Predicate Logic Part 2

Fariba Sadri

Recall Syntax of a grammatically correct sentence (wff) in predicate logic

- $p(t_1,...,t_n)$ is a wff if p is an n-ary predicate symbol and the t_i are terms.
- If W, W1, and W2 are wffs then so are the following:

$$\neg W \qquad W1 \land W2 \qquad W1 \lor W2$$

$$W1 \rightarrow W2 \qquad W1 \leftrightarrow W2$$

$$\forall X(W) \qquad \exists X(W)$$

where X is a variable symbol.

• There are no other wffs.

From the description above you can see that propositional logic is a special case of predicate logic.

Predicate Logic: the predicates are n-ary, n≥0, and we have terms and quantifiers

Propositional Logic: all the predicates are nullary

Some useful equivalences

All propositional logic equivalences hold for predicate logic wffs.

E.g.
$$A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \lor B$$

So

```
able\_to\_work(john) \rightarrow employed(john) \equiv \\ \neg able\_to\_work(john) \lor employed(john) \\ \forall X (able\_to\_work(X) \rightarrow employed(X)) \equiv \\ \forall X (\neg able\_to\_work(X) \lor employed(X))
```

Some useful equivalences cntd.

```
E.g. \neg(A \land B) \equiv \neg A \lor \neg B

So

\neg (academic(john) \land rich(john)) \equiv

\neg academic(john) \lor \neg rich(john)
```

Another instance of the same equivalence:

$$\neg (A \land B) \equiv \neg A \lor \neg B$$

$$A$$

$$\neg (\forall X (able_to_work(X) \rightarrow employed(X)) \land inflation(low)) \equiv$$

$$B$$

$$\neg (\forall X (able_to_work(X) \rightarrow employed(X)))$$

$$\lor \neg inflation(low)$$

Some other equivalences in predicate logic

- $\forall \mathbf{X}\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X}) \equiv \neg \exists \mathbf{X} \neg \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X})$ all true, none false
- $\forall X \neg p(X) \equiv \neg \exists X p(X)$ all false - none true
- $\exists \mathbf{X} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X}) \equiv \neg \forall \mathbf{X} \neg \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X})$ at least one true - not all false
- $\exists X \neg p(X) \equiv \neg \forall X p(X)$ at least one false - not all true

Equivalence exercises

```
\forall X (cautious(X) \lor normal(X) \rightarrow
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \exists Y \text{ shelter}(Y,X)) \equiv
\neg \exists X ((cautious(X) \lor normal(X)) \land
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \neg \exists Y \text{ shelter}(Y,X)
  \forall X \ \forall Y \ (aggresive(X) \land sees(X, Y) \rightarrow
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         fights(X, Y)) \equiv
 \forall X \neg \exists Y (aggresive(X) \land sees(X, Y) \land \land
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \negfights (X, Y))
```

Some other equivalences in predicate logic

Suppose W1, W2 are wffs.

If W1 can be transformed to W2 by a consistent renaming of variables, then W1 and W2 are equivalent.

E.g. $\forall X p(X) \equiv \forall Y p(Y)$

$$\forall \mathbf{X} \exists \mathbf{Y} (p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow q(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})) \equiv$$
 $\forall \mathbf{Z} \exists \mathbf{W} (p(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{W}) \rightarrow q(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{Z}))$

Some other equivalences in predicate logic

If two wffs differ only in the order of two adjacent quantifiers of the same kind, then they are equivalent. E.g.

$$\forall X \ \forall Y \ p(X,Y) \equiv \forall Y \ \forall X \ p(X,Y)$$
 $\exists X \ \exists Y \ p(X,Y) \equiv \exists Y \ \exists X \ p(X,Y)$
But
 $\forall X \ \exists Y \ p(X,Y)$ is not equivalent to
 $\exists Y \ \forall X \ p(X,Y)$

$$\exists X(A \lor B) \equiv \exists XA \lor \exists XB$$
E.g.

$$\exists X(\text{male}(X) \lor \text{female}(X)) \equiv$$

$$\exists X \text{ male}(X) \lor \exists X \text{ female}(X)$$

$$\forall \mathbf{X} \ (\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B}) \equiv \forall \mathbf{X} \ \mathbf{A} \wedge \forall \mathbf{X} \ \mathbf{B}$$

E.g.

```
\forallX ( mscDegree(X)\rightarrowduration(X, 12months)\land phdDegree(X)\rightarrowduration(X, 42months)) \equiv
```

```
\forall X \text{ (mscDegree(X)} \rightarrow \text{duration}(X, 12\text{months})) \land \\ \forall X \text{ (phdDegree(X)} \rightarrow \text{duration}(X, 42\text{months}))
```

Some notes on quantifiers

1. Free and Bound variables:

An occurrence of a variable in a wff is bound if it is within the scope of a quantifier in that wff. It is free if it is not within the scope of any quantifier in that wff.

Examples

$$\forall X (p(X) \rightarrow q(Y,X))$$

Both occurrences of X in the above wff are bound (they are both within the scope of the \forall .)

The occurrence of Y is free (it is not within the scope of any quantifier.)

$$(\forall X p(X)) \land (\exists Xq(X))$$

In the wff above, both occurrences of X are bound, the first by the \forall , the second by the \exists .

$$(\forall X p(X)) \land (\exists Yq(X,Y))$$

In the wff above, the first occurrence of X is bound, the second is free. The occurrence of Y is bound.

Definition.

If a wff contains no free occurrences of variables it is said to be **closed**, otherwise it is said to be **open**.

A wff with no free occurrences of variables is also called a **sentence**.

E.g.

$$Bird(X) \rightarrow has_beak(X)$$

is a wff but not a sentence.

$$\forall X (Bird(X) \rightarrow has_beak(X))$$

is a wff and a sentence.

Back to equivalences

2. A particular occurrence of a variable is bound by the closest quantifier which can bind it.

E.g.

$$\forall X (p(X) \rightarrow \forall X q(X)) \equiv$$

$$\forall X (p(X) \rightarrow \forall Y q(Y))$$

3. Law of vacuous quantification

 $\forall X W \equiv W$ if W (a wff) contains no free occurrences of X.

$$\forall X (p(a) \rightarrow q(a)) \equiv p(a) \rightarrow q(a)$$

$$\forall X \exists X p(X) \equiv \exists X p(X)$$

$$\forall X \exists Y(p(X) \rightarrow \exists Y q(X,Y))$$

which quantification can we drop?

If X does not occur free in A then

$$\forall X(A \rightarrow B) \equiv A \rightarrow \forall XB$$
, and

$$\exists X(A \rightarrow B) \equiv A \rightarrow \exists XB.$$

E.g.

 \forall X(funny(john) \rightarrow loves(X, john)) \equiv funny(john) \rightarrow \forall X loves(X, john)

If X doesn't occur free in A, then

$$\exists X(A \land B) \equiv A \land \exists XB$$
, and

$$\forall X(A \vee B) \equiv A \vee \forall XB.$$

E.g.

 $\exists X(station(victoria) \land tubeLine(X, victoria))$

 \equiv station(victoria) $\land \exists X \text{ tubeLine}(X, \text{ victoria})$

If X does not occur free in B then

$$\forall X(A \rightarrow B) \equiv \exists XA \rightarrow B$$
, and

$$\exists X(A \to B) \equiv \forall XA \to B.$$

Be careful:

The quantifier changes.

 $\forall X(A \rightarrow B)$ is equivalent to $\exists XA \rightarrow B$, and $\exists X(A \rightarrow B)$ is equivalent to $\forall XA \rightarrow B$

E.g.

 \forall X(loves(X, john) \rightarrow happy(john)) \equiv (\exists X loves(X, john)) \rightarrow happy(john)

Warning: non-equivalences

The following are NOT logically equivalent (though always, the first |= the second):

 $\forall X(A \rightarrow B) \text{ and } \forall XA \rightarrow \forall XB$

 $\exists X(A \land B) \text{ and } \exists XA \land \exists XB$

 $\forall XA \vee \forall XB \text{ and } \forall X (A \vee B)$

Can you find a 'counter-example' for each one?

Counter-example for

$$\forall X(p(X) \rightarrow q(X)) \text{ and } \forall Xp(X) \rightarrow \forall Xq(X)$$

Take

$$p(a)$$
 $p(b)$ $\neg p(c)$

$$q(a)$$
 $\neg q(b)$

Then RHS is true, but LHS is not.

Examples for slide 26

```
\exists X(A \land B) and \exists XA \land \exists XB
Not equivalent
\exists X(male(X) \land female(X)) and
\exists X \text{ male}(X) \land \exists X \text{ female}(X)
\forall XA \vee \forall XB \text{ and } \forall X (A \vee B)
\forall X \operatorname{msc}(X) \vee \forall X \operatorname{meng}(X) and
\forall X (msc(X) \lor meng(X))
```