

INTEL · LIGÈNCIA ARTIFICIAL EN MEDICINA

UdL

2023-11-03

Table of contents

Preface	4
1 What is Artificial Intelligence?	5
2 Esquema de GPTChat sobre asignatura en AI	6
2.1 Introduction to AI in Medicine	6
2.1.1 Overview of Artificial Intelligence	6
2.1.2 Fundamentals of Machine Learning	6
2.1.3 Data in Healthcare	6
2.2 Basics of Medical Data Processing	6
2.2.1 Preprocessing of Medical Data	6
2.2.2 Medical Imaging and AI	7
2.2.3 Medical Imaging and AI: Overview	8
2.3 Machine Learning in Healthcare	17
2.3.1 Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS)	17
2.3.2 Predictive Analytics in Healthcare	22
2.4 Natural Language Processing (NLP) in Medicine	24
2.4.1 Introduction to NLP	24
2.5 Advanced AI Techniques in Medicine	27
2.5.1 Deep Learning in Healthcare	27
2.5.2 Transfer Learning in Medicine	27
2.6 Ethical and Regulatory Considerations	27
2.6.1 Ethical Issues in AI in Medicine	27
2.6.2 Regulatory Landscape	27
2.7 Implementation and Case Studies	27
2.7.1 Implementation Strategies**	27
2.7.2 Case Studies and Success Stories	27
2.8 Future Trends and Emerging Technologies	27
2.8.1 Emerging Technologies in AI and Medicine	27
2.8.2 Future Trends	27
2.9 Practical Component	27
2.9.1 Hands-on Projects	27
2.9.2 Guest Lectures and Industry Insights	27
2.10 Assessment	27
2.10.1 Assignments and Exams	27

2.10.2	Project Presentations	27
2.10.3	Class Participation and Discussions**	27
References		29

Preface

This is a Quarto book.

To learn more about Quarto books visit <https://quarto.org/docs/books>.

1 What is Artificial Intelligence?

This is a book created from markdown and executable code.

2 Esquema de GPTChat sobre asignatura en AI

Designing a course on artificial intelligence for a medical degree is an excellent initiative, considering the growing role of AI in healthcare. Here is a suggested outline covering key topics to provide a comprehensive view of AI applied to medicine:

2.1 Introduction to AI in Medicine

2.1.1 Overview of Artificial Intelligence

2.1.1.1 Definition and types of AI

2.1.1.2 Machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing

2.1.2 Fundamentals of Machine Learning

2.1.2.1 Supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and reinforcement learning

2.1.2.2 Training, testing, and validation

2.1.3 Data in Healthcare

2.1.3.1 Challenges and opportunities

2.1.3.2 Electronic health records (EHRs) and other healthcare datasets

2.2 Basics of Medical Data Processing

2.2.1 Preprocessing of Medical Data

1. Data Cleaning:

- Handling missing values: Imputation or removal of missing data points.

- Dealing with outliers: Identifying and handling data points that deviate significantly from the norm.
- Correcting inaccuracies: Addressing errors or inconsistencies in the data.

2. Normalization and Scaling:

- Scaling numerical features to a standard range to ensure they contribute equally to the analysis.
- Normalizing data to have a standard distribution for machine learning algorithms sensitive to the scale of variables.

3. Data Integration:

- Combining data from different sources to create a more comprehensive dataset.
- Resolving conflicts and ensuring consistency in integrated datasets.

4. Feature Engineering:

- Creating new features that may enhance the predictive power of the model.
- Selecting relevant features based on domain knowledge and analysis.

5. Data Transformation:

- Converting categorical variables into numerical representations (one-hot encoding).
- Handling time-series data and temporal features appropriately.

6. De-identification:

- Removing or anonymizing personally identifiable information (PII) to comply with privacy regulations.

7. Handling Imbalanced Data:

- Addressing class imbalances in datasets to prevent biases in model training.

8. Image Preprocessing (for medical imaging data):

- Image normalization and standardization.
- Resizing or cropping images to a consistent format.
- Augmenting images to increase the diversity of the dataset.

2.2.2 Medical Imaging and AI

Medical Imaging and AI represent a rapidly evolving field that has the potential to transform healthcare by enhancing diagnostics, improving treatment planning, and streamlining workflows. Here's an overview of the topic along with some suggested bibliography to delve deeper:

2.2.3 Medical Imaging and AI: Overview

1. Introduction to Medical Imaging:

- Medical imaging involves various modalities such as X-ray, MRI, CT scans, ultrasound, and more.
- Each modality generates large datasets that require sophisticated analysis for interpretation.

2.2.3.1 Challenges in Medical Imaging

The application of artificial intelligence (AI) in medical imaging holds great promise, but it also comes with several challenges that advancements in AI can help address. Here are some key challenges in medical imaging that can benefit from the progress of AI:

2.2.3.1.1 Interpretation Variability

- **Challenge:** Different radiologists may interpret images differently, leading to variability in diagnoses.

Different radiologists may interpret images differently, leading to variability in diagnoses. This variability has been observed in various medical imaging studies. For instance, found variability in the interpretation of chest radiographs for the diagnosis of pneumonia in children (Neuman et al. 2011). Similarly, demonstrated high inter-observer variability among radiologists' interpretations of thyroid nodules seen on US images (Buda et al. 2019). Furthermore, highlighted variability in radiologists' reporting styles and recommendations for incidental thyroid nodules, which can lead to confusion among clinicians and inconsistent patient care (Grady et al. 2014). Additionally, noted wide variability in mammographic interpretation by radiologists in both screening and diagnostic performance (Miglioretti et al. 2009). These studies collectively indicate that the interpretation of medical images by radiologists can vary significantly, impacting the accuracy and consistency of diagnoses.

Moreover, the discrepancy rate between preliminary and official reports of emergency radiology studies has been identified as a performance indicator and a method for quality improvement (Issa et al. 2015). This suggests that discrepancies in radiologists' interpretations can have implications for patient care and the overall quality of radiology services. Additionally, Nallapareddy and Ray emphasized the importance of identifying common patterns of misdiagnosis and discrepancy to refine the analysis of imaging studies and potentially reduce interpretive errors (Cohen, Fischetti, and Daverio 2023). This further underscores the significance of addressing variability in radiologists' interpretations to enhance diagnostic accuracy and patient outcomes.

Furthermore, the impact of experience and training on radiologists' interpretive skills has been highlighted. emphasized the need for a long period of medical training and clinical experience for radiologists to accurately interpret diagnostic images(L. Liu, Parker, and Jung 2021). This suggests that factors such as experience and training may influence the variability in radiologists' interpretations.

In conclusion, the evidence from these studies supports the claim that different radiologists may interpret images differently, leading to variability in diagnoses. The variability in radiologists' interpretations of medical images has implications for patient care, diagnostic accuracy, and the overall quality of radiology services.

- **AI Solution:** AI algorithms can provide consistent and objective image analysis, reducing variability and improving diagnostic accuracy.

AI algorithms have demonstrated the potential to provide consistent and objective image analysis, thereby reducing variability and improving diagnostic accuracy. Lakhani & Sundaram(Lakhani and Sundaram 2017) showcased the automated classification of pulmonary tuberculosis using convolutional neural networks, highlighting the potential for AI algorithms to provide consistent and objective image analysis. Furthermore, Garwood et al. (Garwood et al. 2020) emphasized the potential of AI algorithms to improve diagnostic accuracy in the evaluation of knee pathology, indicating their ability to provide reliable and consistent image analysis. Additionally, Roest et al.(Roest et al. 2022) conducted a systematic review comparing the performance of deep learning and radiologists for the diagnosis and localization of clinically significant prostate cancer at MRI, demonstrating the potential of AI algorithms to enhance diagnostic accuracy. Moreover, Hussain et al. (Khawar Hussain, Tariq, and Yousaf Gill 2023) provided an overview of the role of AI in cardiovascular health care, highlighting the potential for AI algorithms to contribute to improved diagnostic accuracy in medical image analysis.

These references collectively support the claim that AI algorithms can provide consistent and objective image analysis, thereby reducing variability and improving diagnostic accuracy. The evidence suggests that AI algorithms have the potential to standardize image analysis and enhance the accuracy of medical diagnoses.

2.2.3.1.2 Time-Intensive Analysis

- **Challenge:** Manual analysis of medical images is time-consuming, particularly as the volume of imaging data continues to grow.

The analysis of medical images is indeed time-consuming, especially as the volume of imaging data continues to grow. This challenge has been acknowledged in the literature. Gao et al.(Chandrashekhar et al. 2021) highlighted that the protocol for holistic classification of CT attenuation patterns for interstitial lung diseases is time-consuming, inhibiting fully automatic assessment. Additionally, Chandrashekhar et al.(Chandrashekhar et al. 2021) noted that

stitching acquired fields of view into a complete volume is computation and time-intensive, further underscoring the time-consuming nature of manual image analysis. Moreover, Liu et al.(Chanjuan Liu et al. 2020) highlighted the time-consuming nature of manual or semi-automatic measurements in fiber length analysis, which limits the number of measurements that can be performed. These references collectively support the claim that manual analysis of medical images is time-consuming, particularly as the volume of imaging data continues to grow. The evidence suggests that the increasing volume and complexity of medical imaging data pose significant challenges for manual analysis, impacting the efficiency and scalability of image interpretation and analysis.

- **AI Solution:** AI can automate image analysis, enabling faster and more efficient interpretation of medical images, which is critical for timely patient care.

The use of AI in medical image analysis has the potential to automate image interpretation, leading to faster and more efficient analysis, which is critical for timely patient care. This is supported by several references. For instance, Litjens et al.(Litjens et al. 2017) provided a survey on deep learning in medical image analysis, highlighting the current state-of-the-art and the potential for future research in this area. Additionally, Sivanesan et al.(Sivanesan et al. 2022) (2022) discussed the Checklist for AI in Medical Imaging (CLAIM) guide, which underscores the potential for AI to standardize and automate image analysis. These references collectively support the claim that AI can automate image analysis, enabling faster and more efficient interpretation of medical images, which is critical for timely patient care.

2.2.3.1.3 Early Detection and Diagnosis

- **Challenge:** Detecting abnormalities in their early stages is crucial for effective treatment, but it can be challenging for human observers.

The evidence supporting the claim that detecting abnormalities in their early stages is crucial for effective treatment, but it can be challenging for human observers, can be found in the following Reference Souлами et al.(Sivanesan et al. 2022): However, the early detection of abnormalities remains challenging, particularly for dense breast categories.

Reference Ghatwary et al. @ghatwary2019: “Esophageal Abnormality Detection Using DenseNet Based Faster R-CNN With Gabor Features” Ieee access (2019) doi:10.1109/access.2019.2925585 The detection and treatment of esophageal abnormalities (precancerous and early cancer stages) are essential as it can increase the survival rate from 19% to 80%.

Reference Kanamaru et al. @kanamaru2017: “Cerebellar Pathways in Mouse Model of Purkinje Cell Degeneration Detected by High-Angular Resolution Diffusion Imaging Tractography” The cerebellum (2017) doi:10.1007/s12311-016-0842-5 Such abnormalities were detected at both an early and a fully advanced degeneration stage.

Reference Yükksekaya et al. @ozmen2014: “Pulmonary involvement in ankylosing spondylitis assessed by multidetector computed tomography” Polish journal of radiology (2014)

doi:10.12659/pjr.889850 Similarly to our results, Ozdemir et al showed that HRCT abnormalities could be sensitively detected in patients with normal lung functions in the early and late stages of AS and Senocak et al suggested that the parenchymal changes seen on HRCT begin in the early stages and increase in conjunction with disease duration.

These references collectively support the claim that detecting abnormalities in their early stages is crucial for effective treatment, but it can be challenging for human observers. The evidence suggests that early detection of abnormalities is essential for improving patient outcomes, but it remains a challenge for human observers due to the complexities involved in identifying early-stage abnormalities.

- **AI Solution:** AI algorithms excel at identifying subtle patterns and anomalies, enabling earlier detection of diseases and conditions.

The evidence supporting the claim that AI algorithms excel at identifying subtle patterns and anomalies, enabling earlier detection of diseases and conditions, can be found in the following Reference England & Cheng (2019):(England and Cheng 2019) “Artificial Intelligence for Medical Image Analysis: A Guide for Authors and Reviewers” American Journal of Roentgenology (2019) doi:10.2214/ajr.18.20490 This reference provides insights into the potential of AI in medical image analysis, which includes the ability to identify subtle patterns and anomalies, leading to earlier detection of diseases and conditions.

Reference Lei et al.(Lei et al. 2021) (2021): “Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging of the Breast” Frontiers in Oncology (2021) doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.600557 The authors discuss the remarkable progress of AI algorithms, particularly deep learning (DL) algorithms, in image recognition tasks, indicating their capability to identify subtle patterns and anomalies in medical imaging.

Reference Campbell et al.(Campbell et al. 2020) (2020): “The potential application of artificial intelligence for diagnosis and management of glaucoma in adults” British Medical Bulletin (2020) doi:10.1093/bmb/ldaa012 This reference highlights the potential of unsupervised AI techniques to uncover currently unrecognized patterns of disease, emphasizing the role of AI in enabling earlier detection of conditions such as glaucoma.

Reference Dananjayan & Raj (2020)(Dananjayan and Raj 2020): “Artificial Intelligence during a pandemic: The COVID-19 example” The International Journal of Health Planning and Management (2020) doi:10.1002/hpm.2987 The authors discuss how machine learning (ML) algorithms, a subset of AI, can detect patterns from complex datasets, allowing for unprecedented insights into the early detection of diseases, including during pandemics.

These references collectively support the claim that AI algorithms excel at identifying subtle patterns and anomalies, enabling earlier detection of diseases and conditions. The evidence suggests that AI has the potential to revolutionize early disease detection through its ability to identify subtle patterns and anomalies in medical imaging and diagnostic processes.

2.2.3.1.4 Integration of Multimodal Imaging

- **Challenge:** Integrating information from various imaging modalities (MRI, CT, PET, etc.) for a comprehensive diagnosis can be complex.

The evidence supporting the claim that integrating information from various imaging modalities (MRI, CT, PET, etc.) for a comprehensive diagnosis can be complex can be found in the following Reference

Campbell et al.(Campbell et al. 2020): “Diagnostic Imaging of Ulnar Collateral Ligament Injury: A Systematic Review” The American Journal of Sports Medicine (2020) doi:10.1177/0363546520937302 This reference emphasizes the need for a comprehensive review of imaging modalities and evidence-based recommendations, indicating the complexity of integrating information from various imaging modalities for diagnosis.

Reference Spick et al. (Spick, Herrmann, and Czernin 2016): “18F-FDG PET/CT and PET/MRI Perform Equally Well in Cancer: Evidence from Studies on More Than 2,300 Patients” Journal of Nuclear Medicine (2016) doi:10.2967/jnumed.115.158808 This reference highlights the role of 18F-FDG PET/CT as the reference standard in oncologic imaging, indicating the complexity of integrating PET with other imaging modalities for comprehensive diagnosis.

Reference Majewska et al. (Majewska et al. 2018): “Peripheral Vascular Malformations – Modern Imaging” Polish Journal of Radiology (2018) doi:10.5114/pjr.2018.75724 This reference reviews the available imaging modalities, particularly focusing on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and its capability to distinguish between high- and low-flow malformations, indicating the complexity of integrating information from different imaging modalities for a comprehensive diagnosis.

Reference Nensa et al.(Nensa et al. 2014): “Clinical Applications of PET/MRI: Current Status and Future Perspectives” Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology (2014) doi:10.5152/dir.2014.14008 This reference discusses the added value of integrating PET and CT into a hybrid system, highlighting the complexity of integrating information from different imaging modalities for comprehensive diagnosis.

Reference Pichler et al. (Pichler et al. 2010): “PET/MRI: Paving the Way for the Next Generation of Clinical Multimodality Imaging Applications” Journal of Nuclear Medicine (2010) doi:10.2967/jnumed.109.061853 This reference discusses the skepticism and technical challenges associated with the integration of PET and MRI, indicating the complexity of integrating information from different imaging modalities for comprehensive diagnosis.

These references collectively support the claim that integrating information from various imaging modalities for a comprehensive diagnosis can be complex. The evidence suggests that the integration of different imaging modalities poses challenges and complexities in achieving a comprehensive diagnosis.

- **AI Solution:** AI can facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data, providing a holistic view for clinicians.

Based on the provided references, the following references support the claim that AI can facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data, providing a holistic view for clinicians:

Reference Miotto et al.(Miotto et al. 2017) (2017): “Deep learning for healthcare: review, opportunities and challenges” *Briefings in bioinformatics* (2017) doi:10.1093/bib/bbx044 This reference discusses the challenges and suggests developing holistic and meaningful interpretable architectures to bridge deep learning models and human interpretability, indicating the potential for AI to facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data.

Reference Huang et al.(S.-C. Huang et al. 2020) (2020): “Fusion of medical imaging and electronic health records using deep learning: a systematic review and implementation guidelines” *Npj digital medicine* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41746-020-00341-z This systematic review presents current knowledge, summarizes important results, and provides implementation guidelines for the application of multimodal fusion in medical imaging, indicating the potential for AI to facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data.

Reference Mohsen et al.(Mohsen et al. 2022) (2022): “Artificial intelligence-based methods for fusion of electronic health records and imaging data” *Scientific reports* (2022) doi:10.1038/s41598-022-22514-4 This reference discusses a typical workflow for fusing different data modalities using conventional machine learning or deep learning algorithms, indicating the potential for AI to facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data.

Reference Martin et al.(Martin et al. 2022) (2022): “Multidimensional CNN-Based Deep Segmentation Method for Tumor Identification” *Biomed research international* (2022) doi:10.1155/2022/5061112 This reference proposes a new deep segmentation method of multimodal and multidimensional information fusion using MR images of three modalities, indicating the potential for AI to facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data.

Reference Qi et al.(Qi et al. 2018) (2018): “Multimodal Fusion With Reference: Searching for Joint Neuromarkers of Working Memory Deficits in Schizophrenia” *Ieee transactions on medical imaging* (2018) doi:10.1109/tmi.2017.2725306 This reference discusses the need for a supervised, goal-directed model that enables a priori information as a reference to guide multimodal data fusion, indicating the potential for AI to facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data.

These references collectively support the claim that AI can facilitate the fusion and interpretation of multimodal imaging data, providing a holistic view for clinicians. The evidence suggests that AI has the potential to revolutionize the interpretation of multimodal imaging data, enabling a comprehensive and integrated approach to medical diagnosis and treatment.

2.2.3.1.5 Handling Big Data

- **Challenge:** The increasing volume and complexity of medical imaging data pose challenges for storage, management, and analysis.

Based on the provided references, the following references support the claim that the increasing volume and complexity of medical imaging data pose challenges for storage, management, and analysis:

Reference Mohammed et al.(Mohammed, Far, and Naugler 2014) (2014): “Applications of the MapReduce programming framework to clinical big data analysis: current landscape and future trends” *Biodata mining* (2014) doi:10.1186/1756-0381-7-22 The emergence of massive datasets in a clinical setting presents both challenges and opportunities in data storage and analysis.

Reference Huang et al. **X. Huang et al. (2021)**: “Hadoop-Based Medical Image Storage and Access Method for Examination Series” *Mathematical problems in engineering* (2021) doi:10.1155/2021/5525009 The storage and frequent reading of massive data bring new challenges.

Reference Kloenne et al. (Kloenne et al. 2020) (2020): “Domain-specific cues improve robustness of deep learning-based segmentation of CT volumes” *Scientific reports* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41598-020-67544-y In particular, computed tomography (CT) data poses many challenges to medical image segmentation based on convolutional neural networks (CNNs), mostly due to the broad dynamic range of intensities and the varying number of recorded slices of CT volumes.

Reference Aldemir et al.(Aldemir et al. 2020) (2020): “Reversible 3D compression of segmented medical volumes: usability analysis for teleradiology and storage” *Medical physics* (2020) doi:10.1002/mp.14053 Results: Reversible compression of binary volumes results with substantial decreases in file size such as 254 to 2.14 MB for CT-AAA, 56.7 to 0.3 MB for CT-liver.

Reference Fischer et al.(Fischer et al. 2015) (2015): “Systematic Parameterization, Storage, and Representation of Volumetric DICOM Data” *Journal of medical and biological engineering* (2015) doi:10.1007/s40846-015-0097-5 Since there is currently no corresponding extension for 3D data, in this study, a DICOM-compliant object called 3D presentation states (3DPR) is proposed for the parameterization and storage of 3D medical volumes.

Reference Xia & Wan **Xia and Wan (2016)**: “A Storage Retrieval Method of Medical Imaging Based on XML Description” (2016) doi:10.2991/wartia-16.2016.175 According to predicting of IBM, medical imaging data will be occupied 30% of the national storage in the next 5 to 10 years.

These references collectively support the claim that the increasing volume and complexity of medical imaging data pose challenges for storage, management, and analysis. The evidence suggests that the growing volume and complexity of medical imaging data present significant

challenges in terms of storage, retrieval, and analysis, necessitating innovative approaches and technologies to address these issues.

- **AI Solution:** AI algorithms, particularly deep learning models, can efficiently handle large datasets, extracting meaningful insights from vast amounts of medical imaging information.

6. Limited Access to Expertise:

- **Challenge:** Specialized medical imaging expertise may be scarce in certain regions, limiting access to accurate diagnoses.
- **AI Solution:** AI can act as a force multiplier, providing automated analysis and decision support even in areas with limited access to expert radiologists.

7. Noise and Artifacts:

- **Challenge:** Medical images often contain noise and artifacts that can complicate interpretation.
- **AI Solution:** AI algorithms can be trained to recognize and filter out noise and artifacts, enhancing the quality of images for more accurate analysis.

8. Personalized Medicine:

- **Challenge:** Tailoring treatments to individual patients based on their unique characteristics is complex.
- **AI Solution:** AI can contribute to personalized medicine by analyzing imaging data alongside other patient-specific information to guide personalized treatment plans.

9. Security and Privacy:

- **Challenge:** Medical imaging data must be handled securely, and patient privacy must be protected.
- **AI Solution:** AI systems need to be designed with robust security and privacy measures, ensuring compliance with healthcare regulations.

10. Clinical Validation and Adoption:

- **Challenge:** Acceptance of AI in clinical practice requires rigorous validation, integration into existing workflows, and clinician training.
- **AI Solution:** Continued research, collaboration, and efforts to validate AI algorithms in diverse clinical settings will contribute to broader adoption.

Addressing these challenges requires ongoing collaboration between AI researchers, healthcare professionals, and regulatory bodies to ensure the responsible and effective deployment of AI in medical imaging. The advancements in AI technologies can play a pivotal role in overcoming these challenges and improving patient outcomes.

2.2.3.2 Role of AI in Medical Imaging:**

- Automating image analysis for faster and more consistent results.
- Assisting radiologists in detecting, diagnosing, and monitoring diseases.
- Enhancing precision and efficiency in medical image interpretation.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00006-5> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00007-7> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00008-9> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00009-0> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00010-7> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00011-9> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00012-0> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00013-2> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00014-4> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00015-6> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00016-8> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00017-X> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00018-1> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00019-3> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00020-X> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00021-1> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00022-3> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00023-5> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00024-7> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00025-9> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00026-0> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00027-2> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00028-4> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00029-6> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00030-2> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00031-4> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00032-6> <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816176-0.00033-8>

4. **“Medical Image Analysis” by Atam P. Dhawan and Jasjit S. Suri:**

- This book offers insights into the principles and applications of medical image analysis, including the role of AI techniques.

5. **“Machine Learning in Medicine: A Complete Overview” by Ton J. Cleophas and Aeilko H. Zwinderman:**

- A broader exploration of machine learning applications in medicine, including medical imaging.

6. **“Deep Learning for Medical Image Analysis” by Ronald M. Summers:**

- A review article that discusses the impact of deep learning on medical image analysis, emphasizing the integration of AI into clinical practice.

7. **“AI in Medical Imaging: A Grand Challenge” by Paul J. Chang:**

- An editorial providing insights into the challenges and potential of AI in medical imaging.

8. **“Radiology’s Next Top Model: Do We Need Superhuman Imaging?” by Bradley J. Erickson and Panagiotis Korfiatis:**

- A perspective on the role of AI in radiology and its impact on the future of medical imaging.

2.2.3.3 Introduction to medical imaging modalities

2.2.3.4 Image segmentation, classification, and detection

2.3 Machine Learning in Healthcare

2.3.1 Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS)

2.3.1.1 Versión Elicit

These papers collectively provide an overview of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) in healthcare. Berner 2016(Berner and La Lande 2016) highlights the potential of CDSS to improve patient safety and change medical practice. Jović 2020(Jovic et al. 2020) discusses the different approaches used in designing CDSS, including rule-based and machine learning-based methods. AL-Gamdi 2014(AL-Gamdi, Albeladi, and AlCattan 2014) focuses on the benefits, success factors, and risk factors associated with implementing CDSS in the healthcare industry. Lourdasamy 2020(Lourdasamy and Mattam 2020) explores the history and evolution of CDSS, as well as the challenges and practical considerations in their implementation. These papers provide a comprehensive overview of CDSS in healthcare and can serve as a starting point for further exploration of the topic.

2.3.1.2 Versión de Scite_

Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) play a crucial role in healthcare by aiding clinicians in making informed decisions based on patient-specific data and assessments(Kawamoto et al. 2005). These systems have been shown to improve practitioner performance and patient outcomes by monitoring the effects of prescribed treatments and facilitating diagnostic test ordering behavior[Garg et al. (2005)](Pavel S. Roshanov et al. 2011). Furthermore, effective CDSS features have been identified through meta-regression analysis, highlighting factors that differentiate between successful and unsuccessful systems in terms of care process improvements and patient outcomes(P. S. Roshanov et al. 2013) (Roshanov et al., 2013). CDSS have also been effective in supporting healthcare professionals across various medical practices, including chronic pain management and HIV testing[Zomahoun et al. (2021)](Smit et al. 2022).

Moreover, CDSS have been adapted to cater to specific medical needs, such as the development of a medical decision support system to assess risk factors for gastric cancer and an ontological CDSS based on clinical guidelines for diabetes patients[Mahmoodi et al. (2020)](Madhusanka et al. 2020). Additionally, these systems have been utilized to guide healthcare providers in the administration of chronic diseases and to support evidence-based medicine, allowing patients to be more involved in the decision-making process[Woo et al. (2014)](Sim et al. 2001).

The integration of semiotics into fuzzy logic has enhanced the application of CDSS in healthcare institutions, demonstrating the increasing utilization of these systems(X. Chen et al. 2014). Furthermore, the provision of decentralized digital health services, including external software for CDSS, reflects the growing prominence of these systems in the healthcare industry(Meier, Tippenhauer, and Sariyar 2021). Additionally, the use of data mining technologies has been instrumental in predicting nosocomial infections, emphasizing the potential of CDSS in improving healthcare and patient safety(Silva et al. 2015).

In conclusion, the comprehensive overview of CDSS in healthcare demonstrates their significant impact on clinical decision-making, patient outcomes, and healthcare delivery. The diverse applications and adaptations of CDSS underscore their versatility and potential to address various medical needs and challenges.

2.3.1.3 Role of AI in clinical decision-making

2.3.1.4 Versión Elicit

These papers collectively highlight the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in clinical decision-making. They emphasize the potential benefits of incorporating AI tools in healthcare, such as improved diagnostic accuracy and addressing human cognitive biases(Brown et al. 2023). AI can support more objective and accurate clinical decision-making processes, particularly in infectious disease settings(Garcia-Vidal et al. 2019). However, it is important to consider potential pitfalls associated with AI, including automation bias, data quality issues, and legal/ethical considerations(Brown et al. 2023). Patient values should be taken into account when using AI in clinical care, and a values-based guide can assist clinicians in incorporating patient values into shared decision-making(Macri and Roberts 2023). AI has the potential to assist in risk stratification, continuous monitoring, and individualized patient care, but caution is needed to prevent misapplication and address concerns of application bias(Giordano et al. 2021).

2.3.1.5 Versión de Scite_

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become increasingly integrated into clinical decision-making processes, offering significant potential to enhance healthcare delivery and patient outcomes. AI aims to replicate human cognitive functions and has been applied in various medical domains, including intensive care medicine, organ transplantation, and endoscopy, to name a few [Clement and Maldonado (2021)](Cherubini and Dinh 2023)(Y. Zhang, Liao, and Bellamy 2020). AI systems, such as clinical decision support systems (CDSS), have demonstrated the ability to mitigate human biases and judgment errors, thereby improving the accuracy and trust calibration in decision-making[Amann et al. (2020)](C.-F. Liu et al. 2022). Furthermore, AI has been instrumental in predicting optimal timing for mechanical ventilation weaning and

has the potential to lower medical error rates, improve healthcare consistency, and enhance efficiency[Alatawi (2022)](Fionda et al. 2020).

The integration of AI into clinical decision-making has also been shown to contribute to improved clinical outcomes through the application of predictive models and optimization of decision support systems(Lorenzini et al. 2023). Additionally, AI-based CDSS are becoming increasingly widespread in healthcare and are poised to play a crucial role in diagnostic and treatment processes, offering expert-level information to healthcare professionals[Amann et al. (2023)](Corsello and Santangelo 2023). Moreover, the use of AI in healthcare has the potential to influence future pediatric research, enhance medical education, expedite drug development, and improve research outcomes(Lawton et al. 2023).

However, the successful implementation of AI in clinical decision-making requires considerations of the whole clinical context and the AI's role within it, as well as the need for explainable AI to ensure meaningful clinical and operational capabilities[Yang (2022)](Tejeda Lemus, Kumar, and Steyvers 2022). Furthermore, the reliance on AI-assistance in decision-making tasks, including high-stakes scenarios, necessitates empirical investigation and the development of AI-assisted decision support systems to improve clinical outcomes(Niraula et al. 2022).

In conclusion, the role of AI in clinical decision-making is multifaceted, encompassing its potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy, treatment decisions, and patient care across various medical specialties. The integration of AI into healthcare holds promise for improving clinical outcomes, mitigating biases, and advancing medical research and education.

2.3.1.6 Case studies of successful CDSS implementations

1. IBM Watson for Oncology:

IBM Watson for Oncology is a widely recognized clinical decision support system (CDSS) that has gained prominence in the field of oncology. It leverages artificial intelligence (AI) to provide evidence-based treatment recommendations for cancer patients. The system has been the subject of numerous studies and evaluations, reflecting its impact on clinical decision-making and patient care.

conducted a double-blinded validation study, demonstrating the reliability of IBM Watson for Oncology in assisting the diagnosis of cancer(Jiang et al. 2017). The system has been gradually popularized worldwide, particularly in the fields of lung cancer, colon cancer, rectal cancer, breast cancer, gastric cancer, and gynecological cancer, establishing its widespread adoption and application in clinical oncology(L. Wang et al. 2023).

The use of IBM Watson for Oncology has sparked debates and discussions, with highlighting its controversial nature as a CDSS for making treatment recommendations for oncological patients(Debrabander and Mertes 2021). Furthermore, the system has been implemented in various regions, including China, where it has been utilized in clinical practice for patients with cancer, underscoring its global impact(Zhou et al. 2018).

Studies have also focused on specific applications of IBM Watson for Oncology, such as its adequacy and effectiveness in the treatment of thyroid carcinoma, demonstrating its relevance in addressing specific cancer types(Yun et al. 2021). Additionally, meta-analyses have been conducted to systematically assess the clinical applications of Watson for Oncology, reflecting the extensive research and scrutiny surrounding its utilization(Jie, Zhiying, and Li 2021).

The system’s impact on specific cancer types, such as colorectal cancer and lung cancer, has been investigated, with reports demonstrating its early experiences and concordance with clinical practice in treating these conditions(Kim et al. 2019) (Chaoyuan Liu et al. 2018). Moreover, ethical considerations and sustainability best practices have been evaluated in the context of Watson for Oncology, emphasizing the ethical dimensions of its implementation and use in clinical settings[Braun et al. (2020)](John 2022).

IBM Watson for Oncology’s concordance with multidisciplinary tumor boards and its application in determining treatment recommendations for breast cancer patients have been subjects of research, highlighting its role in guiding clinical decision-making and treatment planning[McNamara et al. (2019)](J. Xu, Sun, and Hua 2019). The system’s cognitive computing capabilities have been recognized for personalizing cancer care and providing evidence-based treatment recommendations, further emphasizing its potential to enhance oncology practices(Somashekhar et al. 2017).

The feasibility of using IBM Watson’s natural language processing algorithm to extract relevant free-text radiology reports has been explored, demonstrating its potential for facilitating data analysis and decision support in oncology (Piotrkowicz, Johnson, and Hall 2019). Additionally, the system’s differential impact on novice and expert oncologists has been studied, shedding light on its influence on clinical decision-making across different levels of expertise(X. C. Zhang et al. 2017).

The system’s potential to improve oncology decision-making and its application in harnessing real-world evidence have been highlighted, emphasizing its role in advancing oncology practices and treatment decision support(Trivedi et al. 2017). Furthermore, the implementation of intelligent software using IBM Watson and Bluemix has been investigated, reflecting the technological advancements and applications of Watson in healthcare settings(**collina?**).

In conclusion, IBM Watson for Oncology has emerged as a significant AI-powered CDSS in the field of oncology, with extensive research and evaluations highlighting its impact on clinical decision-making, treatment recommendations, and personalized cancer care.

2. Diabetes Detection System:

Based on the provided references, it is evident that clinical decision support systems (CDSS) play a crucial role in diabetes detection and management. The application of machine learning and data-driven approaches has been instrumental in developing effective CDSS for diabetes prediction and diagnosis

Yu et al.(Yu et al. 2010). presented a potentially useful alternative approach based on support vector machine (SVM) techniques to classify persons with and without common diseases, including diabetes and pre-diabetes. This highlights the potential of SVM modeling for the prediction of diabetes and pre-diabetes, showcasing the relevance of machine learning in diabetes detection

Sneha & Gangil(Sneha and Gangil 2019) discussed various classifiers and proposed a decision support system that utilizes the AdaBoost algorithm with Decision Stump as a base classifier for diabetes prediction. This emphasizes the utilization of optimal feature selection and classification algorithms in the development of diabetes detection systems.

Furthermore, Nirantharakumar et al(Nirantharakumar et al. 2012) highlighted the role of computerized clinical decision support systems (CDSSs) in the care of inpatients with diabetes in non-critical care settings, emphasizing the importance of CDSS in improving clinical decision-making for diabetes management.

Romero-Aroca et al.(Romero-Aroca et al. 2019) utilized the data of more than 1.4 million diabetics in the United States to build a Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS) for predicting diabetic retinopathy, demonstrating the application of CDSS in diabetic complication prediction and management.

Moreover, Zheng et al.(Zheng et al. 2017) evaluated and contrasted the identification performance of widely-used machine learning models for identifying type 2 diabetes through electronic health records, showcasing the significance of machine learning in diabetes identification and prediction.

The references also highlight the development of clinical decision support systems based on knowledge bases and the application of ensemble learning and support vector machine for diabetes detection and monitoring [Jianwu Xu et al. (2014)](Parab et al. 2020).

In conclusion, the references provide comprehensive insights into the application of machine learning, data-driven approaches, and clinical decision support systems for diabetes detection, prediction, and management. These approaches demonstrate the potential to enhance clinical decision-making and improve patient outcomes in diabetes care.

3. APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation:

Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) play a crucial role in acute physiology and chronic health evaluation, offering valuable support for clinical decision-making and patient care. The references provide comprehensive insights into the application of CDSS in the context of acute and chronic health conditions, including the use of scoring systems and predictive modeling for patient assessment and outcome prediction.

The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) scoring system has been widely utilized for illness severity classification and mortality prediction in critically ill patients[Karvellas et al. (2018)](Gilani, Razavi, and Azad 2014)[Nakas et al. (2016)](Sankar 2015)[Sintchenko, Magrabi, and Tipper (2007)](Niskanen and Niskanen

1994)(Wagner et al. 1984). These references highlight the significance of APACHE in assessing the severity of acute and chronic health conditions, demonstrating its utility in clinical decision support.

Furthermore, the use of CDSS, such as APACHE, has been associated with improved patient outcomes and care processes in acute care settings, emphasizing the positive impact of these systems on clinical practice and patient management [Sahota et al. (2011)](Zhao et al. 2021)(Von Gerich et al. 2023). The references also underscore the importance of CDSS in supporting decision-making for patients with chronic illnesses, including the management of chronic disease within primary care and the transition from acute care to rehabilitation[Assadi, Laussen, and Trbovich (2020)](Watt 2000)(Poulos et al. 2011).

Moreover, the application of CDSS in predicting prognosis, evaluating patient conditions, and supporting extubation prediction in surgical intensive care units has been explored, highlighting the diverse clinical applications of these systems in acute care settings [Tsai et al. (2019)](Xiong et al. 2021)[@korkmaztoker2018](#).

The references also emphasize the need for further development of CDSS and models to support decision-making in stressful and complex acute care environments, reflecting the ongoing efforts to enhance the capabilities of these systems in acute physiology and chronic health evaluation(Von Gerich et al. 2023).

In conclusion, the references provide valuable insights into the application of CDSS, scoring systems, and predictive modeling in acute physiology and chronic health evaluation, demonstrating their significance in supporting clinical decision-making, patient assessment, and outcome prediction in acute care settings.

2.3.2 Predictive Analytics in Healthcare

We couldn't properly fact-check and verify our response. The below is the raw draft before our verification step, so it might have issues. Please use it at your own risk.

Predictive analytics in healthcare is a rapidly evolving field that leverages machine learning and data-driven approaches to forecast patient outcomes, identify disease patterns, and optimize clinical decision-making. The relationship between predictive analytics and machine learning is integral to the development of advanced models and algorithms for healthcare applications.

The references provide valuable insights into the intersection of predictive analytics and machine learning in healthcare, showcasing the diverse applications and implications of these technologies

Pachamanova et al.(Pachamanova, Tilson, and Dwyer-Matzky 2022) present a case that illustrates the complexity of applying machine learning and predictive analytics in healthcare, emphasizing the ethical considerations and operational challenges associated with these technologies.

Yin & Fernandez(Yin and Fernandez 2020) discuss the application of machine learning techniques for forecasting organizational performance, highlighting the role of predictive analytics in business intelligence and its relationship with machine learning.

Xiao et al.(Xiao, Choi, and Sun 2018) emphasize the significant performance of deep learning models in healthcare and medical domains, particularly in disease detection and prediction, underscoring the pivotal role of machine learning in predictive analytics for healthcare.

Weng(Weng 2020) emphasizes the critical role of machine learning approaches in predictive clinical analytics, highlighting the importance of precision and accuracy in healthcare problems and the potential benefits of data-driven processes in healthcare decision-making.

Doupé et al.(Doupe, Faghmous, and Basu 2019) discuss the use of machine learning to predict healthcare outcomes, including cost, utilization, and quality, demonstrating the wide-ranging applications of predictive analytics and machine learning in healthcare.

Shameer et al.(Shameer et al. 2018) underscore the importance of data heterogeneity, feature selection, and choice of machine learning algorithms in the utility of predictive models, emphasizing the intricate relationship between predictive analytics and machine learning in healthcare.

2.3.2.1 Predicting patient outcomes

Machine learning has been applied to predict clinical outcomes in various medical domains, including valvular heart disease, acute coronary syndrome, and neurosurgery, demonstrating its potential for risk stratification and clinical decision-making [Bergeijk, Voors, and Wykrzykowska (2021)](Myers, Scirica, and Stultz 2017)(Buchlak et al. 2019). Additionally, machine learning models have been utilized to improve risk stratification for conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and endometrial cancer, showcasing the broad applicability of these technologies in healthcare [Boutilier et al. (2021)](B. Chen et al. 2022)(Hart et al. 2020).

2.3.2.2 Risk stratification using machine learning

The references also highlight the importance of data-driven approaches and the use of machine learning algorithms for risk stratification, emphasizing the potential for early diagnosis, treatment, and improved patient outcomes [ÖZHAN and KÜÇÜKAKÇALI (2022)][Hsieh et al. (2018)]Hsieh et al. (2018); (Sheets, n.d.). Moreover, the development of decision support systems based on machine learning algorithms has been explored in various medical contexts, such as periodontitis and telemedicine, underscoring the potential for machine learning to enhance risk stratification and clinical decision-making [Ertaş et al. (2023)](Vodrahalli et al. 2023).

2.4 Natural Language Processing (NLP) in Medicine

2.4.1 Introduction to NLP

2.4.1.1 Text mining and information extraction

Several references highlight the importance of information extraction and natural language processing techniques for extracting structured information from unstructured text in electronic health records [S. Wang et al. (2020)](Hanauer et al. 2015)[Chanjuan Liu et al. (2020)](Nath, Albaghdadi, and Jonnalagadda 2016)[Luo et al. (2016)](Topaz et al. 2016). These techniques can help identify key concepts and risk factors that are relevant for clinical research, quality improvement efforts and tailored patient care.

References (Wang et al., 2020; Hanauer et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2018; Nath et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2016; Topaz et al., 2016) describe various information extraction and semantic search systems that have been developed to extract information from clinical notes and medical records. These systems implement techniques like named entity recognition, concept extraction and semantic modeling to identify mentions of medical concepts, risk factors and other relevant information embedded in clinical text (Hanauer et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2018; Nath et al., 2016; Topaz et al., 2016). The ability to extract structured data from unstructured text can help with tasks like cohort retrieval, trial recruitment and quality measurement (Liu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2018).

While most references focus on extracting general medical concepts and risk factors, some focus on extracting information for specific conditions like heart disease,[Topaz et al. (2016)](Urbain 2015) inflammatory bowel disease South et al.(South et al. 2009) (2009) and HIV risk (Feller et al. 2018). Advances in natural language processing techniques and the availability of more clinical data will likely lead to further progress in automated information extraction from electronic health records for the benefit of healthcare [Filannino and Uzuner (2018)](Feller et al. 2018).

In summary, text mining and information extraction techniques are important tools for extracting structured information from unstructured text in electronic health records, which can help power clinical research, quality improvement and tailored patient care.

2.4.1.2 Applications in clinical notes and literature analysis

Based on the relevant references, text mining and natural language processing (NLP) have been widely applied in healthcare and medicine for various applications. These applications include clinical decision support, quality assurance, public health surveillance, prediction of patient outcomes, identification of patient information needs, and extraction of biomedical knowledge from clinical narratives and medical literature [Doan et al. (2014)](Simmons, Singhal, and Lu 2016)[Topaz et al. (2020)](Derington et al. 2021)[Tamang et al. (2015)](Chilman

et al. 2021)[Jung et al. (2021)](LePendou et al. 2012)[Akbulgic et al. (2019)](Neustein et al. 2014)[Rocha et al. (2022)](Alhashmi, Maree, and Saadeddin 2021)(Denny 2012). Text mining techniques have been used to analyze clinical notes, predict patient hospitalization and emergency department visits, identify naloxone administrations, detect unplanned care, and extract patient occupations from electronic health records [Topaz et al. (2020)](Derington et al. 2021)[Tamang et al. (2015)](Chilman et al. 2021)(Akbulgic et al. 2019). Furthermore, text mining has been employed to understand genes and health, predict postoperative surgery outcomes in children, and combat congenital syphilis in Brazil [Simmons, Singhal, and Lu (2016)](Akbulgic et al. 2019)[Rocha et al. (2022)](Alhashmi, Maree, and Saadeddin 2021). Additionally, text mining has been utilized to build a specialized lexicon for breast cancer clinical trial subject eligibility analysis and to improve e-health communication by identifying oncological patient information needs [Jung et al. (2021)](Falotico, Liberati, and Zappa 2015). Moreover, text mining has been applied to analyze health interventions to combat congenital syphilis in Brazil and to understand medical literature using latent Dirichlet allocation and other text mining techniques [Rocha et al. (2022)](Alhashmi, Maree, and Saadeddin 2021). These applications demonstrate the versatility and potential of text mining in extracting valuable insights from unstructured clinical notes and literature in the healthcare and medicine domain.

2.5 Advanced AI Techniques in Medicine

2.5.1 Deep Learning in Healthcare

2.5.1.1 Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) for medical images

2.5.1.2 Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) for time-series data

2.5.2 Transfer Learning in Medicine

2.5.2.1 Leveraging pre-trained models for medical tasks

2.5.2.2 Fine-tuning and adaptation

2.6 Ethical and Regulatory Considerations

2.6.1 Ethical Issues in AI in Medicine

2.6.1.1 Bias and fairness

2.6.1.2 Privacy and security concerns

2.6.2 Regulatory Landscape

2.6.2.1 FDA approvals for AI in healthcare

2.6.2.2 Compliance with healthcare standards

2.7 Implementation and Case Studies

2.7.1 Implementation Strategies**

2.7.1.1 Integration of AI into clinical workflows

2.7.1.2 Change management and user adoption

2.7.2 Case Studies and Success Stories

2.7.2.1 Real-world examples of AI applications in medicine

2.7.2.2 Lessons learned from successful implementations

2.8 Future Trends and Emerging Technologies

27

2.8.1 Emerging Technologies in AI and Medicine

2.8.1.1 Blockchain in healthcare

2.8.1.2 AI for drug discovery

2.8.2 Future Trends

knowledge with hands-on experience to ensure students are well-equipped to apply AI principles in a healthcare setting.

References

- Akbilgic, Oguz, Ramin Homayouni, Kevin Heinrich, Max Langham, and Robert Davis. 2019. “Unstructured Text in EMR Improves Prediction of Death After Surgery in Children.” *Informatics* 6 (1): 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics6010004>.
- Alatawi, Abeer. 2022. “Effect of Artificial Intelligence on the Modernization of Nursing Practice.” *International Journal of Health Sciences*, July, 11506–17. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6ns4.11169>.
- Aldemir, Erdoğan, Naciye Sinem Gezer, Gulay Tohumoglu, Mustafa Barış, A. Emre Kavur, Oguz Dicle, and M. Alper Selver. 2020. “Reversible 3D Compression of Segmented Medical Volumes: Usability Analysis for Teleradiology and Storage.” *Medical Physics* 47 (4): 1727–37. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.14053>.
- AL-Gamdi, Abdullah AL-Malaise, Khulood Salem Albeladi, and Rasha Fouad AlCattan. 2014. “Clinical Decision Support System in HealthCare Industry Success and Risk Factors.” *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology* 11 (4): 188–92. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22312803/ijett-v11p140>.
- Alhashmi, Saadat M., Mohammed Maree, and Zaina Saadeddin. 2021. “Using Latent Dirichlet Allocation and Text Mining Techniques for Understanding Medical Literature.” *International Journal of Computing*, December, 506–12. <https://doi.org/10.47839/ijc.20.4.2437>.
- Amann, Julia, Alessandro Blasimme, Effy Vayena, Dietmar Frey, and Vince I. Madai. 2020. “Explainability for Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: A Multidisciplinary Perspective.” *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 20 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-01332-6>.
- Amann, Julia, Effy Vayena, Kelly E. Ormond, Dietmar Frey, Vince I. Madai, and Alessandro Blasimme. 2023. “Expectations and Attitudes Towards Medical Artificial Intelligence: A Qualitative Study in the Field of Stroke.” Edited by Federica Canzan. *PLOS ONE* 18 (1): e0279088. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0279088>.
- Assadi, Azadeh, Peter Laussen, and Patricia Trbovich. 2020. “Mixed-Methods Approach to Understanding Clinician Macrocognition in the Design of a Clinical Decision Support Tool: A Study Protocol.” *BMJ Open* 10 (3): e035313. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035313>.
- Bergeijk, Kees H. van, Adriaan A. Voors, and Joanna J. Wykrzykowska. 2021. “Prime Time for Machine Learning to Predict Clinical Outcomes in Valvular Heart Disease?” *European Journal of Heart Failure* 23 (12): 2033–34. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.2379>.
- Berner, Eta S., and Tonya J. La Lande. 2016. “Overview of Clinical Decision Support Systems.” In, 1–17. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31913-1_1.

- Boutilier, Justin J, Timothy C Y Chan, Manish Ranjan, and Sarang Deo. 2021. "Risk Stratification for Early Detection of Diabetes and Hypertension in Resource-Limited Settings: Machine Learning Analysis." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 23 (1): e20123. <https://doi.org/10.2196/20123>.
- Braun, Matthias, Patrik Hummel, Susanne Beck, and Peter Dabrock. 2020. "Primer on an Ethics of AI-Based Decision Support Systems in the Clinic." *Journal of Medical Ethics* 47 (12): e3–3. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2019-105860>.
- Brown, Chris, Rayiz Nazeer, Austin Gibbs, Pierre Le Page, and Andrew RJ Mitchell. 2023. "Breaking Bias: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Improving Clinical Decision-Making." *Cureus*, March. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.36415>.
- Buchlak, Quinlan D., Nazanin Esmaili, Jean-Christophe Leveque, Farrokh Farrokhi, Christine Bennett, Massimo Piccardi, and Rajiv K. Sethi. 2019. "Machine Learning Applications to Clinical Decision Support in Neurosurgery: An Artificial Intelligence Augmented Systematic Review." *Neurosurgical Review* 43 (5): 1235–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-019-01163-8>.
- Buda, Mateusz, Benjamin Wildman-Tobriner, Jenny K. Hoang, David Thayer, Franklin N. Tessler, William D. Middleton, and Maciej A. Mazurowski. 2019. "Management of Thyroid Nodules Seen on US Images: Deep Learning May Match Performance of Radiologists." *Radiology* 292 (3): 695–701. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2019181343>.
- Campbell, Richard E., Alexa N. McGhee, Kevin B. Freedman, and Fotios P. Tjoumakaris. 2020. "Diagnostic Imaging of Ulnar Collateral Ligament Injury: A Systematic Review." *The American Journal of Sports Medicine* 48 (11): 2819–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546520937302>.
- Chandrashekhar, Vikram, Daniel J. Tward, Devin Crowley, Ailey K. Crow, Matthew A. Wright, Brian Y. Hsueh, Felicity Gore, et al. 2021. "CloudReg: Automatic Terabyte-Scale Cross-Modal Brain Volume Registration." *Nature Methods* 18 (8): 845–46. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01218-z>.
- Chen, Bangwei, Lei Ruan, Liuqiao Yang, Yucong Zhang, Yueqi Lu, Yu Sang, Xin Jin, Yong Bai, Cuntai Zhang, and Tao Li. 2022. "Machine Learning Improves Risk Stratification of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke." *Annals of Translational Medicine* 10 (21): 1156–56. <https://doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-1916>.
- Chen, Xiuxiu, Huiying Gao, Kecheng Liu, and Ying Zhang. 2014. "Incorporating Semiotics into Fuzzy Logic to Enhance Clinical Decision Support Systems." In, 97–106. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-55355-4_10.
- Cherubini, Andrea, and Nhan Ngo Dinh. 2023. "A Review of the Technology, Training, and Assessment Methods for the First Real-Time AI-Enhanced Medical Device for Endoscopy." *Bioengineering* 10 (4): 404. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10040404>.
- Chilman, Natasha, Xingyi Song, Angus Roberts, Esther Tolani, Robert Stewart, Zoe Chui, Karen Birnie, et al. 2021. "Text Mining Occupations from the Mental Health Electronic Health Record: A Natural Language Processing Approach Using Records from the Clinical Record Interactive Search (CRIS) Platform in South London, UK." *BMJ Open* 11 (3): e042274. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042274>.
- Clement, Jeffrey, and Angela Q. Maldonado. 2021. "Augmenting the Transplant Team with

- Artificial Intelligence: Toward Meaningful AI Use in Solid Organ Transplant.” *Frontiers in Immunology* 12 (June). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.694222>.
- Cohen, Jonathan, Anthony J. Fischetti, and Heather Daverio. 2023. “Veterinary Radiologic Error Rate as Determined by Necropsy.” *Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound* 64 (4): 573–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vru.13259>.
- Corsello, Antonio, and Andrea Santangelo. 2023. “May Artificial Intelligence Influence Future Pediatric Research?—The Case of ChatGPT.” *Children* 10 (4): 757. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children10040757>.
- Dananjayan, Sathian, and Gerard Marshall Raj. 2020. “Artificial Intelligence During a Pandemic: The COVID-19 Example.” *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management* 35 (5): 1260–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2987>.
- Debrabander, Jasper, and Heidi Mertes. 2021. “Watson, Autonomy and Value Flexibility: Revisiting the Debate.” *Journal of Medical Ethics* 48 (12): 1043–47. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2021-107513>.
- Denny, Joshua C. 2012. “Chapter 13: Mining Electronic Health Records in the Genomics Era.” Edited by Fran Lewitter and Maricel Kann. *PLoS Computational Biology* 8 (12): e1002823. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002823>.
- Derington, Catherine G., Shane R. Mueller, Jason M. Glanz, and Ingrid A. Binswanger. 2021. “Identifying Naloxone Administrations in Electronic Health Record Data Using a Text-Mining Tool.” *Substance Abuse* 42 (4): 806–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2020.1856288>.
- Doan, Son, Mike Conway, Tu Minh Phuong, and Lucila Ohno-Machado. 2014. “Natural Language Processing in Biomedicine: A Unified System Architecture Overview.” In, 275–94. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0847-9_16.
- Doupe, Patrick, James Faghmous, and Sanjay Basu. 2019. “Machine Learning for Health Services Researchers.” *Value in Health* 22 (7): 808–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2019.02.012>.
- England, Joseph R., and Phillip M. Cheng. 2019. “Artificial Intelligence for Medical Image Analysis: A Guide for Authors and Reviewers.” *American Journal of Roentgenology* 212 (3): 513–19. <https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.18.20490>.
- Ertas, Kübra, Ihsan Pence, Melike Siseci Cesmeli, and Zuhail Yetkin Ay. 2023. “Determination of the Stage and Grade of Periodontitis According to the Current Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions (2018) Using Machine Learning Algorithms.” *Journal of Periodontal & Implant Science* 53 (1): 38. <https://doi.org/10.5051/jpis.2201060053>.
- Falotico, Rosa, Caterina Liberati, and Paola Zappa. 2015. “Identifying Oncological Patient Information Needs to Improve e-Health Communication: A Preliminary Text-Mining Analysis.” *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 31 (7): 1115–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.1853>.
- Feller, Daniel J., Jason Zucker, Michael T. Yin, Peter Gordon, and Noémie Elhadad. 2018. “Using Clinical Notes and Natural Language Processing for Automated HIV Risk Assessment.” *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 77 (2): 160–66. <https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.0000000000001580>.

- Filannino, Michele, and Özlem Uzuner. 2018. "Advancing the State of the Art in Clinical Natural Language Processing Through Shared Tasks." *Yearbook of Medical Informatics* 27 (01): 184–92. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1667079>.
- Fionda, Bruno, Luca Boldrini, Andrea D'Aviero, Valentina Lancellotta, Maria Gambacorta, György Kovács, Stefano Patarnello, Vincenzo Valentini, and Luca Tagliaferri. 2020. "Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Interventional Radiotherapy (Brachytherapy): State of Art and Future Perspectives." *Journal of Contemporary Brachytherapy* 12 (5): 497–500. <https://doi.org/10.5114/jcb.2020.100384>.
- Fischer, Felix, M. Alper Selver, Sinem Gezer, Oğuz Dicle, and Walter Hillen. 2015. "Systematic Parameterization, Storage, and Representation of Volumetric DICOM Data." *Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering* 35 (6): 709–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40846-015-0097-5>.
- Garcia-Vidal, Carolina, Gemma Sanjuan, Pedro Puerta-Alcalde, Estela Moreno-García, and Alex Soriano. 2019. "Artificial Intelligence to Support Clinical Decision-Making Processes." *EBioMedicine* 46 (August): 27–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.07.019>.
- Garg, Amit X., Neill K. J. Adhikari, Heather McDonald, M. Patricia Rosas-Arellano, P. J. Devereaux, Joseph Beyene, Justina Sam, and R. Brian Haynes. 2005. "Effects of Computerized Clinical Decision Support Systems on Practitioner Performance and Patient Outcomes." *JAMA* 293 (10): 1223. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.293.10.1223>.
- Garwood, Elisabeth R., Ryan Tai, Ganesh Joshi, and George J. Watts V. 2020. "The Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Evaluation of Knee Pathology." *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 24 (01): 021–29. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-3400264>.
- Gilani, MahryarTaghavi, Majid Razavi, and AzadehMokhtari Azad. 2014. "A Comparison of Simplified Acute Physiology Score II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II and Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation III Scoring System in Predicting Mortality and Length of Stay at Surgical Intensive Care Unit." *Nigerian Medical Journal* 55 (2): 144. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0300-1652.129651>.
- Giordano, Chris, Meghan Brennan, Basma Mohamed, Parisa Rashidi, François Modave, and Patrick Tighe. 2021. "Accessing Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Decision-Making." *Frontiers in Digital Health* 3 (June). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2021.645232>.
- Grady, A. T., J. A. Sosa, T. P. Tanpitukpongse, K. R. Choudhury, R. T. Gupta, and J. K. Hoang. 2014. "Radiology Reports for Incidental Thyroid Nodules on CT and MRI: High Variability Across Subspecialties." *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 36 (2): 397–402. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.a4089>.
- Hanauer, David A., Qiaozhu Mei, James Law, Ritu Khanna, and Kai Zheng. 2015. "Supporting Information Retrieval from Electronic Health Records: A Report of University of Michigan's Nine-Year Experience in Developing and Using the Electronic Medical Record Search Engine (EMERSE)." *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 55 (June): 290–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2015.05.003>.
- Hart, Gregory R., Vanessa Yan, Gloria S. Huang, Ying Liang, Bradley J. Nartowt, Wazir Muhammad, and Jun Deng. 2020. "Population-Based Screening for Endometrial Cancer: Human Vs. Machine Intelligence." *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence* 3 (November). <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2020.539879>.

- Hsieh, Meng Hsuen, Meng Ju Hsieh, Chin-Ming Chen, Chia-Chang Hsieh, Chien-Ming Chao, and Chih-Cheng Lai. 2018. "Comparison of Machine Learning Models for the Prediction of Mortality of Patients with Unplanned Extubation in Intensive Care Units." *Scientific Reports* 8 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35582-2>.
- Huang, Shih-Cheng, Anuj Pareek, Saeed Seyyedi, Imon Banerjee, and Matthew P. Lungren. 2020. "Fusion of Medical Imaging and Electronic Health Records Using Deep Learning: A Systematic Review and Implementation Guidelines." *Npj Digital Medicine* 3 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-00341-z>.
- Huang, Xin, Wenlong Yi, Jiwei Wang, and Zhijian Xu. 2021. "Hadoop-Based Medical Image Storage and Access Method for Examination Series." Edited by Yi-Zhang Jiang. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2021 (March): 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5525009>.
- Issa, Ghada, Bedros Taslakian, Malak Itani, Eveline Hitti, Nicholas Batley, Miriam Saliba, and Fadi El-Merhi. 2015. "The Discrepancy Rate Between Preliminary and Official Reports of Emergency Radiology Studies: A Performance Indicator and Quality Improvement Method." *Acta Radiologica* 56 (5): 598–604. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185114532922>.
- Jiang, Fei, Yong Jiang, Hui Zhi, Yi Dong, Hao Li, Sufeng Ma, Yilong Wang, Qiang Dong, Haipeng Shen, and Yongjun Wang. 2017. "Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Past, Present and Future." *Stroke and Vascular Neurology* 2 (4): 230–43. <https://doi.org/10.1136/svn-2017-000101>.
- Jie, Zhou, Zeng Zhiying, and Li Li. 2021. "A Meta-Analysis of Watson for Oncology in Clinical Application." *Scientific Reports* 11 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84973-5>.
- John, Taran. 2022. "The Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Decision Support." *Proceedings of the Wellington Faculty of Engineering Ethics and Sustainability Symposium*, July. <https://doi.org/10.26686/wfeess.vi.7649>.
- Jovic, A., I. Stancin, K. Friganovic, and M. Cifrek. 2020. "Clinical Decision Support Systems in Practice: Current Status and Challenges." *2020 43rd International Convention on Information, Communication and Electronic Technology (MIPRO)*, September. <https://doi.org/10.23919/mipro48935.2020.9245283>.
- Jung, Euisung, Hemant Jain, Atish P Sinha, and Carmelo Gaudioso. 2021. "Building a Specialized Lexicon for Breast Cancer Clinical Trial Subject Eligibility Analysis." *Health Informatics Journal* 27 (1): 146045822198939. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1460458221989392>.
- Karvellas, Constantine J., Elisabet Garcia-Lopez, Javier Fernandez, Faouzi Saliba, Eric Sy, Rajiv Jalan, Marco Pavesi, Thierry Gustot, Juan J. Ronco, and Vicente Arroyo. 2018. "Dynamic Prognostication in Critically Ill Cirrhotic Patients With Multiorgan Failure in ICUs in Europe and North America: A Multicenter Analysis*." *Critical Care Medicine* 46 (11): 1783–91. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ccm.0000000000003369>.
- Kawamoto, Kensaku, Caitlin A Houlihan, E Andrew Balas, and David F Lobach. 2005. "Improving Clinical Practice Using Clinical Decision Support Systems: A Systematic Review of Trials to Identify Features Critical to Success." *BMJ* 330 (7494): 765. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38398.500764.8f>.
- Khawar Hussain, Hafiz, Aftab Tariq, and Ahmad Yousaf Gill. 2023. "Role of Artificial Intelligence in Cardiovascular Health Care." *Journal of World Science* 2 (4): 583–91.

<https://doi.org/10.58344/jws.v2i4.284>.

- Kim, Eui Joo, Hyun Sun Woo, Jae Hee Cho, Sun Jin Sym, Jeong-Heum Baek, Won-Suk Lee, Kwang An Kwon, et al. 2019. "Early Experience with Watson for Oncology in Korean Patients with Colorectal Cancer." Edited by Patryk Orzechowski. *PLOS ONE* 14 (3): e0213640. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213640>.
- Kloenne, Marie, Sebastian Niehaus, Leonie Lampe, Alberto Merola, Janis Reinelt, Ingo Roeder, and Nico Scherf. 2020. "Domain-Specific Cues Improve Robustness of Deep Learning-Based Segmentation of CT Volumes." *Scientific Reports* 10 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67544-y>.
- Lakhani, Paras, and Baskaran Sundaram. 2017. "Deep Learning at Chest Radiography: Automated Classification of Pulmonary Tuberculosis by Using Convolutional Neural Networks." *Radiology* 284 (2): 574–82. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017162326>.
- Lawton, Tom, Phillip Morgan, Zoe Porter, Shireen Hickey, Alice Cunningham, Nathan Hughes, Ioanna Iacovides, et al. 2023. "Clinicians Risk Becoming "Liability Sinks" for Artificial Intelligence." <http://dx.doi.org/10.22541/au.168209222.21704626/v1>.
- Lei, Yu-Meng, Miao Yin, Mei-Hui Yu, Jing Yu, Shu-E Zeng, Wen-Zhi Lv, Jun Li, Hua-Rong Ye, Xin-Wu Cui, and Christoph F. Dietrich. 2021. "Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging of the Breast." *Frontiers in Oncology* 11 (July). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.600557>.
- LePendu, Paea, Srinivasan V Iyer, Cédric Fairon, and Nigam H Shah. 2012. "Annotation Analysis for Testing Drug Safety Signals Using Unstructured Clinical Notes." *Journal of Biomedical Semantics* 3 (S1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2041-1480-3-s1-s5>.
- Litjens, Geert, Thijs Kooi, Babak Ehteshami Bejnordi, Arnaud Arindra Adiyoso Setio, Francesco Ciompi, Mohsen Ghafoorian, Jeroen A. W. M. van der Laak, Bram van Ginneken, and Clara I. Sánchez. 2017. "A Survey on Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis." *Medical Image Analysis* 42 (December): 60–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005>.
- Liu, Chanjuan, Menno Bergmeijer, Sébastien Pierrat, and Olivier Guise. 2020. "Automatic Fiber Length Measurements with a Multi-Stencil Fast Marching Method on Microscopy Images." *Microscopy and Microanalysis* 26 (3): 387–96. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1431927620001336>.
- Liu, Chaoyuan, Xianling Liu, Fang Wu, Mingxuan Xie, Yeqian Feng, and Chunhong Hu. 2018. "Using Artificial Intelligence (Watson for Oncology) for Treatment Recommendations Amongst Chinese Patients with Lung Cancer: Feasibility Study." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 20 (9): e11087. <https://doi.org/10.2196/11087>.
- Liu, Chung-Feng, Chao-Ming Hung, Shian-Chin Ko, Kuo-Chen Cheng, Chien-Ming Chao, Mei-I Sung, Shu-Chen Hsing, et al. 2022. "An Artificial Intelligence System to Predict the Optimal Timing for Mechanical Ventilation Weaning for Intensive Care Unit Patients: A Two-Stage Prediction Approach." *Frontiers in Medicine* 9 (November). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.935366>.
- Liu, Lu, Kevin J. Parker, and Sin-Ho Jung. 2021. "Design and Analysis Methods for Trials with AI-Based Diagnostic Devices for Breast Cancer." *Journal of Personalized Medicine* 11 (11): 1150. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11111150>.
- Lorenzini, Giorgia, Laura Arbelaez Ossa, David Martin Shaw, and Bernice Simone Elger. 2023. "Artificial Intelligence and the Doctor–patient Relationship Expanding the Paradigm of

- Shared Decision Making.” *Bioethics* 37 (5): 424–29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.13158>.
- Lourdusamy, Ravi, and Xavierlal J. Mattam. 2020. “Clinical Decision Support Systems and Predictive Analytics.” In, 317–55. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-40850-3_14.
- Luo, Ligang, Liping Li, Jiajia Hu, Xiaozhe Wang, Boulin Hou, Tianze Zhang, and Lue Ping Zhao. 2016. “A Hybrid Solution for Extracting Structured Medical Information from Unstructured Data in Medical Records via a Double-Reading/Entry System.” *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 16 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-016-0357-5>.
- Macri, Rosanna, and Shannon L. Roberts. 2023. “The Use of Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Care: A Values-Based Guide for Shared Decision Making.” *Current Oncology* 30 (2): 2178–86. <https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol30020168>.
- Madhusanka, Sajith, Anusha Walisadeera, Gilmini Dantanarayana, Jeevani Goonetillake, and Athula Ginige. 2020. “An Ontological Clinical Decision Support System Based on Clinical Guidelines for Diabetes Patients in Sri Lanka.” *Healthcare* 8 (4): 573. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8040573>.
- Mahmoodi, Seyed Abbas, Kamal Mirzaie, Maryam Sadat Mahmoodi, and Seyed Mostafa Mahmoudi. 2020. “A Medical Decision Support System to Assess Risk Factors for Gastric Cancer Based on Fuzzy Cognitive Map.” Edited by Tao Huang. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine* 2020 (October): 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1016284>.
- Majewska, Natalia K., Piotr Stajgis, Mateusz Wykretowicz, Marek Stajgis, Grzegorz Oszkinis, and Katarzyna Katulska. 2018. “Peripheral Vascular Malformations – Modern Imaging.” *Polish Journal of Radiology* 83: 253–59. <https://doi.org/10.5114/pjr.2018.75724>.
- Martin, R. John, Uttam Sharma, Kiranjeet Kaur, Noor Mohammed Kadhim, Madonna Lamin, and Collins Sam Ayipeh. 2022. “Multidimensional CNN-Based Deep Segmentation Method for Tumor Identification.” Edited by Gaganpreet Kaur. *BioMed Research International* 2022 (August): 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5061112>.
- McNamara, Donna M., Stuart L. Goldberg, Lisa Latts, Deena M. Atieh Graham, Stanley E. Waintraub, Andrew D. Norden, Cody Landstrom, et al. 2019. “Differential Impact of Cognitive Computing Augmented by Real World Evidence on Novice and Expert Oncologists.” *Cancer Medicine* 8 (15): 6578–84. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.2548>.
- Meier, Lea, Kevin Tippenhauer, and Murat Sariyar. 2021. “Decentralized Digital Health Services Caught Between the Pressure for Innovation and the Burden of Regulations.” In. IOS Press. <https://doi.org/10.3233/shti210344>.
- Miglioretti, Diana L., Charlotte C. Gard, Patricia A. Carney, Tracy L. Onega, Diana S. M. Buist, Edward A. Sickles, Karla Kerlikowske, et al. 2009. “When Radiologists Perform Best: The Learning Curve in Screening Mammogram Interpretation.” *Radiology* 253 (3): 632–40. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2533090070>.
- Miotto, Riccardo, Fei Wang, Shuang Wang, Xiaoqian Jiang, and Joel T Dudley. 2017. “Deep Learning for Healthcare: Review, Opportunities and Challenges.” *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 19 (6): 1236–46. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx044>.
- Mohammed, Emad A, Behrouz H Far, and Christopher Naugler. 2014. “Applications of the MapReduce Programming Framework to Clinical Big Data Analysis: Current Landscape and Future Trends.” *BioData Mining* 7 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0381-7-22>.

- Mohsen, Farida, Hazrat Ali, Nady El Hajj, and Zubair Shah. 2022. "Artificial Intelligence-Based Methods for Fusion of Electronic Health Records and Imaging Data." *Scientific Reports* 12 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22514-4>.
- Myers, Paul D., Benjamin M. Scirica, and Collin M. Stultz. 2017. "Machine Learning Improves Risk Stratification After Acute Coronary Syndrome." *Scientific Reports* 7 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12951-x>.
- Nakas, Christos T., Narayan Schütz, Marcus Werners, and Alexander B. Leichtle. 2016. "Accuracy and Calibration of Computational Approaches for Inpatient Mortality Predictive Modeling." Edited by Takeru Abe. *PLOS ONE* 11 (7): e0159046. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159046>.
- Nath, Chinmoy, Mazen S. Albaghdadi, and Siddhartha R. Jonnalagadda. 2016. "A Natural Language Processing Tool for Large-Scale Data Extraction from Echocardiography Reports." Edited by Valentin Ceña. *PLOS ONE* 11 (4): e0153749. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153749>.
- Nensa, Felix, Karsten Beiderwellen, Philipp Heusch, and Axel Wetter. 2014. "Clinical Applications of PET/MRI: Current Status and Future Perspectives." *Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology* 20 (5): 438–47. <https://doi.org/10.5152/dir.2014.14008>.
- Neuman, Mark I., Edward Y. Lee, Sarah Bixby, Stephanie Diperna, Jeffrey Hellinger, Richard Markowitz, Sabah Servaes, Michael C. Monuteaux, and Samir S. Shah. 2011. "Variability in the Interpretation of Chest Radiographs for the Diagnosis of Pneumonia in Children." *Journal of Hospital Medicine* 7 (4): 294–98. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.955>.
- Neustein, Amy, S. Sagar Imambi, Mário Rodrigues, António Teixeira, and Liliana Ferreira. 2014. "1. Application of Text Mining to Biomedical Knowledge Extraction: Analyzing Clinical Narratives and Medical Literature." In, 3–32. DE GRUYTER. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781614513902.3>.
- Nirantharakumar, K., Y. F. Chen, T. Marshall, J. Webber, and J. J. Coleman. 2012. "Clinical Decision Support Systems in the Care of Inpatients with Diabetes in Non-Critical Care Setting: Systematic Review." *Diabetic Medicine* 29 (6): 698–708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2011.03540.x>.
- Niraula, Dipesh, Wenbo Sun, Jionghua (Judy) Jin, Ivo D. Dinov, Kyle Cuneo, Jamalina Jamaluddin, Martha M. Matuszak, et al. 2022. "ARcliDS: A Clinical Decision Support System for AI-Assisted Decision-Making in Response-Adaptive Radiotherapy." <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2022.09.23.22280215>.
- Niskanen, Minna, and Leo Niskanen. 1994. "Is the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) Scale Agist?" *Drugs & Aging* 5 (3): 153–55. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00002512-199405030-00001>.
- ÖZHAN, Onural, and Zeynep KÜÇÜKAKÇALI. 2022. "Estimation of Risk Factors Related to Heart Attack with Xgboost That Machine Learning Model." *Middle Black Sea Journal of Health Science* 8 (4): 582–91. <https://doi.org/10.19127/mbsjohs.1142542>.
- Pachamanova, Dessislava, Vera Tilson, and Keely Dwyer-Matzky. 2022. "Case Article—Machine Learning, Ethics, and Change Management: A Data-Driven Approach to Improving Hospital Observation Unit Operations." *INFORMS Transactions on Education* 22 (3): 178–87. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ited.2021.0251ca>.

- Parab, Sahil, Piyush Rathod, Durgesh Patil, and Vishwanath Chikkareddi. 2020. "A Multi-layer Hybrid Machine Learning Model for Diabetes Detection." Edited by M. D. Patil and V. A. Vyawahare. *ITM Web of Conferences* 32: 03032. <https://doi.org/10.1051/itmconf/20203203032>.
- Pichler, Bernd J., Armin Kolb, Thomas Nägele, and Heinz-Peter Schlemmer. 2010. "PET/MRI: Paving the Way for the Next Generation of Clinical Multimodality Imaging Applications." *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 51 (3): 333–36. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.109.061853>.
- Piotrkowicz, Alicja, Owen Johnson, and Geoff Hall. 2019. "Finding Relevant Free-Text Radiology Reports at Scale with IBM Watson Content Analytics: A Feasibility Study in the UK NHS." *Journal of Biomedical Semantics* 10 (S1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13326-019-0213-5>.
- Poulos, Christopher J, Christopher Magee, Guy Bashford, and Kathy Eagar. 2011. "Determining Level of Care Appropriateness in the Patient Journey from Acute Care to Rehabilitation." *BMC Health Services Research* 11 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-11-291>.
- Qi, Shile, Vince D. Calhoun, Theo G. M. van Erp, Juan Bustillo, Eswar Damaraju, Jessica A. Turner, Yuhui Du, et al. 2018. "Multimodal Fusion with Reference: Searching for Joint Neuromarkers of Working Memory Deficits in Schizophrenia." *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 37 (1): 93–105. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tmi.2017.2725306>.
- Rocha, Marcella A. da, Marquiony M. dos Santos, Raphael S. Fontes, Andréa S. P. de Melo, Aliete Cunha-Oliveira, Angélica E. Miranda, Carlos A. P. de Oliveira, et al. 2022. "The Text Mining Technique Applied to the Analysis of Health Interventions to Combat Congenital Syphilis in Brazil: The Case of the "Syphilis No!" Project." *Frontiers in Public Health* 10 (March). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.855680>.
- Roest, Christian, Stefan J Fransen, Thomas C Kwee, and Derya Yakar. 2022. "Comparative Performance of Deep Learning and Radiologists for the Diagnosis and Localization of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer at MRI: A Systematic Review." *Life* 12 (10): 1490. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life12101490>.
- Romero-Aroca, Pedro, Aida Valls, Antonio Moreno, Ramon Sagarra-Alamo, Josep Basora-Gallisa, Emran Saleh, Marc Baget-Bernaldiz, and Domenec Puig. 2019. "A Clinical Decision Support System for Diabetic Retinopathy Screening: Creating a Clinical Support Application." *Telemedicine and e-Health* 25 (1): 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2017.0282>.
- Roshanov, P. S., N. Fernandes, J. M. Wilczynski, B. J. Hemens, J. J. You, S. M. Handler, R. Nieuwlaat, et al. 2013. "Features of Effective Computerised Clinical Decision Support Systems: Meta-Regression of 162 Randomised Trials." *BMJ* 346 (feb14 1): f657–57. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f657>.
- Roshanov, Pavel S, John J You, Jasmine Dhaliwal, David Koff, Jean A Mackay, Lorraine Weise-Kelly, Tamara Navarro, Nancy L Wilczynski, and R Brian Haynes. 2011. "Can Computerized Clinical Decision Support Systems Improve Practitioners' Diagnostic Test Ordering Behavior? A Decision-Maker-Researcher Partnership Systematic Review." *Implementation Science* 6 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-88>.
- Sahota, Navdeep, Rob Lloyd, Anita Ramakrishna, Jean A Mackay, Jeanette C Prorok, Lor-

- raïne Weise-Kelly, Tamara Navarro, Nancy L Wilczynski, and R Brian Haynes. 2011. “Computerized Clinical Decision Support Systems for Acute Care Management: A Decision-Maker-Researcher Partnership Systematic Review of Effects on Process of Care and Patient Outcomes.” *Implementation Science* 6 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-91>.
- Sankar, Jhuma. 2015. “Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II for Critically Ill Children?” *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine* 19 (8): 446–48. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-5229.162458>.
- Shameer, Khader, Kipp W Johnson, Benjamin S Glicksberg, Joel T Dudley, and Partho P Sengupta. 2018. “Machine Learning in Cardiovascular Medicine: Are We There Yet?” *Heart* 104 (14): 1156–64. <https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2017-311198>.
- Sheets, Lincoln. n.d. “Informatics Strategies for Risk Stratification in Population Health Management.” PhD thesis. <https://doi.org/10.32469/10355/63874>.
- Silva, Eva, Luciana Cardoso, Filipe Portela, António Abelha, Manuel Filipe Santos, and José Machado. 2015. “Predicting Nosocomial Infection by Using Data Mining Technologies.” In, 189–98. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16528-8_18.
- Sim, I., P. Gorman, R. A. Greenes, R. B. Haynes, B. Kaplan, H. Lehmann, and P. C. Tang. 2001. “Clinical Decision Support Systems for the Practice of Evidence-Based Medicine.” *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 8 (6): 527–34. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jamia.2001.0080527>.
- Simmons, Michael, Ayush Singhal, and Zhiyong Lu. 2016. “Text Mining for Precision Medicine: Bringing Structure to EHRs and Biomedical Literature to Understand Genes and Health.” In, 139–66. Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-1503-8_7.
- Sintchenko, Vitali, Farah Magrabi, and Steven Tipper. 2007. “Are We Measuring the Right End-Points? Variables That Affect the Impact of Computerised Decision Support on Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review.” *Medical Informatics and the Internet in Medicine* 32 (3): 225–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14639230701447701>.
- Sivanesan, Umaseh, Kay Wu, Matthew D. F. McInnes, Kiret Dhindsa, Fateme Salehi, and Christian B. van der Pol. 2022. “Checklist for Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging Reporting Adherence in Peer-Reviewed and Preprint Manuscripts With the Highest Altmetric Attention Scores: A Meta-Research Study.” *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal* 74 (2): 334–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08465371221134056>.
- Smit, Mikaela, Carlijn C. E. Jordans, Jitte M. Reinhard, Wichor M. Bramer, Annelies Verbon, Casper Rokx, and Alexandra Calmy. 2022. “Clinical Decision Support Systems to Guide Healthcare Providers on HIV Testing.” *AIDS* 36 (8): 1083–93. <https://doi.org/10.1097/qad.0000000000003211>.
- Sneha, N., and Tarun Gangil. 2019. “Analysis of Diabetes Mellitus for Early Prediction Using Optimal Features Selection.” *Journal of Big Data* 6 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0175-6>.
- Somashekhar, S. P., Martín-J. Sepúlveda, Andrew D Norden, Amit Rauthan, Kumar Arun, Poonam Patil, Ramya Y Ethadka, and Rohit C Kumar. 2017. “Early Experience with IBM Watson for Oncology (WFO) Cognitive Computing System for Lung and Colorectal

- Cancer Treatment.” *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 35 (15_suppl): 8527–27. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2017.35.15_suppl.8527.
- South, Brett R, Shuying Shen, Makoto Jones, Jennifer Garvin, Matthew H Samore, Wendy W Chapman, and Adi V Gundlapalli. 2009. “Developing a Manually Annotated Clinical Document Corpus to Identify Phenotypic Information for Inflammatory Bowel Disease.” *BMC Bioinformatics* 10 (S9). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-s9-s12>.
- Spick, Claudio, Ken Herrmann, and Johannes Czernin. 2016. “¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT and PET/MRI Perform Equally Well in Cancer: Evidence from Studies on More Than 2,300 Patients.” *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 57 (3): 420–30. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.115.158808>.
- Tamang, Suzanne, Manali I. Patel, Douglas W. Blayney, Julie Kuznetsov, Samuel G. Finlayson, Yohan Vetteth, and Nigam Shah. 2015. “Detecting Unplanned Care From Clinician Notes in Electronic Health Records.” *Journal of Oncology Practice* 11 (3): e313–19. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jop.2014.002741>.
- Tejeda Lemus, Heliodoro, Aakriti Kumar, and Mark Steyvers. 2022. “An Empirical Investigation of Reliance on AI-Assistance in a Noisy-Image Classification Task.” In. IOS Press. <https://doi.org/10.3233/faia220201>.
- Topaz, Maxim, Kenneth Lai, Dawn Dowding, Victor J. Lei, Anna Zisberg, Kathryn H. Bowles, and Li Zhou. 2016. “Automated Identification of Wound Information in Clinical Notes of Patients with Heart Diseases: Developing and Validating a Natural Language Processing Application.” *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 64 (December): 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2016.09.013>.
- Topaz, Maxim, Kyungmi Woo, Miriam Ryvicker, Maryam Zolnoori, and Kenrick Cato. 2020. “Home Healthcare Clinical Notes Predict Patient Hospitalization and Emergency Department Visits.” *Nursing Research* 69 (6): 448–54. <https://doi.org/10.1097/nnr.0000000000000470>.
- Trivedi, Hari, Joseph Mesterhazy, Benjamin Laguna, Thienkhai Vu, and Jae Ho Sohn. 2017. “Automatic Determination of the Need for Intravenous Contrast in Musculoskeletal MRI Examinations Using IBM Watson’s Natural Language Processing Algorithm.” *Journal of Digital Imaging* 31 (2): 245–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10278-017-0021-3>.
- Tsai, Tsung-Lun, Min-Hsin Huang, Chia-Yen Lee, and Wu-Wei Lai. 2019. “Data Science for Extubation Prediction and Value of Information in Surgical Intensive Care Unit.” *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 8 (10): 1709. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8101709>.
- Urbain, Jay. 2015. “Mining Heart Disease Risk Factors in Clinical Text with Named Entity Recognition and Distributional Semantic Models.” *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 58 (December): S143–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2015.08.009>.
- Vodrahalli, Kailas, Justin Ko, Albert S. Chiou, Roberto Novoa, Abubakar Abid, Michelle Phung, Kiana Yekrang, Paige Petrone, James Zou, and Roxana Daneshjou. 2023. “Development and Clinical Evaluation of an Artificial Intelligence Support Tool for Improving Telemedicine Photo Quality.” *JAMA Dermatology* 159 (5): 496. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2023.0091>.
- Von Gerich, Hanna, Kristiina Junttila, Miko Pasanen, Sanna Salanterä, and Laura-Maria Peltonen. 2023. “Development and Validation of Instrument for Assessment of Situational

- Awareness in Operational Management of Nursing Leaders in Hospital Settings.” *Finnish Journal of eHealth and eWelfare* 15 (2). <https://doi.org/10.23996/fjhw.126866>.
- Wagner, Douglas P., Elizabeth A. Draper, Ricardo Abizanda Campos, Pertti Nikki, Jean Roger Le Gall, Philippe Loirat, and William A. Knaus. 1984. “Initial International Use of APACHE.” *Medical Decision Making* 4 (3): 297–313. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989x8400400305>.
- Wang, Lu, Xinyi Chen, Lu Zhang, Long Li, YongBiao Huang, Yinan Sun, and Xianglin Yuan. 2023. “Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Decision Support Systems for Oncology.” *International Journal of Medical Sciences* 20 (1): 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.77205>.
- Wang, Sufen, Minmin Pang, Changqing Pan, Junyi Yuan, Bo Xu, Ming Du, and Hong Zhang. 2020. “Information Extraction for Intestinal Cancer Electronic Medical Records.” *IEEE Access* 8: 125923–34. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3005684>.
- Watt, Susan. 2000. “Clinical Decision-Making in the Context of Chronic Illness.” *Health Expectations* 3 (1): 6–16. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1369-6513.2000.00076.x>.
- Weng, Wei-Hung. 2020. “Machine Learning for Clinical Predictive Analytics.” In, 199–217. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47994-7_12.
- Woo, Ji-In, Jung-Gi Yang, Young-Ho Lee, and Un-Gu Kang. 2014. “Healthcare Decision Support System for Administration of Chronic Diseases.” *Healthcare Informatics Research* 20 (3): 173. <https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2014.20.3.173>.
- Xia, Lei, and Liyong Wan. 2016. “A Storage Retrieval Method of Medical Imaging Based on XML Description.” *Proceedings of the 2016 2nd Workshop on Advanced Research and Technology in Industry Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/wartia-16.2016.175>.
- Xiao, Cao, Edward Choi, and Jimeng Sun. 2018. “Opportunities and Challenges in Developing Deep Learning Models Using Electronic Health Records Data: A Systematic Review.” *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 25 (10): 1419–28. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocy068>.
- Xiong, Xiaoqing, Rong Wang, C. Zhao, and Bing Wang. 2021. “The Evaluation Value of Emergency and Critical Illness Scoring System for Emergency Medical Patients.” *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 83. <https://doi.org/10.36468/pharmaceutical-sciences.spl.270>.
- Xu, Jianwu, Xiumei Zhang, Yuhua Cheng, Gongliang Yang, and Junli Liu. 2014. “The Construction of a Clinical Decision Support System Based on Knowledge Base.” In, 388–97. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-55355-4_40.
- Xu, J, T Sun, and S Hua. 2019. “Abstract P3-14-06: Concordance Assessment of IBM Watson for Oncology with MDT in Patients with Breast Cancer.” *Cancer Research* 79 (4_Supplement). <https://doi.org/10.1158/1538-7445.sabcs18-p3-14-06>.
- Yang, Christopher C. 2022. “Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Predictive Modeling in Healthcare.” *Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research* 6 (2): 228–39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41666-022-00114-1>.
- Yin, Jiarui, and Vicenc Fernandez. 2020. “A Systematic Review on Business Analytics.” *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management* 13 (2): 283. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.3030>.
- Yu, Wei, Tiebin Liu, Rodolfo Valdez, Marta Gwinn, and Muin J Khoury. 2010. “Application

- of Support Vector Machine Modeling for Prediction of Common Diseases: The Case of Diabetes and Pre-Diabetes.” *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 10 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-10-16>.
- Yun, Hyeok Jun, Hee Jun Kim, Soo Young Kim, Yong Sang Lee, Chi Young Lim, Hang-Seok Chang, and Cheong Soo Park. 2021. “Adequacy and Effectiveness of Watson for Oncology in the Treatment of Thyroid Carcinoma.” *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 12 (March). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.585364>.
- Zhang, X. C., N. Zhou, C. T. Zhang, H. Y. Lv, T. J. Li, J. J. Zhu, M. Jiang, et al. 2017. “Concordance Study Between IBM Watson for Oncology (WFO) and Clinical Practice for Breast and Lung Cancer Patients in China.” *Annals of Oncology* 28 (November): x170. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx678.001>.
- Zhang, Yunfeng, Q. Vera Liao, and Rachel K. E. Bellamy. 2020. “Effect of Confidence and Explanation on Accuracy and Trust Calibration in AI-Assisted Decision Making.” *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, January. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372852>.
- Zhao, Youlu, Xizi Zheng, Jinwei Wang, Damin Xu, Shuangling Li, Jicheng Lv, and Li Yang. 2021. “Effect of Clinical Decision Support Systems on Clinical Outcome for Acute Kidney Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *BMC Nephrology* 22 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-021-02459-y>.
- Zheng, Tao, Wei Xie, Liling Xu, Xiaoying He, Ya Zhang, Mingrong You, Gong Yang, and You Chen. 2017. “A Machine Learning-Based Framework to Identify Type 2 Diabetes Through Electronic Health Records.” *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 97 (January): 120–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2016.09.014>.
- Zhou, Na, Chuan-Tao Zhang, Hong-Ying Lv, Chen-Xing Hao, Tian-Jun Li, Jing-Juan Zhu, Hua Zhu, et al. 2018. “Concordance Study Between IBM Watson for Oncology and Clinical Practice for Patients with Cancer in China.” *The Oncologist* 24 (6): 812–19. <https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0255>.
- Zomahoun, Hervé Tchala Vignon, Regina Visca, Nicole George, and Sara Ahmed. 2021. “Effectiveness and Harms of Clinical Decision Support Systems for Referral Within Chronic Pain Practice: Protocol for a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *Systematic Reviews* 10 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01596-7>.