

Bernoulli Line Ensembles and the Airy 2 Process

Xiang Fang, Lukas Fesser, Christian Serio, Carson Teitler, and Angela Wang

Columbia University REU

July 22, 2020

Gaussian universality (CLT, Donsker Theorem)




Figure: An example of a Bernoulli random walk and a Brownian Motion

Multiple Random Walks

Bernoulli Line
Ensembles and
the Airy 2
Process

Xiang Fang,
Lukas Fessler,
Christian
Serio, Carson
Teitler, and
Angela Wang

Introduction
(5-6 min)

Convergence to
Airy Line
Ensemble (6-7
min)

Section of
Paper (7-9
min)

Increase the number of walkers (avoiding Bernoulli random walks and Dyson BM)





Figure: Multiple Bernoulli Random Walks



Airy Line Ensemble

Bernoulli Line
Ensembles and
the Airy 2
Process

Xiang Fang,
Lukas Fessler,
Christian
Serio, Carson
Teitler, and
Angela Wang

Introduction
(5-6 min)

Convergence to
Airy Line
Ensemble (6-7
min)

Section of
Paper (7-9
min)

What happens as N (number of walkers) goes to infinity? new type of limit occurs Airy line ensemble, top curve is the Airy process. Increasing the number of paths pushes us outside of the Gaussian universality class and into what is called the "KPZ universality class"

Open Question

Bernoulli Line
Ensembles and
the Airy 2
Process

Xiang Fang,
Lukas Fesser,
Christian
Serio, Carson
Teitler, and
Angela Wang

Introduction
(5-6 min)

Convergence to
Airy Line
Ensemble (6-7
min)

Section of
Paper (7-9
min)

Big open problem: Show that for “generic random walks” with “generic” initial conditions we have convergence to Airy LE. This problem is open even for Bernoulli random walks (only known if all are started from 0)

How to show this?

Bernoulli Line
Ensembles and
the Airy 2
Process

Xiang Fang,
Lukas Fesser,
Christian
Serio, Carson
Teitler, and
Angela Wang

Introduction
(5-6 min)

Convergence to
Airy Line
Ensemble (6-7
min)

Section of
Paper (7-9
min)

Finite dimensional convergence (very hard, algebraic need good formulas)
Show tightness (i.e. existence of weak subsequent limits) – easier,
qualitative/analysis. You need to control min, max, modulus of continuity




Figure: The Modulus of Continuity

Our Result

Bernoulli Line
Ensembles and
the Airy 2
Process

Xiang Fang,
Lukas Feiser,
Christian
Serio, Carson
Teitler, and
Angela Wang

Introduction
(5-6 min)

Convergence to
Airy Line
Ensemble (6-7
min)

Section of
Paper (7-9
min)

Main result here: if top line 1 point marginals at integer times go to Tracy-Widom then the full LE is tight.

$$P(L_1(nN^{2/3}) - nN^{2/3}p + \lambda n^2 N^{1/3} \leq N^{1/3}x) \rightarrow F_{TW}(x)$$

Previous Results

Bernoulli Line
Ensembles and
the Airy 2
Process

Xiang Fang,
Lukas Fesser,
Christian
Serio, Carson
Teitler, and
Angela Wang

Introduction
(5-6 min)

**Convergence to
Airy Line
Ensemble (6-7
min)**

Section of
Paper (7-9
min)

Compare to Virag+Duvergne+Nica '19 (they assume fd convergence to Airy Line ensemble vs we assume only 1 point convergence of the top line to TW)

Arguments are inspired by [Corwin-Hammond '14, '15] (continuous setting) [Corwin-Dimitrov '17] (discrete setting) Description of the problem (min, max and modulus of continuity) 2 min

$P(\max_{[-r,r]} L_1(sN^{2/3}) - psN^{2/3} > RN^{1/3}) < \epsilon$ if R is large enough

Proof (mention monotone coupling lemmas somewhere) - say MC with picture 2min

Proof (mention strong coupling somewhere) - say SC with picture $L =$ Bernoulli bridge B is a Brownian bridge with variance. There is a probability space such that $P(\sup |L - B| \geq k(\log N)^2) < \epsilon$. This is a comparison that allows for example to compare the modulus of continuity of the two. [Dimitrov-Wu '19] 2 min

Remind: 1. Max on $[r, R]$ and $[-R, r]$ does not deteriorate. 2. Monotone coupling lemmas show that min $[-r, r]$ does not dip to $-\infty$ – this controls min. 2 min

(if you have time) In the last (-1 min) we can say a few words about why the max on $[r, R]$ does not deteriorate – utilizes built in convexity of the problem. Focus on 2 lines

$$P(L_1(nN^{2/3}) - nN^{2/3}p + \lambda n^2 N^{1/3} \leq N^{1/3}x) - > F_{TW}(x) - \text{emphasize the parabolic shift}$$