EDUC 250B, Week 8: New Institutional Theory, Part II

Comparing/integrating old and new institutionalism

Ozan Jaquette ozanj@ucla.edu

University of California, Los Angeles

Higher Education & Organizational Change

Lecture overview

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

New institu tionalism ^{Review} Early findings

Old institutionalism

Motivation

Motivation Integration

Reference

1 New institutionalism

- Core ideas of new institutionalism [SKIP]
- Early findings
- New topics
- 2 Old institutionalism
 - Motivation
 - Integration
- 3 References

zan Jaquette

New institutionalism

Review

New topics

Old institu

tionalism

Integration

References

New institutionalism

Core ideas of new institutionalism [SKIP]

Meyer and Rowan (1977)

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

Jew instit ionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation
Integration

Referenc

Reasons for formal structure (departments, positions, policies)

- Coordinate/control activities to create product (efficiency)
- Maintain legitimacy vis-a-vis stakeholders by adopting institutionalized practices

Institutions and rationalized myths

- Institution: taken for granted idea about appropriate practice
- Rationalized myths: rationalize a practice (e.g., adopt "student success center") based on efficiency/effectiveness

Adherence to institutions/institutionalized ideas

- Often, survival doesn't depend on technical performance but on appearing legitimate to external stakeholders
- Orgs that incorporate institutionalized ideas into formal structure evaluated by adherence to institutions

Core ideas of new institutionalism [SKIP]

Meyer and Rowan (1977)

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquetto

tionalism

Review

Early findings

Old institutionalism

Motivation
Integration

Reference

Conflict between technical demands and institutional demands

- Symbolic/ceremonial adoption of institutionalized practices
- Substantive adooption of demands necessary for technical success

Decoupling/ceremonial inspection

- Decoupling: avoid rigorous inspection of efficacy of practice; instead measure effort or inputs
- Evaluations is ceremonial; just to say you did it

Core ideas of new institutionalism

DiMaggio and Powell (1983)

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

tionalism

Review

Early findings

Old institutionalism

Motivation
Integration

Reference

Isomorphism

- Process by which orgs adopt same structures and practices
- Technical: adopt practice because it is more efficient
- Institutional: Adopt taken for granted practices to be perceived as legitimate by stakeholders/orgs in the field

Three broad forces/causes of institutional isomorphism

- 1 Coercive: pressure from resource provider (RDT)
- 2 Mimetic: when uncertain, do what most/"leading" orgs do
- 3 Normative: professionalized fields socialize members to institutionalized ideas and members spread to orgs

Muddy waters

- Three forces not mutually exclusive (e.g., coercive isomorphism within professional fields)
- Change over time in which force most influential

Core ideas of new institutionalism

DiMaggio and Powell (1983)

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquett

New instit ionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation

Integration

Reference

Fields and populations

- Organizational field
 - All the stakeholders/players (e.g., suppliers, producers, consultants, regulators) in an industry
- Organizational population
 - Set of orgs in a field with similar purpose, structure
- Institutionalized ideas diffuse through field and orgs in a population adopt the same practices

Structuration: from heterogeneity to homogeneity over time

- When new fields/populations emerge, orgs exhibit diversity in structure, practices (e.g., "junior colleges")
- Repeated interaction, creation of associations, regulations cause institutionalized ideas to emerge, diversity declines
- Example: privacy policy by tech firms

Early empirical research (the 1980s)

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

New institutionalism
Review
Early findings
New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation
Integration

Referenc

Definition of "institution"

- taken for granted ideas about what to do and how to do it (e.g., must use a search firm to hire a new dean)
- Also, taken for granted ideas about meaning of thing/concept (e.g., "property" as an institution)

Early research focused on effects of institutions

- Focus on diffusion of practices (e.g., chief diversity officer)
- Early adoption often for technical efficiency reasons, later adoption for legitimacy (Tolbert & Zucker, 1983)
- Org response to coercive pressure often symbolic

Usefulness for scholarship on equity (Meyer & Rowan)

- Don't assume policy/practice adopted to solve problem
- Instead of studying "best practices" or if student success center is "effective," study why it has no budget/staff

Criticisms in the late 1980s/early 1990s of new institutionalism

EDUC 250B. Week 8

Early findings

- New institutionalism de-emphasizes agency
 - Institutions are things that exist outside of orgs, created by big macro forces, but control behavior of orgs and people
- Researchers focus on effect of institutions; ignore how institutions form, persist, change, die
- Ignores power dynamics in the creation of institutions (who benefits)
- Is rejection of technical efficiency realistic?
 - Dimmagio & Powell (1983) argue that orgs adopt institutionalized practices, even when they are inefficient, at odds w/ technical environment
 - When external environment changes, can an institutions at odds w/ new environment survive (e.g., liberal arts colleges only offer lib arts majors)?
- Are we dupes mindlessly adopting institutions or are we savvy tricksters?

New institutionalism responds to critics by taking on new topics

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

New instit tionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation
Integration

Referenc

Institutionalization: process by which institutions emerge

- Institutionalization projects, institutional entrepreneurs
 - Focus on agency, conflict (e.g., Brint & Karabel, 1989)

Deinstitutionalization: process by which institutions die

- e.g., death of the conglomerate firm (Davis et al., 1994)
- Often happens when external environment changes and new institutions emerge that are more fit w/ environment

10 / 20

■ These new institutions often created by "illegitimate actors" (e.g., hostile takeovers) (Hirsch, 1986)

Institutional maintenance/change: Process by which existing institutions are maintained/changed

- Theorizing change (Greenwood et al., 2002)
- Legitimacy of SAT exam challenged/maintained

Core finding from second wave of new institutionalism scholarship

Market forces trump legitimacy (the business model has to work)

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquett

New institutionalism
Review
Early findings
New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation
Integration

Reference

Early proponents posited that once institutionalized, an institution would persist even in the face of adverse external environment/market forces

- Turns out, this is usually not true!
- Once a new practice turns profitable, old practices are replaced (Davis, 2005); and vice-versa

Examples:

- Death of conglomerate firm (Davis et al., 1994)
- End of lifetime employment in Japan (Ahmadjian & Robinson, 2001)
- Liberal arts colleges offering business degrees (Kraatz & Zajac, 1996)

zan Jaquette

New institutionalism

Review

Early finding New tonics

Old institutionalism

Motivation

ъ.

Old institutionalism

Motivating the resurgence of "old" institutionalism

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

New instit tionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation

Integration

Reference

Continued dissatisfaction with new institutionalism

- Ignores local power dynamics and local environment
- The theory not sufficient for analyzing dynamics at individual organizations (why stuff happens, how did this process play out)
 - e.g., why did UCLA create the Office of Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion and what changes happened as a result?

Authors associated with old institutionalism

- Phillip Selznick; Burton Clark; Brint and Karabel
- scholars of professions that utilize a "conflict" approach (e.g., Collins, 1979; Larson, 1977)
- Not much talk about "old" institutionalism until "new" institutionalism came around

Before diving into details of old institutionalism

A broad comparison of new and old institutionalism

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquetto

New instit tionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation

Integration

Reference

New institutionalism (Meyer/Rowan and DiMaggio/Powell)

- institutions: macro external forces, exert control on orgs
- Institutionalization happens at the field-level; an institution is some idea/practices that gets taken-for-granted for population of orgs within a field
- Research focus: populations of organizations in a field

Old institutionalism (e.g., Phillip Selznick; Karabel)

- Focus on internal org dynamics (e.g., change in leadership) and interactions with local external environment (e.g., Alumni, local business leaders)
- Institutionalization happens at org-level; an institution is idea/practice that becomes widely accepted at org-level
- Research focus: individual org (e.g., Karabel, 1984); or particular group(s) of actors (e.g., Brint & Karabel, 1989)

Core ideas of old institutionalism

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

Jew instit ionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old institu tionalism Motivation

Referenc

Institutions are adhered to because:

- new institutionalism (mimetic): alternatives unthinkable (of course a university should have a Dean of Students)
- old institutionalism: focus on power, interest groups;
 adherence is result of conflict

Forces of (de)institutionalization in old institutionalism

- Long-standing org leaders are stewards of org values
 - changes in org leaders or members can shift org values
- Org structure upholds values; change in org structure can displace values by making offices with different priorities more powerful (e.g., enrollment mgt)
- Org values diverted by coalitions with different values
- Actors in local environment affect org mission (e.g., alum)
 - In 1920s local elite Protestants pressured Harvard to adopt "character" admissions criteria to keep out Jews (Karabel)

Using "old institutionalism" to study institutionalization of macro ("new") institutions

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

Vew instit ionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old instite tionalism Motivation Integration

Reference

Empirical applications of old institutionalism often show that "macro" institutions emerge from local-level actors

 this behavior/interactions better studied with tools of old institutionalism rather than new institutionalism

Examples of studies

- Brint and Karabel (1989): "vocational" mission of community colleges created by the men who founded the American Association of Junior Colleges
- Karabel (2005): admissions criteria utilized today by selective colleges was developed by Ivy League orgs trying to protect their interests and interests of local stakeholders
- Hirsch (1986): hostile corporate takeover (institutionalized in the 1980s), was created in 1970s by Jewish bankers excluded from firms and deals dominated by Protestants

"Neo" institutional theory: Incorporating both old and new institutionalism in empirical research

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

tionalism Review Early findings New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation

Integration

Reference

Institutional fields and mechanisms (Davis & Marquis, 2005)

- First, choose your research question
- Sketch "institutional field": the orgs, external forces, regulations, etc. relevant to the thing you are studying
- The theories you choose: (a) point to certain interactions and actors as influencing the thing you are studying and (b) explain why/how these interactions and actors are influential (these explanations are the mechanisms)
 - e.g., normative isomorphism says professional associations influence change to "center for accessible" education

Using old and new (better for case studies than large N)

- Introduce new institutionalism concepts to highlight macro forces affecting your research focus
- Introduce old institutionalism concepts to highlight local forces (within and near org) affecting your research focus

Critique old/new/neo flavors of institutionalism

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquette

New institionalism
Review
Early findings
New topics

Old institutionalism

Motivation

Integration

Old: local internal/external org dynamics that affect which practices get institutionalized at org-level

critique: ignores broad forces that affect orgs

New: Macro forces affect which practices adopted by all orgs

critique: minimizes agency of individuals/groups

Neo: draw from "old' and "new" institutionalism

- macro institutions emerge from particular actors (ASHE)
- Org adopts macro institution in response to local pressure (GSEIS adopts EDI dean in response to local concerns)

Ignorance shared by all flavors of institutionalism

- Macro structures/institutions systematically privilege dominant (white) groups
- Macro institutions build on established macro institutions that were designed to benefit white men

zan Jaquette

New institutionalism

Review

Lariy tinding

Old instit

tionalism

Integration

References

References

(Partial) reference list

EDUC 250B, Week 8

Ozan Jaquett

New institutionalism

Review

Early findings

Old institi tionalism Motivation Integration

References

- Ahmadjian, C. L., & Robinson, P. (2001). Safety in numbers: Downsizing and the deinstitutionalization of permanent employment in Japan. Administrative Science Quarterly, 46(4)
- Brint, S. G., & Karabel, J. (1989). The diverted dream: community colleges and the promise of
- educational opportunity in America, 1900-1985. New York: Oxford University Press.

 Clark, B. R. (1956). Organizational adaptation and precarious values: a case study. American
- Sociological Review, 21(3), 327-336.

 Collins, R. (1979). The credential society: An historical sociology of education and stratification.
- New York: Academic Press.
- Davis, G. F., Diekmann, K., & Tinsley, C. (1994). The decline and fall of the conglomerate firm in the 1980s: The deinstitutionalization of an organizational Form. American Sociological Review, 59(4), 547-570.
- Davis, G. F. (2005). Firms and environments. In N. J. Smelser & R. Swedberg (Eds.), The handbook of economic sociology (pp. 478-502). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Davis, G. F., & Marquis, C. (2005). Prospects for organization theory in the early twenty-first century: Institutional fields and mechanisms. Organization Science, 16(4), 332-343.
- Hirsch, P. M. (1986). From ambushes to golden parachutes: corporate takeovers as an instance of cultural framing and institutional integration. American Journal of Sociology, 91(4), 800-837.
- Karabel, J. (1984). Status-group struggle, organizational interests, and the limits of institutional autonomy: The transformation of Harvard, Yale, and Princeton, 1918-1940. Theory and Society, 13(1), 1-40.
- Karabel, J. (2005). The chosen: The hidden history of admission and exclusion at Harvard, Yale, and Princeton. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.
- Kraatz, M. S., & Zajac, E. J. (1996). Exploring the limits of the new institutionalism: The causes
 and consequences of illegitimate organizational change. American Sociological Review, 61(5)
- Larson, M. S. (1977). The rise of professionalism: a sociological analysis. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Selznick, P. (1949). TVA and the grass roots: a study in the sociology of formal organization.
 Berkeley,: Univ. of California Press.
- Tolbert, P. S., & Zucker, L. G. (1983). Institutional sources of change in the formal structure of organizations: The diffusion of civil-service reform, 1880-1935. Administrative Science Quarterly,

20 / 20