

Homework 4

Haoyu Zhen

May 3, 2022

Problem 1

(a)

Intuitively, I design the algorithm 1. Ostensively, the complexity of the algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Algorithm 1 Find the maximum $(1, 1)$ -step subsequence

Input: A sequence of integers a_1, \dots, a_n

Output: The maximum revenue we can get from a $(1, 1)$ -step subsequence

```
1:  $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow 0, M_{\text{cur}} \leftarrow 0, i \leftarrow 1$ 
2: while  $i \leq n$  do
3:    $M_{\text{cur}} \leftarrow M_{\text{cur}} + a_i$ 
4:   if  $M_{\text{cur}} < 0$  then
5:      $M_{\text{cur}} \leftarrow 0$ 
6:   end if
7:   if  $M_{\text{cur}} > \mathcal{M}$  then
8:      $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow M_{\text{cur}}$ 
9:   end if
10:   $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
11: end while
12: return  $\mathcal{R}$ 
```

The soundness of Algo. 1:

- The interpretation of M_{cur}^i at a_i : the maximum revenue which **ends** at a_i .
- The proposition above holds by mathematical induction. $M_{\text{cur}}^i = \max(0, M_{\text{cur}}^{i-1} + a_i)$.
- Thus $\mathcal{R} = \max_i M_{\text{cur}}^i$ which is what we want.

(b)

Similarly, we have algorithm 2.

The correctness of Algo 2 is almost same with that of Algo 1. The complexity is obviously $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

(c)

Design the algorithm 3 with the auxiliary of the *Priority Queue*.

The complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n)$ because every element in a will be inserted into PLL once.

The soundness of Algo 3 intrinsically hold by the fact that PLL.Front at i is $\max_{j \in \{i-R, \dots, i-L\}} M_j$ and M_i is the maximum revenue which **ends** at a_i .

Algorithm 2 Find the maximum (L, R) -step subsequence

Input: A sequence of integers a_1, \dots, a_n
Output: The maximum revenue we can get from a (L, R) -step subsequence

```

1:  $M \triangleq (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n) \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$ 
2:  $M_i \leftarrow \max(a_i, 0)$  for all  $i \in [L]$ 
3: for  $i = (L + 1, L + 2, \dots, n)$  do
4:    $M_i = a_i + \max_{j \in \{i-R, \dots, i-L\}} M_j$ 
5:    $M_i \leftarrow \max(M_i, 0)$ 
6: end for
7: return  $\max_{i \in [n]} M_i$ 

```

#Complexity $\mathcal{O}(R - L) = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Algorithm 3 Find the maximum (L, R) -step subsequence (**Pro**)

Input: A sequence of integers a_1, \dots, a_n
Output: The maximum revenue we can get from a (L, R) -step subsequence

```

1:  $M \triangleq (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n) \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$ 
2:  $M_i \leftarrow \max(a_i, 0)$  for all  $i \in [L]$ 
3:  $PLL = \text{NULL}$ 
4: for  $i = (L + 1, L + 2, \dots, n)$  do
5:   if  $PLL.\text{front.index} \leq i - R$  then
6:      $PLL.\text{PopFront}$ 
7:   end if
8:   while  $PLL.\text{back.value} \leq M_{i-L}$  do
9:      $PLL.\text{PopBack}$ 
10:  end while
11:   $PLL.\text{PushBack}(M_{i-L})$ 
12:   $M_i = a_i + PLL.\text{Front}$ 
13:   $M_i \leftarrow \max(M_i, 0)$ 
14: end for
15: return  $\max_{i \in [n]} M_i$ 

```

Problem 2

First we define the function $dp(i, j)$: the total number of comparisons for words a_i, \dots, a_j . The transition equation is:

$$dp(i, j) = \sum_{k=i}^j w_i + \max_{i+1 \leq k \leq j-1} dp(i, k-1) + dp(k+1, j).$$

Proof: if k is the best BST's root for i to j , we obtain cost = $\sum_{k=i}^j w_i + dp(i, k-1) + dp(k+1, j)$. Then we have the naive algorithm:

Algorithm 4 Find the best BST for the n words with the minimum number of comparisons

Input: A sequence $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$

```

1: Initiate two 2D arrays:  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{idx}$ 
2:  $n_{i,i} = w_i$  and  $\mathbf{idx}_{i,i} = i$ 
3: for  $i = n-1, n-2, \dots, 1$  do
4:   for  $j = i+1, i+2, \dots, n$  do
5:      $i \leftarrow t$  and  $j \leftarrow k+t-1$ 
6:      $\mathbf{n}(i, j) = \sum_{k=i}^j w_i + \min_{i+1 \leq k \leq j-1} [\mathbf{n}(i, k-1) + \mathbf{n}(k+1, j)]$ 
7:      $\mathbf{idx} \leftarrow \arg \min_{i+1 \leq k \leq j-1} \mathbf{n}(i, k-1) + \mathbf{n}(k+1, j)$ 
8:   end for
9: end for
```

Now we could construct the tree with \mathbf{idx} :

1. Let the root be $\mathbf{idx}[1, n]$.
2. If k is the root of the BST for a_i, \dots, a_j , then k 's left child is $\mathbf{idx}[i, k-1]$ and its right child is $\mathbf{idx}[k+1, j]$.
3. Do step 2 **recursively**.

The complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$. The soundness of the algorithm depends on that of the transition equation.

Problem 3

For given string s : $\bar{s}_{i,j} \triangleq s[i:j]$ which is a consecutive subsequence of s . Then we define $f(i, j)$ as the longest palindrome with length $l(i, j)$ that is a subsequence of $\bar{s}_{i,j}$. Then

$$l(i, j) = \max [l(i+1, j), l(i, j-1), 2 \times 1(s_i = s_j) + l(i+1, j-1)] \quad (1)$$

And we update $f(i, j)$ correspondingly. The correctness of EQ 1 is trivial. Here's the *story*:

- If $s_i \neq s_j$, then the longest palindrome is in $\bar{s}_{i,j-1}$ or in $\bar{s}_{i+1,j}$.
- If $s_i = s_j$, we have a new choice: $\text{append}(s_i, s', s_j)$ where s' is in $\bar{s}_{i+1,j-1}$.

Hence we design the algorithm 5.

The running time is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

Algorithm 5 find the longest palindrome that is a subsequence of a string

Input: A string s

- 1: Initiate 2 2D arrays: l and f
 - 2: $l_{i,i} = 1$, $f_{i,i} = s_i$ and $l_{i,j} = 0$, $f(i,j) = \emptyset$ for all $i > j$
 - 3: **for** $i = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1$ **do**
 - 4: **for** $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$ **do**
 - 5: Update l
 - 6: **end for**
 - 7: **end for**
 - 8: **return** $f(1, n)$
-

Problem 4

For any given tree G with root r , denote $f(r)$ as the number of independent sets in G . Then

$$f(r) = \prod_{v \in \{r's \text{ children}\}} f(v) + \prod_{v \in \{r's \text{ grandchildren}\}} f(v).$$

The first term of LHS represents the number of independent sets under the case that r is not in these sets. Choosing r , we will obtain the latter term.

Now we design the algorithm 6.

Algorithm 6 Count the number of independent sets in a tree

Input: A tree G with a arbitrary root r

- 1: Implement BFS from r . Assign the depth, parent and children to every vertex. And we could get a sequence $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where vertices is sorted by their depth.
 - 2: **for** $u = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ **do**
 - 3: **if** u is a leaf **then**
 - 4: $f(u) = 1$
 - 5: **else if** u has no grandchild **then**
 - 6: $f(u) = \prod_{v \in \{u's \text{ children}\}} f(v)$
 - 7: **else**
 - 8: Update $f(u)$ by the transition EQ.
 - 9: **end if**
 - 10: **end for**
 - 11: **return**
-

The complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n)$ because every vertex will be multiplied at most twice.

Problem 5

About a day. Difficulty 3. Collaborators: Yinlin Sun.