Introduction to Algorithms Bonus Lecture: Proof by Induction

et al.

March 6, 2025

Proof by Induction

- ▶ A powerful mathematical technique.
- ▶ Prove that a statement is true for all natural numbers (or some sequence of numbers).
- ▶ It's like knocking over a line of dominoes...

How Induction Works

Principle of Mathematical Induction:

- ▶ **Base Case:** Show the statement holds for the first value (usually n = 1).
- ▶ Inductive Hypothesis: Assume the statement holds for some arbitrary n = k.
- ▶ Inductive Step: Prove it holds for n = k + 1.

How Induction Works

Principle of Mathematical Induction:

- ▶ **Base Case:** Show the statement holds for the first value (usually n = 1).
- ▶ Inductive Hypothesis: Assume the statement holds for some arbitrary n = k.
- ▶ **Inductive Step:** Prove it holds for n = k + 1.

If those steps hold, the statement is true for all n.

Prove that:

$$1+2+3+\cdots+n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Step 1: Base Case

For n = 1:

$$1 = \frac{1(1+1)}{2} = \frac{2}{2} = 1$$

√True!

Step 2: Inductive Hypothesis

Assume that for some n = k, the formula holds:

$$1+2+3+\cdots+k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$$

(This is our assumption or "inductive hypothesis".)

Step 3: Inductive Step

We must prove it holds for n = k + 1, meaning:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + k + (k+1) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

Step 3: Inductive Step

We must prove it holds for n = k + 1, meaning:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + k + (k+1) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

Using the inductive hypothesis:

$$\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) + (k+1)$$

Step 3: Inductive Step

We must prove it holds for n = k + 1, meaning:

$$1+2+3+\cdots+k+(k+1)=\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

Using the inductive hypothesis:

$$\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) + (k+1)$$

Factor k + 1 out:

$$\frac{k(k+1)+2(k+1)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

Step 3: Inductive Step

We must prove it holds for n = k + 1, meaning:

$$1+2+3+\cdots+k+(k+1)=\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

Using the inductive hypothesis:

$$\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) + (k+1)$$

Factor k + 1 out:

$$\frac{k(k+1)+2(k+1)}{2}=\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

This matches the formula for n = k + 1, so the statement holds!

- /

Conclusion

By induction, the formula is true for all natural numbers n.

WHY DOES THIS WORK?

Think of induction like climbing an infinite ladder:

- ▶ The base case puts your foot on the first rung.
- ► The **inductive hypothesis** and the **inductive step** shows that if you can reach one step, you can reach the next.

Since they are true, you can climb forever!



 $^{^{1}}$ **■** = Q.E.D. which means "quod erat demonstrandum".

Proof by Induction:

$$2^n \ge n + 1$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Step 1: Base Case

For n = 1:

$$2^1 = 2$$
, left side $1 + 1 = 2$. right side

Since $2 \ge 2$, the base case holds. \checkmark

Step 2: Inductive Hypothesis

Assume the statement is true for n = k:

$$2^k \ge k + 1$$
.

This assumption is the *inductive hypothesis*.

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$2^{k+1} \ge (k+1) + 1.$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$2^{k+1} \ge (k+1) + 1.$$

Start with the left-hand side:

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k.$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$2^{k+1} \ge (k+1) + 1.$$

Start with the left-hand side:

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k.$$

Using the inductive hypothesis $2^k \ge k + 1$:

$$2^{k+1} \ge 2 \cdot (k+1) = 2k+2.$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$2^{k+1} \ge (k+1) + 1.$$

Start with the left-hand side:

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k.$$

Using the inductive hypothesis $2^k \ge k + 1$:

$$2^{k+1} \ge 2 \cdot (k+1) = 2k+2.$$

Since $2k + 2 \ge k + 2$, the statement holds for n = k + 1.

Conclusion

By mathematical induction, we have proven that:

$$2^n \ge n+1$$
 for all $n \ge 1$.

Induction helps us prove statements for infinitely many cases!

Another Example

We will prove that the sum of the first n odd numbers is given by:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2n-1)=n^2$$
.

Step 1: Base Case

For n = 1:

$$1 = 1^2$$
.

Since both sides are equal, the base case holds. \checkmark

Step 2: Inductive Hypothesis

Assume the statement is true for n = k:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)=k^2$$
.

The inductive hypothesis.

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)+(2(k+1)-1)=(k+1)^2$$
.

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)+(2(k+1)-1)=(k+1)^2$$
.

Using the *inductive hypothesis*:

$$k^2 + (2(k+1) - 1).$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)+(2(k+1)-1)=(k+1)^2$$
.

Using the *inductive hypothesis*:

$$k^2 + (2(k+1) - 1).$$

Expanding the term:

$$k^{2} + (2k+1) = (k+1)^{2}.$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)+(2(k+1)-1)=(k+1)^2$$
.

Using the *inductive hypothesis*:

$$k^2 + (2(k+1) - 1).$$

Expanding the term:

$$k^2 + (2k+1) = (k+1)^2$$
.

Since both sides match, the statement holds for n = k + 1.

One More

Prove that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 3^i = \frac{3^{n+1} - 1}{2}$$

for all non negative integer n.

Step 1: Base Case

For n = 0:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{0} 3^{i} = \frac{3^{0+1} - 1}{2}$$
$$3^{0} = \frac{3^{1} - 1}{2}$$
$$1 = \frac{3 - 1}{2}$$
$$1 = \frac{2}{2}$$
$$1 = 1$$

Since both sides are equal, the base case holds. \checkmark

Step 1: Base Case

For n = 1:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{1} 3^{i} = \frac{3^{1+1} - 1}{2}$$
$$3^{0} + 3^{1} = \frac{3^{2} - 1}{2}$$
$$1 + 3 = \frac{9 - 1}{2}$$
$$4 = \frac{8}{2}$$
$$4 = 4$$

Since both sides are equal, the base case holds. \checkmark

Step 2: Inductive Hypothesis

Assume the statement is true for n = k:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 3^i = \frac{3^{k+1} - 1}{2}$$

The inductive hypothesis.

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 3^i = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 3^i = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

By \sum definition:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 3^{i} + 3^{k+1} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 3^i = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

By \sum definition:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 3^{i} + 3^{k+1} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

Using the inductive hypothesis:

$$\frac{3^{k+1} - 1}{2} + 3^{k+1} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

We need to prove the statement holds for n = k + 1:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 3^i = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

By \sum definition:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 3^{i} + 3^{k+1} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

Using the *inductive hypothesis*:

$$\frac{3^{k+1} - 1}{2} + 3^{k+1} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

Expanding the term:

$$\frac{3^{k+1}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2 \cdot 3^{k+1}}{2} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$
$$\frac{3^{k+1} - 1 + 2 \cdot 3^{k+1}}{2} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$
Induction

Using the *inductive hypothesis*:

$$\frac{3^{k+1} - 1}{2} + 3^{k+1} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

Expanding the term:

$$\begin{split} \frac{3^{k+1}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2 \cdot 3^{k+1}}{2} &= \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3^{k+1} - 1 + 2 \cdot 3^{k+1}}{2} &= \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3 \cdot 3^{k+1} - 1}{2} &= \frac{3^{k+1+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3^{k+1+1} - 1}{2} &= \frac{3^{k+1+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3^{k+2} - 1}{2} &= \frac{3^{k+2} - 1}{2} \end{split}$$

Step 3: Inductive Step

Using the inductive hypothesis:

$$\frac{3^{k+1} - 1}{2} + 3^{k+1} = \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2}$$

Expanding the term:

$$\begin{split} \frac{3^{k+1}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2 \cdot 3^{k+1}}{2} &= \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3^{k+1} - 1 + 2 \cdot 3^{k+1}}{2} &= \frac{3^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3 \cdot 3^{k+1} - 1}{2} &= \frac{3^{k+1+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3^{k+1+1} - 1}{2} &= \frac{3^{k+1+1} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{3^{k+2} - 1}{2} &= \frac{3^{k+2} - 1}{2} \end{split}$$

Since both sides match, the statement holds for n = k + 1.

Use mathematical induction to show that:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

for all non negative integers n.

1. **Basis case:** For n = 0, $2^0 = 1 = 2^1 - 1$ \checkmark

Use mathematical induction to show that:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

for all non negative integers n.

- 1. **Basis case:** For n = 0, $2^0 = 1 = 2^1 1$
- 2. Inductive hypothesis: Let n = k, so

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k = 2^{k+1} - 1$$

holds...

Use mathematical induction to show that:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

for all non negative integers n.

- 1. **Basis case:** For n = 0, $2^0 = 1 = 2^1 1$
- 2. Inductive hypothesis: Let n = k, so

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k = 2^{k+1} - 1$$

holds...

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{k+1} = 2^{(k+1)+1} - 1$$

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{(k+1)+1} - 1$$

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{(k+1)+1} - 1$$

$$1 + 2 + 2^{2} + \dots + 2^{k} + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$$

3 Inductive step: Let's solve for
$$n = k + 1$$
,
$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{(k+1)+1} - 1$$

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$$

$$2^{k+1} - 1 + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$$

3 Inductive step: Let's solve for
$$n = k + 1$$
,
$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{(k+1)+1} - 1$$
$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$$
$$2^{k+1} - 1 + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$$
$$2 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 1 \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$$

3 Inductive step: Let's solve for n = k + 1, $1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{(k+1)+1} - 1$ $1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$ $2^{k+1} - 1 + 2^{k+1} \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$

$$2^{k+2} - 1 = 2^{k+2} - 1$$

 $2 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 1 \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{k+2} - 1$

Prove the following statement by induction:

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (2n+1)}{6}$$

1. Basis step: For n = 1, $1 = \frac{1 \times 2 \times 3}{6}$ is true!

Prove the following statement by induction:

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (2n+1)}{6}$$

- 1. Basis step: For n = 1, $1 = \frac{1 \times 2 \times 3}{6}$ is true!
- 2. Assumption step: Let n = k, so

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + k^2 = \frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1)}{6}$$

holds...

Prove the following statement by induction:

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (2n+1)}{6}$$

- 1. Basis step: For n = 1, $1 = \frac{1 \times 2 \times 3}{6}$ is true!
- 2. Assumption step: Let n = k, so

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} = \frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1)}{6}$$

holds...

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + (k+1)^{2} = \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + (k+1)^2 \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2 \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+2+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$
$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+2+1)}{6}$$
$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+2+1)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1) + 6(k+1)^{2}}{6} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+2+1)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1) + 6(k+1)^{2}}{6} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$(k+1) \cdot (k \cdot (2k+1) + 6(k+1)) \stackrel{?}{=} (k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+2+1)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1) + 6(k+1)^{2}}{6} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$(k+1) \cdot (k \cdot (2k+1) + 6(k+1)) \stackrel{?}{=} (k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)$$

$$(k+1) \cdot (2k^{2} + 7k + 6) \stackrel{?}{=} (k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot ((k+1)+1) \cdot (2 \cdot (k+1)+1)}{6}$$

$$1 + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+2+1)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$\frac{k \cdot (k+1) \cdot (2k+1) + 6(k+1)^{2}}{6} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)}{6}$$

$$(k+1) \cdot (k \cdot (2k+1) + 6(k+1)) \stackrel{?}{=} (k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)$$

$$(k+1) \cdot (2k^{2} + 7k + 6) \stackrel{?}{=} (k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)$$

$$(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3) = (k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdot (2k+3)$$

Theorems

Theorem

Let b be a positive real number and x and y real numbers. Then,

- 1. $b^{x+y} = b^x \cdot b^y$, and
- 2. $(b^x)^y = b^{x \cdot y}$.

Theorems

Theorem

Let b be a real number greater than 1. Then,

- 1. $\log_b(xy) = \log_b x + \log_b y$ whenever x and y are positive real numbers, and
- 2. $\log_b(x^y) = y \log_b x$ whenever x is a positive real number and y is a real number.

Theorems

Theorem

Let a and b be real numbers greater than 1, and let x be a positive real number. Then,

$$1. \log_a x = \frac{\log_b x}{\log_b a}.$$

Example: Solving $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$

The **substitution method** is used to solve recurrence relations by guessing a solution and then proving it using mathematical induction.

Let's solve the recurrence:

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

Step 1: Guess the solution

We assume $T(n) = O(n \log n)$. We will prove this by induction.

Step 2: Expand the recurrence

Expand the recurrence for a few levels:

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$$

$$T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

Substituting $T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$ into T(n):

$$T(n) = 2\left[2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right] + cn$$
$$= 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + cn + cn$$
$$= 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2cn$$

Continuing this process for k steps:

$$T(n) = 2^k T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + kcn$$

Step 3: Base Case

The recursion stops when $\frac{n}{2^k} = 1$, so $k = \lg n$. At this point, T(1) = O(1), so:

$$T(n) = 2^{\lg n} T(1) + (\lg n) cn$$

Since $2^{\lg n} = n$, we get:

$$T(n) = nO(1) + cn \lg n$$
$$= O(n \lg n)$$

Step 4: Inductive Proof

We assume $T(n) \leq dn \lg n$ holds for all smaller values and prove it for n:

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$$

Using the inductive hypothesis:

$$\begin{split} T(n) &\leq 2 \left[d \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \lg \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \right] + cn \\ &= dn \lg \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) + cn \\ &= dn (\lg n - 1) + cn \\ &= dn \lg n - dn + cn \end{split}$$

For a sufficiently large d, we can choose $d \geq c$, so:

$$T(n) \le dn \lg n$$

Thus, $T(n) = O(n \log n)$, which matches our guess.

Substitution Method Conclusion

By using substitution and induction, we confirmed that:

$$T(n) = O(n \lg n)$$

Another Example

An example of a recurrence relation that **cannot** be solved using the **Master Theorem** but can be solved using the **substitution method** is:

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n)$$

Step 1: Expand the Recurrence

Expanding the recurrence iteratively:

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n)$$

$$= (T(n-2) + O(n-1)) + O(n)$$

$$= T(n-2) + O(n-1) + O(n)$$

$$= T(n-3) + O(n-2) + O(n-1) + O(n)$$

Repeating this expansion until we reach the base case T(1), we get:

$$T(n) = T(1) + O(2) + O(3) + \dots + O(n)$$

Step 2: Approximate the Summation

The summation of the first n natural numbers is:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} O(k) = O(1+2+3+\cdots+n) = O\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) = O(n^{2})$$

Thus, the recurrence simplifies to:

$$T(n) = O(n^2)$$

Why Can't Master Theorem Be Used?

The **Master Theorem** applies to recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + O(n^d)$$

where a, b, and d are constants. However, our recurrence T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n) does **not** fit this form because:

- ▶ There is **no division** of n (i.e., no factor like $\frac{n}{b}$).
- ▶ The recurrence is **not** a **divide-and-conquer** structure.

Thus, the **Master Theorem does not apply**, and we must use the **substitution method** or an iterative expansion approach.

Final Answer

$$T(n) = O(n^2)$$

This example demonstrates a **linear recurrence** that grows quadratically, and it is a case where the **Master Theorem fails**, but substitution (expanding and summing the terms) works effectively.

Why T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n) is Not Divide & Conquer

Key Differences:

1. No Division of the Problem Size

- In divide & conquer, we break the problem into smaller parts of size $\frac{n}{b}$, where b is usually a constant.
- Here, we only reduce n by **one** in each step (n-1) instead of $\frac{n}{b}$. This means we are reducing the problem by a fixed amount rather than dividing it into subproblems of proportional size.

Why T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n) is Not Divide& Conquer

Key Differences:

- 2 Only One Subproblem (a = 1)
 - In divide & conquer, there are typically multiple recursive calls (e.g., Merge Sort has two recursive calls, so a = 2).
 - ▶ Here, there is **only one recursive call** to T(n-1), so it follows a linear recurrence pattern rather than a branching recursive structure.

Why T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n) is Not Divide & Conquer

Key Differences:

- 3 Linear Reduction Instead of Exponential
 - ▶ In divide & conquer, the problem size shrinks **exponentially** (e.g., $\frac{n}{2}$, $\frac{n}{4}$, etc.), leading to logarithmic depth recursion trees.
 - ▶ Here, the problem size decreases **linearly** (n-1, n-2, n-3, ...), leading to a **deep recursion tree** of depth O(n).

Conclusion

Since this recurrence follows a **linear** reduction pattern instead of an **exponential** divide & conquer structure, it **does not** fit into the Master Theorem framework, which applies to problems that **divide** into multiple subproblems. Instead, it is better solved using expansion (substitution method) or summation techniques.



ADA' students reading the questions of the exam... click me!