## Abhishek 6

```
#In this problem, you will use support vector approaches to predict whether a
given car gets high or low gas mileage based on the Auto data set in the ISLR
package.
#(a) Create a binary variable that takes on a 1 for cars with gas mileage abo
ve the median, and a 0 for cars with gas mileage below the median. Use this v
ariable as response in the following analysis.
library(ISLR)
var <- ifelse(Auto$mpg > median(Auto$mpg), 1, 0)
Auto$mpglevel <- as.factor(var)</pre>
# (b) Fit a support vector classifier to the data with various values of cost
, to predict whether a car gets high or low gas mileage. Report the cross-val
idation errors associated with different values of this parameter. Comment on
your results.
set.seed(60)
library(e1071)
svm_linear <- tune(svm, mpglevel ~ ., data = Auto, kernel = "linear", ranges</pre>
= list(cost = c(0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 100, 1000)))
summary(svm linear)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
##
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost
##
##
## - best performance: 0.01282051
## - Detailed performance results:
                error dispersion
      cost
## 1 1e-02 0.07423077 0.04281275
## 2 1e-01 0.04865385 0.04268849
## 3 1e+00 0.01282051 0.01813094
## 4 5e+00 0.01532051 0.01318724
## 5 1e+01 0.02044872 0.01619554
## 6 1e+02 0.03839744 0.02495604
## 7 1e+03 0.03839744 0.02495604
```

```
# A cost of 1 seems to perform best since it has the least cross validation e
rror.
# (c) Now repeat (b), this time using SVMs with radial and polynomial kernels
, with different values of gamma, degree and cost. Comment on your results.
set.seed(1)
svm_radial <- tune(svm, mpglevel ~ ., data = Auto, kernel = "radial", ranges</pre>
= list(cost = c(0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 100), gamma = c(0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 100)
)))
summary(svm_radial)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost gamma
##
    100 0.01
##
## - best performance: 0.01532051
##
## - Detailed performance results:
##
       cost gamma
                       error dispersion
## 1
     1e-02 1e-02 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 2 1e-01 1e-02 0.09185897 0.03862507
## 3 1e+00 1e-02 0.07147436 0.05103685
## 4 5e+00 1e-02 0.04326923 0.04975032
## 5 1e+01 1e-02 0.02551282 0.03812986
## 6 1e+02 1e-02 0.01532051 0.01788871
## 7 1e-02 1e-01 0.19153846 0.07612945
## 8 1e-01 1e-01 0.07916667 0.05201159
## 9 1e+00 1e-01 0.05608974 0.05092939
## 10 5e+00 1e-01 0.03064103 0.02637448
## 11 1e+01 1e-01 0.02551282 0.02076457
## 12 1e+02 1e-01 0.02807692 0.01458261
## 13 1e-02 1e+00 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 14 1e-01 1e+00 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 15 1e+00 1e+00 0.06634615 0.06187383
## 16 5e+00 1e+00 0.06128205 0.06186124
## 17 1e+01 1e+00 0.06128205 0.06186124
## 18 1e+02 1e+00 0.06128205 0.06186124
## 19 1e-02 5e+00 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 20 1e-01 5e+00 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 21 1e+00 5e+00 0.49224359 0.03806832
## 22 5e+00 5e+00 0.48967949 0.03738577
## 23 1e+01 5e+00 0.48967949 0.03738577
## 24 1e+02 5e+00 0.48967949 0.03738577
## 25 1e-02 1e+01 0.56115385 0.04344202
```

```
## 26 1e-01 1e+01 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 27 1e+00 1e+01 0.51775641 0.04471079
## 28 5e+00 1e+01 0.51012821 0.03817175
## 29 1e+01 1e+01 0.51012821 0.03817175
## 30 1e+02 1e+01 0.51012821 0.03817175
## 31 1e-02 1e+02 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 32 1e-01 1e+02 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 33 1e+00 1e+02 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 34 5e+00 1e+02 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 35 1e+01 1e+02 0.56115385 0.04344202
## 36 1e+02 1e+02 0.56115385 0.04344202
# For a radial kernel, the lowest cross-validation error is obtained for a ga
mma of 0.01 and a cost of 100.
set.seed(1)
svm_poly <- tune(svm, mpglevel ~ ., data = Auto, kernel = "polynomial", range</pre>
s = list(cost = c(0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 100), degree = c(2, 3, 4)))
summary(svm poly)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost degree
##
    100
              2
##
## - best performance: 0.3013462
##
## - Detailed performance results:
##
      cost degree
                      error dispersion
## 1 1e-02
                2 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 2 1e-01
                 2 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 3 1e+00
                2 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 4 5e+00
                2 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 5 1e+01
                2 0.5382051 0.05829238
## 6 1e+02
                2 0.3013462 0.09040277
## 7 1e-02
                3 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 8 1e-01
                3 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 9 1e+00
                3 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 10 5e+00
                3 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 11 1e+01
                3 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 12 1e+02
                3 0.3322436 0.11140578
## 13 1e-02
               4 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 14 1e-01
                4 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 15 1e+00 4 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 16 5e+00 4 0.5611538 0.04344202
```

```
## 17 1e+01 4 0.5611538 0.04344202
## 18 1e+02
                 4 0.5611538 0.04344202
# For a polynomial kernel, the lowest cross-validation error is obtained for
a degree of 2 and a cost of 100.
# This problem uses the OJ data set in the ISLR package.
# (a) Create a training set containing a random sample of 800 observations, a
nd a test set containing the remaining observations.
set.seed(1)
train <- sample(nrow(OJ), 800)</pre>
OJ.train <- OJ[train, ]
OJ.test <- OJ[-train, ]
# (b) Fit a support vector classifier to the training data using cost=0.01, w
ith Purchase as the response and the other variables as predictors. Use the s
ummary() function to produce summary statistics, and describe the results obt
ained.
svm_linear <- svm(Purchase ~. , data = OJ.train, cost = 0.01, kernel = 'linea</pre>
r')
summary(svm_linear)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, cost = 0.01, kernel = "linear
")
##
##
## Parameters:
##
      SVM-Type: C-classification
## SVM-Kernel: linear
##
          cost: 0.01
         gamma: 0.0555556
##
##
## Number of Support Vectors: 432
##
##
  ( 215 217 )
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
# (c) What are the training and test error rates?
train.pred <- predict(svm_linear, OJ.train)</pre>
table(OJ.train$Purchase, train.pred)
```

```
##
       train.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 439 55
##
    MM 78 228
test.pred <- predict(svm_linear, OJ.test)</pre>
table(OJ.test$Purchase, test.pred)
##
       test.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 141
             18
##
    MM 31
            80
# The training error rate is 16.6% and test error rate is about 18.1%.
# (d) Use the tune() function to select an optimal cost. Consider value in th
e range 0.01 to 10.
set.seed(2)
tune.out <- tune(svm, Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, kernel = "linear", range
s = list(cost = 10^seq(-2, 1, by = 0.25)))
summary(tune.out)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost
##
     0.1
##
## - best performance: 0.1625
##
## - Detailed performance results:
                    error dispersion
##
             cost
## 1
       0.01000000 0.17125 0.05172376
## 2
       0.01778279 0.16500 0.05197489
## 3
       0.03162278 0.16625 0.04604120
## 4
       0.05623413 0.16500 0.04594683
## 5
       0.10000000 0.16250 0.04787136
## 6
       0.17782794 0.16250 0.04249183
## 7
       0.31622777 0.16875 0.04379958
## 8
       0.56234133 0.16625 0.03998698
## 9
       1.00000000 0.16500 0.03670453
## 10 1.77827941 0.16625 0.03682259
## 11
      3.16227766 0.16500 0.03717451
## 12 5.62341325 0.16500 0.03525699
## 13 10.00000000 0.16750 0.03917553
# We may see that the optimal cost is 0.1.
```

```
# (e) Compute the training and test error rates using this new value for cost
svm_linear <- svm(Purchase ~ ., kernel = "linear", data = OJ.train, cost = tu</pre>
ne.out$best.parameter$cost)
train.pred <- predict(svm_linear, OJ.train)</pre>
table(OJ.train$Purchase, train.pred)
##
       train.pred
         CH MM
##
     CH 438 56
##
     MM 71 235
##
test.pred <- predict(svm linear, OJ.test)</pre>
table(OJ.test$Purchase, test.pred)
##
       test.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 140
             19
##
     MM 32
             79
# We may see that, with the best cost, the training error rate is now 15.8% a
nd the test error rate is 18.8%.
# (f) Repeat parts (b) through (e) using a support vector machine with a radi
al kernel. Use the tune() function to select an optimal cost and gamma.
svm radial <- svm(Purchase ~ ., kernel = "radial", data = OJ.train)</pre>
summary(svm_radial)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, kernel = "radial")
##
##
## Parameters:
      SVM-Type: C-classification
##
##
    SVM-Kernel: radial
##
          cost:
##
         gamma:
                 0.0555556
##
## Number of Support Vectors:
                                379
##
##
   ( 188 191 )
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
```

```
train.pred <- predict(svm radial, OJ.train)</pre>
table(OJ.train$Purchase, train.pred)
##
       train.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 455 39
##
    MM 77 229
test.pred <- predict(svm_radial, 0J.test)</pre>
table(OJ.test$Purchase, test.pred)
##
       test.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 141
             18
##
    MM 28 83
# Radial kernel with default gamma creates 379 support vectors, out of which,
188 belong to level CH and remaining 191 belong to level MM. The classifier h
as a training error of 14.5% and a test error of 17% which is a slight improv
ement over linear kernel. We now use cross validation to find optimal cost.
set.seed(2)
tune.out <- tune(svm, Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, kernel = "radial", range
s = list(cost = 10^seq(-2,1, by = 0.25)))
summary(tune.out)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
##
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost
##
       1
##
## - best performance: 0.16625
##
## - Detailed performance results:
##
             cost
                    error dispersion
## 1
       0.01000000 0.38250 0.04533824
## 2
       0.01778279 0.38250 0.04533824
## 3
       0.03162278 0.37500 0.04894725
## 4
       0.05623413 0.21500 0.05886661
## 5
       0.10000000 0.17875 0.04860913
       0.17782794 0.17875 0.05497790
## 6
## 7
      0.31622777 0.17875 0.05981743
## 8
      0.56234133 0.17250 0.05458174
## 9
       1.00000000 0.16625 0.05001736
## 10 1.77827941 0.16875 0.05008673
## 11 3.16227766 0.17500 0.04787136
```

```
## 12 5.62341325 0.18000 0.05244044
## 13 10.00000000 0.18250 0.05596378
svm_radial <- svm(Purchase ~ ., kernel = "radial", data = OJ.train, cost = tu</pre>
ne.out$best.parameter$cost)
summary(svm_radial)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, kernel = "radial",
       cost = tune.out$best.parameter$cost)
##
##
## Parameters:
##
      SVM-Type: C-classification
## SVM-Kernel: radial
##
          cost:
##
         gamma: 0.0555556
##
## Number of Support Vectors: 379
##
  ( 188 191 )
##
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
train.pred <- predict(svm_radial, OJ.train)</pre>
table(OJ.train$Purchase, train.pred)
##
       train.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 455 39
    MM 77 229
##
test.pred <- predict(svm radial, OJ.test)</pre>
table(OJ.test$Purchase, test.pred)
##
       test.pred
##
         CH MM
     CH 141
##
             18
##
    MM 28
             83
# Tuning does not reduce train and test error rates as we already used the op
timal cost of 1.
# (q) Repeat parts (b) through (e) using a support vector machine with a poly
nomial kernel. Set degree=2. Use the tune() function to select an optimal cos
t.
```

```
svm_poly <- svm(Purchase ~ ., kernel = "polynomial", data = OJ.train, degree</pre>
= 2)
summary(svm_poly)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, kernel = "polynomial",
       degree = 2)
##
##
## Parameters:
##
      SVM-Type: C-classification
##
   SVM-Kernel: polynomial
##
          cost: 1
##
        degree:
                 2
         gamma:
##
                 0.0555556
##
        coef.0:
##
## Number of Support Vectors:
                               454
##
##
   ( 224 230 )
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
train.pred <- predict(svm_poly, OJ.train)</pre>
table(OJ.train$Purchase, train.pred)
##
       train.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 461 33
##
     MM 105 201
test.pred <- predict(svm_poly, OJ.test)</pre>
table(OJ.test$Purchase, test.pred)
##
       test.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 149
             10
##
     MM 41
             70
# Polynomial kernel with default gamma creates 454 support vectors, out of wh
ich, 224 belong to level CH and remaining 230 belong to level MM. The classif
ier has a training error of 17.2% and a test error of 18.8% which is no impro
vement over linear kernel. We now use cross validation to find optimal cost.
set.seed(2)
tune.out <- tune(svm, Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, kernel = "polynomial", d
```

```
egree = 2, ranges = list(cost = 10^seq(-2, 1, by = 0.25))
summary(tune.out)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost
##
      10
##
## - best performance: 0.18125
##
## - Detailed performance results:
##
             cost
                    error dispersion
## 1
       0.01000000 0.38250 0.04533824
## 2
       0.01778279 0.36750 0.04972145
## 3
       0.03162278 0.36500 0.05458174
## 4
       0.05623413 0.33375 0.05070681
## 5
       0.10000000 0.32500 0.04677072
## 6
       0.17782794 0.25875 0.05952649
## 7
      0.31622777 0.21250 0.06123724
## 8
       0.56234133 0.21250 0.05743354
## 9
       1.00000000 0.19750 0.06687468
## 10 1.77827941 0.19375 0.05376453
## 11 3.16227766 0.19625 0.05653477
## 12 5.62341325 0.18375 0.05434266
## 13 10.00000000 0.18125 0.05245699
svm_poly <- svm(Purchase ~ ., kernel = "polynomial", degree = 2, data = OJ.tr</pre>
ain, cost = tune.out$best.parameter$cost)
summary(svm poly)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJ.train, kernel = "polynomial",
##
       degree = 2, cost = tune.out$best.parameter$cost)
##
##
## Parameters:
      SVM-Type: C-classification
##
##
   SVM-Kernel: polynomial
##
          cost: 10
##
        degree:
                 2
##
         gamma:
                 0.0555556
##
        coef.0:
## Number of Support Vectors:
##
```

```
## ( 170 172 )
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
train.pred <- predict(svm_poly, OJ.train)</pre>
table(OJ.train$Purchase, train.pred)
##
       train.pred
##
         CH MM
     CH 450 44
##
    MM 72 234
##
test.pred <- predict(svm poly, OJ.test)</pre>
table(OJ.test$Purchase, test.pred)
##
       test.pred
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 140
             19
##
             80
    MM 31
# Tuning reduce train and test error rates.
# (h) Overall, which approach seems to give the best results on this data?
# Overall, radial basis kernel seems to be producing minimum misclassificatio
n error on both train and test data.
```