

# Fine-Tuning Large Language Models for Medical Physics: Domain Adaptation, Statistical Evaluation, and Physics-Informed Analysis

Aulia Octaviani<sup>a,1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Independent Researcher, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

## Abstract

We present a reproducible pipeline to fine-tune open Large Language Models (LLMs) for the medical physics domain (radiation therapy, diagnostic imaging, nuclear medicine, and radiation safety). Using instruction-formatted Q&A with parameter-efficient fine-tuning (LoRA/QLoRA) and 4-bit quantization, we adapt Gemma/Llama-3 class models on Google Colab T4. The resulting model achieves *perplexity*  $\approx 5.49$  on a held-out set and *domain MCQ accuracy* 66.7% (2/3) on a small physics checklist. We integrate core physical theory (exponential attenuation, half-value layer, interaction cross-sections), radiotherapy planning principles (IMRT), PET system components, and radiation protection (time–distance–shielding) to guide qualitative error analysis. The paper contributes: (i) a compact, open, and auditable procedure; (ii) a physics-informed evaluation rubric; and (iii) portfolio-ready artifacts (notebook, model card, plots) demonstrating readiness for PhD-level research at the interface of AI and medical physics.

**Keywords:** Medical Physics, Radiation Therapy, Diagnostic Imaging, Nuclear Medicine, LLM Fine-Tuning, LoRA/QLoRA, Statistical Evaluation

## 1. Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) have shown strong generalization in open-domain NLP, yet domain-specific adaptation remains essential for safety-critical fields such as medical physics. Tasks include explaining physical interactions (photoelectric, Compton, pair production), computing attenuation and half-value layer (HVL), outlining IMRT planning steps, describing PET components, and summarizing radiation safety principles. We address these with parameter-efficient fine-tuning on domain Q&A.

**Contributions.** (1) A reproducible Colab-based fine-tuning pipeline using LoRA/QLoRA and 4-bit quantization; (2) an evaluation suite combining standard NLP metrics (loss, perplexity) and physics-aware checks; (3) detailed theory and equations to ground error analysis in domain physics.

## 2. Theory Background in Medical Physics

### 2.1. X-ray Interaction with Matter

For a narrow monoenergetic beam of initial intensity  $I_0$  passing through thickness  $x$ ,

$$I(x) = I_0 e^{-\mu x}, \quad \mu = \text{linear attenuation coefficient (cm}^{-1}\text{)}. \quad (1)$$

Mass attenuation coefficient  $\mu/\rho$  (with density  $\rho$ ) separates material dependence. The *half-value layer* (HVL) is

$$\text{HVL} = \frac{\ln 2}{\mu}. \quad (2)$$

Dominant interaction regimes (photon energy  $E$  and atomic number  $Z$  dependent): photoelectric  $\sigma_{\text{pe}} \propto Z^n/E^3$  ( $n \approx 3-4$ ), Compton (approximately  $Z$ -independent per electron; Klein–Nishina), and pair production for  $E > 1.022$  MeV.

### 2.2. Image Quality and SNR (Poisson Limits)

For quantum-limited detection, counts  $N$  are approximately Poisson with  $\text{Var}(N) = N$ ; thus  $\text{SNR} \approx N/\sqrt{N} = \sqrt{N}$ . Scatter and detector blur degrade contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR); anti-scatter grids improve primary-to-scatter ratio at a dose cost.

### 2.3. IMRT Planning Essentials

IMRT uses multiple beamlets with modulated fluence to solve an inverse problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w} \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_{\text{plan}}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{w}), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{plan}} = \sum_{v \in \text{PTV}} \phi_{\text{target}}(D_v; D_{\text{presc}}) + \sum_{o \in \text{OAR}} \phi_{\text{oar}}(D_o; D_{\text{lim}}), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  are beamlet weights,  $\mathbf{D}$  is the dose influence matrix, PTV is the planning target volume, and OAR denotes organs at risk. Dose constraints appear as penalties or hard bounds; DVH metrics (e.g.,  $D_{95}$ ) summarize coverage.

### 2.4. PET Physics

A PET system detects near-coincident 511 keV annihilation photons. The prompt rate  $R_p$  and randoms  $R_r$  obey

$$\text{NECR} = \frac{R_t^2}{R_t + R_s + kR_r}, \quad (4)$$

\*Corresponding author. Email: auliaoctaviani@gmail.com. GitHub: [github.com/aocaviani](https://github.com/aocaviani)

<sup>1</sup>Alumna (M.Sc. Physics), Universitas Sebelas Maret (UNS), 2023.

<sup>2</sup>Maintainer, *physics-archives* (open-source). <https://github.com/aocaviani>

with  $R_t$  true coincidences,  $R_s$  scatter, and  $k \approx 1-2$  accounting for variance inflation; time-of-flight (TOF) improves SNR.

### 2.5. Radiation Protection

The *time–distance–shielding* triad governs dose reduction. If exposure rate is  $\dot{H}_0$  at distance  $r_0$ , at distance  $r$  (point source),

$$\dot{H}(r) = \dot{H}_0 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^2 e^{-\mu x}. \quad (5)$$

Absorbed dose  $D$  (Gy) is energy per unit mass; *equivalent dose* accounts for radiation weighting  $w_R$ ; *effective dose*  $E = \sum_T w_T H_T$  with tissue weights  $w_T$ .

## 3. Methods

### 3.1. Data and Instruction Formatting

We curate compact Q&A covering: attenuation/HVL, interaction mechanisms, IMRT planning, PET components, and radiation safety. Each sample is formatted as:

Prompt: Instruction about medical physics  $\Rightarrow$  Response: aligned, concise, correct.  
(6)

Train/validation split uses stratified sampling to preserve topic coverage.

### 3.2. Model, Quantization, and LoRA

We adopt instruction-tuned backbones (google/gemma-1.1-7b-it or unsloth/llama-3.2-8b) with 4-bit quantization (NF4) to fit Colab T4 memory. LoRA introduces a low-rank update

$$\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{W} + \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}^\top, \quad \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times r}, r \ll \min(d, k), \quad (7)$$

applied to attention and MLP projections (e.g.,  $q, k, v, o, \text{up, down, gate}$ ). We used rank  $r=16$ ,  $\alpha=16$ , dropout 0.

### 3.3. Training Objective and Metrics

Given tokenized sequence  $\mathbf{x}_{1:T}$  with next-token targets  $\mathbf{y}_{1:T}$ , the cross-entropy loss is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}} = - \sum_{t=1}^T \log p_\theta(y_t | \mathbf{x}_{\leq t}), \quad (8)$$

and *perplexity* is

$$\text{PPL} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\log p_\theta(y_t | \mathbf{x}_{\leq t}) \right). \quad (9)$$

For domain checks we report MCQ accuracy on a physics mini-benchmark. Further optional metrics include Expected Calibration Error (ECE) and BLEU/ROUGE for long responses.

### 3.4. Training Configuration and Compute

We train for 100 steps (demo) with batch size 1 and gradient accumulation 4, learning rate  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  (cosine schedule), bf16 where supported. Colab T4 (16 GB) suffices with 4-bit quantization.

## 4. Experiments

### 4.1. Setup

**Backbone:** gemma-1.1-7b-it (primary) and llama-3.2-8b (unsloth variant). **LoRA:** rank 16, targets  $\{q, k, v, o, \text{up, down, gate}\}$ . **Dataset:** compact instruction Q&A (train  $n=3$ , val  $n=1$  in the minimal demo; larger sets planned).

### 4.2. Monitoring

Weights & Biases (wandb) tracked loss and evaluation; logs are synced locally when WAN unavailable.

## 5. Results

### 5.1. Language Modeling

Final training loss  $\approx 0.4743$ ; validation loss  $\approx 1.7037$ ; computed perplexity  $\approx 5.49$ .

### 5.2. Domain MCQ

Three canonical questions (absorbed dose unit, IMRT acronym, I-131 half-life) yielded 2/3 correct (66.7%). The absorbed-dose unit error (answered Sv instead of Gy) highlights the need for unit-grounding augmentation.

### 5.3. Inference Samples (Exact Text Not Truncated)

*Photoelectric Effect (Imaging).* “The photoelectric effect describes how an X-ray photon transfers all of its energy to a bound inner-shell electron, ejecting it (photoelectron) and leaving a vacancy that relaxes via characteristic X-rays/Auger electrons. Its probability scales roughly as  $Z^3/E^3$ , dominating at lower energies and high-Z materials, thereby enhancing subject contrast in diagnostic radiology (e.g., with contrast agents).”

*HVL at 100.0000 keV in Al (Concept).* “The half-value layer (HVL) is the thickness that reduces intensity to one half:  $\text{HVL} = \ln 2 / \mu$ . For 100.0000 keV photons in Al, use tabulated  $\mu$  or  $\mu/\rho$  and density to compute a numerical HVL. Attenuation follows  $I(x) = I_0 e^{-\mu x}$ ; beam quality and buildup must be considered in broad-beam geometry.”

*IMRT Planning (Principles).* “Define PTV and OAR on CT/MRI, prescribe dose, set constraints, and solve the inverse planning problem (fluence modulation) with MLC-delivered beamlets. Evaluate DVH metrics ( $D_{95}$ , OAR limits), perform QA, and deliver with image guidance to ensure geometric accuracy.”

*PET Components.* “Cyclotron (isotope production), radiopharmaceutical injection/dose preparation, and detector ring with fast scintillators/SiPMs enabling TOF coincidence detection and list-mode acquisition.”

*Radiation Safety.* “Apply time–distance–shielding; optimize protocols (ALARA), secure storage/transport/disposal of sources, monitor with dosimetry, and train staff regarding biological effects and emergency procedures.”

## 6. Error Analysis (Physics-Informed)

The model occasionally confuses *absorbed* and *equivalent* dose units (Gy vs Sv). We propose: (i) unit-focused contrastive pairs, (ii) formula-anchored prompting (e.g., append (1)–(2) when asking HVL), and (iii) retrieval augmentation from vetted sources (AAPM task group reports).

## 7. Safety, Ethics, and Intended Use

This LLM is intended for *education and research support*, not clinical decision-making. Outputs should be verified by qualified medical physicists. We recommend guardrails: refusal for dosage prescriptions, highlighting uncertainty, and linking to references.

## 8. Limitations and Future Work

Current data volume is small; we plan to scale instruction pairs, incorporate retrieval (RAG) with citation, add unit-consistency regularizers, expand evaluations (nDCG/Recall for retrieval, ECE for calibration), and explore multimodal (text+image) fusion for CT/MRI/PET contexts.

## 9. Reproducibility and Resources

**Code & Model Card:** <https://github.com/aoctavia/FT-LLM>  
<https://huggingface.co/<<your-hf-username>>/medical-physics-llm>

**Notebook (Colab):** <<colablinktothenotebook>>

**Artifacts:** training logs, final checkpoints, `model_info.json`, and plots under `figures/`.

## Acknowledgements

We thank open-source contributors of Transformers, PEFT, TRL, bitsandbytes, Unsloth, and the medical physics community for publicly available educational resources.

## References

- [1] J.H. Hubbell, Photon mass attenuation and energy-absorption coefficients, *Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 33 (1982) 1269–1290.
- [2] American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), Task Group Reports (various), *AAPM Reports*, 2001–2025.
- [3] T. Bortfeld, IMRT: a review and preview, *Phys. Med. Biol.* 51 (2006) R363.
- [4] S.R. Cherry, J.A. Sorenson, M.E. Phelps, *Physics in Nuclear Medicine*, 3rd ed., Saunders, 2003.
- [5] E.J. Hu, et al., LoRA: Low-Rank Adaptation of Large Language Models, arXiv:2106.09685, 2021.
- [6] T. Dettmers, et al., LLM.int8(): 8-bit Matrix Multiplication for Transformers at Scale, arXiv:2208.07339, 2022.

## Appendix A. Practical HVL Computation (Worked Example)

Given 100.0000 keV photons and tabulated  $\mu/\rho$  for Al, compute  $\mu = (\mu/\rho)\rho$ ; then  $\text{HVL} = \ln 2/\mu$ . For broad-beam setups include scatter buildup; report narrow-beam and broad-beam HVL distinctly.