Lecture 5 3.2.2025

## Today's agenda:

Bound, free variables Common functions Substitution, Beta-equality rules

Note on free/bound variables: we have seen this earlier in C programming language: global, local variables, integral calculus, limits, first order logic. When a variable is placed within the scope of, say, an integral or limit it becomes bound; otherwise free. So  $(\lambda x. M)$  makes x bound.

## **Definition:**

 $free(x) = \{x\}$ 

 $free(M N) = free M \cup free N$ // set union

free( $\lambda x$ . M) = free M \ {x} // set difference

**Closed lambda term or a combinator**: a pure lambda term with no free variables

Let us revisit the term:  $(\lambda x. (\lambda x. x + 1))$ 

First we consider the term in red— $(\lambda x. x + 1)$ 

We can use the rule: free( $\lambda x$ . M) = free M \ {x} in the above

So free( $\lambda x$ . x + 1) = free M \ {x}

 $= \{x\} \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$  [emptyset]

which is indeed true since there is no free variable in  $\lambda x$ . x + 1

Now we take the entire term  $(\lambda x. \lambda x. x + 1)$ 

We use the rule again: the term in red has no free variable; so  $\emptyset \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$ 

and hence it is a closed term or a combinator.

This means that there is no free variable in the given term.

Thus when we do  $((\lambda x. (\lambda x. x + 1)) 1)$  we get  $(\lambda x. x + 1)$ , since there is no free occurrence of the outer x in the body [red].

However, if we do  $((\lambda x. x + 1) 1)$ , we get 1+1. Here x occurs free in "x+1" so it is substituted by 1. Note that this is not the case in the previous example.

Another example:  $(\lambda y. (\lambda z. ((x z) (y z))))$ give the scopes of the variables.

Occurrence of a variable is free if it is not within the scope of any binding within the term.

Examples of some common functions:

1. **Identity function:** 
$$I = \lambda x. x$$

What is id M? 
$$(\lambda x. x) M = M$$

2. **First**: 
$$K = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

First M N = 
$$((\lambda x. (\lambda y. x) M) N) = ((\lambda y. M) N) = M$$

3. **Second:** 
$$\lambda x. \lambda y. y$$

4. **Apply**: 
$$\lambda$$
 f.  $\lambda$  x. f x

See the difference between f and x. here x is a variable, f is a function.

So the arguments of Apply are (i) function (ii) variable

5. **Twice**: 
$$\lambda$$
 f.  $\lambda$  x. f (f x) parenthesis is required [why is it so?] HOF

6. **Comp** = 
$$\lambda$$
 f.  $\lambda$  g.  $\lambda$  x. g (f x) parenthesis is required HOF

the arguments of Comp are (i) function (ii) function (iii) variable

Thus by looking at the arguments, we can figure out whether it is a variable or a function.

Higher order functions (HOF) are an integral part of LC and any functional PL.

## Substitution:

What happens when an abstraction ( $\lambda x$ . M) is applied to an argument N? The result is obtained by substituting all free occurrences of x in M by N.

e.g., 
$$((\lambda x. x + x) 2)$$
 here x occurs free in M; so after substitution the term becomes 2 + 2; it does not become 2 + x or x + 2

Formally,

## **β-equality:**

$$\frac{((\lambda x. M) N)}{(\beta - axiom)} = \frac{M[N/x]}{(\beta - axiom)}$$

M [N/x] means replace/substitute all free occurrences of x in M by N

Thus, if x does not occur free in M, then  $((\lambda x. M) N)$  will be M.

$$((\lambda x. x) u) =_{\beta} u$$
 and  $((\lambda x. y) u) =_{\beta} y$ 

$$((\lambda x. x + 1) 2) =_{\beta} 2 + 1$$
  $((\lambda x. x + x) 2) =_{\beta} 2 + 2$ 

Rewriting (( $\lambda x$ . M') N) to M'[N/x] is called beta-reduction. [beta-reduction means term-rewriting] In order to rename bound variables systematically:

e.g., 
$$\lambda x. \ x =_{\beta} \lambda y. \ y$$
 and  $\lambda x. \lambda y. \ x =_{\beta} \lambda u. \lambda v. \ u$   $(\alpha$ -axiom)

in  $\lambda x$ . x + y we cannot rename x by y because y is free in M

$$((\lambda x. \ M) \ N) =_{\beta} \ M \ [N/x] \qquad (\beta\text{-axiom})$$

$$((\lambda x. \ M) \ N) =_{\beta} \ \lambda z. \ M \ [z/x] \qquad \text{provided that z is not free in M} \qquad (\alpha\text{-axiom})$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ M \qquad \qquad (\text{idempotence axiom})$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ N \qquad \qquad (\text{commutative rule})$$

$$N =_{\beta} \ M$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ N \qquad N =_{\beta} \ P \qquad \text{(transitive rule})$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ N \qquad N =_{\beta} \ P \qquad \text{(transitive rule})$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ P \qquad N =_{\beta} \ P \qquad \text{(congruence rule)}$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ M' \qquad N =_{\beta} \ N' \qquad \text{(congruence rule)}$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ M' \qquad N =_{\beta} \ N \qquad \text{(congruence rule)}$$

$$M =_{\beta} \ M' \qquad (\text{congruence rule})$$

Axioms and rules for beta-equality

End of lecture.