit
```

[1] 56.18625

 $CI' = \bar{x} \pm 1.96 * \frac{sd}{\sqrt{n'}}$ Also, $1.96 * \frac{sd}{\sqrt{n'}} = 1.96 * \frac{sd}{2 * \sqrt{n}}$

 $2*\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{n'}$

n' = 4 * n = 400

 $n1 \leftarrow 4*n$ n1 #Thus, we need 300 more observations from the original n.

[1] 400

i.e.

```
lowerlimit1<-m_1-1.96*sd_1/sqrt(n1)
lowerlimit1</pre>
```

[1] 47.65687

```
upperlimit1<-m_1+1.96*sd_1/sqrt(n1)
upperlimit1
## [1] 53.34313
#3b.
                                          n = (\frac{1.96 * sd}{se})^2
number1<-round((1.96*20000/1000)^2)
number1
## [1] 1537
number2<-round((1.96*20000/100)^2)
number2
## [1] 153664
#4. We pick t distribution because n<=30
nruns<-1000
nsamples<-20
sample_summary<-matrix(NA,nruns,3)</pre>
for(j in 1:nruns){
  sampler <- rep(NA,nsamples)</pre>
  for(i in 1:nsamples){
    if(runif(1) < 0.5){
      sampler[i] \leftarrow rnorm(1,40,15)
    }
    else{
      sampler[i] \leftarrow rnorm(1,60,27)
  }
  sample_summary[j,1] <- mean(sampler)</pre>
  standard_error <- sd(sampler)/sqrt(nsamples)</pre>
  sample_summary[j,2] <- mean(sampler) - qt(0.995,19)*standard_error</pre>
  sample_summary[j,3] <- mean(sampler) + qt(0.995,19)*standard_error</pre>
}
counter = 0
for(j in 1:nruns){
  if(50 > sample_summary[j,2] && 50 < sample_summary[j,3]){</pre>
    counter <- counter + 1
  }
}
counter
```

[1] 987

Thus we can say with approximately 99% coonfidence that the data is statistically significant.