

Completed without assistance

Andrew Pan

1. a. 1. No, because there ~~is~~ no x that loves all y
2. Yes, because there is a y (c) that all x 's love
3. Yes, because all x love at least one y
4. Yes, because all y have at least one x that loves y
5. Yes, because there is at least one x that loves at least one y
6. No, because all x love at least one y
7. No, because all x love at least one y

Statement	Table 1	$x \geq y$	$x = y$
$\forall x, x, \text{loves}(x, x)$	False	True	True
$\forall x, y, \text{loves}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{loves}(y, x)$	False	False	True
$\forall x, y, z, \text{loves}(x, y) \wedge \text{loves}(y, z) \rightarrow \text{loves}(x, z)$	False	True	True

2. 1. ~~$\text{sum}(0, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{sum}(0,$~~
4. $\forall x, \text{sum}(x, 0, x) \rightarrow \text{sum}(x, s(0), s(x)), 1, 2$
5. $x = 0 \rightarrow \text{sum}(s(0), s(0), s(s(0))), 4$
6. $\exists x, \text{sum}(x, x, s(s(0))), 5$

2. 4. $\forall x, \text{sum}(x, 0, x), 1$
5. $x = 0 \rightarrow \text{sum}(0, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{sum}(0, s(0), s(0)), 4, 2$
6. $\forall n, \text{sum}(0, s(0), s(0)) \rightarrow \text{sum}(0, s^n(0), s^n(0)), 5$
7. $\forall x \in \forall n \in S^n(0) \}$
8. $\forall x, \text{sum}(0, x, x), 1, 7, 6$

3. a. (max_ending_here, max_so_far):
 $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1) \rightarrow (4, 4) \rightarrow (3, 4) \rightarrow (5, 5) \rightarrow (6, 6)$
 $\rightarrow (1, 6) \rightarrow (5, 6)$

- b. No because max-subarray iterates through the list instead of breaking the list into smaller parts

c. Yes because max-subarray breaks the problem down into ~~comparing~~^{finding} the maximum of two numbers multiple times

d. For this implementation of max-subarray(), memoization does not change the operation of the function for the given list, and does not reduce the order of growth.

44. a. bin(3, 1st, 0, 1) \rightarrow bin(3, 1st, 0, 4) \rightarrow bin(3, 1st, 0, 2) \rightarrow ~~bin(3, 1st, 0, 1)~~

b. Yes because it divides the current sublist it is looking at into 2 sublists with each recursive call

c. No, because a normal search would still use comparisons, as binary-search array does.

d. For this implementation of binary-search array, memoization does not change the operation of the function for the given list, and does not reduce the order of growth.

a. Memoization helps if the same value is being searched for in the same sublist over multiple runs, but provides no benefit for a single call. It relates to the recursion graph by eliminating further recursive calls for a ~~memoized~~ call that has already been memoized, and to dynamic programming by reducing a comparison operation to looking for the key-value pair in a dictionary instead.