

Homework 2

Haoyu Zhen

March 14, 2022

1 Optimal Coupling

Assume $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Our goal is to fill the $n \times n$ probability table, which means we need to determine every value of $P_{i,j}$ such that

$$\sum_i P(i, j) = \nu(j) \text{ and } \sum_j P_{i,j} = \mu(i) \quad (1)$$

Construct \mathbf{P} as follows:

1. Let $\Pr_{(X,Y) \sim \omega}(X = Y = i) = \min[\mu(i), \nu(i)]$.

2. Then

$$\text{If } i \neq j, P_{i,j} = \frac{(\mu(i) - P_{i,i})(\nu(j) - P_{j,j})}{1 - \sum_k P_{k,k}}.$$

Reference: [Markov Chains and Coupling, Duke University](#). And the proof is not mentioned in this lecture notes.

Now we want to prove that the construction satisfies the EQ. 1. If $\nu(i) = P_{i,i}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{i,i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(\mu(i) - P_{i,i})(\nu(j) - P_{j,j})}{1 - \sum_k P_{k,k}} \\ &= P_{i,i} + (\mu(i) - P_{i,i}) \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} (\nu(j) - P_{j,j})}{1 - \sum_k P_{k,k}} \\ &= P_{i,i} + (\mu(i) - P_{i,i}) \frac{\sum_j (\nu(j) - P_{j,j})}{1 - \sum_k P_{k,k}} \quad . \\ &= P_{i,i} + (\mu(i) - P_{i,i}) \frac{1 - \sum_j P_{j,j}}{1 - \sum_k P_{k,k}} \\ &= \mu(i) \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu(i) = P_{i,i}$, obviously $\sum_j P_{i,j} = P_{i,i} = \mu(i)$. Similarly, $\sum_i P_{i,j} = \nu(j)$. And the EQ.1 holds.

Thus

$$\Pr_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \neq Y] = 1 - \sum_i \min(\mu(i), \nu(i)) = \sum_i [\mu(i) - \min(\mu(i), \nu(i))] = \max_{A \subset \Omega} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)| = D_{TV}(\mu, \nu).$$

2 Stochastic Dominance

Problem 1

“ \implies ”: $\Pr[X = n] \geq \Pr[Y = n]$, which means that $p^n \geq q^n$. Thus $p \geq q$.

“ \Leftarrow ”, if $p \geq q$:

For simplicity, $\Pr[X \geq k] - \Pr[Y \geq k] \triangleq A_k$. $A_0 = 0$ and $A_n = p^n - q^n$. Then

$$A_{k+1} - A_k = q^k(1 - q)^{n-k} - p^k(1 - p)^{n-k}.$$

$$\text{Let } k_0 = \frac{n \log(1 - q/1 - p)}{\log[p(1 - q)/q(1 - p)]}.$$

If $k \leq k_0$, then $A_{k+1} - A_k \geq 0$. And if $k_0 \leq k \leq n$, then $A_{k+1} - A_k \leq 0$.

Thus $0 = A_0 \nearrow A_{k_0} \searrow A_n = p^n - q^n > 0$ for some k_0 , which means that $A_k > 0$.

Finally

$$\Pr[X \geq k] - \Pr[Y \geq k] \geq 0.$$

Problem 2

Assume $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: $P_{i,j}$ denote $\omega(i, j)$. $P_{i,j} = 0$ if $j > i$.

$$\Pr[X \geq k] = \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=0}^i P_{i,j} \leq \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i P_{i,j} = \sum_{j=k}^n \sum_{i=k}^n P_{i,j} = \sum_{j=k}^n \sum_{i=0}^j P_{i,j} = \Pr[Y \geq k].$$

“ \implies ”:

Design the coupling by the following steps:

1. $\forall i, j, P_{i,j} = 0$.
2. Let (i, j) traverse: $(1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 3) \dots, (n, n)$ and update $P_{i,j}$:

$$P_{i,j} = \min \left\{ \left[\mu(i) - \sum_{k=1}^i P_{i,k} \right], \left[\nu(j) - \sum_{k=i}^j P_{k,j} \right] \right\}.$$

For any i :

If $\exists j, P_{i,j} = \mu(i) - \sum_{k=1}^i P_{i,k}$, then $\sum_j P_{i,j} = \mu(i)$.

If not, then $\forall j, P_{i,j} = \nu(j) - \sum_{k=i}^j P_{k,j}$, which means $\sum_{k=i+1}^n \mu(k) = \sum_{k=i+1}^n \nu(k)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n P_{i,j} &= \sum_{j=1}^i P_{i,j} = \sum_{j=1}^i \left[\nu(j) - \sum_{k=i}^j P_{k,j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^i \nu(j) - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^i \mu(j) - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \mu(k) \\ &= \mu(i) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3

I refer to the [lecture notes](#) last year.

	Not Connected	Connected	G
Not Connected	P_{11}	P_{12}	$\Pr[G \text{ is not connected}]$
Connected	P_{21}	P_{22}	$\Pr[G \text{ is connected}]$
H	$\Pr[H \text{ is not connected}]$	$\Pr[H \text{ is connected}]$	

We generate $G \sim \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ and $H \sim \mathcal{G}(n, q)$ simultaneously. Let $r \sim U(0, 1)$. For every edge (u, v) :

$$\begin{cases} (u, v) \text{ exists in } G \text{ and } H & \text{if } r \in [0, q] \\ (u, v) \text{ exists only in } G & \text{if } r \in (q, p] \\ (u, v) \text{ does not exist} & \text{if } r \in (p, 1] \end{cases}$$

Then for all H , H is the subgraph of G , which means that $P_{12} = 0$. By 2 we have

$$\Pr_{G \sim \mathcal{G}(n, p)} [G \text{ is connected}] \geq \Pr_{H \sim \mathcal{G}(n, q)} [H \text{ is connected}].$$

3 Total Variation Distance is Non-Increasing

By coupling lemma, for every $X^t \sim \mu^t$ and $Y^t \sim \pi$, we have a coupling such that $\Delta(t) = \Pr(X^t \neq Y^t)$. Then we construct X^{t+1} and Y^{t+1} by following rules:

$$\begin{cases} X^{t+1} = X^t \text{ and } Y^{t+1} = Y^t & , \text{ if } X^t = Y^t \\ X^t \rightarrow X^{t+1} \text{ and } Y^t \rightarrow Y^{t+1} \text{ independently} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\Delta(t+1) \leq \Pr(X^{t+1} \neq Y^{t+1}) \leq \Pr(X^t \neq Y^t) = \Delta(t).$$

Reference: [Markov Chains and Coupling, Duke University](#).