

Lecture 1

Compiler Design

Awanish Pandey

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee

January 21, 2025

Instructor

Awanish Pandey



- Awanish Pandey
- Office: MFS building, 1st floor



- Awanish Pandey
- Office: MFS building, 1st floor
- Please use teams for any communication related to the course.



- Awanish Pandey
- Office: MFS building, 1st floor
- Please use teams for any communication related to the course.
- E-Mail: ap@cs.iitr.ac.in



- Awanish Pandey
- Office: MFS building, 1st floor
- Please use teams for any communication related to the course.
- E-Mail: ap@cs.iitr.ac.in
- Subject must start from [CSN-352] Email not complying with this rule will NOT be entertained.



Instructor

- Awanish Pandey
- Office: MFS building, 1st floor
- Please use teams for any communication related to the course.
- E-Mail: ap@cs.iitr.ac.in
- Subject must start from [CSN-352] Email not complying with this rule will NOT be entertained.

TA

To be added



Acknowledgments

The course structure is based on Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools by Aho, Sethi, Ullman and Lam (2nd Edition).



Acknowledgments

The course structure is based on Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools by Aho, Sethi, Ullman and Lam (2nd Edition).

Slides are based on the slides of the late Prof Sanjeev K Aggarwal.



• Course has theoretical and practical components. Both are needed in implementing programming languages. The focus will be on the practical application of the theory.



- Course has theoretical and practical components. Both are needed in implementing programming languages. The focus will be on the practical application of the theory.
- Emphasis will be on algorithms and data structures rather than proofs of correctness of algorithms.



- Course has theoretical and practical components. Both are needed in implementing programming languages. The focus will be on the practical application of the theory.
- Emphasis will be on algorithms and data structures rather than proofs of correctness of algorithms.
- Theory of lexical analysis, parsing, type checking, runtime system, code generation, optimization



- Course has theoretical and practical components. Both are needed in implementing programming languages. The focus will be on the practical application of the theory.
- Emphasis will be on algorithms and data structures rather than proofs of correctness of algorithms.
- Theory of lexical analysis, parsing, type checking, runtime system, code generation, optimization
- Techniques for developing lexical analyzers, parsers, type checkers, run-time systems, code generators, and optimization.



- Course has theoretical and practical components. Both are needed in implementing programming languages. The focus will be on the practical application of the theory.
- Emphasis will be on algorithms and data structures rather than proofs of correctness of algorithms.
- Theory of lexical analysis, parsing, type checking, runtime system, code generation, optimization
- Techniques for developing lexical analyzers, parsers, type checkers, run-time systems, code generators, and optimization.
- Students will be confident that they can design, develop, understand, modify, enhance, and maintain compilers for (even complex!) programming languages



Relative Weight

Project	25
Mid-Term Evaluation	25
End-Term Evaluation	50



Relative Weight

Project	25
Mid-Term Evaluation	25
End-Term Evaluation	50

General Instructions

• Please give feedback throughout the course, either personally or over the mail.



Relative Weight

Project	25
Mid-Term Evaluation	25
End-Term Evaluation	50

General Instructions

- Please give feedback throughout the course, either personally or over the mail.
- Feel free to discuss anything with me.



Relative Weight

Project	25
Mid-Term Evaluation	25
End-Term Evaluation	50

General Instructions

- Please give feedback throughout the course, either personally or over the mail.
- Feel free to discuss anything with me.
- Please be on time. Respect your own time and my/TAs time.



Relative Weight

Project	25
Mid-Term Evaluation	25
End-Term Evaluation	50

General Instructions

- Please give feedback throughout the course, either personally or over the mail.
- Feel free to discuss anything with me.
- Please be on time. Respect your own time and my/TAs time.
- Keep your electronic devices in silent mode.



Relative Weight

Project	25
Mid-Term Evaluation	25
End-Term Evaluation	50

General Instructions

- Please give feedback throughout the course, either personally or over the mail.
- Feel free to discuss anything with me.
- Please be on time. Respect your own time and my/TAs time.
- Keep your electronic devices in silent mode.

DO NOT CHEAT



• Group of 4



- Group of 4
- Implement end-to-end toy compiler



- Group of 4
- Implement end-to-end toy compiler
- Each group has to choose a source, intermediate, target, and implementation language.



- Group of 4
- Implement end-to-end toy compiler
- Each group has to choose a source, intermediate, target, and implementation language.
- Project form



- Group of 4
- Implement end-to-end toy compiler
- Each group has to choose a source, intermediate, target, and implementation language.
- Project form

Assignment 1 (Lexical Analysis)	10%
Assignment 2 (Syntax Analysis)	20%
Assignment 3 (Intermediate Code generation)	30%
Assignment 4 (Optimization and Target code generation)	40%



Two major ways of implementing Programming Languages



Two major ways of implementing Programming Languages

Interpreter



Two major ways of implementing Programming Languages

- Interpreter
- Compiler



Two major ways of implementing Programming Languages

- Interpreter
- Compiler

Early Machines

• IBM developed 704 in 1954. All programming was done in assembly language. The cost of software development far exceeded the cost of hardware. Low productivity.



Two major ways of implementing Programming Languages

- Interpreter
- Compiler

Early Machines

- IBM developed 704 in 1954. All programming was done in assembly language. The cost of software development far exceeded the cost of hardware. Low productivity.
- Speedcoding interpreter: programs ran about 10 times slower than hand written assembly code



Two major ways of implementing Programming Languages

- Interpreter
- Compiler

Early Machines

- IBM developed 704 in 1954. All programming was done in assembly language. The cost of software development far exceeded the cost of hardware. Low productivity.
- Speedcoding interpreter: programs ran about 10 times slower than hand written assembly code
- John Backus (in 1954): Proposed a program that translated high level expressions into native machine code. Skeptism all around. Most people thought it was impossible



Two major ways of implementing Programming Languages

- Interpreter
- Compiler

Early Machines

- IBM developed 704 in 1954. All programming was done in assembly language. The cost of software development far exceeded the cost of hardware. Low productivity.
- Speedcoding interpreter: programs ran about 10 times slower than hand written assembly code
- John Backus (in 1954): Proposed a program that translated high level expressions into native machine code. Skeptism all around. Most people thought it was impossible
- Fortran I project (1954-1957): The first compiler was released



• The whole new field of compiler design was started



- The whole new field of compiler design was started
- More than half the programmers were using Fortran by 1958



- The whole new field of compiler design was started
- More than half the programmers were using Fortran by 1958
- The development time was cut down to half



- The whole new field of compiler design was started
- More than half the programmers were using Fortran by 1958
- The development time was cut down to half
- Led to the enormous amount of theoretical work (lexical analysis, parsing, optimization, structured programming, code generation, error recovery, etc.)



Fortran 1

- The whole new field of compiler design was started
- More than half the programmers were using Fortran by 1958
- The development time was cut down to half
- Led to the enormous amount of theoretical work (lexical analysis, parsing, optimization, structured programming, code generation, error recovery, etc.)
- Modern compilers preserve the basic structure of the Fortran I compiler !!!







- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - ▶ Redundant to help avoid programming errors



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - ► Redundant to help avoid programming errors
- Can the computer understand this?



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - Redundant to help avoid programming errors
- Can the computer understand this?
- Who will convert it?



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - Redundant to help avoid programming errors
- Can the computer understand this?
- Who will convert it?
- Goals of translation



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - Redundant to help avoid programming errors
- Can the computer understand this?
- Who will convert it?
- Goals of translation
 - Good performance for the generated code



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - Redundant to help avoid programming errors
- Can the computer understand this?
- Who will convert it?
- Goals of translation
 - Good performance for the generated code
 - Good compile-time performance



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - Redundant to help avoid programming errors
- Can the computer understand this?
- Who will convert it?
- Goals of translation
 - Good performance for the generated code
 - Good compile-time performance
 - Maintainable code



- What is High-Level Languages
 - Expressive: matches our notion of languages (and application?!)
 - Redundant to help avoid programming errors
- Can the computer understand this?
- Who will convert it?
- Goals of translation
 - Good performance for the generated code
 - Good compile-time performance
 - Maintainable code
 - What about correctness?

