

- Global F-test (ANOVA)
 - Generalized / Partial F-test
 - t-test for indv. slopes
-

Global F-test:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \dots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$$

H_1 : at least one $\beta_j \neq 0$



$$H_0: Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} X_{p-1,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

"Reduced Model"
(intercept only)

$$H_1: Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} X_{p-1,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

"Full Model"

So we can construct an F-stat as:

$$F = \frac{(SSE_R - SSE_F) / (df_{SSE_R} - df_{SSE_F})}{SSE_F / df_{SSE_F}} \sim F_{df_1, df_2}$$

$df_1 = df_{SSE_R} - df_{SSE_F}$
 $df_2 = df_{SSE_F}$

Global
 $(= p-1)$
 $= (n-p)$

Full Model:

source	SS	df
Regression	*	$p-1$
Error	*	$n-p$

$p = \#$ of parameters in full model

Decision:

If $F > F_{df_1, df_2, 1-\alpha}^*$ then

reject H_0 & conclude there exists

at least one significant predictor
among X_1, \dots, X_{p-1} for predicting Y .

Partial F-test:

Idea: test only a subset of predictors,

say X_r, \dots, X_{p-1}

$$H_0: \beta_r = \beta_{r+1} = \dots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$$

H_1 : at least one of $\{\beta_j\}_{j=r}^{p-1}$ is not zero.

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_{r-1} X_{r-1i} + \varepsilon_i$$

$r = \#$ of parameters in reduced model

Reduced $H_0: Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \beta_j X_{ji} + \varepsilon_i \Leftrightarrow Y = X\beta^* + \varepsilon$

where $\beta^* = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{r-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Full $H_1: Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_j X_{ji} + \varepsilon_i$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

$$F = \frac{(SSE_R - SSE_F) / (df_{SSE_R} - df_{SSE_F})}{SSE_F / df_{SSE_F}} \sim F_{df_1, df_2}$$

$$df_1 = df_{SSE_R} - df_{SSE_F}$$

$$(n-r) - (n-p) = p-r$$

$$df_2 = n-p$$

Decision:

If $F > F_{df_1, df_2, 1-\alpha}^*$ then at least one

significant predictor exists among $\{X_r, \dots, X_{p-1}\}$.
 $\Rightarrow r=3$

EX:

$$H_0: Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \epsilon_i$$

$$H_1: Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_5 X_{5i} + \epsilon_i \Rightarrow p=6$$

$$\text{num df} = p-r = 6-3 = 3$$

Specific Predictor F test

$$H_0: \beta_{p-1} = 0$$

VS

$$H_1: \beta_{p-1} \neq 0$$

same exact story, just let $r = p - 2$ //

How can we make a t-test to check if a specific predictor is useful or not?

idea: $\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{in MLR}}$ $\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - 0}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_j)}$ $j = 1, \dots, p$

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j)} = \sqrt{\sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}_{j+1, j+1}}$$

Recall

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) \\ \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j)$$

$j+1$ st diag. entry

So we can construct a t -stat:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - 0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta}_j)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{(X'X)^{-1}_{j+1, j+1}}}$$

If $|t| > t_{n-p, \boxed{1-\alpha/2}}^* \Rightarrow$ reject H_0

Interpretation:

We have evidence that suggests X_j is a significant predictor of y

given the other predictors in the model.

This t -test is totally equivalent to the specific predictor's partial F -test!!

$$(t^2 = F)$$

Adjusting R^2

Recall R^2 comes from the ANOVA breakdown:

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

Fact When we compare models of different sizes, R^2 will always be biggest for the largest model.

It doesn't take into account the "cost" of losing degrees of freedom.

To handle this, we can use

Adjusted R^2 :

$$R_a^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE/n-p}{SST/n-1} = 1 - \frac{MSE}{MST}$$

Advantage R_a^2 doesn't always increase as more predictors get added.

$\Rightarrow R_a^2$ is useful as a model
selection criterion