# Note

# The Equivalence of Two Problems on the Cube

C. Gotsman\* and N. Linial\*

Department of Computer Science, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received November 5, 1990

Denote by  $Q_n$  the graph of the hypercube  $C^n = \{+1, -1\}^n$ . The following two seemingly unrelated questions are equivalent: 1. Let G be an induced subgraph of  $Q_n$  such that  $|V(G)| \neq 2^{n-1}$ . Denote  $\Delta(G) = \max_{x \in F(G)} \deg_G(x)$  and  $\Gamma(G) = \max(\Delta(G), \Delta(Q_n - G))$ . Can  $\Gamma(G)$  be bounded from below by a function of n?; 2. Let  $f: C^n \to \{+1, -1\}$  be a boolean function. The sensitivity of f at x, denoted s(f, x), is the number of neighbors y of x in  $Q_n$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . The sensitivity of f is  $s(f) = \max_{x \in C^n} s(f, x)$ . Denote by d(f) the degree of the unique representation of f as a real multilinear polynomial on  $C^n$ . Can d(f) be bounded from above by a function of s(f)?

#### 1. Preliminaries

Denote by  $Q_n$  the graph on the *n*-dimensional cube  $C^n = \{+1, -1\}^n$ , where any two vertices are adjacent iff they differ in exactly one component. For an induced subgraph G of  $Q_n$ , denote the *maximal degree* of G by  $\Delta(G)$ , i.e.,

$$\Delta(G) = \max_{x \in V(G)} \deg_G(x).$$

In [1], it was shown that if G contains more than  $2^{n-1}$  vertices, then

$$\Delta(G) > \frac{1}{2}(\log n - \log \log n + 1)$$

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by an Eshkol doctoral fellowship, administered by the National Council for R&D, Israel Ministry of Science.

<sup>†</sup> Research supported in part by US-Israel Binational Science Foundation Grant #0378114 and a grant from the Israel Academy of Sciences.

and there exists a G such that

$$\Delta(G) < \sqrt{n+1}$$
.

The value  $\sqrt{n}$  is also conjectured to be the correct order of magnitude for a lower bound on  $\Delta(G)$ . Denote  $\Gamma(G) = \max(\Delta(G), \Delta(Q_n - G))$ .

Let  $f: C^n \to \{+1, -1\}$  be a boolean function. The sensitivity of f at x, denoted by s(f, x), is the number of neighbors y of x for which  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . The sensitivity of f is

$$s(f) = \max_{x \in C^n} s(f, x)$$

The sensitivity of f is sometimes called the *critical complexity* of f.

In theoretical computer science, much effort has been expended in the definition of various measures of complexity of boolean functions. Some are derived from an underlying computational model, such as decision tree depth. Here the function is computed by repeatedly reading input bits, until the function can be determined from the bits accessed. The cost of an algorithm is the number of bits read on the worst case input, and the complexity of a function is the cost of the best algorithm for this function. A similar measure is the certificate complexity. A 1-certificate (0-certificate) for f is an assignment to some subset of the variables that forces the value of f to 1 (0). The certificate complexity of f on f0 on f1 on f2 on f3 on the size of the smallest certificate that agrees with f3. The certificate complexity of f3 is

$$C(f) = \max_{x \in C^n} C(f, x).$$

Other measures of complexity are of a combinatorial nature, e.g., sensitivity. A related measure is *block-sensitivity*, defined: Denote  $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$  and let  $R \subset [n]$ . If x is the vector  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ , then  $x^{(R)}$  is defined as the vector with coordinates:

$$x_i^{(R)} = \begin{cases} x_i, & i \notin R \\ -x_i, & i \in R. \end{cases}$$

The block sensitivity of f at x, denoted bs(f, x), is the largest number t such that there exist t disjoint sets  $R_1, ..., R_t$  such that for all  $1 \le i \le t$ ,  $R_i \subset [n]$ , and  $f(x) \ne f(x^{(R_i)})$ . The block-sensitivity of f is

$$bs(f) = \max_{x \in C^n} bs(f, x).$$

A central activity in this field is determining the relation between various

measures. The measures of complexity  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are equivalent if they are polynomially related; i.e., there exist polynomials  $p_1(x)$  and  $p_2(x)$  such that

$$\forall f, \quad s_1(f) \leq p_2(s_2(f)), \quad s_2(f) \leq p_1(s_1(f)).$$

Nisan [3] showed that decision tree depth, certificate complexity, and block-sensitivity are equivalent. Nisan first considered the more natural measure of sensitivity (which is block-sensitivity restricted to singletons), but was unable to prove equivalence to decision tree depth and certificate complexity. However, only after introducing block-sensitivity was equivalence obtained.

Yet another complexity measure is obtained from the unique representation of the boolean function f as a real multilinear polynomial over the cube:

$$f(x) = \sum_{I \subset [n]} \left[ \alpha_I \prod_{i \in I} x_i \right].$$

The coefficient  $\alpha_I$  (which satisfies  $-1 \le \alpha_I \le 1$  for all  $I \subset [n]$ ) is also called  $\hat{f}(I)$ , the Fourier transform of f at I. Denote by d(f) the degree of this polynomial, i.e.,

$$d(f) = \max_{I \subset [n]} \{|I|: \alpha_I \neq 0\}.$$

Nisan and Szegedy [4] show that d(f) is also equivalent to the three complexity measures mentioned above. As for the relation between sensitivity and degree, Szegedy [6] showed that

$$d(f) \geqslant \sqrt{s(f)}$$
.

This can easily be shown to be tight. Whether s(f) is also equivalent to all of the above is still unknown. In particular, an upper bound on d(f) in terms of s(f) is sought and is conjectured to be  $s^2(f)$ . Such a bound would mean that sensitivity is equivalent to all the previously mentioned quantities. In the next section we show that this upper bound is equivalent to a lower bound on  $\Gamma()$ .

## 2. The Equivalence Theorem

Theorem 2.1. The following are equivalent for any function  $h: N \to R$ :

- 1. For any induced subgraph G of  $Q_n$  such that  $|V(G)| \neq 2^{n-1}$ ,  $\Gamma(G) \geqslant h(n)$ .
  - 2. For any boolean function f,  $d(f) < h^{-1}(s(f))$ .

*Proof.* We first transform 1 into a statement concerning boolean functions: Associate with the subgraph G a boolean function g such that g(x) = 1 iff  $x \in V(G)$ . Note that  $\deg_G(x) = n - s(g, x)$  for  $x \in V(G)$  and the same holds in  $Q_n - G$  for  $x \notin V(G)$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{E}(g)$  the average value of g on  $C^n$ . Now 1 and 2 are clearly equivalent to the following:

- 1'. For any boolean function g,  $\mathbb{E}(g) \neq 0$  implies  $\exists x : s(g, x) \leq n h(n)$ .
  - 2'. For any boolean function f, s(f) < h(n) implies d(f) < n.

To see the equivalence of 1' and 2', define

$$g(x) = f(x) p(x),$$

where p(x) is the parity function of x:  $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ . Note that for all  $x \in C^n$ , s(g, x) = n - s(f, x) and for all  $I \subset [n]$ ,  $\hat{g}(I) = \hat{f}([n] - I)$ , therefore  $\mathbf{E}(g) = \hat{g}(\emptyset) = \hat{f}([n])$ , where  $\hat{f}([n])$  is the Fourier transform of f at [n], i.e., the highest order coefficient in the representation of f as a polynomial.

 $1' \to 2'$ . Assume that d(f) = n, i.e.,  $\hat{f}([n]) \neq 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\mathbb{E}(g) \neq 0$ . By 1',  $\exists x : s(g, x) \leq n - h(n)$ ; therefore  $\exists x : s(f, x) \geq h(n)$ , contradicting the premise.

 $2' \to 1'$ . Assume that  $\forall x, \ s(g, x) > n - h(n)$ . This implies that s(f) < h(n). By 2', d(f) < n, which is equivalent to  $\hat{f}([n]) = \hat{g}(\emptyset) = \mathbf{E}(g) = 0$ , contradicting the premise.

### 3. Conclusion

Substituting  $h(x) = \sqrt{x}$  in Theorem 2.1 shows that the two bounds  $\Delta(G) \geqslant \sqrt{n}$  and  $d(f) < s^2(f)$  are equivalent. The example from [1] which shows that there exists G such that  $\Delta(G) \leqslant \sqrt{n+1}$  can be used to show that the upper bound on d(f) would be tight if it were true. All of this means that a proof of  $\Delta(G) \geqslant \sqrt{n}$  would imply that boolean function sensitivity is equivalent to all other complexity measures mentioned in [3].

The sensitivity complexity measure s(f) is especially important, since it also lower bounds T(f)—the time needed by a parallel RAM to compute f (a parallel RAM is a collection of synchronous parallel processors sharing a global memory with no write-conflicts allowed). Cook and Dwork [2] have shown that  $T(f) \ge \log s(f)$ . In fact, Nisan [3] later improved this to  $T(f) \ge \log bs(f)$  (this is a stronger inequality, since for any f,  $bs(f) \ge s(f)$ ). Simon [5] has also shown that a n-variable boolean function which depends on all its variables must have sensitivity at least  $\Omega(\log n)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- F. R. K. CHUNG, Z. FÜREDI, R. L. GRAHAM, AND P. SEYMOUR, On induced subgraphs of the cube, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 49 (1988), 180–187.
- S. COOK AND C. DWORK, Bounds on the time for parallel RAM's to compute simple functions, in "Proceedings, 14th Symposium on the Theory of Computing," pp. 231–233, Assoc. Comput. Mach., New York, 1982.
- 3. N. NISAN, CREW PRAMS and decision trees, SIAM J. Comput. 20 (6), (1991), 999-1007.
- 4. N. NISAN AND M. SZEGEDY, On the degree of boolean functions as real polynomials, in "Proceedings, 24th Symposium on the Theory of Computing," Assoc. Comp. Mach., New York, 1992.
- 5. H. U. Simon, A tight  $O(\log \log n)$  bound on the time for parallel RAM's to compute non-degenerate functions, in "Foundations of Computing Theory," pp. 439-444, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 158, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1983.
- 6. M. SZEGEDY, Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago, 1989.