Asymptotics of Bernoulli Gibbsian Line Ensembles

Xiang Fang, Lukas Fesser, Christian Serio, Carson Teitler, and Angela Wang
Graduate Student Assistant: Weitao Zhu
Advisor: Evgeni Dimitrov

Columbia University REU

July 28, 2020

The Gaussian universality class

Let $\{X_i\}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with mean μ and variance σ^2. Let $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$.

- **Law of Large Numbers:** $\dfrac{S_n}{n} \longrightarrow \mu$ as $n \to \infty$ almost surely.

- **Central Limit Theorem:** $\dfrac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \implies \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ as $n \to \infty$.

- **Donsker's Theorem:** For $t \in [0,1]$, let $W^{(n)}(t) = \dfrac{S_{nt} - nt\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$ if $nt \in \mathbb{N}$, and linearly interpolate. Then $W^{(n)} \in C([0,1])$ and $W^{(n)} \implies W$ as $n \to \infty$, a standard Brownian motion on $[0,1]$.


Figure: An example of a random walk and a Brownian motion.

Multiple random walks

- If $S_{n+1} - S_n \in \{0, 1\}$, then $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a *Bernoulli random walk*
- An *avoiding Bernoulli line ensemble* $\mathfrak{L} = (L_1, \ldots, L_k)$ consists of k avoiding Bernoulli random walks on an interval $[T_0, T_1]$, such that $L_1(s) \geq L_2(s) \geq \cdots \geq L_k(s)$ for $s \in [T_0, T_1]$

Airy Line Ensemble

As $k \to \infty$, k avoiding random walks are conjectured to converge to the *Airy line ensemble* $\mathcal{A}$, and the top curve to the *Airy process* $\mathcal{A}_1$


Figure: Trajectories of Dyson Brownian motion

- Increasing the number of paths pushes us outside of the Gaussian universality class and into the *Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) universality class*
- Open problem: Show that "generic" random walks with "generic" initial conditions converge to the Airy line ensemble
- We consider this problem for Bernoulli random walks; the proof is only known if all walks start from 0

Two sufficient conditions for convergence in distribution:
- *Finite dimensional* convergence – difficult, requires exact algebraic formulas
- *Tightness* (existence of weak subsequential limits) – easier, more qualitative/analytic

We focused on tightness, which we prove by controlling the maximum, the minimum, and the modulus of continuity


Figure: The Modulus of Continuity

Our Result

Theorem (FFSTWZD)

Let $\{\mathfrak{L}^N = (L_1^N, \ldots, L_k^N)\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of k avoiding Bernoulli random walks. Fix $p \in (0,1)$ and $\lambda > 0$, and suppose that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\big(L_1^N(nN^{2/3}) - pnN^{2/3} + \lambda n^2 N^{1/3} \leq N^{1/3}x\big) = F_{TW}(x).$$

Then $\{\mathfrak{L}^N\}$ is a tight sequence.

- F_{TW} denotes the *Tracy-Widom distribution* – the one-point marginal for the Airy process
- [Dauvergne-Nica-Virág '19] showed that finite dimensional convergence of all curves implies tightness
- Our result shows that it suffices for the top curve to converge in the f.d. sense

History of the line ensembles

Arguments in this paper are inspired by

1. *Brownian Gibbs property for Airy line ensembles* [Corwin-Hammond '11] and *KPZ line ensemble* [Corwin-Hammond '13], which address similar issues for continuous line ensembles

2. *Transversal fluctuations of the ASEP, stochastic six vertex model, and Hall-Littlewood line ensembles* [Corwin-Dimitrov '17], which consider similar questions in a discrete setting

Proving tightness

Recall that to show tightness, we want to control:

1. **Minimum** of bottom curve L_k^N

2. **Maximum** of top curve L_1^N

3. **Modulus of continuity** of each curve L_i^N

We will focus on bounding the minimum:

Lemma (FFSTWZD)

Fix $r, \epsilon > 0$. Then there exist constants $R > 0$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $N \geq N_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{x \in [-r,r]} \big(L_k^N(xN^{2/3}) - pxN^{2/3} \big) < -RN^{1/3} \Big) < \epsilon.$$

Monotone coupling

Shifting the endpoints or the bottom curve up(resp., down)
$\Rightarrow$ The whole line ensemble uniformly goes up(resp., down)

[Dimitrov-Wu 19] A Bernoulli random walk and a Brownian bridge with the same endpoints are "strongly coupled", meaning that they stay close to each other.

Denote $L(s)$ as a Bernoulli random walk from point $(0, 0)$ to (n, z), and $B(s)$ is a Brownian bridge with the same endpoints, where $s \in [0, n]$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \in [0,n]} |L(s) - B(s)| \geq M \log n + x) < \epsilon$$

meaning that the maximal distance between them grows at the speed of $(\log n)^2$ with high probability.

Controlling the minimum: pinning the bottom curve

Lemma (FFSTWZD)

For any $r, \epsilon > 0$, there exists $R > r$ and a constant $M > 0$ so that for large N,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{x \in [r,R]} \big(L_k^N(xN^{2/3}) - pxN^{2/3} \big) < -MN^{1/3} \Big) < \epsilon.$$

The same is true of the maximum on $[-R, -r]$.

- Couple L_k^N with a Brownian bridge: if "pinned" at two points in $[r, R]$ and $[-R, -r]$, it cannot be low on scale $N^{1/3}$ on $[-r, r]$

- Recall our assumption:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(L_1^N(nN^{2/3}) - pnN^{2/3} + \lambda n^2 N^{1/3} \le xN^{1/3} \Big) \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{} F_{TW}(x)$$

- The top curve looks like a parabola with an affine shift on large scales

- Two curves: if L_2^N is low on $[r, R]$, L_1^N looks like a free Brownian bridge

$$\lambda\Big(\frac{R^2 + r^2}{2}\Big) - \lambda\Big(\frac{R + r}{2}\Big)^2 = \lambda\frac{R^2 + r^2}{4} - \frac{\lambda rR}{2} = O(R^2)$$

- For large R, the top curve would be far from the parabola at the midpoint!