

# Assignment 2, Part 4

Anya P. Conti

April 10, 2019

1) The necessary and sufficient conditions for optimization to be convex are that the Hessian must be positive semi-definite.

$$m^T(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}))m \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0 \quad \text{all eigen values are non-negative} \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = c + \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} \quad (2)$$

$$= c + \sum_{i=1}^n g_i x_i + 0.5 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n H_{ij} x_i x_j \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g} + 0.5 \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} + 0.5 \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} \quad (4)$$

$$= \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} \quad \text{Since } \mathbf{H} \text{ is symmetric} \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{H} \quad (6)$$

Thus, the eigen-values  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{H}$  must be non-negative. To solve for  $\lambda$ :

$$\det(\mathbf{H} - \lambda I) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (a_i - \lambda) \right) [(a_{n-1} - \lambda)(a_n - \lambda) - (b)^2] = 0 \quad (8)$$

To solve the second part:

$$0 = [(a_{n-1} - \lambda)(a_n - \lambda) - (b)^2] \quad (9)$$

$$0 = a_{n-1}a_n - a_{n-1}\lambda - a_n\lambda + \lambda^2 - b^2 \quad (10)$$

$$0 = \lambda^2 + (-a_{n-1} - a_n)\lambda + (a_{n-1}a_n - b^2) \quad (11)$$

$$\lambda = 0.5(a_{n-1} + a_n) \pm 0.5\sqrt{(-a_{n-1} - a_n)^2 - 4(a_{n-1}a_n - b^2)} \quad (12)$$

$$= 0.5(a_{n-1} + a_n) \pm 0.5\sqrt{a_{n-1}^2 - 2a_{n-1}a_n + a_n^2 - 4a_{n-1}a_n - 4b^2} \quad (13)$$

$$= 0.5(a_{n-1} + a_n) \pm 0.5\sqrt{a_{n-1}^2 - 6a_{n-1}a_n + a_n^2 - 4b^2} \quad (14)$$

Thus, we get:

$$\lambda = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}, 0.5(a_{n-1} + a_n) \pm 0.5\sqrt{a_{n-1}^2 - 6a_{n-1}a_n + a_n^2 - 4b^2} \quad (15)$$

Since as already mentioned, the eigen values have to be non-negative, then  $\lambda \geq 0$  so for each value of  $\lambda$ , that condition has to be true:  $a_1 \geq 0, a_2 \geq 0, \dots$  etc.

2) The update equation for the gradient descent algorithm with a constant step size  $\eta$  for the optimization problem (1) would be the following:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla f(w_t) \quad (16)$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta(g + Hw_t) \quad (17)$$

3)  $f$  is  $\beta$ -smooth if  $\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \leq \beta\|y - x\|$  thus:

$$\frac{\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\|}{\|y - x\|} \leq \beta I \quad (18)$$

$$\nabla^2 f \leq \beta I \quad (19)$$

$$\nabla^2 f - \beta I \leq 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\det(\nabla^2 f - \lambda I) = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$\det(\nabla^2 f - \beta I) \leq \det(\nabla^2 f - \lambda I) \quad (22)$$

$$\lambda I \leq \beta I \quad (23)$$

So  $f$  is  $\beta$ -smooth if all eigen values are less than or equal to  $\beta$ .

4)

$$\det(H - \lambda I) = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)[(4 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda) - 4](4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)[4 - \lambda - 4\lambda + \lambda^2 - 4](4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)[\lambda^2 - 5\lambda](4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)(\lambda)(\lambda - 5)(4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\lambda = 0, 4, 5, 6 \quad (29)$$

Thus this is convex (but not strongly convex) and with a smooth gradient, which means that the best upperbound that can be guaranteed for  $f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  is as follows:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \frac{2\beta\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{t - 1} \quad (30)$$

5)

$$\det(H - \lambda I) = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)[(4 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda) - 4](4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)[16 - 4\lambda - 4\lambda + \lambda^2 - 4](4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (33)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)[\lambda^2 - 8\lambda + 12](4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (34)$$

$$(6 - \lambda)(\lambda - 6)(\lambda - 2)(4 - \lambda) = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$\lambda = 2, 4, 6 \quad (36)$$

Thus this is strongly convex with a smooth gradient, which means that the best upperbound that can be guaranteed for  $f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  is as follows:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 e^{-4 \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta} t} \quad (37)$$