Edmonds Blossom Algorithm (Mid-Exam of AI2615)

Haoyu Zhen

June 22, 2022

Problem 1

" \Longrightarrow ": trivial.

If a M-augmenting path $p = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$ exists, then

$$\big(M-\{e|e\in p\wedge e\in M\}\big)\cup\{e|e\in p\wedge e\not\in M\}$$

is a matching larger than M.

Proof by contradiction. If M is not a maximum matching and let M' be a maximum one. Then we analyse the property of $M^{\dagger} \triangleq (M \cup M') - (M \cap M')$. For simplicity, we could count \mathcal{M} as a graph (indeed, it is a set of edges).

- 1. $\forall e \in M^{\dagger}, e \in M \text{ XOR } e \in M'$.
- 2. The paths in M^{\dagger} are alternating. I.e., for all vertex v in M^{\dagger} , v could be incident to **at most** one edge from M and **at most one** edge from M'.
- 3. By 2., \forall connected component C in M^{\dagger} , C is a path.
- 4. There exists a connected component \mathcal{C} in M^{\dagger} , such that

$$|\{e|e \in \mathcal{C} \land e \in M'\}| > |\{e|e \in \mathcal{C} \land e \in M\}|.$$

(Beacuse |M'| > |M|.)

5. The both ends of C (in 4.) is in M'.

Finally, we construct a augmenting path \mathcal{C} for M, which leads to a contradition.

Problem 2

Contract the cycle $v_1v_2\cdots v_{2k+1}v_1$ to u.

Proof by contradiction. Suppose that there exists a matching M' of G' such that |M'| > |M - C|. Then there exists C_{new} in G such that C_{new} meet no other edge in M', because " $2k + 1 = 2 \times k + 1$ ". LHS: the number of vertices in C_{new} . RHS: 1 represents u and u and u are represents the matched vertices by C_{new} .

Then $M' \cup C_{\text{new}}$ is a larger matching of G. Contradiction!

Similarly, assume that there exists a matching M' for G such that |M'| > |M|.

Then in M', there exists a vertex v who is not matched by C. Let this v be u and contract the cycle C. We get a larger matching of G'. Contradiction.

Problem 3

M-alternating forests (MAF) exist by the fact that empty set is an MAF. Now we find a maximal one greedily:

Algorithm 1 Find an maximal forest $\triangleq \mathcal{F}(G, M)$

```
Input: A graph G whose matching is M

1: F_{\max} \leftarrow \emptyset

2: Select a root r in G. T \leftarrow (\{r\}, \emptyset).

3: while \exists a alternating path p begins and ends at outer vertices. do

4: Insert p into T

5: Update O_T and I_T

6: Delete all edges (not in T) which are incident with the inner vertex

7: end while

8: Generate a new graph H and a matching M_{\text{new}} by deleting the tree T from G

9: Merge T and \mathcal{F}(H, M_{\text{new}}) \longrightarrow F_{\text{max}}

#Recursion here

10: return F_{\text{max}}
```

Correctness: we just need to prove that T is "maximal".

- The root of T is the end points of a maximal augmenting path \implies T will not be the sub-tree of other maximal alternating tree.
- There is no alternating path could be added into T.

Complexity: (We bound the complexity LOOSELY)

- Find the root: $\mathcal{O}(nm)$.
- Delete and insert (with the idea of charging): $\mathcal{O}(m)$.
- Find the alternating path (line 3; we use DFS here): $\mathcal{O}(nm)$.
- Update O_T and I_T : $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Problem 4

The augmenting path should begin at a root r_1 and end at another one (r_2) . First I introduce my intuition: as figure 4 shown (in edmonds.pdf), we need to go down and then go up along several vertices. The conversion from down to up needs 2 consecutive outer vertices. *Proof.*

- Define going up and down formally.
 Going down: if we are at a inner vertex, then we will choose an edge in M. For outer vertex, choose an dege whiche are not in M. Otherwise we are going up.
- Now we consider some possible cases.
- Inner \rightarrow inner, " \rightarrow " is a edge in M. Not exists. Because this leads to a cernario that a vertex is matched twice.
- Inner \rightarrow outer OR outer \rightarrow inner. This does not change the upward and downward trend.
- Outer \rightarrow outer, here " \rightarrow " is a edge not in M. Thus, at the second outer vertex, we need to choose an edge in M. We go up now!

Problem 5

Quite trivial!

If u and v belong to distinct components of F:

We construct the M-augmenting path directly: $r_1 \to u - v \to r_2$ where u(v) belongs to the tree with root $r_1(r_2)$.

If u and v belong to the same component of F:

Obviously that the path in tree from u to v is even (by alternating property). Thus we have an odd cycle.

Problem 6

My idea/intuition: I want to design a algorithm by which we could eradicate the augmenting path greedily and recursively. Why to contract the blossoms? Because we wan to find the path easily.

```
Algorithm 2 Find the augmenting path \triangleq \mathcal{P}(G, M)
```

```
Input: A matching M of the graph G
Output: Path p
 1: Construct the forest F(G, M)
 2: Find an edge whose endpoints are 2 outer vertices u and v. If not, return \varnothing
 3: if u and v belong to the same component of F then
       return r_1 \rightarrow u - v \rightarrow r_2
 5: else
       We could find an odd cycle C with root r
 6:
                                                             #Find vertex whose distance to r is minimum
      v \leftarrow \min_{u \in V(C)} d(u, r)
 7:
       M' \leftarrow (M-p) \cup \{e | e \notin M \land e \in p\} where p is the path from r to v
 9:
       Now we could contract the cycle "safely" \rightarrow G'
                                                                             #Satisfy the requirement in P2.
       P' \leftarrow \mathcal{P}(G', M')
10:
       "Interpret" P':
11:
      If P' contains the vertex contracted from a cycle C, find an even path in C to connect P' to P
       return P
12:
13: end if
```

Finally, we design an agorithm to find a maximum path. For any given G,

Algorithm 3 Find the maximum matching

```
Input:
```

Output:

```
1: M \leftarrow \{e\} (we pick e randomly)
```

- 2: while $p \leftarrow \mathcal{P}(G, M)$ is not an emptyset do
- 3: Update M: eradicate this augmenting path. (Use the method in P1.)
- 4: end while
- 5: **return** M

Soundness:

- 1. Algo 3 holds naturally by P1. Also, M is strictly increasing and bounded by |E| (Algo 3 will terminate).
- 2. Now we analyse Algo 2.
 - Line 7-8: v represents the vertex in cycle who meets other edges. So we update M without changing its cardinality.

- Line 9: $\mathcal{P}(G', M')$ exists iff $\mathcal{P}(G, M)$ exists
- Line 10-11: convert the augmenting path P' in G' to a path G.

Complexity:

- While-loop in Algo 3 is bounded by |E|.
- Algo 2 is dominated by $\mathcal{F}(G, M)$.

Thus the complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n^2m)$.

Reference

- 1. https://www14.in.tum.de/lehre/2015WS/ea/split/sec-Augmenting-Paths-for-Matchings-single.pdf (for problem 1).
- $2.\ \mathtt{https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blossom_algorithm}\ (for\ problem\ 6).$