Lecture 5 3.2.2025

Today's agenda:

Bound, free variables Common functions Substitution, Beta-equality rules

--

Note on free/bound variables: we have seen this earlier in C programming language: global, local variables, integral calculus, limits, first order logic. When a variable is placed within the scope of, say, an integral or limit it becomes bound; otherwise free. So $(\lambda x. M)$ makes x bound.

Definition:

 $free(x) = \{x\}$

free(M N) = free M \cup free N // set union

free(λx . M) = free M \ {x} // set difference

Closed lambda term or a combinator: a pure lambda term with no free variables

Let us revisit the term: $(\lambda x. (\lambda x. x + 1))$

First we consider the term in red— $(\lambda x. x + 1)$

We can use the rule: free(λx . M) = free M \ {x} in the above

So free(λx . x + 1) = free M \ {x}

 $= \{x\} \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$ [emptyset]

which is indeed true since there is no free variable in λx . x + 1

Now we take the entire term $(\lambda x. \lambda x. x + 1)$

We use the rule again: the term in red has no free variable; so $\emptyset \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$

This means that there is no free variable in the given term.

Thus when we do $((\lambda x. (\lambda x. x + 1)) 1)$ we get $(\lambda x. x + 1)$, since there is no free occurrence of the outer x in the body [red].

However, if we do $((\lambda x. x + 1) 1)$, we get 1+1. Here x occurs free in "x+1" so it is substituted by 1. Note that this is not the case in the previous example.

Another example: $(\lambda y. (\lambda z. ((x z) (y z))))$ give the scopes of the variables.

Occurrence of a variable is free if it is not within the scope of any binding within the term.

Examples of some common functions:

1. **Identity function:**
$$I = \lambda x. x$$

What is id M?
$$(\lambda x. x) M = M$$

2. **First**:
$$K = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

First M N = $((\lambda x. (\lambda y. x) M) N) = ((\lambda y. M) N) = M$

3. **Second:**
$$\lambda x. \lambda y. y$$

4. **Apply**:
$$\lambda$$
 f. λ x. f x

See the difference between f and x. here x is a variable, f is a function. So the arguments of Apply are (i) function (ii) variable

5. **Twice**:
$$\lambda$$
 f. λ x. f (f x) parenthesis is required [why is it so?] HOF

6. **Comp** =
$$\lambda$$
 f. λ g. λ x. g (f x) parenthesis is required HOF

the arguments of Comp are (i) function (ii) function (iii) variable

Thus by looking at the arguments, we can figure out whether it is a variable or a function.

Higher order functions (HOF) are an integral part of LC and any functional PL.

Substitution:

What happens when an abstraction (λx . M) is applied to an argument N? The result is obtained by substituting <u>all free occurrences</u> of x in M by N.

e.g., $((\lambda x. x + x) 2)$ here x occurs free in M; so after substitution the term becomes 2 + 2; it does not become 2 + x or x + 2

Formally,

β -equality:

$$((\lambda x. M) N) =_{\beta} M [N/x]$$
 (\beta-axiom)

M [N/x] means replace/substitute all free occurrences of x in M by N

Thus, if x does not occur free in M, then $((\lambda x. M) N)$ will be M.

$$((\lambda x. x) u) =_{\beta} u$$
 and $((\lambda x. y) u) =_{\beta} y$

$$((\lambda x. x + 1) 2) =_{\beta} 2 + 1$$
 $((\lambda x. x + x) 2) =_{\beta} 2 + 2$

Rewriting ((λx . M') N) to M'[N/x] is called beta-reduction. [beta-reduction means term-rewriting] In order to rename bound variables systematically:

$$((\lambda x. \ M) \ N) =_{\beta} \lambda z. \ M \ [z/x] \qquad \text{provided that z is not free in M} \qquad (\alpha\text{-axiom})$$
 e.g., $\lambda x. \ x =_{\beta} \lambda y. \ y \ \text{and} \qquad \lambda x. \ \lambda y. \ x =_{\beta} \lambda u. \ \lambda v. \ u$ in $\lambda x. \ x + y \qquad \text{we cannot rename } x \ \text{by } y \ \text{because } y \ \text{is free in M}$

 $((\lambda x. M) N) =_{\beta} M [N/x]$ $(\beta$ -axiom) ((λx . M) N) =_{β} λz . M [z/x] provided that z is not free in M (α -axiom) $M =_{\beta} M$ (idempotence axiom) $M =_{\beta} N$ (commutative rule) $N =_{\beta} M$ To be read as: if $M =_{\beta} N$ then $N =_{\beta} M$ $M =_{\beta} N$ $N =_{\beta} P$ (transitive rule) $M =_{\beta} P$ To be read as: if M = $_{\beta}$ N and N = $_{\beta}$ P then M = $_{\beta}$ P (congruence rule) $M =_{\beta} M'$ $N =_{\beta} N'$ $M N =_{\beta} M' N'$ (congruence rule) $M =_{\beta} M'$ $\lambda x. M =_{\beta} \lambda x. M'$

Axioms and rules for beta-equality

End of lecture.