# 2.5.1. Additional homework

### Homework 2.5.1.1.

Prove  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is unitary if and only if  $(Ux)^H(Uy) = x^Hy$ .

Solution

U unitary  $\Rightarrow (Ux)^{H}(Uy) = x^{H}y$ :

$$(Ux)^H(Uy) = x^H U^H Uy$$
 < Hermitian form property >   
=  $x^H(I)y$  < unitary matrix property >   
=  $x^H y$ . < identity matrix property >

 $(Ux)^{H}(Uy) = x^{H}y \Rightarrow U$  unitary:

$$x^{H}y = (Ux)^{H}Uy \qquad < \text{statement} > \\ x^{H}y = x^{H}U^{H}Uy \qquad < \text{Hermitian from property} > \\ e_{i}^{H}e_{j} = e_{i}^{H}U^{H}Ue_{j} \qquad < \text{substitute } x = e_{i}, y = e_{j} > \\ e_{i}^{H}e_{j} = (U^{H}U)_{i,j} \qquad < \text{standard basis vector property} > \\ (U^{H}U)_{i,j} = e_{i}^{H}e_{j} \qquad < \text{symmetric property of equality} > \\ (U^{H}U)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad < \text{standard basis vector property} > \\ U^{H}U = I. \qquad < \text{identity matrix definition} >$$

As any square matrix which yields an identity matrix when multiplied with its Hermitian form is a unitary matrix, U is a unitary matrix.

### Homework 2.5.1.2.

Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  whereas  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  are unitary. Prove  $UAV^H = B$  if and only if  $U^HBV = A$ .

Solution

 $UAV^{H} = B \Rightarrow U^{H}BV = A$ :

$$UAV^H = B$$
 < statement >   
 $U^HUAV^HV = U^HBV$  < matrix mult. preserves equality >   
 $(I)A(I) = U^HBV$  < unitary matrix property >   
 $A = U^HBV$ . < identity matrix property >

#### $U^{H}BV = A \Rightarrow UAV^{H} = B$ :

$$U^H B V = A$$
 < statement >   
 $U U^H B V V^H = U A V^H$  < matrix mult. preserves equality >   
 $(I)B(I) = U A V^H$  < unitary matrix property >   
 $B = U A V^H$ . < identity matrix property >

## Homework 2.5.1.3.

Prove that nonsingular  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  has the condition number  $\kappa_2(A) = 1$  if and only if  $A = \sigma Q$  where Q is unitary and  $\sigma > 0$ .

Solution

$$A = \sigma Q \Rightarrow \kappa_2(A) = 1$$
:

$$\begin{split} \kappa_2(A) &= \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2 &< \text{definition of condition number} > \\ &= \|\sigma Q\|_2 \|(\sigma Q)^{-1}\|_2 &< \text{definition of } A > \\ &= \|\sigma Q\|_2 \left\| \left. \frac{Q^{-1}}{\sigma} \right\|_2 &< \text{matrix inversion property} > \\ &= \sigma \|Q\|_2 \frac{1}{\sigma} \left\| \left. Q^{-1} \right\|_2 &< \sigma \text{ scalar, induced matrix} - \text{norm property} > \\ &= \sigma(1) \frac{1}{\sigma}(1) &< \text{unitary matrix and matrix } 2 - \text{norm property} > \\ &= 1. &< \text{algebra} > \end{split}$$

$$\kappa_2(A) = 1 \Rightarrow A = \sigma Q$$
:

$$1 = \kappa_2(A) \qquad < \text{condition} >$$

$$= ||A||_2 ||A^{-1}||_2 \qquad < \text{definition of condition number} >$$

$$= ||U\Sigma V^H||_2 ||(U\Sigma V^H)^{-1}||_2 \qquad < \text{SVD of } A = U\Sigma V^H >$$

$$= ||U\Sigma V^H||_2 ||V^H\Sigma^{-1}U||_2 \qquad < \text{matrix inversion & unitary matrix properties} >$$

$$= ||\Sigma||_2 ||\Sigma^{-1}||_2 \qquad < U, V \text{ unitary matrices, Homework } 2.2.4.9 >$$

$$1 = \sigma_0 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{n-1}}\right) \qquad < |D||_2 = \max_{i=0}^{m-1} |\delta_i|, \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_0, ..., \sigma_{n-1}),$$

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \text{diag}(1/\sigma_0, ..., 1/\sigma_{n-1}), \sigma_0 \ge ... \ge \sigma_{n-1} \text{ by SVD} >$$

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma_{n-1} = \sigma > 0. \qquad < \text{algebra, assume } \sigma = \sigma_0, A \text{ nonsingular} >$$

Then

$$\Sigma = \sigma I$$
.  $\langle \sigma_0 \geq ... \geq \sigma_{n-1}$  by SVD and  $\sigma = \sigma_0 = \sigma_{n-1}$  by the proof above

This implies

$$A = U\Sigma V^{H}$$
 < SVD of  $A >$ 

$$= U(\sigma I)V^{H}$$
 < substitute equation (1) >
$$= \sigma UV^{H}$$
 <  $\sigma$  scalar, identity matrix property >
$$= \sigma Q.$$
 <  $Q = UV^{H}$  unitary because  $U, V$  unitary >

## Homework 2.5.1.4.

Suppose  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  are unitary. Then prove that the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} U \mid 0 \\ --- \\ 0 \mid V \end{pmatrix}$  is unitary.

Solution

Matrix 
$$\begin{pmatrix} U & | & 0 \\ - & - & - \\ 0 & | & V \end{pmatrix}$$
 is a square matrix of  $(m+n)\times(m+n)$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} U \mid 0 \\ --- \\ 0 \mid V \end{pmatrix}^{H} \begin{pmatrix} U \mid 0 \\ ---- \\ 0 \mid V^{H} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U \mid 0 \\ ---- \\ 0 \mid V \end{pmatrix}$$
 < block matrix transpose > 
$$= \begin{pmatrix} U^{H}U \mid 0 \\ ----- \\ 0 \mid V^{H}V \end{pmatrix}$$
 < block matrix multiplication > 
$$= \begin{pmatrix} I \mid 0 \\ --- \\ 0 \mid I \end{pmatrix}$$
 < unitary matrix property > 
$$= I.$$
 < de – partitioning >

Because any square matrix Q where  $Q^HQ = I$  is unitary, matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} U & | & 0 \\ - & - & - \\ 0 & | & V \end{pmatrix}$  is unitary.

## Homework 2.5.1.5.

 $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is a stochastic matrix if and only if all of its entries are nonnegative and each of its columns add up to 1. Show that a matrix is both unitary and stochastic if and only if it is a permutation matrix.

#### Solution

A permutation matrix P is a specific rearrangement of the rows (or columns) of an identity matrix without repetition. A permutation matrix has the property  $P^T = P^{-1}$ .

### Unitary and stochastic matrix ⇒ permutation matrix:

$$\operatorname{Suppose} A = (a_0 \, | \, \dots \, | \, a_{m-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0,0} & \cdots & \alpha_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m-1,0} & \cdots & \alpha_{m-1,m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If A is a stochastic matrix, then  $\alpha_{i,j} \ge 0$ , where  $i, j = \{0, ..., m-1\}$ , and  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,j} = 1$  where  $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ .

If A is unitary, then because it is in  $\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ , it is also orthogonal. Then  $a_i^Ta_j=\begin{cases} 1 & i=j\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  which implies  $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\alpha_{i,j}^2=1$  where  $j\in\{0,...,m-1\}$ .

If 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,j} = 1$$
 and  $\alpha_{i,j} \geq 0$ , then  $1 \geq \alpha_{i,j} \geq 0$  for  $i,j \in \{0,...,m-1\}$ . However, both  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,j} = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,j}^2 = 1$  are possible at the same time if and only if only a single element of every  $a_j$  is equal to 1 and the rest are zero given  $1 \geq \alpha_{i,j} \geq 0$ . If two or more elements of  $a_j$  are non-zero, then either  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,j} \neq 1$  or  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,j}^2 \neq 1$ . Therefore all  $a_j$  are standard basis vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  where  $j \in \{0,...,m-1\}$ . However because  $a^{T_i}a_j = 0$  when  $i \neq j$ , all  $a_j$  need to be different. Then  $a_j = e_j$  where  $j \in \{0,...,m-1\}$ .

If all columns of *A* are different standard basis vectors and *A* is a square matrix, then *A* is a permutation matrix.

### **Permutation matrix** ⇒ unitary and stochastic matrix:

Because a permutation matrix P has the property  $P^T = P^{-1}$ ,  $A^T = A^{-1}$ . Then because  $A^TA = I$ , A is a unitary matrix. Because all elements of A is greater or equal to zero and  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,j} = 1$  where  $j \in \{0, \dots, m\text{-}1\}$ , A is also a stochastic matrix.

### Homework 2.5.1.6.

Show that if  $||\cdots||$  is a norm and A is nonsingular, then  $||\cdots||_{A^{-1}}$  defined as  $||x||_{A^{-1}} = ||A^{-1}x||$  is a norm. Solution

#### Positive definite:

$$x \neq 0$$
 < statement > 
$$A^{-1}x \neq 0$$
 <  $\mathcal{N}(A) = 0$  > 
$$||A^{-1}x|| \neq 0.$$
 <  $||\cdots||$  is positive definite >

### Homogeneous:

$$\|\alpha x\|_{A^{-1}} = \|A^{-1}\alpha x\|$$
 < definition of  $\|\cdots\|_{A^{-1}} >$    
  $= |\alpha| \|A^{-1}x\|$  < homogeneity property of  $\|\cdots\| >$    
  $= |\alpha| \|x\|_{A^{-1}}.$  < definition of  $\|\cdots\|_{A^{-1}} >$ 

## **Triangle inequality:**

$$||x+y||_{A^{-1}} = ||A^{-1}(x+y)||$$
 < definition of  $||\cdots||_{A^{-1}} >$   
 $\leq ||A^{-1}x|| + ||A^{-1}y||$  < ||\cdots ||\cd

 $||\cdots||_{A^{-1}}$  is a norm because it meets all three conditions for being a norm.

## Homework 2.5.1.7.

$$\operatorname{Let} A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \text{ and } A = \mathit{U} \Sigma \mathit{V}^{\mathit{H}} \text{ be its SVD where } \Sigma = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \sigma_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{m-1} \end{array} \right).$$

Find which of the following give the condition number of A,  $\kappa_2(A)$ :

- a)  $||A||_2 ||A^{-1}||_2$ ,
- b)  $\sigma_0 / \sigma_{m-1}$ ,
- c)  $u_0^H A v_0 / u_{m-1}^H A v_{m-1}$ ,

d) 
$$\frac{\max\limits_{\|x\|_2=1}\|Ax\|_2}{\min\limits_{\|x\|_2=1}\|Ax\|_2}.$$

Solution

All four give the condition number of A.

- a) Yes, definition of the condition number.
- b) Yes,

$$\begin{split} \kappa_2(A) &= \|A\|_2(\|A^{-1}\|_2) &< \text{definition of condition number} > \\ &= \|U\Sigma V^H\|_2 \|(U\Sigma V^H)^{-1}\|_2 &< \text{SVD of } A > \\ &= \|\Sigma\|_2 \|V\Sigma^{-1}U^H\|_2 &< U, V \text{ unitary, property of inversion} > \\ &= \|\Sigma\|_2 \|\Sigma^{-1}\|_2 &< U, V \text{ unitary} > \\ &= \sigma_0 \frac{1}{\sigma_{m-1}}. &< \|D\|_2 = \max_{i=0}^{m-1} |\delta_i|, \Sigma^{-1} = \text{diag}(1/\sigma_0, \cdots, 1/\sigma_{m-1}) > \end{split}$$

c) Yes, because

$$\begin{split} \frac{u_0^H A v_0}{u_{m-1}^H A v_{m-1}} &= \frac{u_0^H (U \Sigma V^H) v_0}{u_{m-1}^H (U \Sigma V^H) v_{m-1}} \\ &= \frac{(u_0^H U) \Sigma (V^H v_0)}{(u_{m-1}^H U) \Sigma (V^H v_{m-1})} \\ &= \frac{(e_0^T) \Sigma (e_0)}{(e_{m-1}^T) \Sigma (e_{m-1})} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_{m-1}} \\ &= \kappa_2(A) \,. \end{split} \qquad < \text{A} = U \Sigma V^H > \\ < \text{associativity of matrix mult.} > \\ < \text{matrix - matrix mult.}, \\ \text{def. of stand. basis vector} > \\ < \text{property of standard basis vector} > \\ < \text{see part b) of this homework} > \end{split}$$

d) Yes, because

$$\frac{\max \|Ax\|_{2}}{\min \|\|Ax\|_{2}} \|Ax\|_{2} = \max \|Ax\|_{2} \left(\frac{1}{\min \|Ax\|_{2}}\right)$$

$$= \|A\|_{2}(\|A^{-1}\|_{2})$$

$$= \kappa_{2}(A).$$
The definition of matrix 2 - norm, Homework (2.3.5.4.) > 
$$= \kappa_{2}(A).$$
The definition of condition number >

# Homework 2.5.1.8.

Theorem 2.2.4.4. stated that given  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ , if  $||Ax||_2 = ||x||_2$ , then A is a unitary matrix. Prove this using the SVD theorem.

#### Solution

$$\begin{split} ||Ax||_2 &= ||x||_2 &< \text{given condition} > \\ ||Av_j||_2 &= ||v_j||_2 &< \text{instantiate } x \text{ as } v_j \text{ where } j \in \{0, ..., m-1\} > \\ ||\sigma_j u_j||_2 &= ||v_j||_2 &< \text{by Homework 2.3.6.1., } Av_j = \sigma_j u_j \\ &\qquad \qquad \text{assuming SVD of } A = U \Sigma V^H, \ \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_0, \, ..., \, \sigma_{m-1}) > \\ |\sigma_j| \ ||u_j||_2 &= ||v_j||_2 &< \sigma_j \text{ a scalar, norms homogenous} > \\ |\sigma_j| \ (1) &= (1) &< u_j, \ v_j \text{ are unitary matrix columns} > \\ |\sigma_j| &= 1 &< \text{algebra} > \\ &\qquad \qquad \sigma_j &= 1. &< \sigma_j \geq 0 > \end{split}$$

Therefore  $\Sigma = I$  which implies  $A = U(I)V^H = UV^H$ . Because multiplication of unitary matrix, A is a unitary matrix.

### Homework 2.5.1.9.

Given  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ , prove  $||A||_2 \le ||A||_F$  using the SVD theorem.

#### Solution

Suppose  $A = U\Sigma V^H$  is the SVD of A where U and V are unitary and  $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_0, ..., \sigma_{\min(m,n)-1})$  with  $\sigma_0 \ge ... \ge \sigma_{\min(m,n)-1} \ge 0$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \|A\|_2 &= \|U\Sigma V^H\|_2 & < \text{SVD of } A > \\ \|A\|_2^2 &= \|U\Sigma V^H\|_2^2 & < \text{algebra} > \\ &= \|\Sigma\|_2^2 & < U, V \text{ unitary, Homework } 2.2.4.9. > \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \sum_{j = 0}^{n-1} |s_j^T \chi_j|^2 & < \text{definition of matrix } 2 - \text{norm} > \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \sum_{j = 0}^{n-1} |s_j^T \chi_j|^2 & < \Sigma = (s_0 | \cdots |s_{n-1}), \text{definition vector } 2 - \text{norm} > \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \sum_{j = 0}^{\min(m,n)-1} |\sigma_j \chi_j|^2 & < s_j^T = (0 \ \cdots \ \sigma_j \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) > \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \sum_{j = 0}^{\min(m,n)-1} |\sigma_j|^2 |\chi_j|^2 & < \text{absolute value homoegenous} > \\ &\leq \sum_{j = 0}^{1} \|s_j\|_2^2 & < \text{definition of vector } 2 - \text{norm} > \\ &= \sum_{j = 0}^{n-1} \|s_j\|_2^2 & < \text{definition of vector } 2 - \text{norm} > \\ &= \|\Sigma\|_F^2 & < \text{property of Frobenius norm} > \\ &= \|U\Sigma V^H\|_F^2 & < U, V \text{ unitary, Homework } 2.2.4.10 > \\ &\|A\|_2^2 \leq \|A\|_F^2 & < \text{square root an increasing function} > \\ \end{split}$$

Equality is attained for  $A = (1 ... 1)^T$ .

## Homework 2.5.1.10.

Given  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and A has r singular values, prove  $||A||_F \le \sqrt{r} ||A||_2$  using the SVD theorem. (r is not called the rank of A here unlike in the question because it is yet to be proven or formally stated that r is equal to A's rank.)

#### Solution

$$\begin{split} \|A\|_F &= \|U\Sigma V^H\|_F & < \text{SVD of } A > \\ \|A\|_F^2 &= \|U\Sigma V^H\|_F^2 & < \text{algebra} > \\ &= \|\Sigma\|_F^2 & < \text{U, V unitary, Homework } 2.2.4.10 > \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|s_j\|_2^2 & < \text{property of Frobenius - norm} > \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\min(m,n)-1} |\sigma_j|^2 & < \Sigma = (s_0|\cdots|s_{n-1}), \text{definition vector } 2 - \text{norm} > \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} |\sigma_j|^2 & < \Sigma \text{ has } r \text{ singular values} > \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} |\sigma_0|^2 & < \sigma_0 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{r-1} > \\ &= r \|\sigma_0\|^2 & < \text{algebra} > \\ &\|A\|_F^2 \leq r\|A\|_2^2 & < \text{SVD of } A > \\ &\|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{r}\|A\|_2. & < \text{square root an increasing function} > \end{split}$$

Equality is attained for  $A = (1 ... 1)^T$ .