

AXIS Capital Holdings Limited NYSE:AXS

FQ2 2013 Earnings Call Transcripts

Wednesday, July 31, 2013 12:00 PM GMT

S&P Global Market Intelligence Estimates

	-FQ2 2013-			-FQ3 2013-	-FY 2013-	-FY 2014-
	CONSENSUS	ACTUAL	SURPRISE	CONSENSUS	CONSENSUS	CONSENSUS
EPS Normalized	0.49	0.43	▲ (12.24 %)	0.95	4.57	4.72
Revenue (mm)	858.92	993.41	▲ 15.66	699.84	3854.90	3938.08

Currency: USD

Consensus as of Jul-31-2013 12:04 PM GMT




Table of Contents

Call Participants	3
Presentation	4
Question and Answer	11

Call Participants

EXECUTIVES

Albert A. Benchimol
President, CEO & Director

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

Linda Ventresca

ANALYSTS

Amit Kumar
Macquarie Research

Brian Robert Meredith
UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

Charles Sebaski

Gregory Locraft
Morgan Stanley, Research Division

Jay Adam Cohen
BofA Merrill Lynch, Research Division

Meyer Shields
Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

Michael Steven Nannizzi
Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Research Division

Vinay Gerard Misquith
Evercore ISI Institutional Equities, Research Division

Presentation

Operator

Good morning, and welcome to the AXIS Capital Second Quarter 2013 Earnings Conference Call. [Operator Instructions] Please note this event is being recorded.

I would now like to turn the conference over to Linda Ventresca, Director of Investor Relations. Please go ahead.

Linda Ventresca

Thank you, Laura, and good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I am happy to welcome you to our conference call to discuss the financial results for AXIS Capital for the second quarter ended June 30, 2013. Our earnings press release and financial supplement were issued yesterday evening after the market closed. If you would like copies, please visit the Investor Information section of our website, www.axiscapital.com.

We set aside an hour for today's call, which is also available as an audio webcast through the Investor Information section of our website. A replay of the teleconference will be available by dialing (877) 344-7529 in the U.S. The international number is (412) 317-0088. The conference code for both replay dial-in numbers is 10030850.

With me on today's call are Albert Benchimol, our President and CEO; and Joe Henry, our CFO. Before I turn the call over to Albert, I will remind everyone that statements made during this call including the question-and-answer session, which are not historical facts may be forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. Federal Securities laws.

Forward-looking statements contained in this presentation include but are not necessarily limited to information regarding our estimate of losses related to catastrophes, policies, and other loss events, general economic, capital and credit market conditions, future growth prospects, financial results and capital management initiatives, evaluation of losses and loss reserves, investment strategies, investment portfolio and market performance, impact to the marketplace with respect to changes in pricing models, and our expectations regarding pricing and other market conditions. These statements involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, which could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations.

For a discussion of these matters, please refer to the Risk Factors section in our most recent Form 10-K on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

In addition, this presentation contains information regarding operating income and our consolidated underwriting income, which are non-GAAP financial measures within the meaning of the U.S. Federal Securities laws. For a reconciliation of these items to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, please refer to our press release, which can be found on our website.

With that, I'd like to turn the call over to Albert.

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

Thank you, Linda. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, and thank you for joining us today. Last night, we reported second quarter operating income of \$50 million or \$0.43 per diluted share and annualized operating ROE for the quarter of 3.9%. Our quarterly result was adversely impacted by a very high frequency of unrelated small and midsize cat and weather events in the U.S., Canada, Europe, and Argentina, aggregating to \$140 million net of reinsurance and reinstatement premiums. None of the event losses exceeded \$35 million and our analysis indicates that this is an issue of random frequency. We'll discuss the quarter's loss experience in more detail.

Notwithstanding the headline losses for the quarter, I believe the results should be viewed in the context of 4 key factors. The first is that while our portfolio has historically experienced some volatility, we've been well compensated for that volatility over time as evidenced by our superior underwriting metrics.

The second is that this volatility goes both ways. Our first quarter had extremely low cat and weather activity in contrast to the higher activity in the second quarter such that our year-to-date results are actually quite healthy, with a lower year-over-year combined ratio, operating income of \$2.36 per share, up 19% over the prior year, and annualized operating ROE of 10.9%. These results are indicative of the ability of our portfolio to absorb volatility including that presented by a quarter such as this one.

The third point is that excluding the quarter's cat and weather losses, the core underwriting results were nevertheless quite strong. Both of our segments contributed solid core underwriting profits, as Joe will discuss later.

Finally, as we've discussed with you on previous occasions, we are committed to diversifying our portfolio so as to reduce earnings volatility over time and we are making substantial progress in this area. Both our Insurance and Reinsurance segments delivered strong and diversifying premium growth, with consolidated gross premiums written up 20% in the quarter and 17% year-to-date. Fully 80% of the growth this year has come from lines other than Property, Marine or catastrophe lines. Our Accident and Health and agriculture initiatives contributed almost half of the growth this year.

Our Property, Marine, property reinsurance and property catastrophe reinsurance premiums written were up 8% year-to-date, much of which was driven by rates and our various zonal PMLs have been relatively stable. So our diversifying growth initiatives are on track and we expect these will delivered our desired reduction in earnings volatility going forward.

Diluted book value per share declined 4.5% during the quarter to \$42.67 due to the predictably material negative impact of the significant rise in rates and widening of credit spreads that adversely impacted our investment portfolio this quarter. Some of this has come back in the last few weeks but, as of quarter end, we did take some pain in the portfolio.

We remain committed to intelligent capital management, sustaining our financial strength and benefiting our shareholders. During the quarter we were active in repurchasing our common shares, \$228 million repurchased in quarter. So far this year we have repurchased 8.5 million shares or 7.2% of the outstanding shares at the beginning of the year.

Importantly, we continue to execute on our strategic goals: bringing on new talent, expanding our franchise with growth in attractive lines and markets, both existing and new as we build a broader more diversified portfolio. Market conditions are stable at attractive levels or improving in many of our lines and we are well positioned to continue to take advantage of available opportunities.

I will discuss market conditions in more detail following Joe's remarks. And Joe, over to you.

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

Thank you, Albert, and good morning everyone. The first half of 2013 at Axis has seen 2 very different quarters. Our cat and weather related loss experience was extremely light in the first quarter this year, delivering one of our strongest quarterly underwriting profits in the last 5 years. In contrast, as Albert noted, in this quarter we experienced a high frequency of natural cat and weather related losses impacting our portfolio.

First half results are a better measure of our underwriting portfolio's performance this year. For the first 6 months of 2013, we generated underwriting income of \$181 million, an annualized ROE of 14.7% and an operating ROE of 10.9%. Moving into the details of the income statement, our second quarter gross premiums written increased 20%, to more than \$1.2 billion, with growth emanating from both of our segments. In our insurance segment our top line was up \$106 million or 15.7%, reflecting a continuation of the trends noted in the first quarter.

Significant growth in our Accident and Health line contributed almost half of the increase for the quarter. For the year-to-date, Accident and Health premiums are up 78%. In liability, our growth in the U.S. wholesale excess casualty market continued this quarter given the significant improvement in the rate environment in selected areas. Growth in our professional lines business in Europe, Canada and Australia continued to drive growth in professional lines overall and an element of renewal timing also contributed but to a lesser extent.

Property premiums were broadly comparable quarter-over-quarter as growth from rate and new business opportunities largely offset continued reduction of cat-exposed business written through MGAs and a shift in the renewal date for one significant contract.

In Reinsurance, our top line was up \$99 million or 29.3%. Agriculture contributed half of this increase with a significant amount of this year's January 1st U.S. business binding later than usual. For the year-to-date, our agriculture premiums are up \$122 million to \$135 million. Outside of our agriculture initiative, remaining growth in Reinsurance this quarter was driven by increased participation in the U.S. excess umbrella market, where cedants are benefiting from a more attractive rate environment. Our catastrophe premiums also increased, partially driven by expanded relationship with 1 cedant in Florida and other new business in the United States. This was partially offset by the impact of rate reductions and the depreciation of the yen against the U.S. dollar at the April 1st renewal date.

Our consolidated net premiums written were up 24%, exceeding the growth rate for gross premiums written. The corresponding 2-point reduction in our ceded premium ratio for the quarter was driven by a number of factors. The most significant factor was growth in business for which we do not cede significant premiums, specifically our Accident and Health line and our Reinsurance segment. Changes in reinsurance purchasing for our Insurance segment also contributed. This included reductions in the quota share cession [ph] rates for significant portions of our Professional Lines and Liability books, our reduction in the cost of our property excess of loss protection, and higher retentions for both Property and Marine.

Our net earned premiums were up 11% for the quarter, with growth in Insurance and Reinsurance driven by our Accident and Health and agriculture initiatives, respectively. Our second quarter, consolidated, current-accident-year loss ratio increased 8.7 points to 72.4%, primarily driven by the high frequency of small and midsize of natural cat and weather related events globally. In Insurance, the accident year loss ratio increased 12.4 points with 11.9 points of this attributable to natural cat and weather related losses. We recognized \$90 million, or 21.1 points of net losses related to cat and weather, inclusive of payments to reinstate reinsurance protection. In Insurance, these losses primarily emanated from tornadoes and hailstorms in the U.S. and flooding in Argentina and Canada. While none of these events significantly impacted results in isolation, the combined impact was meaningful to the quarter's result. Comparatively, the second quarter of 2012 was impacted by \$35 million of net losses related to second quarter U.S. weather events.

Adjusting for the impact of these cat and weather items, the accident-year loss ratio was relatively flat, with a higher level of risk losses in the quarter offsetting the favorable impacts of rate. For the first half of 2013, the current accident year loss ratio for insurance is 68.0%, up only slightly from 66.7% for the comparable period in 2012. Adjusting for the impact of cat and weather-related losses, the accident year loss ratio for insurance was down 3.5 points as rate, mix and experience benefited the ratio.

The second quarter, current accident year loss ratio for our Reinsurance segment was up 5.8 points to 66.3%. We recognized \$50 million of natural cat and weather-related losses net of reinstatements, primarily related to European and Canadian flooding. This contributed 9.7 points to the accident year loss ratio, whereas the 2012 net losses related to second quarter U.S. weather activity contributed 4.2 points. Adjusting for the impact of cat and weather-related losses, the second quarter ratios were comparable, as higher loss ratios booked for agriculture offset improvement in rate and experience. Adjusting for the impact of cat and weather items for the first half of the year, the current accident year loss ratio for Reinsurance improved by 1.6 points to 56.3%.

In the quarter, our results continued to benefit from net favorable development, which aggregated \$42 million. Short-tail classes in both segments contributed \$32 million of this balance, primarily reflecting better than expected loss emergence. In addition, we continued to give weight to actuarial methods that

reflect our favorable experience for liability reinsurance business, a process that commenced last quarter. This contributed a further \$22 million of favorable development for the quarter, primarily related to the 2004 through 2007 accident years.

We strengthened our reserves for professional liability insurance lines by \$14 million this quarter. This action was driven by recent developments on certain global financial credit crisis claims and was partially offset by the recognition of favorable experience for professional lines business not impacted by the global financial crisis.

We've historically taken a cautious approach with respect to the 2007 through 2009 accident years affected by the global financial crisis given that development patterns are expected to differ significantly from other years. We've been carefully monitoring both market trends and individual case developments in the quarters and years that followed and it was the latter that drove us to strengthen our reserves this quarter.

Consistent with our historical practice, we reacted swiftly to unfavorable claim emergence for certain underlying reserved classes while exercising a degree of caution in recognizing the impact of favorable experience. We are very comfortable with our overall reserve position at quarter end for professional lines insurance, with \$1.1 billion in IBNR for all years, of which \$234 million is related to years impacted by the global financial crisis.

Our G&A expense ratio decreased 3.1 points relative to last year. This is primarily due to the \$34 million of costs associated with our senior leadership transition embedded in G&A last year. Adjusting for the transition cost last year, the increase in G&A of 80 basis points was primarily driven by increased staffing and office costs attributable to the continued expansion of our global platform over the past year as well as timing issues and certain one-time expenses.

Turning to the investment portfolio. The total return of our investment portfolio was a negative 134 basis points in the second quarter, primarily reflecting mark to market adjustments on fixed-income securities. This quarter's pretax unrealized loss of \$295 million was principally due to changes in valuation for fixed-income securities driven by rising interest rates and widening credit spreads. Net investment income was \$83 million for the quarter, up from the prior year's quarter \$74 million. The most significant driver of the quarter-over-quarter increase was our other investment portfolio, which contributed \$12 million during this quarter versus a negative \$2 million in the prior year.

Net investment income from our fixed-maturity portfolios, including cash and investments, was \$76 million for the quarter, down slightly from \$78 million in the prior year quarter. The reinvestment yield on our fixed-maturity portfolio increased during the quarter from 1.7% to 2.4% due primarily to the upward shift in U.S. treasury rates. Cash and invested assets totaled \$14.3 billion at June 30th, up from \$13.9 billion a year ago. At June 30, 2013, our fixed maturities weighted average credit rating was unchanged at AA-. During the quarter our fixed-maturity portfolio duration increased to 3.5 years compared with 3.1 years at the beginning of the quarter and 2.8 years a year ago. The increase in duration during the quarter is mainly due to the reduction in the anticipated prepayment speeds on our mortgage-backed security holdings.

The strategy for our fixed-maturity portfolio is unchanged and emphasizes spread sectors, the largest being corporates and U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities. In order to reduce the impact of rising U.S. treasury rates, we have increased allocations to local currency, emerging market sovereign debt and floating rate, senior CLO debt in recent quarters. We also incorporated TIPS into our portfolio to reduce the impact from unexpected increases in inflation and increased our municipal bond holdings this quarter due to attractive after-tax returns.

Equities and alternatives now account for 11.1% of total cash and investment assets versus 10.4% a year ago. We expect these investments to provide attractive returns during periods when our fixed maturity results are suboptimal.

In summary, the investment portfolio performed in line with expectations during the quarter that remains comprised of a diversified set of strategies with a focus on mitigating the negative impact of interest rates on the portfolio.

While rising rates and spread widening adversely impacted our book value this quarter, a theme for the entire industry, our capital position remained strong. We closed the quarter with common equity of \$4.9 billion and total capital of \$6.6 billion. The change in the quarter includes net income available to common shareholders of \$72 million, an after-tax, mark-to-market reduction in our investment portfolio of \$267 million and stock repurchase and dividends of \$257 million.

During the quarter, we repurchased 5.1 million common shares for a total of \$228 million, leaving us with \$409 million of remaining authorization under our Reinsurance program. For the year-to-date, we have returned 151% of operating earnings through share repurchases and dividends and that's a total of \$418 million. If market and financial conditions remain the same, we continue to anticipate returning close to 100% of our annual earnings to our shareholders through regular dividends and share repurchases although we expect to slow a repurchase activity during the Atlantic wind season.

We also issued \$225 million of Series D Preferred shares, which pay a 5.5% dividend. We used a portion of the net proceeds to fund the redemption of the \$100 million, 7.25% Series A Preferred shares outstanding. This in combination with the other preferred transactions executed over the past 18 months reduced the weighted average dividend rate on our preferred equity capital base by 99 basis points to 6.385%. Our strategic expansion opportunities continue to progress and we remain optimistic about our prospects. We believe that our diversified global franchise and strong balance sheet will continue to allow us to take advantage of market opportunities as they emerge.

With that, I'll turn the call back over to Albert.

Albert A. Benchimol
President, CEO & Director

Thank you, Joe. We continue to be positive on market conditions for AXIS. Other than in a few isolated lines and markets, pricing remained stable or increasing for most of our book. The phase of improvement however, has slowed since the first quarter.

Within our Insurance segment, the overall AXIS insurant rate change for the second quarter of 2013 stands at plus 3% down slightly from 5% last quarter. Rates are continuing to increase across most classes and geographies other than the same few notable exceptions.

Our U.S. division, which is dominated by a wholesale E&S property and casualty business, continues to show the strongest rate improvement. Overall rate change this quarter was plus 6% down from plus 9% last quarter. This deceleration in the U.S. is primarily driven by E&S property. This stabilization follows on 9 consecutive quarters of rate increases, aggregating to 15%, something rarely seen in our careers.

We expect accounts with the recent loss activity, wind or flood concerns or accumulation issues within a specific geographic region will continue to see price increases through another renewal cycle. Casualty business in the U.S. division, which is primarily E&S umbrella business, continued to show double-digit rate increases 9 quarters after rate increases begin. Overall in the U.S., we are continuing to see more challenging risk flow away from standard carriers and back into the E&S markets, providing us with a greater flow of submissions, higher new business conversion rates and improved retention ratios.

In our International division, most classes monitored continue to indicate rate increases. The average for our London-sourced specialty lines within this division is essentially flat this quarter, down from plus 4% during the first quarter. The comparison between quarters is exaggerated by the marine liability class, which showed a large increase in the first quarter, and by the large proportion of offshore marine business written in the second quarter, which gave up some rates following 2-year period of good pricing underlying [indiscernible] losses. Once again aviation and terrorism are stubbornly continuing their downward trend at a pace consistent with last year's.

After 8 consecutive quarters of rate increases that aggregated to a cumulative 19% pricing increase, our global property class written out of London took a breather last quarter and was essentially flat. The international P&C lines within the International division, which includes our Canadian and Australian P&C operations, are showing a rate change of plus 1%, down from 3% in the first quarter.

In our Professional Lines division, overall rate change is plus 1% down from plus 3% in the first quarter. Most classes monitored continue to indicate rate increases but generally at a lower rate than in the first quarter. In the U.S., price remains more consistent on primary business than in excess layers. Private company D&O and ancillary lines pricing held firm in the quarter. Outside the U.S., rate change remains more patchy with continued good improvement in Australia but financial institutions and commercial D&O in Canada and Europe are showing declines in the low single digits.

Moving on to Reinsurance. In the most recent mid-year renewals, dominated by property renewals in the U.S., pricing was under pressure. High-margin Florida accounts experienced the most significant rate pressure. This pressure follows on from historically high pricing levels achieved in recent years, levels which served to attract significant additional capital. From our prospective, much of this business remains attractive, especially as the increased southeast exposure improves the capital efficiency and return on risk of our overall portfolio.

We've been focusing on this for some time and are comfortable that our portfolio today delivers more attractive expected profitability on a risk-adjusted basis than the portfolio we had 1 year ago. Our base is a much stronger one from which to navigate the vagaries of the market.

Non-property renewals have been mixed. Generally, the gradually improving primary pricing environment I just described is offset to some degree by increasing competition from reinsurers. This is often manifested in increased ceding commission. Although we are seeing increases in those ceding commissions, in most cases the net result is a net positive rate to AXIS Re, as the underlying rates are increasing at a faster pace than the ceding commissions. Approximately 14% of AXIS Re's 2012 expiring premium was renewable in July 1. At this renewal, we estimate we wrote about \$260 million of premium, about 4% more than the expiring. Growth from select opportunities in casualty reinsurance offset minor reductions elsewhere in the portfolio. Within Property, reductions in catastrophe writings were somewhat offset by growth in property per risk and quota share as well as an engineering.

I'm confident we're making the most of current market conditions. We believe we've been successful in growing our business in the most attractive areas and pursuing diversified growth in select specialty areas across both of our segments. In Insurance, we've improved penetration in the E&S lines with new business production this quarter doubling relative to the second quarter of last year. We've progressed our initiatives into renewable energy, design professionals and environmental professional liability, U.K. professional indemnity lines and in our Australian and Canadian operations. Of note, in our Accident and Health initiatives, gross written premiums in the first half of this year already exceed those for the full year of 2012 at 13%. In AXIS Re, following the activity in agriculture reinsurance in the U.S., which marked the first half of the year, we are now working on expanding those relationships as well as the upcoming China and India renewals. We are also progressing on our third-party capital management efforts and have also begun executing on opportunities to hedge our reinsurance portfolio at attractive prices.

We believe we are executing on all critical elements of our strategic plan. AXIS's strong underwriting performance over the last decade was achieved with a focus on complex, volatile lines. At the core of our strategic plan is to continue to execute well in underwriting these risks, reducing volatility where we can but understanding that we have to accept some quarterly volatility in return for a better annual result in volatility.

Nevertheless, we will look to further mitigate that overall portfolio volatility and to further enhance overall annual outcomes by diligently executing on select portfolio accretive initiatives in less volatile specialty areas. By year-to-date annualized operating ROE of approximately 11% is the more appropriate measure of our performance this year thus far. While this is a good result, it still does not reflect the full leverage of all the major strategic initiatives in progress at AXIS and we remain committed to continuing to deliver top quintile annual results with industry-average annual volatility for the benefit of our shareholders.

And with that, I'd like to open the lines for questions.

Question and Answer

Operator

[Operator Instructions] And our first question will come from Charles Sebaski of BMO Capital Markets.

Charles Sebaski

I have a couple of questions. The first one on capital management activities. I thought I recalled last quarter that kind of the guidepost for repurchases was an earnings-plus concept that I think, Joe, you said here, you're kind of at a -- up 2 earnings. I wonder if there's any change based on growth or anything else?

Joseph Christopher Henry *Consultant*

No, Charles, there is no change. We're sticking to the same policy that we had before. Obviously at this point in time our repurchases are in excess of our income. We take this a quarter at a time. We'll lay back during the third quarter wind season but take another look at this in the fourth quarter of the year.

Charles Sebaski

Okay. And then I guess on the Insurance division, I was hoping to get I guess a little bit more color on the volatility and the return aspect. I guess the \$90 million in cat losses in the quarter I think took a lot of us kind of by surprise, given that it seemed to be not an above-average cat quarter in general. Just wondering if we could see if there's anything that's been changed in the portfolio? If you're either at lower levels on the primary basis or anything year-over-year? It just seems that the only time you've been at this level of cat losses has been when there's been major hurricane activity.

Albert A. Benchimol *President, CEO & Director*

Charles, you're right. It is in fact the highest loss quarter in the Insurance division since we've had -- without major activity. But we've looked at this many different ways. And we've looked at the average number of risk losses that we've had from different sources over time and this is truly an exceptional quarter in both the number of events as well as the severity of the individual events. You're right that it wasn't a single event but I think that's also an important part of the situation. These were a number of smaller claims, the largest one individually being the La Plata [ph] energy loss that was related to the floods in Argentina. But other than that it was all small claims, in the single millions mostly. It just happened everywhere. It happened in Calgary. It happened in -- it happened all over the U.S. weather. It happened in Argentina. And I will also say that the number that we've provided includes a fair amount of IBNR against a cumulative amount of PCS events for the full year. So I don't know how other individuals are reporting this but we had 14 different PCS events in the second quarter. And according to our practice, recognizing that very often a lot of these attritional PCS losses don't get announced in the quarter that it's experienced, our practice is to set up an amount of PCS-related IBNR to anticipate for the notices that we expect to receive in the next quarter. So it is a large number but we have not had any change in our underwriting. In fact, a large number of the accounts where we've had losses were accounts that we've had for several years, that have performed well, that have benefited from improvements in terms and conditions. Rest assured that we are spending a lot of time looking at this. As of now, our conclusion is that this is random volatility but again, we will continue to further analyze this and obviously if there are any lessons to be learned we will make sure that we incorporate them in our planning for 2014.

Charles Sebaski

Could you tell us, on the insurance side of the business, what an ROE profile is that you're writing to currently? Sort of the go forward -- if there's a benchmark? I mean, I realize there's a lot of different lines, but...

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

Oh my god, there's such a large number of lines but I think it's fair to say that, if you look at where we were in the last couple of years at least, you'll find that, on a gross basis, the primary insurance results were about flat with the -- sorry, the gross reinsurance results were about flat with reinsurance. However, over the last couple of years the reinsurance charges that we were paying actually were large, such that the net result -- the net ROE result for our Insurance division was modestly lower than the Reinsurance. What we've seen over the last 12 to 18 months or so is a change where the ROE, because of the fundamental pricing on the insurance side is improving and the costs of our reinsurance coverage is declining, we're actually seeing the ROE of our Insurance division improving meaningfully. Now our overall book is still averaging approximately 10% right now, ROE. And so I would say that overall, it would about a 10%, perhaps a little bit better, target ROE for the insurance book. One more comment I want to make is the volatility of that book in the Insurance division, again, is one that we've experienced for the entire duration of our company and not in any one year did our Insurance division report a combined ratio in excess of 100.

Operator

And the next question will come from Greg Locraft of Morgan Stanley.

Gregory Locraft

Morgan Stanley, Research Division

Wanted to follow-up on the pricing versus loss cost situation. I give you -- you were very forthright that pricing has decelerated 1Q to 2Q and what I'm wrestling with is how will that flow into the margins over time? You've shown some excellent underlying margin improvement in recent periods and recent years. How should we be thinking about that as pricing is decelerating across the board?

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

I think by and large if you're looking at it for most of our lines, certainly the Property lines, the umbrella and excess lines, most lines of business we're continuing to see pricing ahead of trend. The one area where it's clearly not there yet is professional lines. We're averaging 1% and obviously our actuaries are not putting up a 1% loss trend number. That said, the loss trend number that we've put in our pricing for the last couple of years, as you know, has overestimated actual loss trends. But at 1% we would say that, from an actuarial basis, professional lines are still lagging. In all of our other lines of business we're confident that we're at least at loss trend or better.

Gregory Locraft

Morgan Stanley, Research Division

Okay, so we can still see margin expansion. Great. On professional lines, you all mentioned the, I guess, the reserve addition. I wanted to get a little more color on the reserve addition in the Insurance division for professional lines. There's been a lot of growth there. It's the biggest reserve bucket. Can you maybe help us a bit more with what exactly is occurring in that area? What you're seeing? It sounds like it needs more rate, too?

Joseph Christopher Henry

Consultant

Well, Albert, can comment on the rate, Greg, but let me sum it up this way. First of all, you know we're an excess writer for the most part in professional lines. We do some primary but most of our portfolio is excess. We took some action in the second quarter on 4 credit crisis claims and 2 non-credit crisis claims, which for the most part are now reserved at policy limits. We do not expect this to have a material impact going forward. We did disclose that there was \$14 million of net adverse development in the quarter. That's actually a combination of \$36 million worth of adverse development on credit crisis years offset by \$22 million in favorable development in professional lines on non-credit crisis years. So \$14 million is

the net strengthening but these cases that I'm referring to, these 6 cases, resulted in our decision to take an action. You know we're always conservative in taking the bad news first but we don't expect this to continue. If we do, we feel that the overall strength of our reserves in professional lines will enable us to handle it.

Albert A. Benchimol
President, CEO & Director

Let me add to that a couple of things. Greg, you -- first of all, you referred to the large base of premiums -- of IBNR in professional lines and I think we tried to make the point that we have in excess of \$1.1 billion of IBNR, that we're very comfortable in that and it was only with regards to a couple of cases that we wanted to increase but that we in fact released reserves in other non-global crisis years. So we are -- remain very comfortable with the totality of our professional lines book and when we get 1 or 2 bad cases, we will take that. Greg, you also made reference to the growth in recent years. I think that, that's worth commenting on. If you look at where we've been, historically this company had a large exposure in financial institutions, that's one of the areas that we do quite well in, and we had some U.S.-based D&O and professional liability. Most of the growth if not all of the growth that you will have seen in the last 3 years have been in diversifying lines and in diversifying countries. We expanded in our European professional liability, we expanded in Australia, we expanded in Canada, we went down market including things like design professionals. We've expanded and diversified the professional liability book. It hasn't been simply growing in those existing lines where we've been before.

Operator

And the next question will come from Michael Nannizzi of Goldman Sachs

Michael Steven Nannizzi
Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Research Division

Just one quick question on the balance sheet, I guess. So it looks like financial leverage is picking up. You've raised a bit of debt, you're buying back stock ahead of earnings and we have these marks in the portfolio that are hitting the net equity line. How should we think about the level that you want to maintain in terms of financial leverage? Are you all baked in terms of AOCI or do you look at it x AOCI? And how might further marks impact your view?

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

Yes, the general answer to your question is that we try to keep our financial leverage, and that is debt and preferred stock to total capital, at or below 25%. We have crept up in the second quarter. When we did the preferred offering our leverage was a little bit less than it is now, mainly due to the unrealized depreciation in the portfolio. But we're pretty confident that if interest rates increase at a reasonable rate over the next couple of years we can offset any unrealized gains in the portfolio with improvements in investment income and improvements in operating income. So just coming back to the beginning, where we are is probably pretty -- where we are is really where we're comfortable being. We'd actually like to bring that down over a period of time. Does that help?

Michael Steven Nannizzi
Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Research Division

Sure. I mean, I guess the question -- I mean, the outlook for rates is higher so that makes sense if your view is right and a moderate change in rates does come true then that makes sense. But would that imply that if we do see a big rise in interest rates that you're going to curtail your share -- your capital deploy -- your buyback activity in order to kind of manage to that 25 level or below?

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

I wouldn't say necessarily that would be the case. And again, if you think about the margins that we'll earn on our underwriting income as well as increased investment income and just simply how the markets

are reacting -- in the last 2 or 3 weeks, our portfolio's come back about \$60 million from the unrealized position we were at, at the end of the quarter. So there is some volatility in rates. We wouldn't necessarily curtail our stock repurchase program but our overall policy, as we said before, is to basically repurchase shares and dividends up to 100% of our operating income. So that's really what we're going to stick to.

Michael Steven Nannizzi

Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Research Division

Okay. And just one last one, just magnitude-wise, I guess. You lost about just under \$300 million in AOCI in the quarter and your run rate investment income is about \$100 million and change -- \$80 million in the quarter. This time -- I'm just trying to -- this is just math. I mean, I'm just trying to understand the math of, if you have -- these [indiscernible] you're managing to a 25 then should we say, "Well, let's look at where the total capitalization is, let's look at where the debt is." And based on whereever that ends up -- I mean, I don't have -- I'm not stating a view on interest rates but I'm just asking, like, if that happens and it pushes you about 25, simply out of your control in terms of what happens in the rate market, how are you going to perceive that? Is that something you're going to manage towards or are you going to kind of look at -- you're going to peel the marks out and focus on something else?

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

Mike, I think that's a fair question and the way we look at it is, is in multiple areas. It's not an absolute hard line that we will stop buying if we hit the 25 but I think there's 2 or 3 areas here. One is we look at our leverage on our GAAP balance sheet but it's not the only kind of leverage that we look at. We look at our -- we look at our economic capital, we look at our rating agency capital, we look at all of these issues and, in all of those cases, we determine the amount of excess that we have and if the excess remains constant then that's something that gives us some room to acquire. From a purely economic balance sheet prospective, as you know, the GAAP balance sheet does not reflect the net present value of the reserves whereas on an economic balance sheet a rise in interest rates reduces the net present value of your liability. So your economic equity actually doesn't go down as far as your GAAP equity. The other thing is that although our "leverage" has increased in -- with the recent preferred offering, this is about as good a level of leverage as you can have. These are perpetual preferreds and we tend to think of the perpetual preferreds as having significantly less debt-life criteria and that also goes into the consideration. So longer term we believe that 25% is an appropriate level and cap for our leverage but that doesn't mean that, over a short period of time where you've got the kind of adjustments and volatility and interest rates that we will have that we will let that be our only determinant.

Operator

And the next question will be from Jay Cohen of Bank of America Merrill Lynch.

Jay Adam Cohen

BofA Merrill Lynch, Research Division

A couple of questions. The first is I seem to, I guess, pick up in your commentary, when you were talking about your ceded reinsurance that you had ceded less in your property business? Correct me if I'm wrong but I guess the question I would have -- I guess one reasonable strategy might be, gee, if property reinsurance pricing is getting better shouldn't you be ceding more? So I'm wondering if you could just talk about your strategy there?

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

That's a good question. It's the per risk versus the cat. I think it's fair to say that cat reinsurance is getting cheaper and we are in fact buying more cat reinsurance. The issue is with regard to the per risk. We've done a fair amount of analysis around that and we've determined that we were literally ceding away too much of our profits and diversification benefits within the lower layers. So we've modestly increased the retentions on the per risk layers and also on professional lines and casualty we've reduced the quota shares. But the net of it all is, even with the overall net improvements in many lines -- in Reinsurance,

the net of it all is that the changes in the ceded re program are anticipated to provide both: A, higher annual results; and B, lower annual volatility to the overall portfolio. The one area where there is the most reduction in cost, which is cat, we have in fact acquired more reinsurance protection.

Jay Adam Cohen

BofA Merrill Lynch, Research Division

That's really helpful. The second question, I guess, as we look at the third quarter it feels like every other day there's some catastrophe, manmade typically, with train crashes and other things. Do you have any sense at this point if there's any major exposures you have? For instance, the Canadian train crash, which seems to be the biggest one that's out there.

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

Yes, almost makes you worried to take the train these days. I don't disagree with you. Obviously we monitor these. Everything that we see to date gives us -- we are concluding, given the data that we have today, that there is nothing material that we've seen happening in the quarter for us.

Operator

And the next question is from Vinay Misquith of Evercore.

Vinay Gerard Misquith

Evercore ISI Institutional Equities, Research Division

The first question is on the margin improvement year-over-year. The accident-year loss ratio x cat was roughly flat. I believe you mentioned some larger sort of one-off losses x cat but if you could help us understand -- so should we be looking at the first half of the year as accident-year loss ratio x cat and sort of churning that forward and assuming that, that's going to be modestly improving because of rate?

Joseph Christopher Henry

Consultant

Yes, Vinay. I think that's a good assumption. If you concentrate on the second quarter for a minute, as far as the insurance is concerned, we had about a point impact on our loss ratio from rate. And I think we've mentioned in the first quarter call that we expected during the year to have a 1% to 2% improvement in our accident-year ratio as a result of rate increases. Well about half of that has earned through in the first half of the year. However, we did have an increased incidence, as Albert described, of risk losses, which offset to some extent the benefit that we would have seen coming through rate in the accident-year loss ratio. So I think a much better way to look at this is the 6 months rather than just the second quarter itself. On the reinsurance side, for the most part the rates that we're achieving are keeping pace with trend. So really no major change there.

Vinay Gerard Misquith

Evercore ISI Institutional Equities, Research Division

Okay, that's helpful. Second question was on the reserve development. So if I understand it right, you added about \$36 million to the professional liability book. So the pro forma number for this quarter would actually have been about \$78 million of favorable. Is that fair to say?

Joseph Christopher Henry

Consultant

That's correct.

Vinay Gerard Misquith

Evercore ISI Institutional Equities, Research Division

Okay. And there's no -- so the reason being because the pace of our reserve development has fallen off recently and so just curious as to whether you're seeing something different now versus the past? Or is this because of these one-time issues?

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

Yes. As you know, we don't comment about the future relative to reserve development but our reinsurance prior year development was really what we've experienced in the past. So there's really been no change there. And as far as insurance is concerned, with the exception of this professional line situation that we referred to, our prior year development was more or less where it's been.

Vinay Gerard Misquith
Evercore ISI Institutional Equities, Research Division

Sure, that's helpful. And then one last question on the net investment income. The income from fixed-majority investments was up 5% quarter-over-quarter. Just curious what's happening there? There was about \$75 million this quarter versus about \$70 million last quarter?

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

Right. We have an investment in Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities, or TIPS, and you know that we've got some CPI adjustments that flow through that. So investment income in those areas fluctuates from quarter-to-quarter. If you need specifics we can give you that but basically that's the overall answer.

Vinay Gerard Misquith
Evercore ISI Institutional Equities, Research Division

So -- but this quarter is the normalized rate, you would think? Or is it a bit higher than normal?

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

Bear with me a second, I have that somewhere here. Yes, it's about \$2 million higher this quarter than normal.

Operator

And our next question will come from Meyer Shields of Keefe, Bruyette & Woods.

Meyer Shields
Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

If I can turn again to the professional liability. When you talk about the \$22 million of favorable development, x the credit crisis issue, what accident years are those from?

Joseph Christopher Henry
Consultant

I believe it was -- it's spread out. Just give me a minute and I'll. Yes, bear with me here, I'm looking through a table. So most of the strengthening that I referred to in the credit crisis years came from 2009 but the beneficial impact really came from accident years 2007, '06, '05 and really it's spread out among a number of years and -- as well as 2010. So '05, '06, '07 and '10.

Meyer Shields
Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

Okay, that's helpful. Albert, you talked about more -- how do I characterize it? Lower rate increases than the number of lines of business. Can you talk about why you think that's actually going on? Is that new competitors? Old competitors being more aggressive? Or some other factor?

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

I think in many cases each line of business has its own psychology. I think on the primary side, we've had a number of quarters now of increases and it could be that there is a little bit of satisfaction with where we are now. It could be that it's just an anomaly of the accounts that we've renewed this quarter. That is particularly the case, I would say, when we look at the international book where, depending on the concentration of the line of business that you're in -- the preponderance of the renewals if that book of business is up versus down. As I mentioned, in the first quarter the international book of business was favorably affected by significant increases in the marine liability line. Over 20% of our premiums renewable in the second quarter in international are in the offshore energy and that's had very good pricing and excellent results. And so here we started to see some declines as a result of that. If I were to say one thing it would be that, for most of the lines of business that we're seeing, the changes are consistent with what we have been observing in terms of: A, prior pricing; and B, loss experiences. The one area that is still lagging is in professional lines and there, again, there is a reason for that. The lower layers of professional lines, which have been most affected by claims increases, including M&A litigation and so on and so forth, those lower layers are in fact seeing healthy pricing increases. When you get to the excess layers, as Joe mentioned, we are mostly in the excess layers, most of those layers have in fact been protected from a lot of these losses. And so the loss experience in a number of these excess layers have actually been quite good, which goes back to my comment about how we're feeling very comfortable about our overall portfolio, how the loss trends that we've been pricing in our professional lines in fact haven't really come out in reported cases. And because of that, the pricing improvement is lagging in the upper layers because the losses haven't shown up in the upper layers. So most of what we're seeing fundamentally is consistent with loss experiences, loss trends that we've seen. Obviously, with regard to the reinsurance book, the biggest factor that we've seen is a substantial increase in capacity afforded by the capital markets. And that has, of course, reduced the amount of business available to the reinsurers. Reinsurers have all that capacity they want to put to use. They're not putting it to use in the catastrophe world. So many of them are displacing that catastrophe into other lines, which is why we're seeing increased reinsurance competition in other lines and, as we've mentioned to you, that's reflecting itself or manifesting itself in terms of higher ceding commissions. So it's all consistent with the observations that we've had with regards to prior pricing activity and prior claims activity.

Operator

And the next question is from Brian Meredith of UBS.

Brian Robert Meredith

UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

A couple of just quick questions here. First for Joe. I'm wondering, Joe, do you have what the spread is between what your reinvestment rate is, which I know you gave us, and what is maturing in your investment portfolio? Give us a sense as to what that looks like?

Joseph Christopher Henry

Consultant

Yes, it's -- as of June 30th, Brian, the book yield is 2.58%. Our yield to maturity or the reinvestment yield is 2.41%. So while we had some challenges in the past with the portfolio trending down to lower interest rates, the fact that interest rates have risen, actually we've narrowed that gap pretty considerably.

Brian Robert Meredith

UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

Got you. And then the second question, Albert, I'm curious, we've heard from some other of the kind of leading property cat reinsurance companies that they took advantage of the attractive retrocessional rating environment right now, or prices, to improve their portfolio returns there. It doesn't look like you guys did that. Any reason why?

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

One of the things that I've mentioned is that we've actually started to hedge our reinsurance portfolio using ILWs and other transactions of that type. And in addition, we've acquired protection on our aggregate book on an annual aggregate excess of loss basis through a cat bond that we priced just yesterday. So we are definitely looking to manage our overall cat exposures and volatility.

Brian Robert Meredith

UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

So we'll see that come through in the third quarter?

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

Yes.

Operator

And next we have a question from Amit Kumar of Macquarie.

Amit Kumar

Macquarie Research

I guess 2 quick follow-ups. First of all, just going back to the discussion on, I guess, individual risk losses in the Insurance segment. Did you disclose the -- what the number was in the opening remarks? What that loss -- how much did that add up to?

Joseph Christopher Henry

Consultant

No, we did not disclose it. Let me characterize it by saying that we've had -- last year we had 1 risk loss in excess of \$10 million in this period. In the current year, we've had a couple of more. And really if you go back in our history, we've never really had a period where we've had more than 3. So this is an unusual situation. We didn't disclose the exact amount but it had a small impact on -- about a 0.7 impact on our accident year loss ratio in Insurance.

Amit Kumar

Macquarie Research

Got it, and that's actually quite helpful. The only other question I have is just going back to the discussion on the claims activity on the professional liability bucket. Would it be possible to share, I guess, what the total bucket of claims related to the credit crisis looks like? So that maybe we can think about it on a relative basis. And I guess related to that is did something specifically change in those 4 claims in this quarter?

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

I think -- I'm not sure that we can sit here and go through the individual ones but I think what I can say is that for the global credit crisis years, we did say that we had \$234 million of IBNR related to that. With regards to what happened this quarter, frankly there were a small number of cases that took a right turn in terms of moving in a direction opposite of how these cases were developing in the past, including one in which a favorable judgment was overturned on appeal. So there's a fair amount of volatility with regard to global financial crisis cases, which is again why we have always been slow in taking any action on those years, because we were -- frankly, we were expecting noise of this type. So the favorable activity that we've seen in those years in the past we absolutely didn't want to respond to because we felt that, sooner or later, we would get the occasional surprise. The surprises happened here. And as Joe mentioned rather than dig into the IBNR for these cases we decided to take action and recognize those through the income statement and keep our IBNR protected for future development.

Operator

And this concludes our question-and-answer session today. I would like to turn the conference back over to Albert Benchimol, President and CEO, for any closing remarks.

Albert A. Benchimol

President, CEO & Director

Thank you, operator, and thank you all for being with us on this quarter and we look forward to speaking to you again at the end of the third quarter. Goodbye.

Operator

The conference is now concluded. Thank you for attending today's presentation. You may now disconnect.

Copyright © 2018 by S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

These materials have been prepared solely for information purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P Global Market Intelligence or its affiliates (collectively, S&P Global). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P Global and any third-party providers, (collectively S&P Global Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Global Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON "AS IS" BASIS. S&P GLOBAL PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Global Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages. S&P Global Market Intelligence's opinions, quotes and credit-related and other analyses are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P Global Market Intelligence may provide index data. Direct investment in an index is not possible. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P Global Market Intelligence assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P Global Market Intelligence does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. S&P Global keeps certain activities of its divisions separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other S&P Global divisions. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P Global may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P Global reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P Global's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

© 2018 S&P Global Market Intelligence.