

# S-Partitions and S-Shellings

Alexandru Papiu

October, 23, 2016

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex. We define an **S-partition** to be an ordering of (not necessarily maximal) faces of  $\Delta$ :  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k$  such that  $F_i \cap (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_{i-1})$  is pure and  $(\dim F_i - 1)$ -dimensional and all the facets of  $\Delta$  are included in the ordering. If all the faces in the ordering are *facets* we recover the Bjorner-Wachs definition for a non-pure shelling.  $S$ -partitions were introduced by Chari in [1].

Similar to a shelling, an  $S$  - partition gives a partition of (the poset)  $\Delta$  into intervals  $[G_i, F_i]$  such that  $\cup [G_i, F_i]$  is a simplicial complex for any  $i$ . We will call the singleton intervals of the form  $[F, F]$  **critical** faces.

Chari showed in [2] that given an  $S$ -partition for  $\Delta$  one can construct discrete Morse functions on  $\Delta$  whose critical faces are exactly the critical faces in the  $S$ -partition.

Note that any simplicial complex admits many  $S$ -partitions. In particular we have the trivial  $S$  - partition into singleton intervals. Clearly this is not a very useful  $S$ -partition. The basic theme in this note is this: the "coarser" and  $S$ -partition is the more information it will give us about our simplicial complex  $\Delta$  both at an algebraic and homological level.

Let's also define the  $h^S$  **triangle** as follows: Let  $h_{s,i}^S$  to be the number of intervals in  $\mathcal{S}$  of the form  $[r(F), F]$  such that  $|F| = s$  and  $|r(F)| = i$ . Notice that

$$h_i = h_{i,i} + h_{i+1,i} + \dots + h_{d,i}$$

and  $c_i := h_{i,i}$  is the number of critical  $i$ -cells in  $\mathcal{S}$  as well as in the corresponding Morse function  $f_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Denote by  $c^{\mathcal{S}}(t) = \sum c_i t^i$ .

Note that the  $h^S$  triangle determines the  $f$  vector by the following relation:

$$f(t) = \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j} t^j (1+t)^{i-j}$$

We can also express the  $h$ -vector in terms of the  $h^S$  triangle as follows. Using the definition of the  $f$  polynomial in terms of the  $h$ -polynomial we have

$$(1+t)^d h\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right) = \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j} t^j (1+t)^{i-j}$$

and by doing a change of variable  $\lambda = \frac{t}{1+t}$  we get

$$h(\lambda) = \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j} \lambda^j (1-\lambda)^{d-i}$$

Let's quickly introduce some algebraic notation - a good reference is [3].  
The **Stanley-Reisner ring** associated to a complex  $\Delta$  on vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is defined as the quotient ring

$$k[\Delta] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_\Delta$$

where  $I_\Delta$  is the ideal generated by the square-free monomials corresponding to the non-faces of  $\Delta$ . An **l.s.o.p** is a collection of linear forms  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d\}$  in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , such that  $k[\Delta]/(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. We will denote  $k[\Delta]/(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$  by  $k(\Delta)$  and call it the **reduced Stanley-Reisner ring** of  $\Delta$  for the specific l.s.o.p we have chosen.

We will also need the following technical definitions and result due to Stanley that characterizes l.s.o.p's in terms of a choice function. We are following the presentation in [5], section 12. For a set of linear forms  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\}$  in  $k[\Delta]$  let  $M = (m_{i,j})$  be the  $d \times n$  matrix defined by  $\theta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{i,j} x_j$ . Let  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  be the *facets* of  $\Delta$  and call a function  $C : [t] \rightarrow 2^{[d]}$  a **nonsingular choice function** if  $|C(j)| = |F_j|$  and the square submatrix with rows in  $C(j)$  and columns in  $F_j$  is nonsingular, for all facets  $F_j$ .

**Lemma 0.1.** (Stanley in [4], page 150) *Let  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\}$  be a set of linear forms in  $k[\Delta]$ . Then  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\}$  is an l.s.o.p if and only if there exists a non-singular choice function.*

By Chari's results in [2] one can use the fundamental theorem of discrete Morse theory to show that the critical faces in an  $S$ -partitions act as a spanning set for the homology  $H_*(\Delta)$ . We will show next that a similar results holds true at the algebraic level in  $k(\Delta)$  in the following Lemma. One can interpret as a weak version of the Klee-Kleinschmidt Lemma for shellable complexes.

**Lemma 0.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an  $S$ -partition for  $\Delta$  with  $\Delta = \cup_{i=0}^n [r(F_i), F_i]$ . The monomials  $\{x^{r(F)} : |r(F)| = i\}$  span  $k(\Delta)_i$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove this by induction on the number of partitions. If the partition has one element, the restriction will be the empty set and  $k(\Delta) = k$  as a  $k$ -vector space so the lemma is true in this case.

Now assume we have added faces  $F_1, \dots, F_{k-1}$  and now we are adding the interval  $[r(F_k), F_k]$ . Since  $r(F_k)$  is the unique minimal non-face added we get that

$$k[\Delta_k]/(x^{r(F_k)}) = k[\Delta_{k-1}]$$

as rings.

Now let  $\theta$  be an l.s.o.p on  $\Delta_k$ . By Lemma 0.1 above this will also be an l.s.o.p for  $\Delta_{k-1}$  so we get that:

$$k(\Delta_k)/(x^{r(F_k)}) = k(\Delta_{k-1})$$

.

Now by the induction hypothesis we have that  $\{x^{r(F_1)}, \dots, x^{r(F_{k-1})}\}$  span  $k(\Delta)$  so it suffices to show that  $x^{r(F_k)} x_i = 0$  in  $k(\Delta)$  for any  $x_i$ .

If  $i \notin F_k$  then  $\{i\} \cup r(F_k)$  is not a face of  $\Delta_k$  so  $x^{r(F_k)}x_i = 0$  in  $k[\Delta]$  and thus in  $k(\Delta)$  as well.

Now let's assume  $i \in F_k$  and  $F_k$  has cardinality  $l < d$ . By Lemma 0.1 there exists a nonsingular choice function  $C$ . Now let's select the  $C(k)$  rows in the matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$  defined as above by  $\theta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{i,j}x_j$ . This gives us a  $l \times n$  matrix. Since the  $l \times l$  restriction associated with the facet  $F_k$  is non-singular we can now use Gaussian elimination to express  $x_i$  in terms of the  $\theta$ 's and monomials not in  $F_k$ :

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j \theta_{C(j)} + \sum_{j \notin F_k} \beta_j x_j$$

with the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's in  $k$ . When we multiply by  $x^{r(F_k)}$  we get both sums on the right to be zero in  $k(\Delta)$ . Thus  $x_i x^{r(F_k)} = 0$  in  $k(\Delta)$  and we are done.  $\square$

Based on the previous lemma and Chari's result on Morse functions we get the following **Corollary**:

$$\begin{aligned} c^S(t) &\geq \text{Hilb}(H_*(\Delta), k)(t) \\ h^S(t) &\geq \text{Hilb}(k(\Delta), k)(t) \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\text{Hilb}(H_*(\Delta), k)(t)$  is the Betti polynomial for  $\Delta$  over  $k$  counting homology ranks and  $\text{Hilb}(k(\Delta), k)(t)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hilbert series for the reduced Stanley Reisner ring. These inequalities lead naturally to the following definitions:

Given a field  $k$ . An  $S$ -partition is  **$k$ -perfect** if the first inequality is an equality. This is equivalent to saying that the Morse function associated to the  $S$ -partition is  $k$ -perfect.

Given a field  $k$  and an l.s.o.p  $\theta$ . An  $S$ -partition is an  $(S, \theta)$  - **shelling** if the second inequality is an equality. This is equivalent to saying that the restriction monomials are a basis for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded reduced Stanley-Reisner Ring.

An  $S$ -partition is **minimal** if it contains the smallest number of intervals possible.

The definition of an  $S$ -shelling, as it stands is dependent on the system of parameters we choose and this is not a desirable feat - we'd like a more combinatorial interpretation of when an  $S$ -partition is an  $S$ -shelling. It turns out that one can get such a characterization for all triangulated manifolds. This is because Schenzel's formula gives the graded dimensions of  $k(\Delta)$  in terms of the  $h$  vector and homology of  $\Delta$ . This allows us a clean characterization of an  $S$ -shelling without having to use the l.s.o.p directly:

**Lemma 0.3.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a  $d - 1$ , Buchsbaum complex (this includes all triangulated manifolds with or without boundary) and  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $S$ -partition for  $\Delta$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $S$ -shelling if and only if it has length*

$$f_{d-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \beta_{i-1}(\Delta) \binom{d-1}{i}$$

*Proof.* By Schenzel's Formula [3] Theorem 29 we can compute the graded dimensions of  $k(\Delta)$  as follows:

$$\dim_k(k(\Delta)_j) = h_j(\Delta) + \binom{d}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (-1)^{j-1-i} \beta_{i-1}(\Delta, k)$$

Now adding all the graded parts we get that  $k(\Delta)$  has dimension:

$$\sum_{j=0}^d h_j(\Delta) + \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{d}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (-1)^{j-1-i} \beta_{i-1}(\Delta, k)$$

The first sum adds to  $f_{d-1}$  and the second sum is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \beta_{i-1}(\Delta) \sum_{j=i+1}^d \binom{d}{j} (-1)^{j-i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \beta_{i-1}(\Delta) \binom{d-1}{i}$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

For a 2-manifold Lemma 0.3 tells us that an  $S$ -partition is an  $S$ -shelling if and only if it has exactly  $f_2 + \beta_1(\Delta, k)$  parts. This means that our  $S$ -shelling will correspond to adding the facets just like in a shelling plus critical edges, one for each basis cycle in  $H_1(\Delta, k)$ .

**Question:** A natural question to ask at this point is the following: What is the relationship between a  $k$ -perfect  $S$ -partition, minimal  $S$ -partition, and an  $S$ -shelling?

Note that since the restriction monomials span  $k(\Delta)$ , an  $S$ -shelling will always be minimal. However a  $k$ -perfect  $S$ -partition need not be minimal. Any collapse of a collapsible complex will give a perfect  $S$ -partition but these will usually not be minimal since they are partitions containing only intervals of size two. One could try at this point to "consolidate" the 2-partitions to create a coarser  $S$ -partitions. However as we shall see there are complexes that admit  $k$ -perfect  $S$ -partitions but are not  $S$ -shellable.

**Lemma 0.4.** *There exist triangulated manifolds that admit  $k$ -perfect  $S$ -partitions but do not admit  $S$ -shellings.*

*Proof.* Notice that if we restrict ourselves to spheres an  $S$ -partition will be an  $S$ -shelling if and only if it is a pure shelling. This follows from Lemma 0.3 since a triangulated sphere only has non-trivial homology in the top dimension.

Also  $\Delta$  will admit a perfect  $S$ -partition if and only if it admits a perfect Morse function. This follows from Chari's result.

So now in order to prove our lemma we have to come up with a triangulated sphere that is perfect but not shellable. Coming up with such examples is not very easy, however in [6][Section 5.5] Benedetti and Lutz given an examples of a triangulated 3-sphere with a knotted trefoil knot on 3-edges that admits a minimal Morse vector of  $(1, 0, 0, 1)$ . However such a sphere cannot be shellable because of the knot.  $\square$

**Question:** Will a  $S$ -shelling always be  $k$ -perfect for any  $k$ ? The answer is yes for 2-manifolds.

## References

- [1] Manoj K. Chari, *Steiner Complexes, Matroid Ports, and Shellability*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B 59(1). August 1993
- [2] Manoj K. Chari, *On discrete Morse functions and combinatorial decompositions*, Discrete Mathematics. April 2000
- [3] Isabella Novik, Steven Klee, *Face enumeration on simplicial complexes*, Recent Trends in Combinatorics. 2016
- [4] Richard Stanley, *Balanced Cohen-Macaulay complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 249 (1979)
- [5] Bjorner, Wachs, *Shellable Nonpure Complexes and Posets*
- [6] Bruno Benedetti, Frank H. Lutz, *Random Discrete Morse Theory and a New Library of Triangulations*, Experimental Mathematics, Vol. 23, Issue 1 (2014), 66-94