

Today's agenda:

Church numerals, successor function, primitive recursive functions

Church numerals

$$0 = \lambda f. \lambda y. y$$

$$1 = \lambda f. \lambda y. f y$$

$$2 = \lambda f. \lambda y. f (f y)$$

$$n = \lambda f. \lambda y. f (f \dots (f y)) \dots \text{ } n \text{ times}$$

$n f y \Rightarrow f$ and y are extracting the body of n and then one more f is being applied increasing the value by 1.
This technique is called NFI (killing the lambda term)

Successor is defined as: $\text{succ} = S = \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda y. f (n f y)$

Now we want to design the successor function. How did we get the term for successor function?

Suppose that it is correct. We shall work out for S_0 and S_1 to get the insight.

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= (\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda y. f (n f y)) (\lambda f. \lambda y. y) \\ &= (\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda y. f (n f y)) (\lambda u. \lambda v. v) \text{ [by renaming]} \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda y. f ((\lambda u. \lambda v. v) f y) \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda y. f ((\lambda u. \lambda v. v) f) y \text{ [by left associative]} \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda y. f ((\lambda v. v) y) \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda y. f y \text{ corresponds to numeral 1} \end{aligned}$$

Since n is an argument of S so we add λn .

Now succ of n is $n' = n+1$; now n' is represented as $\lambda f. \lambda y. (\text{something})$

Where the (something) is $\langle f \text{ (the body of } n) \rangle$. Let us call it $f M$. when M is applied to n we get the body of n . So n must occur in M . Now n would be replaced by the actual parameter n .

But the actual parameter contains λf and λy . So in order to get rid of them, supply the corresponding parameters. Thus in doing so, we get only the body of n .

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= (\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda y. f (n f y)) (\lambda f. \lambda y. f y) \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda y. f ((\lambda f. \lambda y. f y) f y) \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda y. f ((\lambda y. f y) y) \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda y. f (f y) \end{aligned}$$

However, designing the lambda term for predecessor function is hard (invented by Kleene during a visit to a dentist!).



The implementation of the predecessor function is involved. In fact, Church thought for a long time that it might not be possible, until his student Kleene found it. In fact, there is a legend that Kleene conceived the idea while visiting his dentist, which is why the trick is called the wisdom tooth trick.

Stephen Kleene (1909-1994) Church's student and a pioneer of LC. He invented regular expressions. Kleene star (Kleene closure) is named after him. His works helped to provide the foundations of theoretical computer science.

primitive recursive functions:

$\text{add}(m, 0) = m$ $\text{add}(m, n+1) = \text{succ}(\text{add}(m, n))$
 $\text{multiply}(m, 1) = m$ $\text{multiply}(m, n+1) = \text{add}(m, \text{multiply}(m, n))$
 $\text{exponent}(m, 0) = 1$ $\text{exponent}(m, n+1) = \text{multiply}(m, \text{exponent}(m, n))$
 Successor function: $\text{succ} = \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda y. f(n \ f \ y)$

generalize the successor function to adding m. so we have $\text{plus} = \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda y. m \ f(n \ f \ y)$

Recall the factorial function: $f = \text{IF } (\text{iszero } n) \text{ SO } n * f(\text{pred } n)$

now we have a pure lambda term for f. the components are (i) IF (ii) 0 (iii) S (iv) iszero n (v) * (vi) pred

Design of iszero function: $\text{iszero} = \lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}$

$\text{iszero} = \lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}$

$\text{true} = \lambda f. \lambda y. f$

$\text{false} = \lambda f. \lambda y. y$

$\lambda n. n$

$[\lambda f. \lambda y. y \dots () \dots \text{true} \dots]$

$= (\lambda y. y) \text{true}$

$= \text{true}$

beta reduction true or false

$\text{iszero } 0 = (\lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}) 0$

$= (\lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}) \lambda f. \lambda y. y$

$= ((\lambda f. \lambda y. y) (\lambda x. \text{false})) \text{true}$

$= (\lambda y. y) \text{true}$

$= \text{true}$

$\text{iszero } 1 = (\lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}) 1$

$= (\lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}) (\lambda f. \lambda y. f y)$

$= (\lambda f. \lambda y. f y) (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}$

$= ((\lambda f. \lambda y. f y) (\lambda x. \text{false})) \text{true}$

$= (\lambda y. ((\lambda x. \text{false}) y)) \text{true}$

$= (\lambda y. \text{false}) \text{true}$

$= \text{false}$

End of lecture

everything can be done using pure lambda calculus