Rule of substitution that we shall follow: [(b->b)/a] is ok but [(a->a)/a] not allowed

That is for a substitution  $[\sigma/a]$  there should not be any occurrence of a in  $\sigma$ 

**Definition**: Type substitution: a type substitution s is any expression

$$[\sigma_1 / a_1 \dots \sigma_n / a_n]$$

Where  $\sigma_i$  are types and  $\alpha_i$  's are distinct type variables.

For any  $\tau$  define  $s(\tau)$  to be the type obtained by simultaneously substituting  $\sigma_1$  for  $a_1 \dots \sigma_n$  for  $a_n$  throughout  $\tau$ . We call  $s(\tau)$  a substitution instance of  $\tau$ .

When n=0, called empty substitution  $e(\tau) = \tau$ , when n=1, s will be called a single substitution.

The set {a<sub>1</sub> .. a<sub>n</sub>} will be called Dom(s)—variable domain of s

 $Vars(\sigma_1 ... \sigma_n)$  will be called the Range(s)—the variable range of s

## **Definition: composition of two substitutions**

If s and t are any substitutions, say,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{s} &\equiv \left[ \sigma_1 \: / \: a_1 \: \dots \: \sigma_n \: / \: a_n \: \right] &\quad \mathbf{t} \equiv \left[ \tau_1 \: / \: b_1 \: \dots \: \tau_p \: / \: b_p \: \right] &\quad \text{define} \\ \mathbf{s} &\bullet \mathbf{t} \equiv \left[ \sigma_{\{i_1\}} \: / \: \: a_{\{i_1\}} \: \: \dots \: \: \sigma_{\{i_h\}} \: / \: \: a_{\{i_h\}} \: \: , \: \mathbf{s}(\tau_1) \: / \: b_1 \: \dots \: \: \: \mathbf{s}(\tau_p) \: / \: b_p \: \right] \\ \text{where} &\quad \{a_{\{i_1\}} \: \dots \: a_{\{i_h\}} \: \} \: = \mathsf{Dom}(\mathbf{s}) - \mathsf{Dom}(\mathbf{t}) \: \text{ and } \mathbf{h} = 0 \dots \mathbf{n} \end{split}$$

**Lemma**: (i)  $Dom(s \bullet t) = Dom(s) \cup Dom(t)$ 

(ii) 
$$(s \bullet t)(\tau) \equiv s(t(\tau))$$

(iii) 
$$r \cdot (s \cdot t) = (r \cdot s) \cdot t$$
 associative

Example: let t = [e/c, e/b] s = [a/e] Dom $(s) = \{e\}$ 

Then 
$$s \cdot t \equiv [a/e, s(e)/c, s(e)/b] \equiv [a/e, a/c, a/b]$$

**Definition:** 

$$s(<\tau_1 ... \tau_n>) = < s(\tau_1) ... s(\tau_n)>$$
  
 $s(\Gamma) = \{x_1 : s(\tau_1) ... x_m : s(\tau_m)\}$   
 $s(\Gamma | -> M : \tau) = s(\Gamma) | -> M : s(\tau)$ 

**Definition: most general unifier (mgu)** of  $\langle \rho, \tau \rangle$  is a unifier **u** such that for every other unifier s of  $\langle \rho, \tau \rangle$ , we have  $s(\rho) \equiv s'(\mathbf{u}(\rho))$  for some s'. if  $\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{u}(\rho)$  for mgu  $\mathbf{u} \langle \rho, \tau \rangle$  we shall call **v** a most general unification of  $\langle \rho, \tau \rangle$ .

Example: to prove that u is mgu.

Let 
$$\rho = c \rightarrow e$$
  $\tau = b \rightarrow c$  let  $s = [a/c, a/e, a/b]$  suppose  $u = [e/c, e/b]$  let  $s' = [a/e]$   
Verify that  $s \equiv s' \cdot u$ 

- (1) I is an alom: T = E the har me hade e . E
- its tree is built from the trees for pad of each by first putting on the left-led of each possion label in the tree for p, and Next putting possion label in the left end of each possion-label in the left end of each possion-label in the tree for o, and then places are extra node the tree for o, and then places are extra node the tree for o, and then places are extra node

Modelland Hodelland Ting to or

4. でき サ コ か' ) しょ トミ ア' コ か"

