





# User Survey Results


## Pre-Test Survey




### Usage of Textbooks in Printed Format



### Usage of Textbooks in Digital Format



### Preferred Textbook Format



## Post-Test Survey

Which type of test task was particularly easy to solve and why?	
Tool Testers	Control Group
Searching for judgments by case number or name was particularly easy with the tool, as was finding references.	Questions that were not specific but rather general
Referenced or cited articles, because they were very easy to display with the tool.	Questions regarding the articles in the Basic Law and court decisions with names
References were particularly easy to find, as were the corresponding cases. The mini-test at the beginning was particularly easy to manage	When asked for specific information
Finding court decisions when you were specifically looking for them.	questions about the names of judgments
The tasks where you had to search for something with the help of the article or case law	Those in which something had to be quoted from the legal text.
Everything with references to judgments and other standards, I had the impression that the tool was designed for this	Tasks that could be taken directly from the law.
The short test task was very easy to solve. I like the structure of the tool, everything was very easy to find. I was done after just 12 minutes.	The test item in which only a paragraph or wording was asked, as this was easy to find in the law or on the Internet
Normal simple questions, such as "Which constitution deals with freedom of expression"	The ones with the years or the ones where you had to specify individual articles, which you could easily google
The ones where you could type in the name of the decision or the article and get the answer immediately.	Specify the wording, search for GG articles
Judgments were easier than average to find. Even when searching with keywords, a judgment was quickly found, which was much easier and clearer here compared to other legal search engines.	The tasks where articles or their content had to be found, as this can be done quickly via Google.

Which type of test item was particularly difficult to solve and why?	
Tool Testers	Control Group
In some cases, it was difficult to find articles in THE TOOL IS using terms that are not literally in the text of the norm, e.g. Article 2 of the Basic Law under the search term "freedom of action".	I find it difficult to answer questions such as the exact name of something or an indication of references
The test task, in which judgments on a specific statement had to be found, was somewhat more time-consuming, as the article searched for could be called up after entering it. However, you had to scan the article itself for the sentence (unless there was a function that I didn't use).	Finding court decisions by number
The exam was rather difficult to solve, as many search terms did not produce any results or the decisions did not display these terms.	If it was more in-depth and deeper research was required
Finding specific word elements from judgments	The question asking for references in the Basic Law to Article 1 of the Basic Law, because a random search on Google did not return any results
Citations	Finding judgments, especially the reference tasks.
The one with the textbooks. It was time-consuming to jump around in the individual sections	More specific questions.
I found the exam very difficult. You actually only had the two books, but I found them very unstructured, which is why I found it difficult. The judgments that you could search for didn't help me much either.	The test questions in which several decisions were asked, as this is difficult to find in such a short time
Long, complex questions	Finding all the judgments was difficult only via Google. As these were also very difficult to search
The ones where you didn't know what exactly was being asked and therefore what to look for.	Finding judgments and exact passages (citations, references to other judgments)
The ones that referred to the textbooks. The loading process took longer than usual and I found it difficult to find my way around because it wasn't immediately clear.	The tasks in which several judgments containing certain articles had to be specified, as this is time-consuming to search for via Google.

<b>Regardless of the feasibility: What technical support would you like to have for the test tasks?</b>	
Tool Testers	Control Group
It would be nice if (when a standard is mentioned in a text) you could automatically go to the standard text by clicking on the standard name. This would save you jumping back and forth and it would be easier to return to the point in the text you were just at.	It would be great to have a tool to ask specific questions to THE PROTOTYPE
You still have to pay relative attention to the wording. Real questions or queries with several keywords can hardly be asked. It would be great if certain passages or keywords that you have entered were specially marked in the text. In addition, the books are somehow not included in the searches (unless I have seen this incorrectly).	Beck Online
In the case books, it would be important to display the entire text so that certain terms and references can be shown in another window to support the solution. It would also be helpful to divide texts into sections in order to get a thematic overview.	Clearly organized information that is structured like key points so that the answer you are looking for can be found quickly. A few search terms should be enough to get to the desired information
The integration of more textbooks in which you can also search directly for terms.	Textbooks that are easy to navigate online so that you can quickly get where you want to go
That definitions or short summaries of certain areas are easier to find if you enter the corresponding key term	An AI that can answer everything quickly and reliably delivers the right results.
Clearer layout of the textbooks	Artificial intelligence :).
I find The prototype very suitable as a search engine.	A search function for case law according to a specific wording
Possibly a clearer structure of the program, everything was very tightly packed	A tool to find judgments more easily. A search engine.
More content in the individual articles, so that there is more to it and not just the article itself	Google, beck-online or similar, especially for judgments
I found the given one very helpful	A program that quickly searches for specific keywords.

<b>Which features of the prototype did you find positive and why?</b>
I found it positive to be able to find suitable judgments so easily using thematic keywords.
The filter function for articles, references and decisions as well as the quick access to citations and quoted articles and more.
Links and references were well laid out.
Finding court decisions quickly and also references, as this makes it easier to work with decisions. For example, for term papers.
Most sections were clear and well organized!
The quick search for judgments was very straightforward
The ease of use
That many judgments were found quickly
You get lots of references. Open Rewi is good
The search for judgments because of the accuracy.

<b>Were there certain situations in which you found the operation of the prototype incomprehensible or difficult or in which your expectations were not met? Please describe these in detail.</b>
As already explained, it was difficult to find standards with terms that are not in the standard text (Art. 2 GG, freedom of action)
For more specific questions that were too complicated for the sections of the books, but too cumbersome to read out of the articles.
I couldn't find answers to specific questions about the case with the search results.
Often the redirection to the textbooks did not work.
I couldn't find what I wanted using keywords/key phrases. I had to search for a very long time and sometimes I couldn't find it at all
All the tasks with the books. I couldn't find them straight away and found it irritating that there were only individual sections and no continuous text
I was overwhelmed when solving the exam because the pure judgments didn't help me. Maybe a better filter function for the sentences according to terms or something? I found it very difficult to find anything in the books.
I didn't quite know how to search for the tasks that referred to textbooks.
I didn't know what to enter in the search bar to find what was asked and then found "too much" that didn't fit
It was not possible to ask questions like on Google, which isbn was more difficult when solving the case. However, the prototype does not replace the law as such for me, I find it better to work with a real law.

<b>Compare your experience with the prototype with other search engines for legal data that you are already familiar with (e.g. Beck Online, Beck E-Library, Juris).</b>
In contrast, Beck Online and Juris in particular are rather cumbersome and somewhat confusing. However, I think it's good that the search terms or standards entered in the document found are highlighted (yellow in Beck) so that you know immediately which text passages are relevant.
In contrast, Beck Online and Juris in particular are rather cumbersome and somewhat confusing. However, I think it's good that the search terms or standards entered in the document found are highlighted (yellow in Beck) so that you know immediately which text passages are relevant.
Here, a comprehensive overview is given not only of judgments per se but also of other different views.
You can tell that this is still a prototype, in my opinion some functions such as the integration of several books are missing.
There is a common problem between the search engines I know and the tool: Since law is a very text-heavy field, it would be very helpful if it were easier to find the desired section in the long text. The tool is well subdivided, but it is difficult to find the desired area in long texts.
Definitely works better for judgments and standards, the linking with the textbook has potential for improvement
The tool is much better than Beck Online but unfortunately not better than Beck E Library as it has a search function in the books
I thought it was good, Beck online is easier to use in my opinion, otherwise everything fits
I'm already familiar with the others
Compared to Beck, I find the user interface appealing. And the results I found were good. I had the impression that the search engine showed me what I was looking for.