## Propositional Logic Tutorial 4 Derivations

## 1. Show the Following:

- a)  $A \wedge B \vdash B \wedge A$
- b)  $A \wedge B \vdash A \vee B$
- c)  $P \land Q, P \rightarrow R, Q \rightarrow S \vdash R \land S$
- d)  $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R) \vdash P \land Q \rightarrow R$
- e)  $\vdash (P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)$

## 2. Consider the following statement:

If the Prime Minister loses the next vote, then if his leadership is not challenged by his own party he will call a general election.

- a. Formalise the statement in propositional logic in two different ways.
- b. Show that each of the two wffs in (a) can be derived from the other.
- 3. Either John is a murderer or he is a blackmailer. If he is a murderer he is violent. If he is a blackmailer he is rich. If he is rich he either spends a lot or he has a lot of money in his bank account. But his bank account is nearly empty. He is also quite mean with his money.
  - a. Is John a murderer or a blackmailer?
  - b. Formalise the information given in propositional logic and formally derive your answer to (a).

## 4. Remember Tutorial 1?

Sergeant James knew that at least one of Adam, Bill and Charles was a thief. He investigated all three and he learned the following additional information: If Adam was a thief then Charles would also be a thief, provided Bill was not one. If Bill was a thief then so would Charles be a thief. If Charles was a thief then it would not be the case that if Bill was a thief then so would Adam be a thief.

Representing the information above in propositional logic using the propositional symbols

A: for Adam is a thief

B: for Bill is a thief

C: for Charles is a thief.

a) Show 
$$\neg (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

b) Show by natural deduction, and (a), if you wish, who the thieves are.