det D be a TAz-deduction of a formula $\Gamma \mapsto M: \tau$.

- (i) If we remove from each formula in A everything except its subject, A changes to a tree of terms which is exactly the Courtrick on-tree for M.
- (ii) If M is an atom, $M \equiv \infty$, then $\Pi = \{x : T\}$ and Δ Contains only one firmula, namely the axiom x:T >> x:T
- (iii) If $M \equiv PQ$ the last step in Δ must be an application of (→E) to two formulae with form TIP HOPE TOTE PIQHOR: or for some or.

restroction Subjects(P)=FV(P)

If M ≡ 1x.P then ~ must have from P → T if $x \in FV(P)$ the last step in Δ must be an application of (>I) main to

if x \$ FV(P) the last step in D must be an application

of (>I) vac to P:0

Deductions in TA; may not be unique

Example:

 $\Delta_{M} = \frac{y:a \mapsto y:a}{\mapsto (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot y):(\sigma \to \sigma) \to (a \to a)} (-1) = \frac{\lambda : \sigma \mapsto \lambda : \sigma}{\mapsto (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot y):(\sigma \to \sigma) \to (a \to a)} = \frac{\lambda : \sigma \mapsto \lambda : \sigma}{\mapsto (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot y):(\sigma \to \sigma) \to (a \to a)}$ - (1x-14-4)(12-3): a-1a

like M = (1 n. 1y. y) (1+2) z = a → a ∏ = \$ here o can be aughting and this makes the Dunique. (Porperty)
Uniqueness of deductions for normal borns.

let M be a B-of ad A a TAz-deduction of PHOM: T.

Then is every type in A has an occurrence in To or in a type in P,

(ii) Δ is unique, i.e., if Δ' is also a deduction of $P \mapsto M: \mathcal{T}$ then $\Delta' \equiv \Delta$.

subject reduction ad expansion (Proputy)

If Phas dype T we can think of Pas being in Some sense "safe".

If Prepresents a Stage in Some computation which

Continues by B-reducif P then all later stages in the

Continues by B-reducif P then all later stages in the

Computation are also safe ". (Unsafe means mismatch of types.)

Subject-reduction theorem:

It Pto P:E and PDBQ then Ptz Q: C Court Proof: to means There is a deduction of (P, p, t) in TAX.

P = (bx.M)N Q = M[N/x]

Let x E FV(M), then by the Subject-Constriction theorem

the lower steps of A must have the form

 $\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\Gamma_{1}} \times : \sigma \mapsto M : \overline{\tau} \longrightarrow (J \times .M) : \overline{\tau} \rightarrow \overline{\tau} \qquad \Gamma_{2} \mapsto N : \overline{\tau}$ $\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\Gamma_{1}} \times \Gamma_{2} \longrightarrow ((J \times .M) N) : \overline{\tau} \longrightarrow (0 \times .M) \times \Gamma_{2} \longrightarrow (0 \times .M) \times \Gamma_{3} \longrightarrow (0 \times .M) \times \Gamma_{4} \longrightarrow (0 \times .M) \times \Gamma_{4}$

NOW $\Pi = \Pi$, $U = \mathbb{P}_2$ and Subjects $(\Pi) = FV(P)$ so we have a deduction for $\Pi = P = \mathbb{P}_2$.

but $(J_0 - M)N = \mathbb{P}_2$ and $J_0 = \mathbb{P}_2$.

so we also have a deduction for $\Pi + \mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{P}_2$.

Subject expansion thun!
If P to 9:2 and P DB 9[*] then I to P: T.

[x] by mm-duplicating and m-concelling contractions.

The above condition [x] is very important. Remove it will make the conclusion balow.

1. let M be a 2-term. let CT(M) be a the construction the full let SM be the set of all the pairs of labels-position in the CT(M).

SM be the set obtained from SM by removing the labels.

i-e. SM contains only the position.

Problem: -1.1. Given Sinceplet & 5th. Construct a unique M corresponding to 5th.

1.2. Find a minimum sized set Schamplete so that a unique M can be anyhoructed from the set.

2. let Z = {0, 1, 2}

21 let given a regulaur expussion RZ Obtain the Shuchie Ob 1- term M.

- 2.2. Suggest types of ngular expressions that are meanful w.r.t. I-terms.
- 2-3. Suggest types of rigular expressions that are not meanful w.r.t. I-terms.

