

Lecture 16

Semantics Analysis

Awanish Pandey

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee

February 25, 2025



Take aways from the last class

• Translation Scheme for Equation



Take aways from the last class

- Translation Scheme for Equation
- Top-down parsing of translation scheme



Take aways from the last class

- Translation Scheme for Equation
- Top-down parsing of translation scheme
- Eliminate Left recursion



• Remove embedded actions from translation scheme



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- \bullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M \to \epsilon$

$$E \rightarrow TR$$



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- \bullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M \to \epsilon$

$$E \rightarrow TR$$

 $R \rightarrow +T$ $print(+)$ R



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

$$E \rightarrow TR$$

 $R \rightarrow +T$ $print(+)$ R
 $R \rightarrow -T$ $print(-)$ R



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

```
E \rightarrow TR

R \rightarrow +T  print(+)  R

R \rightarrow -T  print(-)  R

R \rightarrow \epsilon
```



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

```
E 	o TR
R 	o + T print(+) R
R 	o - T print(-) R
R 	o \epsilon
T 	o num print(num.val)
```



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

$$\textit{E} \rightarrow \textit{TR}$$

```
E 	o TR

R 	o + T print(+) R

R 	o - T print(-) R

R 	o \epsilon

T 	o num print(num.val)
```



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

$$E \rightarrow TR$$
 $R \rightarrow TR$ $R \rightarrow T$ $R \rightarrow T$

$$E \to TR$$
$$R \to +TMR$$



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

$$E \rightarrow TR$$

$$R \rightarrow +T$$

$$R \rightarrow +T$$

$$R \rightarrow -T$$

$$R \rightarrow -T$$

$$R \rightarrow \epsilon$$

$$T \rightarrow num \quad print(num.val)$$

$$E \rightarrow TR$$

$$R \rightarrow +TMR$$

$$R \rightarrow -TNR$$

$$R \rightarrow -TNR$$



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

$$E o TR$$
 $R o + T$ print(+) $R o R$ $R o - T$ print(-) $R o R$ $R o \epsilon$ $R o num$ print(num.val)





- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- ullet Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M
 ightarrow \epsilon$

```
E 	o TR
R 	o + T print(+) R
R 	o - T print(-) R
R 	o \epsilon
T 	o num print(num.val)
```

```
\begin{split} E &\to TR \\ R &\to + TMR \\ R &\to - TNR \\ R &\to \epsilon \\ T &\to num \quad \{print(num.val)\} \end{split}
```



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M \to \epsilon$

```
E 
ightarrow TR
R 
ightarrow + T \quad print(+) \quad R
R 
ightarrow - T \quad print(-) \quad R
R 
ightarrow \epsilon
T 
ightarrow num \quad print(num.val)
```

```
\begin{split} E &\to TR \\ R &\to + TMR \\ R &\to - TNR \\ R &\to \epsilon \\ T &\to num \quad \{print(num.val)\} \\ M &\to \epsilon \quad \{print(+)\} \end{split}
```



- Remove embedded actions from translation scheme
- Make transformation so that embedded actions occur only at the ends of their productions
- Replace each action by a distinct marker non terminal M and attach action at end of $M \to \epsilon$

```
\begin{array}{lll} E \rightarrow TR & & \\ R \rightarrow +T & print(+) & R \\ R \rightarrow -T & print(-) & R \\ R \rightarrow \epsilon & & \\ T \rightarrow num & print(num.val) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{split} E &\to TR \\ R &\to + TMR \\ R &\to - TNR \\ R &\to \epsilon \\ T &\to num \quad \{print(num.val)\} \\ M &\to \epsilon \quad \{print(+)\} \\ N &\to \epsilon \quad \{print(-)\} \end{split}
```



ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of $A \to XY$ by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack



- ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of A o XY by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack
- Synthesized attributes of X_s can be inherited by Y by using the copy rule Y.i = X.s



- ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of A o XY by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack
- Synthesized attributes of X_s can be inherited by Y by using the copy rule Y.i = X.s
- Example real p, q, r



- ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of A o XY by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack
- ullet Synthesized attributes of X_s can be inherited by Y by using the copy rule Y.i = X.s
- Example real p, q, r

$$D \rightarrow T \quad \{L.in = T.type\} \quad L$$



- ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of A o XY by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack
- ullet Synthesized attributes of X_s can be inherited by Y by using the copy rule Y.i = X.s
- Example real p, q, r

$$D \rightarrow T \quad \{L.in = T.type\} \quad L \ T \rightarrow int \quad \{T.type = integer\}$$



- ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of A o XY by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack
- Synthesized attributes of X_s can be inherited by Y by using the copy rule Y.i = X.s
- Example real p, q, r

```
\begin{array}{ll} D \rightarrow T & \{\textit{L.in} = \textit{T.type}\} & \textit{L} \\ T \rightarrow \textit{int} & \{\textit{T.type} = \textit{integer}\} \\ T \rightarrow \textit{real} & \{\textit{T.type} = \textit{real}\} \end{array}
```



- ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of A o XY by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack
- ullet Synthesized attributes of X_s can be inherited by Y by using the copy rule Y.i = X.s
- Example real p, q, r

```
\begin{array}{lll} D \rightarrow T & \{\textit{L.in} = \textit{T.type}\} & \textit{L} \\ T \rightarrow \textit{int} & \{\textit{T.type} = \textit{integer}\} \\ T \rightarrow \textit{real} & \{\textit{T.type} = \textit{real}\} \\ \textit{L} \rightarrow \{\textit{L}_1.\textit{in} = \textit{L.in}\} & \textit{L}_1, \textit{id} & \{\textit{addtype}(\textit{id.entry}, \textit{L.in})\} \end{array}
```



- ullet Bottom up parser reduces rhs of A o XY by removing XY from stack and putting A on the stack
- ullet Synthesized attributes of X_s can be inherited by Y by using the copy rule Y.i = X.s
- Example real p, q, r

```
\begin{array}{lll} D \rightarrow T & \{\textit{L.in} = \textit{T.type}\} & \textit{L} \\ T \rightarrow \textit{int} & \{\textit{T.type} = \textit{integer}\} \\ T \rightarrow \textit{real} & \{\textit{T.type} = \textit{real}\} \\ \textit{L} \rightarrow \{\textit{L}_1.\textit{in} = \textit{L.in}\} & \textit{L}_1, \textit{id} & \{\textit{addtype}(\textit{id.entry}, \textit{L.in})\} \\ \textit{L} \rightarrow \textit{id} & \{\textit{addtype}(\textit{id.entry}, \textit{L.in})\} \end{array}
```



State stack	INPUT real p,q,r	PRODUCTION
real	p,q,r	
Т	p,q,r	T o real
Tp TL	,q,r	
	,q,r	$L \rightarrow id$
TL,	q,r	
TL,q	,r	
TL	,r	$L \rightarrow L,id$
TL,	r	
TL,r	-	
TL	-	$L \rightarrow L,id$
D	-	$D \to TL$



• Every time a reduction to L is made value of T type is just below it



- Every time a reduction to L is made value of T type is just below it
- Use the fact that T.val (type information) is at a known place in the stack



- Every time a reduction to L is made value of T type is just below it
- Use the fact that T.val (type information) is at a known place in the stack
- When production $L \rightarrow id$ is applied, id.entry is at the top of the stack and T.type is just below it, therefore,



- Every time a reduction to L is made value of T type is just below it
- Use the fact that T.val (type information) is at a known place in the stack
- When production $L \to id$ is applied, id.entry is at the top of the stack and T.type is just below it, therefore, $addtype(id.entry, L.in) \longleftrightarrow addtype(val[top], val[top 1])$



- Every time a reduction to L is made value of T type is just below it
- Use the fact that T.val (type information) is at a known place in the stack
- When production $L \to id$ is applied, id.entry is at the top of the stack and T.type is just below it, therefore, $addtype(id.entry, L.in) \longleftrightarrow addtype(val[top], val[top 1])$
- Similarly when production $L \to L_1$, id is applied id.entry is at the top of the stack and T.type is three places below it, therefore,



- Every time a reduction to L is made value of T type is just below it
- Use the fact that T.val (type information) is at a known place in the stack
- When production $L \to id$ is applied, id.entry is at the top of the stack and T.type is just below it, therefore, $addtype(id.entry, L.in) \longleftrightarrow addtype(val[top], val[top 1])$
- Similarly when production $L \to L_1$, id is applied id.entry is at the top of the stack and T.type is three places below it, therefore, $addtype(id.entry, L.in) \longleftrightarrow addtype(val[top], val[top 3])$



```
D 	o TL
T 	o int \quad val[top] = integer
T 	o real \quad val[top] = real
L 	o L, id \quad addtype(val[top], val[top - 3])
L 	o id \quad addtype(val[top], val[top - 1])
```



Simulating the evaluation of inherited attributes

• The scheme works only if grammar allows position of attribute to be predicted.



- The scheme works only if grammar allows position of attribute to be predicted.
- Example

$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$

$$S \rightarrow bABC$$
 $C_i = A_s$



- The scheme works only if grammar allows position of attribute to be predicted.
- Example

$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABC$ $C_i = A_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$



- The scheme works only if grammar allows position of attribute to be predicted.
- Example

$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABC$ $C_i = A_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$

• C inherits A_s



- The scheme works only if grammar allows position of attribute to be predicted.
- Example

$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABC$ $C_i = A_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$

- C inherits A_s
- There may or may not be a B between A and C on the stack when reduction by rule $C \rightarrow c$ takes place



- The scheme works only if grammar allows position of attribute to be predicted.
- Example

$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABC$ $C_i = A_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$

- C inherits A_s
- There may or may not be a B between A and C on the stack when reduction by rule $C \rightarrow c$ takes place
- ullet When reduction by C o c is performed the value of C_i is either in [top-1] or [top-2]





• Insert a marker M just before C in the second rule and change rules to $S \rightarrow aAC$ $C_i = A_s$



$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$

$$S \rightarrow bABMC \quad M_i = A_s; C_i = M_s$$



$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABMC$ $M_i = A_s$; $C_i = M_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$



$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABMC$ $M_i = A_s$; $C_i = M_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$
 $M \rightarrow epsilon$ $M_s = M_i$



• Insert a marker M just before C in the second rule and change rules to

$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABMC$ $M_i = A_s$; $C_i = M_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$
 $M \rightarrow epsilon$ $M_s = M_i$

• When production $M \to \epsilon$ is applied we have $M_s = M_i = A_s$



$$S \rightarrow aAC$$
 $C_i = A_s$
 $S \rightarrow bABMC$ $M_i = A_s$; $C_i = M_s$
 $C \rightarrow c$ $C_s = g(C_i)$
 $M \rightarrow epsilon$ $M_s = M_i$

- When production $M \to \epsilon$ is applied we have $M_s = M_i = A_s$
- Therefore value of C_i is always at [top-1]



• Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes



• Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes

• Input: L attributed definitions



• Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes

• Input: L attributed definitions

• Output: A bottom up parser



- Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes
- Input: L attributed definitions
- Output: A bottom up parser
- Assume every non terminal has one inherited attribute and every grammar symbol has a synthesized attribute



- Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes
- Input: L attributed definitions
- Output: A bottom up parser
- Assume every non terminal has one inherited attribute and every grammar symbol has a synthesized attribute
- For every production $A \to X_1 \cdots X_n$ introduce n markers $M_1 \cdots M_n$ and replace the production by



- Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes
- Input: L attributed definitions
- Output: A bottom up parser
- Assume every non terminal has one inherited attribute and every grammar symbol has a synthesized attribute
- For every production $A \to X_1 \cdots X_n$ introduce n markers $M_1 \cdots M_n$ and replace the production by $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$



- Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes
- Input: L attributed definitions
- Output: A bottom up parser
- Assume every non terminal has one inherited attribute and every grammar symbol has a synthesized attribute
- For every production $A \to X_1 \cdots X_n$ introduce n markers $M_1 \cdots M_n$ and replace the production by $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ $M_1 \to M_n \to \epsilon$



- Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes
- Input: L attributed definitions
- Output: A bottom up parser
- Assume every non terminal has one inherited attribute and every grammar symbol has a synthesized attribute
- For every production $A \to X_1 \cdots X_n$ introduce n markers $M_1 \cdots M_n$ and replace the production by $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ $M_1 \to M_n \to \epsilon$
- Synthesized attribute $X_{j,s}$ goes into the value entry of X_j



- Algorithm: Bottom up parsing and translation with inherited attributes
- Input: L attributed definitions
- Output: A bottom up parser
- Assume every non terminal has one inherited attribute and every grammar symbol has a synthesized attribute
- For every production $A \to X_1 \cdots X_n$ introduce n markers $M_1 \cdots M_n$ and replace the production by $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ $M_1 \to M_n \to \epsilon$
- Synthesized attribute $X_{j,s}$ goes into the value entry of X_j
- Inherited attribute $X_{j,i}$ goes into the value entry of M_j



• If the reduction is to a marker M_i and the marker belongs to a production



• If the reduction is to a marker M_j and the marker belongs to a production $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ then



• If the reduction is to a marker M_j and the marker belongs to a production $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ then A_j is in position top - 2j + 2



• If the reduction is to a marker M_j and the marker belongs to a production $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ then A_i is in position top - 2j + 2 $X_{1,j}$ is in position top - 2j + 3



• If the reduction is to a marker M_j and the marker belongs to a production $A o M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ then A_i is in position top - 2j + 2 $X_{1,i}$ is in position top - 2j + 3 $X_{1,s}$ is in position top - 2j + 4



• If the reduction is to a marker M_j and the marker belongs to a production $A o M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ then A_i is in position top - 2j + 2 $X_{1.i}$ is in position top - 2j + 3 $X_{1.s}$ is in position top - 2j + 4

• If reduction is to a non terminal A by production, $A \to M_1 X_1 \cdots M_n X_n$ then compute A_s and push on the stack

