EECS127 Course Notes

Anmol Parande

Spring 2021 - Professor Laurent El Ghaoui

Disclaimer: These notes reflect 127 when I took the course (Spring 2021). They may not accurately reflect current course content, so use at your own risk. If you find any typos, errors, etc, please raise an issue on the GitHub repository.

Contents

1   Linear Algebra

Definition 1 *An affine set is one of the form $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : x = v + x_o, \ v \in \mathcal{V}\}$ where $\mathcal{V}$ is a subspace of a vector space $\mathcal{X}$ and x_0 is a given point.*

Notice that by definition 1, a subspace is simply an affine set containing the origin. Also notice that the dimension of an affine set $\mathcal{A}$ is the same as the dimension of $\mathcal{V}$.

1.1   Norms

Definition 2 *A norm on the vector space $\mathcal{X}$ is a function $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies:*
1. $\|x\| \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $x = 0$

2. $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$

3. $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$ for any scalar α.

Definition 3 *The l_p norms are defined by*

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ 1 \leq p \leq \infty$$

In the limit as $p \to \infty$,
$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_k |x_k|.$$

Similar to vectors, matrices can also have norms.

Definition 4 *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a matrix norm if*

$$f(A) \geq 0 \quad f(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A = 0 \quad f(\alpha A) = |\alpha| f(A) \quad f(A + B) \leq f(A) + f(B)$$

Definition 5 *The Froebenius norm is the l_2 norm applied to all elements of the matrix.*

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{trace A A^T} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|^2}$$

One useful way to characterize matrices is by measuring their "gain" relative to some l_p norm.

3

Definition 6 *The operator norms is defined as*

$$\|A\|_p = \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \neq 0} \frac{\|A\boldsymbol{u}\|_p}{\|u\|_p}$$

When $p = 2$, the norm is called the spectral norm because it relates to the largest eigenvalue of $A^T A$.

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{max}(A^T A)}$$

1.2   Inner Products

Definition 7 *An inner product on real vector space is a function that maps $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{X}$ to a non-negative scalar, is distributive, is commutative, and $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}, \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{x} = 0$.*

Inner products induce a norm $\|\boldsymbol{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle}$. In $\mathbb{R}^n$, the standard inner product is $\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{y}$. The angle bewteen two vectors is given by

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{y}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2}.$$

In general, we can bound the absolute value of the standard inner product between two vectors.

Theorem 1 (Holder Inequality)

$$|\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{y}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k y_k| \leq \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_q, \ p, q \geq 1 \ s.t \ p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1.$$

Notice that for $p = q = 2$, theorem 1 turns into the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality $(|\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{y}| \leq \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2)$.

1.3   Functions

We consider functions to be of the form $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. By contrast, a map is of the form $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$. The components of the map f are the scalar valued functions f_i that produce each component of a map.

Definition 8 *The graph of a function f is the set of input-output pairs that f can attain.*

$$\left\{ (x, f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

Definition 9 *The epigraph of a function is the set of input-output pairs that f can achieve and anything above.*

$$\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \ t \geq f(x)\right\}$$

Definition 10 *The t-level set is the set of points that achieve exactly some value of f.*

$$\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ f(x) = t\}$$

Definition 11 *The t-sublevel set of f is the set of points achieving at most a value t.*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ f(x) \leq t\}$$

Definition 12 *The half-spaces are the regions of space which a hyper-plane separates.*

$$H_- = \{x : \boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} \leq b\} \qquad H_+ = \{x : \boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} > b\}$$

Definition 13 *A polyhedron is the intersection of m half-spaces given by $\boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{x} \leq b_i$ for $i \in [1, m]$.*

When a polyhedron is bounded, it is called a polytope.

1.3.1 Types of Functions

Theorem 2 *A function is linear if and only if it can be expressed as $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} + b$ for some unique pair $(\boldsymbol{a}, b)$.*

An affine function is linear when $b = 0$. A hyperplane is simply a level set of a linear function.

Theorem 3 *Any quadratic function can be written as the sum of a quadratic term involving a symmetric matrix and an affine term:*

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^T H \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + d.$$

Another special class of functions are polyhedral functions.

Definition 14 *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is polyhedral if its epigraph is a polyhedron.*

$$epi\ f = \left\{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \ : \ C \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} \\ t \end{bmatrix} \leq d \right\}$$

1.3.2 Vector Calculus

We can also do calculus with vector functions.

Definition 15 *The gradient of a function at a point x where f is differentiable is a column vector of first derivatives of f with respsect to the components of $\boldsymbol{x}$*

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

The gradient is perpendicular to the level sets of f and points from a point $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ to higher values of the function. In other words, it is the direction of steepest increase. It is akin to the derivative of a 1D function.

Definition 16 *The Hessian of a function f at point x is a matrix of second derivatives.*

$$H_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

The Hessian is akin to the second derivative in a 1D function. Note that the Hessian is a symmetric matrix.

1.4 Matrices

Matrices define a linear map between an input space and an output space. Any linear map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ can be represented by a matrix.

Theorem 4 (Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra) *For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,*

$$\mathcal{N}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A^T) = \mathbb{R}^n \qquad \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A^T) = \mathbb{R}^m.$$

1.4.1 Symmetric Matrices

Recall that a symmetric matrix is one where $A = A^T$.

Theorem 5 (Spectral Theorem) *Any symmetric matrix is orthogonally similar to a real diagonal matrix.*

$$A = A^T \implies A = U\Lambda U^T = \sum_i \lambda_i \boldsymbol{u}_i \boldsymbol{u}_i^T, \quad \|\boldsymbol{u}\| = 1, \quad \boldsymbol{u}_i^T \boldsymbol{u}_j = 0 \ (i \neq j)$$

Let $\lambda_{min}(A)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of symmetric matrix A and $\lambda_{max}(A)$ be the largest eigenvalue.

Definition 17 *The Rayleigh Quotient for $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$ is $\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^T A \boldsymbol{x}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2}$.*

Theorem 6 *For any $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$,*

$$\lambda_{min}(A) \leq \frac{\boldsymbol{x}^T A \boldsymbol{x}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2} \leq \lambda_{max}(A).$$

Two special types of symmetric matrices are those with non-negative eigenvalues.

Definition 18 *A symmetric matrix is positive semi-definite if $\boldsymbol{x}^T A \boldsymbol{x} \geq 0 \implies \lambda_{min}(A) \geq 0$.*

Definition 19 *A symmetric matrix is poitive definite if $\boldsymbol{x}^T A \boldsymbol{x} > 0 \implies \lambda_{min}(A) > 0$.*

These matrices are important because they often have very clear geometric structures. For example, an ellipsoid in multi-dimensional space can be defined as the set of points
$$\mathcal{E} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \boldsymbol{x}^T P^{-1} \boldsymbol{x} \leq 1\}$$
where P is a positive definite matrix. The eigenvectors of P give the principle axes of this ellipse, and $\sqrt{\lambda}$ are the semi-axis lengths.

1.4.2 QR Factorization

Similar to how spectral theorem allows us to decompose symmetric matrices, QR factorization is another matrix decomposition technique that works for any general matrix.

Definition 20 *The QR factorization matrix are the orthogonal matrix Q and the upper triangular matrix R such that $A = QR$*

An easy way to find the QR factorization of a matrix is to apply Graham Schmidt to the columns of the matrix and express the result in matrix form. Suppose that our matrix A is full rank (i.e its columns $\boldsymbol{a}_i$ are linearly independent) and we have applied Graham-Schmidt to columns $\boldsymbol{a}_{i+1} \cdots \boldsymbol{a}_n$ to get orthogonal vectors $\boldsymbol{q}_{i+1} \cdots \boldsymbol{q}_n$. Continuing the procedure, the ith orthogonal vector $\boldsymbol{q}_i$ is

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_i = \boldsymbol{a}_i - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{q}_k^T \boldsymbol{a}_k) \boldsymbol{q}_k \qquad \boldsymbol{q}_i = \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_i}{\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_i\|_2}.$$

If we re-arrange this, to solve for $\boldsymbol{a}_i$, we see that

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i = \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_i\|_2 \boldsymbol{q}_i + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{q}_k^T \boldsymbol{a}_k) \boldsymbol{q}_k.$$

Putting this in matrix form, we can see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \boldsymbol{a}_1 & \boldsymbol{a}_2 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{a}_n \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \boldsymbol{q}_1 & \boldsymbol{q}_2 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{q}_n \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \qquad r_{ij} = \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{q}_j, r_{ii} = \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_i\|_2.$$

1.4.3 Singular Value Decomposition

Definition 21 *A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a dyad if it can be written as $\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q}^T$.*

A dyad is a rank-one matrix. It turns out that all matrices can be decomposed into a sum of dyads.

Definition 22 *The Singular Value Decomposition of a matrix A is*

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \boldsymbol{u}_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^T$$

where σ_i are the singular values of A and $\boldsymbol{u}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ are the left and right singular vectors.

Th singular values are ordered such that $\sigma_1 >= \sigma_2 >= \cdots$. The left singular values are the eigenvectors of AA^T and the right singular values are the eigenvectors of $A^T A$. The singular values are $\sqrt{\lambda_i}$ where λ_i are the eigenvalues of $A^T A$. Since AA^T and $A^T A$ are symmetric, $\boldsymbol{u}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ are orthogonal. The number of non-zero singular values is equal to the rank of the matrix. We can write the SVD in matrix form as

$$A = [U_r \quad U_{n-r}] \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_r, 0, \cdots, 0) \begin{bmatrix} V_r^T \\ V_{n-r}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Writing the SVD tells us that

1. V_{n-r} forms a basis for $\mathcal{N}(A)$

2. U_r form a basis for $\mathcal{R}(A)$

The Frobenius norm and spectral norm are tightly related to the SVD.

$$\|A\|_F = \sum_i \sigma_i^2$$

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \sigma_1^2$$

2   Fundamentals of Optimization

Definition 23 *The standard form of optimization is*

$$p^\star = \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \text{ such that } f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 0$$

- The vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is known as the **decision variable**.

- The function $f_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is the **objective**.

- The functions $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is the **constraints**.

- $p^\star$ is the **optimal value**, and the $\boldsymbol{x}^\star$ which achieves the optimal value is called the **optimizer**.

Definition 24 *The feasible set of an optimization problem is*

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 0\}$$

Definition 25 *A point $\boldsymbol{x}$ is ϵ-suboptimal if it is feasible and satisfies*

$$p^\star \leq f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq p^\star + \epsilon$$

2.1 Problem Transformations

Sometimes, optimizations in a particular formulation do not admit themselves to be solved easily. In this case, we can sometimes transform the problem into an easier one from which we can easily recover the solution to our original problem. In many cases, we can introduce additional "slack" variable and constraints to massage the problem into a form which is easier to analyze.

Theorem 7 (Epigraphic Constraints) $\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f_0(x)$ *is equivalent to the problem with epigraphic constraints*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x},t} t \quad : \quad f_0(x) \leq t,$$

theorem 7 works because by minimizing t, we are also minimizing how large $f_0(x)$ can get since $f_0(x) \leq t$, so at optimum, $f_0(x) = t$. It can be helpful when $f_0(x) \leq t$ can be massaged further into constraints that are easier to deal with.

Theorem 8 (Monotone Objective Transformation) *Let* $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a continuous and strictly increasing function over a feasible set* $\mathcal{X}$*. Then*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \Phi(f_0(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

2.2 Robust Optimization

For a "nominal" problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \quad : \quad \forall i \in [1, m], \ f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 0,$$

uncertainty can enter in the data used to create the f_0 and f_i. It can also enter during decision time where the $\boldsymbol{x}^\star$ which solves the optimization cannot be implemented exactly. These uncertainties can create unstable solutions or degraded performance. To make our optimization more robust to uncertainty, we add a new variable $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 26 *For a nominal optimization problem* $\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x})$ *subject to* $f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 0$ *for* $i \in [1, m]$*, the robust counterpart is*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{U}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}) \quad : \quad \forall i \in [1, m], \ f_i(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}) \leq 0$$

3 Linear Algebraic Optimization

Many optimization problems can be solved using the machinery of Linear Algebra. These problems do not have inequality constraints or non-euclidean norms in the objective function.

3.1 Projection

The idea behind projection is to find the closest point in a set closest (with respect to particular norm) to a given point.

Definition 27 *Given a vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ in inner product space $\mathcal{X}$ and a subspace $S \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, the projection of $\boldsymbol{x}$ onto S is given by*

$$\Pi_S(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{y} \in S} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}\|$$

where the norm is the one induced by the inner product.

Theorem 9 *There exists a unique vector $\boldsymbol{x}^* \in S$ which solves*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in S} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}\|.$$

It is necessary and sufficient for $\boldsymbol{x}^*$ to be optimal that $(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^*) \perp S$. The same condition applies when projecting onto an affine set.

3.1.1 Matrix Pseudo-inverses

Definition 28 *A pseudoinverse is a matrix $A^\dagger$ that satisfies:*

$$AA^\dagger A = A \quad A^\dagger A A^\dagger = A^\dagger \quad (AA^\dagger)^T = AA^\dagger \quad (A^\dagger A)^T = A^\dagger A$$

There are several special cases of pseudoinverses.

1. $A^\dagger = V_r \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1}, \cdots, \frac{1}{\sigma_r}\right) U_r^T$ is the Moore-Penrose Pseudo-inverse.

2. When A and non-singular, $A^\dagger = A^{-1}$.

3. When A is full column rank, $A^\dagger = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$.

4. When A is full row rank, $A^\dagger = A^T(AA^T)^{-1}$

The pseudo-inverses are useful because they can easily compute the projection of a vector onto a related subspace of A.

1. $\text{argmin}_{z \in \mathcal{R}(A)} \|\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2 = AA^\dagger \boldsymbol{y}$

2. $\text{argmin}_{z \in \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp} \|\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2 = (I - AA^\dagger)\boldsymbol{y}$

3. $\text{argmin}_{z \in \mathcal{N}(A)} \|\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2 = (I - A^\dagger A)\boldsymbol{y}$

4. $\text{argmin}_{z \in \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp} \|\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2 = A^\dagger A \boldsymbol{y}$

3.2   Explained Variance

The Low Rank Approximation problem is to approximate a matrix A with a rank k matrix

$$\min_{A_k} \|A - A_k\|_F^2 \text{ such that } \text{rank}(A_k) = k.$$

The solution to the low rank approximation problem is simply the first k terms of the SVD:

$$A_K^\star = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \boldsymbol{u}_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^T.$$

This is because the singular values give us a notion of how much of the Frobenius Norm (Total Variance) each dyad explains.

$$\eta = \frac{\|A_k\|_F^2}{\|A\|_F^2} = \frac{\sum_i^k \sigma_i^2}{\sum_i^r \sigma_i^2}$$

3.2.1   PCA

Suppose we had a matrix containing m data points in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (each data point is a column), and without loss of generality, assume this data is centered around 0 (i.e $\sum_i \boldsymbol{x}_i = 0$). The variance of this data along a particular direction $\boldsymbol{z}$ is given by $\boldsymbol{z}^T XX^T \boldsymbol{z}$. Principle Component Analysis is finding the directions $\boldsymbol{z}$ such that the variance is maximized.

$$\max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \boldsymbol{z}^T XX^T \boldsymbol{z} \text{ such that } \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_2 = 1$$

The left singular vector corresponding to the largest singular value of the XX^T matrix is the optimizer of this problem, and the variance along this direction is σ_1^2. If we wanted to find subsequent directions of maximal variance, they are just the left singular vectors corresponding to the largest singular values.

3.3 Removing Constraints

Following from the Fundmental Theorem of Linear Algebra, if $A\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{y}$ has a solution, then the set of solutions can be expressed as

$$S = \{\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} + N\boldsymbol{z}\}$$

where $A\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{y}$ and N is a basis for $\mathcal{N}(A)$. This means if we have a constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \ : \ A\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b},$$

we can write an equivalent unconstrained problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{z}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}_0 + N\boldsymbol{z})$$

where $A\boldsymbol{x}_0 = \boldsymbol{b}$

4 Convex Optimization

4.1 Convexity

Definition 29 *A subset $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if it contains the line segment between any two points in the set.*

$$\forall \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2 \in C, \ \lambda \in [0,1], \quad \lambda \boldsymbol{x}_1 + (1-\lambda)\boldsymbol{x}_2 \in C$$

Convexity can be preserved by some operations.

Theorem 10 *If $C_1, \cdots, C_m$ are convex sets, then their intersection $C = \bigcap_{i=1,\cdots,m} C_i$ is also a convex set.*

Theorem 11 *If a map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is affine and $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex, then $f(C) = \{f(\boldsymbol{x}) : \boldsymbol{x} \in C\}$ is convex.*

theorems 10 and 11 are important because they allow us to prove sets are convex using sets that we know are convex. For example, theorem 11 tells us that a projection of a convex set onto a subspace must also be convex since projection is a linear operator.

Definition 30 *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if its domain is a convex set and $\forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ in the domain, $\lambda \in [0,1]$,*

$$f(\lambda \boldsymbol{x} + (1-\lambda)\boldsymbol{y}) \leq \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1-\lambda)f(\boldsymbol{y})$$

Loosely, convexity means that the function is bowl shaped since a line connecting any two points on the function is above the function itself. A concave function is simply one where $-f$ is convex, and these appear like a "hill". Because convex functions are bowl shaped, they must be ∞ outside their domain.

Theorem 12 *A function f is convex if and only if its epigraph is a convex set.*

Just like convex sets, some operations preserve convexity for functions.

Theorem 13 *If $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are convex functions, then $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_i(\boldsymbol{x})$ where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ is also convex.*

A similar property to theorem 11 exists for convex functions.

Theorem 14 *If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then $g(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(A\boldsymbol{x} + b)$ is also convex.*

We can also look at the first and second order derivatives to determine the convexity of a function.

Theorem 15 *If f is differentiable, then f is convex if and only if*

$$\forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \quad f(\boldsymbol{y}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \nabla_x^T(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})$$

theorem 15 can be understood geometrically by saying the graph of f is bounded below everywhere by its tangent hyperplanes.

Theorem 16 *If f is twice differentiable, then f is convex if and only if the Hessian ∇^2 is positive semi-definite everywhere.*

Geometrically, the second-order condition says that f looks bowl-shaped.

Theorem 17 *A function f is convex if and only if its restriction to any line $g(t) = f(\boldsymbol{x}_0 + t\boldsymbol{v})$ is convex.*

Theorem 18 *If $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ is a family of convex functions, then the pointwise maximum $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \max_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} f_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})$ is convex.*

Because of the nice geometry that convexity gives, optimization problems which involve convex functions and sets are reliably solveable.

Definition 31 *A convex optimization problem in standard form is*

$$p^* = \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) : \quad \forall i \in [1, m], f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 0, A\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$$

where $f_0, f_1, \cdots$ are convex functions and the equality constraints are affine.

Since the constraints form a convex set, definition 31 is equivalent to minimizing a convex function over a convex set $\mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 19 *A locally optimal solution to a convex problem is also globally optimal, and this set $\mathcal{X}$ is convex.*

theorem 19 is why convex problems are nice to solve.

4.2 Conic Programming

Conic programming is the set of optimization problems which deal with variables constrained to a second-order cone.

Definition 32 *A n-dimensional second-order cone is the set*

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \{(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \in \mathbb{R} : \ \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \leq t\}$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz, $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 = \max_{\boldsymbol{u}:\|\boldsymbol{u}\|\leq 1} \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{x} \leq t$. This means that second order cones are convex sets since they are the intersection of half-spaces. In spaces 3-dimensions and higher, we can rotate these cones.

Definition 33 *A rotated second order cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ is the set*

$$\mathcal{K}_n^r = \{(\boldsymbol{x}, y, z), \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R} : \ \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} \leq yz, y \geq 0, z \geq 0\}.$$

The rotated second-order cone can be interpreted as a rotation because the hyperbolic constraint $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2 \leq yz$ can be expressed equivalently as

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 2\boldsymbol{x} \\ y - z \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq y + z.$$

Definition 34 *The standard Second Order Cone Constraint is*

$$\|A\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}\|_2 \leq \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + d.$$

A SOC constraint will confine $\boldsymbol{x}$ to a second order cone since if we let $\boldsymbol{y} = A\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $t = \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + d$, then $(\boldsymbol{y}, t) \in \mathcal{K}_m$.

Definition 35 *A second-order cone program in standard inequality form is given by*

$$\min \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} \text{ such that } \|A_i \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}_i\|_2 \leq \boldsymbol{c}_i^T \boldsymbol{x} + d_i.$$

An SOC program is a convex problem since its objective is linear, and hence convex, and the SOC constraints are also convex.

4.2.1 Quadratic Programming

A special case of SOCPs are Quadratic Programs. These programs have constraints and an objective function which can be expressed as a quadratic function. In SOCP form, they look like

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min_{\boldsymbol{x}, t} \quad & \boldsymbol{a}_0^T \boldsymbol{x} + t \\
\text{s.t:} \quad & \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 2Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{x} \\ t - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq t + 1 \\
& \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 2Q_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{x} \\ b_i - \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{x} - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq b_i - \boldsymbol{a}_i \boldsymbol{x} + 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since they are a special case of SOCPs, Quadratic Programs are also convex.

Definition 36 *The standard form of a quadratic constrained quadratic program is*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{x}^T Q_0 \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{a}_0^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad : \quad \forall i \in [1, m], \ \boldsymbol{x}^T Q_i \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{x} \leq b_i$$

To be a quadratic program, the matrix H must be positive semi-definite. If the $Q_i = 0$ in the constraints, then we get a normal quadratic program.

Definition 37 *The standard form of a quadratic program is given by*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^T H \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad : \quad \forall i \in [1, m], \ \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{x} \leq b_i$$

Its SOCP form looks like

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}, y} \quad \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + y$$

$$\text{s.t:} \quad \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 2H^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{x} \\ y - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq y + 1,$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i \boldsymbol{x} \leq b_i$$

In the special case where H is positive definite and we have no constraints, then

$$\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^T H \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + d = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} + H^{-1}\boldsymbol{c})^T H(\boldsymbol{x} + H^{-1}\boldsymbol{c}) + d - (H^{-1}\boldsymbol{c})^T H(H^{-1}\boldsymbol{c})$$

Thus

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^T H \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + d = -H^{-1}\boldsymbol{c}$$

4.2.2   Linear Programming

If the matrix in the objective function of a quadratic program is 0 (and there are no quadratic constraints), then the resulting objective and constraints are affine functions. This is a linear program.

Definition 38 *The inequality form of a linear program is given by*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + d \quad : \quad \forall i \in [1, m], \ \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{x} \leq b_i$$

Since linear program is a special case of a quadratic program, it can also be expressed as an SOCP.

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \quad \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x}$$

$$\text{s.t} \quad \forall i \in [1, m], \ \|0\boldsymbol{x} + 0\|_2 \leq b_i - \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{x}$$

Because of the constraints, the feasible set of a linear program is a polyhedron. Thus linear programs are also convex.