Homework 3

Haoyu Zhen

2022年5月15日

1 Some Lemmas in Linear Algebra

Lemma 1.

$$x^T A x = \operatorname{tr}(A x x^T).$$

Lemma 2.

$$\frac{\partial \log |A|}{\partial A} = 2A^{-1} - \operatorname{diag}(A^{-1}) \quad and \quad \frac{\partial \operatorname{tr}(AB)}{\partial A} = (B + B^T) - \operatorname{diag}(B).$$

2 Update Σ_k in EM

Now we want to find a good enough Σ_k . Our goal is to maximize the liklihood

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(x_n|\mu_k, \Sigma_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\Sigma_k|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_n - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k)\right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial A} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k}) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{\pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_{k}| - \frac{1}{2} (x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{T} \Sigma_{k}^{-1} (x_{n} - \mu_{k}) \right] \right\}.$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{\pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \left[\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_{k}^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_{k}^{-1} N_{n,k}) \right] \right\}$$

where $N_{n,k} = (x_n - \mu_k)(x_n - \mu_k)^T$. $\partial L/\partial \Sigma_k^{-1} = 0$ gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_j, \Sigma_j)} \times \frac{1}{2} \left[2(\Sigma_k - N_{n,k}) - \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma_k - N_{n,k}) \right] \right\} = 0$$

which entails that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_j, \Sigma_j)} \times (\Sigma_k - N_{n,k}) \right] = 0$$

which entails

$$\Sigma_{k} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})}\right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(x_{n} | \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})} \times (x_{n} - \mu_{k})(x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{T}\right].$$

For simplicity,

$$\gamma(z_n k) \triangleq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_j, \Sigma_j)}$$

Finally

$$\Sigma_{k} = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{n}k)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\gamma(z_{n}k)(x_{n} - \mu_{k})(x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{T} \right].$$

Nota Bena: if we update μ first, then we could let μ_k above be μ_k^{new} .