

## 1.6.1 Additional homework

### Exercise (1.6.1.1.)

Assume  $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Find the 1-, 2-, infinity- and  $p$ -norms of  $e_j$ .

Solution

$$\|e_j\|_p = \sqrt[p]{|0|^p + \dots + |0|^p + |1|^p + |0|^p + \dots + |0|^p} = \sqrt[p]{|1|^p} = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\|e_j\|_1 = \|e_j\|_2 = \|e_j\|_\infty = \|e_j\|_p = 1.$$

### Exercise (1.6.1.2.)

Find  $\|I\|_1$ ,  $\|I\|_2$ ,  $\|I\|_\infty$ ,  $\|I\|_p$ , and  $\|I\|_F$ .

Solution

We had shown in Exercise (1.4.1.1.) that the induced matrix norm for an identity matrix is 1.

Therefore  $\|I\|_1 = \|I\|_2 = \|I\|_\infty = \|I\|_p = 1$ .

By Exercise (1.3.3.3.) and Exercise (1.6.1.1.),

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|a_j\|_2^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|e_j\|_2^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (1)^2} = \sqrt{n}.$$

### Exercise (1.6.1.3.)

Find  $\|D\|_1$ ,  $\|D\|_\infty$ ,  $\|D\|_p$ , and  $\|D\|_F$  where  $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is a diagonal matrix, that is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \delta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution

By Exercise (1.3.6.1.),  $\|D\|_1 = \max_{j=0}^{n-1} \|d_j\|_1 = \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j|$ .

By Exercise (1.3.6.2.),  $\|D\|_\infty = \max_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\tilde{d}_j\|_1 = \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j|$ .

Inspired from the above two solutions and utilizing the proof in Exercise (1.3.5.1.), we conjecture

$$\|D\|_p = \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j|.$$

Using the method outlined in Remark (1.3.5.4.) ,  $f(x) = \|Dx\|_p$  and  $\alpha = \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|$ .

For the first part, we prove  $\max f(x) \leq \alpha$ ,

$$\|D\|_p = \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \sqrt[p]{\|Dx\|_p^p} \quad < \text{definition of matrix p - norm} >$$

$$\|D\|_p^p = \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \|Dx\|_p^p \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$= \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \delta_0 \chi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{n-1} \chi_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p^p \quad < \text{matrix - vector multiplication} >$$

$$= \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i \chi_i|^p \right) \quad < \text{definition of vector p - norm, algebra} >$$

$$= \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|^p |\chi_i|^p \right) \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$\leq \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j| \right)^p |\chi_i|^p \right) \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$= \left( \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j| \right)^p \left( \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\chi_i|^p \right) \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$= \left( \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j| \right)^p (1) \quad < \|x\|_p = 1 >$$

$$\|D\|_p^p \leq \left( \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j| \right)^p \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$\|D\|_p \leq \max_{j=0}^{n-1} |\delta_j|. \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

For part 2 ( $\max f(x) \geq \alpha$ ), assume that  $J$  is the index of the diagonal element  $\delta_J$  s.t.

$$|\delta_J| = \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|$$

and  $e_J \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a standard basis vector.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D\|_p &= \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \|Dx\|_p &< \text{definition of matrix } p\text{-norm} > \\
&\geq \|De_J\|_p &< e_J \text{ specific vector} > \\
&= \|\delta_J e_J\|_p &< \text{matrix - vector multiplication} > \\
&= |\delta_J| \|e_J\|_p &< \delta_J \text{ scalar, property of norm} > \\
&= |\delta_J| (1) &< e_J \text{ standard basis vector} > \\
\|D\|_p &\geq \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|. &< \text{definition of } \delta_j >
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $\|D\|_p \geq \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|$  and  $\|D\|_p \leq \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|$ ,

$$\|D\|_p = \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|$$

must be true.

#### Exercise (1.6.1.4.)

Assume we partition the vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$  as  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \text{---} \\ \vdots \\ \text{---} \\ x_k \\ \text{---} \\ \vdots \\ \text{---} \\ x_{M-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\|x_k\|_p \leq \|x\|_p$  where  $0 \leq k \leq M-1$ ,  $M$

$\leq m$ , and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

#### Solution

Assume  $x_k$  is of size  $(k_n - k_0 + 1)$ , i.e.  $x_k = (\chi_{k_0} \ \cdots \ \chi_{k_n})^T$  and

$0 = 0_0 \leq 0_n < 1_0 \leq 1_1 < \dots < k_0 \leq k_n < \dots < (M-1)_0 \leq (M-1)_n = m-1$ .

In addition,

$$\|x_k\|_p^p = |\chi_{k_0}|^p + \dots + |\chi_{k_n}|^p = \sum_{j=k_0}^{k_n} |\chi_j|^p.$$

Therefore

$$\|x\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\chi_i|^p} \quad < \text{definition of vector } p\text{-norm} >$$

$$\|x\|_p^p = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\chi_i|^p \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i_0}^{i_n} |\chi_j|^p \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \|x_i\|_p^p \quad < \text{definition of } x_i \text{ and vector } p\text{-norm} >$$

$$\|x\|_p^p \geq \|x_k\|_p^p \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

$$\|x\|_p \geq \|x_k\|_p. \quad < \text{algebra} >$$

### Exercise (1.6.1.5.)

Suppose  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Compute  $\|A\|_1$ ,  $\|A\|_\infty$ , and  $\|A\|_F$ .

Solution

$$\|A\|_1 = 3, \|A\|_\infty = 4, \|A\|_F = 2\sqrt{2}.$$

### Exercise (1.6.1.6.)

Suppose  $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a matrix norm such that  $\|A\| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,j}|$ . Prove that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm and relate it to the vector 1-norm.

Show that  $\|A\| = \|A^H\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  is submultiplicative.

Solution

a)  $\|\cdot\|$  is a matrix norm.

$A \neq 0$  implies there is at least one element of  $A$ ,  $\alpha_{p,q} \neq 0$ . Then  $\|A\| \neq 0$ .

$$\|\beta A\| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\beta \alpha_{i,j}| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\beta| |\alpha_{i,j}| = |\beta| \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,j}| = |\beta| \|A\|.$$

Suppose  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ .

$$\|A + B\| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,j} + \beta_{i,j}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (|\alpha_{i,j}| + |\beta_{i,j}|) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,j}| + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{i,j}| = \|A\| + \|B\|.$$

Therefore  $\|\cdot\|$  is a matrix norm.

b)  $\|\cdot\|$  is related to the vector 1-norm.

We can express  $\|A\|$  as a summation of vector 1-norms of rows or columns of  $A$ :

$$\|A\| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,j}| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,j}| \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|\tilde{a}_i\|_1.$$

$$\|A\| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,j}| = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\alpha_{i,j}| = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\alpha_{i,j}| \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|a_j\|_1.$$

c)  $\|A\| = \|A^H\|$ .

$$\text{If } A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } A^H = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_0^T \\ \vdots \\ \bar{a}_{n-1}^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Then } \|A\| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|a_i\|_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|\bar{a}_i\|_1 = \|A^H\|.$$

d)  $\|\cdot\|$  is submultiplicative.

Because it is defined using the same formula for all  $m$  and  $n$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  is consistent.

Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|AB\| &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| \tilde{a}_i^T b_j \right| \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i,p} \beta_{p,j} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left| \alpha_{i,p} \beta_{p,j} \right| \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{i,p}| |\beta_{p,j}| \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( |\alpha_{i,p}| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{p,j}| \right) \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\alpha_{i,p}| \right) \left( \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{p,j}| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|.$$

Alternative proof (@letslearnmath):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|AB\| &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| \tilde{a}_i^T b_j \right| \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| \tilde{a}_i^H b_j \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\tilde{a}_i\|_2 \|b_j\|_2 \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\tilde{a}_i\|_1 \|b_j\|_1 \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|\tilde{a}_i\|_1 \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|b_j\|_1 \\
\|AB\| &\leq \|A\| \|B\|.
\end{aligned}$$

< slice & dice >

< matrix – vector multiplication >

< absolute value obeys triangle inequality >

<  $|\alpha\beta| = |\alpha| |\beta|$ , algebra >

< algebra >

< algebra >

< definition of  $\|\cdot\|$  >

< slice & dice >

<  $|x^T y| = |x^H y|$  >

< Cauchy – Schwartz inequality >

<  $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$  >

< algebra >

< definition of  $\|\cdot\|$  >

Exercise (1.6.1.7.)

Prove  $\|A\|_F = \|A^T\|_F$ , and  $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|a_j\|_2^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\tilde{a}_j\|_2^2}$ .

Solution

$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|a_j\|_2^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\tilde{a}_j\|_2^2}$  have been proven in Exercises (1.3.3.1.) and (1.3.3.3.), respectively.

If  $A = (a_0 \mid \cdots \mid a_{n-1})$ , then  $A^T = \begin{pmatrix} a_0^T \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1}^T \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore  $\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|a_i\|_2^2 = \|A^T\|_F^2$ .

### Exercise (1.6.1.8.)

Prove  $\|x\|_1 = \|x\|_2 = 1$  if and only if  $x = \pm e_j$ .

Solution (in the course notes)

We confirm that  $\|\pm e_j\|_1 = \|\pm e_j\|_2 = 1$ .

Suppose  $\|x\|_1 = 1$  but  $x \neq \pm e_j$ . Therefore  $|x_j| \leq 1$  for  $j$  in  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Then  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{|x_0|^2 + \cdots + |x_{n-1}|^2} < \sqrt{|x_0| + \cdots + |x_{n-1}|} = \sqrt{\|x\|_1} = 1$ . As this contradicts the conditions,  $x = \pm e_j$  is the only possibility.

### Exercise (1.6.1.9.)

Prove that if  $\|x\|_\nu \leq \beta \|x\|_\mu$ , then  $\|A\|_\nu \leq \beta \|A\|_{\nu,\mu}$ .

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_\nu &= \frac{\|Ax\|_\nu}{\|x\|_\nu} &< \text{induced matrix – norm definition} > \\ &\leq \frac{\beta \|Ax\|_\mu}{\|x\|_\nu} &< \text{the given vector norm equivalence} > \\ \|A\|_\nu &\leq \beta \frac{\|Ax\|_\mu}{\|x\|_\nu}. &< \text{induced matrix – norm definition} > \end{aligned}$$