LS88: Sports Analytics

Regression to the Mean

Regression to the Mean in a Nutshell

Given observed performance, future performance is expected to be closer to the expected value than observed performance

Pilot Performance

A famous example from Daniel Kahneman

- → Fighter pilots were being graded on performance of their landings
- → Pilot performs well → pilot is praised → pilot performance declines
- → Pilot performs poorly → pilot is punished → pilot performance increases
- → Instructor then thinks: punish bad performance to get improvement

In reality: performance is part skill, part luck/random

- → Pilots would naturally regress to their mean performance after a poor flight because it was luck that day that made them look bad
- → Punishment was ancillary and they were likely to see improvement in the pilot without intervention

Theoretical Statement

 \rightarrow Two measurements, M₁ and M₂ with mean μ

$$\mu \leq \text{Expected Value}[M_2 \ Given \ M_1 = c > \mu] < c$$

- \rightarrow Given the first measurement is above μ , we expect the second measurement to be closer to μ
- \rightarrow Similar statement for c < μ

Regression to the Mean in Sports

Rarely do we know μ exactly: for example, in sports

Early season performance compared to the rest of the season

- → Brandon Belt hits .600 in first weeks of the season
 - \bullet We don't know μ but we know typical values for the league (it's been 77 years since Ted Williams hit .400 *for the season*)
 - ♦ We also know belt is a career .266 hitter: .600 is far above his usual rate
 - Expect performance after 2 weeks between .266 and .600

Regression to the Mean in Sports

- → Klay Thompson is shooting 34% from 3 right now
 - We don't know μ but we know Klay is a career 42% 3pt shooter
 - ◆ Expect performance after today between 34% and 43%

Where should our expectation fall between the two choices? We'll get there

Outline

- → Derive RTTM from regression
- → Motivation from beliefs (Bayesian Inference)
- → Applied to baseball batting averages
- → Applied to projection systems: Marcels

Regression

Student Test Scores

Students have a certain talent level on a scale of 0-100

- → The (unknown) average talent is 50
- → The (unknown) standard deviation of talent is 5

Students are going to take two tests to assess talent

- → The test is a noisy measurement of talent
- → We assume the noise has expected value of 0 (doesn't bias the scores up or down from talent level)
- → The (unknown) standard deviation of noise is 2

We want to predict the second score from the first test score

Student Test Scores

If we observe 1 score from 1 student, we just use that score to predict the next score

If we observe multiple scores from 1 student, we take the average

What if we observe 1 score from multiple students???

- → Okay, so actually to build a model, we need to do this 2 test experiment to build the model
- → THEN we can predict the second score from 1 score from another set of students

We gather pairs of test scores from *N* students

The regression model is:

Test 2 Score =
$$\alpha + \beta \times$$
 Test 1 Score
= $\alpha + \beta \times$ (Test 1 Score – Test 1 Avg + Test 1 Avg)
= $(\alpha + \beta \times$ Test 1 Avg) + $\beta \times$ (Test 1 Score – Test 1 Avg)
= $\tilde{\alpha} + \beta \times$ (Test 1 Score – Test 1 Avg)

 \rightarrow If β < 1, regression to the mean

Let's see some examples

Formulas for regression coefficients

$$\hat{\alpha} = \text{Test 2 Score} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} \times \text{Test 1 Score}$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \text{Test 2 Avg} - \hat{\beta} \times \text{Test 1 Avg}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \text{Corr}(\text{Test 1 Score}, \text{Test 2 Score}) \times \frac{\text{StdDev Test 2 Score}}{\text{StdDev Test 1 Score}}$$

More detailed regression equation

Test 2 Score = Test 2 Avg +
$$\hat{\beta}$$
 × (Test 1 Score – Test 1 Avg)
= Test 2 Avg + ρ × StdDev Test 2 Score × $\frac{\text{Test 1 Score} - \text{Test 1 Avg}}{\text{StdDev Test 1 Score}}$
= Test 2 Avg + ρ × StdDev Test 2 Score × Test 1 Z-Score

- → Test 2 Avg controls level
- → Std Dev of Test 2 is used to scale properly
- → Correlation coefficient controls dependence on Test 1
 - ♦ If =1, use Test 1 score
 - ◆ If =0, Test 1 doesn't matter so use the average

More detailed regression equation

Test 2 Score = Test 2 Avg +
$$\hat{\beta}$$
 × (Test 1 Score – Test 1 Avg)
= Test 2 Avg + ρ × StdDev Test 2 Score × $\frac{\text{Test 1 Score} - \text{Test 1 Avg}}{\text{StdDev Test 1 Score}}$
= Test 2 Avg + ρ × StdDev Test 2 Score × Test 1 Z-Score

- → Test 2 Avg controls level
- → Std Dev of Test 2 is used to scale properly
- → Correlation coefficient controls dependence on Test 1
 - ♦ If =1, use Test 1 score
 - ◆ If =0, Test 1 doesn't matter so use the average

Regression to the Mean

The general form:

Regressed Estimate = $w \cdot \text{Observation} + (1 - w) \cdot \text{Mean}, \quad 0 \le w \le 1$

Updating Beliefs

Coin Flipping

- → We're going to flip a coin
- \rightarrow It has an unknown probability of heads equal to p
- \rightarrow As we observe tosses, we want to update our beliefs about p

What should our starting belief be? How do we update our beliefs?

This is all a non-technical primer on *Bayesian Inference*

→ Bayesian Inference is neither superior or inferior, it's just a different approach

Initial Beliefs aka Prior Beliefs

We're going to start with an agnostic belief

 \rightarrow We have no idea what p could be other than it's between 0 and 1

We quantify our beliefs according to an number of expected heads (H) and expected tails (T)

- → The larger either or both H and T are, the more firm we are in our beliefs
- → Our agnostic belief is H = T = 1
- → We'll eventually see the formula for producing our belief from H and T

Best Guess
$$p = \frac{H}{H + T}$$

Updating Beliefs

We toss the coin and have updated counts for H and T

$$H_{new} = H_{old} + \text{Number of Heads tossed}, \quad T_{new} = T_{old} + \text{Number of Tails tossed}$$

Our best is guess is always the fraction of heads:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Updated Best Guess for } p &= \frac{H_{new}}{H_{new} + T_{new}} \\ &= \frac{H_{old} + \text{Number of Heads tossed}}{H_{old} + \text{Number of Heads tossed} + T_{old} + \text{Number of Tails tossed}} \\ &= \frac{H_{old} + \text{Number of Heads tossed}}{H_{old} + T_{old} + \text{Number of tosses}} \end{aligned}$$

Updating Beliefs and RTTM

We can do a little math...

Best Guess after
$$N$$
 tosses = $\frac{H_0 + \text{\# Heads}}{H_0 + T_0 + N}$

Best Guess after N tosses =
$$\frac{N}{H_0 + T_0 + N} \cdot \frac{\text{\# Heads}}{N} + \frac{H_0 + T_0}{H_0 + T_0 + N} \cdot \frac{H_0}{H_0 + T_0}$$

Best Guess after N tosses = $w_N \cdot \text{Proportion of Heads observed} + (1 - w_N) \cdot \text{Initial Best Guess}$

$$w_N = \frac{N}{H_0 + T_0 + N}, \quad 0 \le w_N \le 1$$

Updating Beliefs and RTTM

Recall from earlier:

Regressed Estimate = $w \cdot \text{Observation} + (1 - w) \cdot \text{Mean}, \quad 0 \le w \le 1$

Updated belief:

Best Guess after N tosses = w_N · Proportion of Heads observed + $(1 - w_N)$ · Initial Best Guess

$$w_N = \frac{N}{H_0 + T_0 + N}, \quad 0 \le w_N \le 1$$

- → Our best guess can be subjective/opinion (this is Bayesian Inference)
- → Or it can be empirical like the average above (this is Empirical Bayes)

Projection Systems

Projection Systems

Many different projection systems: PECOTA, ZiPS, Steamer, Marcel, etc

Lots of similar ideas:

- → Use historical performance
- → Look for similar players to help with forecasting
- → Aging
- → Regression to the mean

Marcel Demo

Marcel the Monkey

Win/Loss Records

https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/how-to-predict-mlb-records-from-early-results/

A good primer on Regression to the Mean

One thing to note: which "mean"?

- → Should you regress a team's W/L record towards .500?
- → Or towards the pre-season projection?
- → (Probably to the projection if it's good)