

Andrew

1. $1. p \rightarrow q$

2. $p \rightarrow r$

prove $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$

3. $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r), 1, 2,$

4. $(\neg p \vee q), 1, \text{conditional}$

5. $(\neg p \vee r), 2, \text{conditional}$

6. $(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r), 4, 5,$

7. $(\neg p \vee q) \wedge r \vee (\neg p \vee q) \wedge \neg r,$

8. $(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee r) \vee (\neg p \vee r) \vee (q \wedge r),$

9. $(\neg p \vee (\neg p \vee r)) \vee ((\neg p \vee r) \vee (q \wedge r))$

10. $(\neg p \vee (q \wedge r)) \vee ((\neg p \vee q) \vee (q \wedge r)) \vee ((\neg p \vee r) \vee (\neg p \vee q)) \vee ((\neg p \vee r) \vee (q \wedge r)), 1, \text{distributive}$

7. $\neg p \vee (q \wedge r), 6, \text{distributive}$

8. $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r), 7, \text{conditional}$

1. $1. p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$

2. $p \rightarrow (q \vee \neg r)$

prove $p \rightarrow q$

3. $(p \rightarrow (q \vee r)) \wedge (p \rightarrow (q \vee \neg r)), 1, 2, \text{conjunction}$

4. $(\neg p \vee (q \vee r)), 1, \text{conditional}$

5. $(\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r)), 2, \text{conditional}$

6. $(\neg p \vee (q \vee r)) \wedge (\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r)), 4, 5, \text{conjunction}$

7. $((\neg p \vee q) \vee r) \wedge ((\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg r), 6, \text{associative}$

8. $(\neg p \vee q) \vee (r \wedge \neg r), 7, \text{distributive}$

9. $(\neg p \vee q) \vee F, 8, \text{idempotent}$

9. $\neg p, 8, \text{negation } (\neg p \vee q) \vee F, 8, \text{negation}$

10. $\neg p \vee q, 9, \text{elimination}$

11. $p \rightarrow q, \text{conditional}$

2. 1.

p	q	r	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \rightarrow r$	$q \wedge r$	$p \rightarrow q \wedge r$
0	0	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	0

2. 2.

p	q	r	$\neg r$	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$	$q \vee \neg r$	$p \rightarrow (q \vee \neg r)$
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1

$p \rightarrow q$

1
0
1
1
1
0
1

3. 1.

p	q	r	$p \wedge q \wedge r$	$p \vee q$	$(p \wedge q \wedge r) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1

satisfiable tautology

~~3. 2.~~
($p \rightarrow q$)

3. 2.	p	q	r	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow q)$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$
	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

satisfiable tautology

3. 3.	p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$
	0	0	1	0
	0	1	1	0
	1	0	0	1
	1	1	1	1

Not a tautology

3. 4.	p	q	r	$p \vee q \vee r$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg r$	$\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$	$p \vee \neg q$
	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1

$r \vee \neg p$

1
1
0
0
1
1

~~tautology~~ $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r) \wedge (p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r)$

0
0
0
0
0
0

unsatisfiable

4

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{pv}(a \wedge \sim(r \wedge (s \rightarrow t))) \\
 & \text{pv}(a \wedge \sim(r \wedge (\sim s \vee t))) \quad \text{conditional} \\
 & \text{pv}(a \wedge \sim((r \wedge s) \vee (r \wedge t))) \quad \text{distributive} \\
 & \text{pv}(a \wedge (\sim(r \wedge s) \wedge \sim(r \wedge t))) \quad \text{de Morgan's law} \\
 & \text{pv}(a \wedge ((\sim r \vee s) \wedge (\sim r \vee t))) \quad \text{de Morgan's law} \\
 & (\text{pv}a) \wedge (\text{pv}(\sim r \vee s)) \wedge (\text{pv}(\sim r \vee t)) \quad \text{distributive} \\
 & (\text{pv}a) \wedge (\text{pv} \sim r \vee s) \wedge (\text{pv} \sim r \vee t) \quad \text{associative}
 \end{aligned}$$

5.

p	q	r	s	t	$\sim r$	$\sim t$	$\text{pv} \sim r \vee s$	$\text{pv} a$	$\text{pv} \sim r \vee \sim t$
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1

I used exhaustive enumeration to prove that this formula is satisfiable because the conjunctive normal form involved the ANDs of multiple statements, requiring all substatements to be true for the formula to be true. I wrote a and r the same because a is only in