Heritage Insurance Holdings, Inc. NYSE:HRTG

FQ4 2018 Earnings Call Transcripts

Monday, March 04, 2019 1:30 PM GMT

S&P Global Market Intelligence Estimates

| | -FQ4 2018- | | | -FQ1 2019- | -FY 2018- | | | |
|----------------|------------|--------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------|--|--|
| | CONSENSUS | ACTUAL | SURPRISE | CONSENSUS | CONSENSUS | ACTUAL | | |
| EPS Normalized | 0.03 | 0.18 | ▲500.00 | 0.47 | 0.88 | 1.11 | | |
| Revenue (mm) | 127.74 | 124.88 | <u>^</u> (2.24 %) | 126.79 | 483.13 | 480.17 | | |

Currency: USD

Consensus as of Mar-04-2019 11:56 AM GMT



Table of Contents

| Call Participants | 3 |
|---------------------|-------|
| Presentation | 4 |
| Ouestion and Answer | 8 |

Call Participants

EXECUTIVES

Arash Solemani

Executive Vice President

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Kirk Howard Lusk

Chief Financial Officer

ANALYSTS

Frederique Sleiffer

James F. Naklicki

Citigroup Inc, Research Division

Thomas Henry Shimp

Sandler O'Neill + Partners, L.P., Research Division

William Harry Broomall

Dowling & Partners Securities, LLC

Presentation

Operator

Good morning, and welcome to the Heritage Insurance Holdings' Fourth Quarter 2018 Financial Results Conference Call. My name is Keith, and I will be the operator today. [Operator Instructions] Please note, this event is being recorded.

I now would like to turn the conference over to Arash Solemani, Executive Vice President at Heritage. Please go ahead, sir.

Arash Solemani

Executive Vice President

Good morning, and thanks for joining us today. We invite you to visit the Investors section of our website, investors.heritagepci.com, where the earnings release and our earnings call will be archived. These materials are available for replay or review at your convenience.

Today's call may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on management's current expectations and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. In our earnings press release and in our SEC filings, we detail material risks that may cause our future results to differ from our expectations. Our statements are as of today, and we have no obligation to update any forward-looking statements we may make. For a description of the forward-looking statements and risks that could cause our results to differ maturely from those described in the forward-looking statements, please refer to our annual report on Form 10-K, earnings release and other SEC filings.

With us on the call today are Bruce Lucas, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer; and Kirk Lusk, our Chief Financial Officer.

I will now turn the call over to Bruce.

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Thank you, Arash. I would like to welcome all of you to our fourth quarter 2018 earnings call. Before we begin the call, I'd like to thank all of our employees for their dedication to our company.

The fourth quarter was very active on several levels, most notably, Hurricane Michael made landfall in the Florida Panhandle as a high Category 4 hurricane. Michael had devastating impacts to the Panhandle and decimated entire communities. Heritage has very stringent underwriting criteria, and we never focused on Panhandle business because we thought the building codes were not adequate with far too many older frame construction homes. As a result, our loss experience on Michael is among the best in Florida and our incurred loss is \$33 million.

Contractors Alliance Network, or CAN, once again proved that Heritage has a superior claims model. CAN was our first response to Michael, and we were responding to claims immediately after landfall. CAN removed trees, tarped roofs and mitigated water damage. These efforts reduced losses for our reinsurance partners and provided much-needed assistance to our policyholders. Most claims are closed and we are not seeing much activity related to Michael at this time.

We've booked a full second event retention of \$16 million in the fourth quarter, but our third-party reinsurance tower was only minimally impacted.

Similarly, our Hurricane Florence losses continue to outperform modeled loss estimates due to our stringent underwriting and CAN response. While other insurers focus on the North Carolina coast, we focus on inland counties that have significantly lower hurricane volatility and lower reinsurance pricing.

In the fourth quarter, we marginally increased our ultimate loss pick to \$23 million from \$20 million as of the third quarter 2018. This modest increase resulted in an incremental \$0.5 million of net retention in the fourth quarter. Virtually all claims are closed and there is very little activity related to Florence. Similar to Michael, Florence minimally impacted our third-party reinsurance tower, which is a testament to our prudent underwriting.

Our third hurricane event, Hurricane Lane, is essentially closed and has an ultimate loss of approximately \$400,000, which is lower than our previously disclosed \$600,000 loss estimate. Our loss experience on our Hawaii portfolio is perhaps the most impressive. Lane should have produced large losses, yet it produced virtually no losses at all. This is a real testament to our underwriting discipline.

Our underwriting guidelines and superior claims model are also benefiting our attritional losses. In 2016, we believe we were the first major Florida insurer to announce an underwriting moratorium in the Tri-County area, which is particularly prone to fraud, public adjusters and attorney-represented claims.

Our decision was contrarian, but we chose to focus on bottom line profitability versus top line growth. We also believe we were the first to address the impact of litigated claims on our loss reserves.

As we mentioned at the second quarter of 2018, we conducted a thorough review of our reserves, especially in the context of litigated claims. This led to a charge in the second quarter, but since that time, we have experienced favorable reserve development in both the third and fourth quarters of 2018.

We believe our underwriting and reserving initiatives position us to generate solid returns while tampering risk for our equity investors and reinsurers. Although Heritage is not the largest insurer in our sector and certainly does not have top market share in Florida's Tri-Country, as of the third quarter of 2018, our statutory loss reserves were the highest among the publicly traded Florida insurers on an absolute dollar basis. Our conservative reserving philosophy is critical to reducing underwriting volatility in future quarters and provides a more stable equity platform.

We also closed on a comprehensive debt restructuring in the fourth quarter that will benefit shareholders for the foreseeable future. Heritage has an investment-grade rating from Kroll that was instrumental in our restructuring efforts. We retired our \$79.5 million term loan and \$75.9 million of principal related to our convertible bonds. In total, we retired \$155.4 million of debt and replaced it with \$114.2 million of new debt on substantially better terms, resulting in over \$7 million of pretax savings annually.

Furthermore, subsequent to the fourth quarter 2018, we retired an additional \$5.8 million of convertible bond principal and \$10 million of revolving line of credit principal. Currently, only \$23.4 million of principal amount of our convertible bonds is held by third parties, down meaningfully from \$136.8 million in the third quarter of 2017.

Our financial leverage ratio is significantly lower year-over-year and our capital position is the strongest it has been in several years, and we intend to use earnings to further reduce our outstanding debt.

During the quarter, we recorded \$11.3 million of noncore pretax expenses related primarily to our 2018 debt restructuring transactions and \$17.7 million in current accident year catastrophe retentions and still produced \$3.9 million of net income in the quarter.

For the full year, Heritage performed incredibly well. We booked \$27.2 million in net income and achieved a 7% return on equity despite retaining \$45.1 million of pretax net catastrophe losses across our multistate footprint and \$17.9 million of noncore pretax expenses. Additionally, our net combined ratio for 2018 was an impressive 90.4%, aided by strong performance within CAN.

It is no secret that Heritage has led the market in derisking Tri-County exposures due to fraud and abusive practices by public adjusters and attorneys. These policies have the highest premiums in the United States and derisking them has had only a marginal negative impact on our top line, but a large positive impact on our bottom line profitability. We have been laser-focused on diversifying outside of the Tri-County and the state of Florida for several years. These policies have lower premiums than those in the Tri-County, but despite the pricing differences, our gross premiums in-force only declined by a modest 2% year-over-year in the fourth quarter because our voluntary program has continued to deliver.

We believe we have the best diversification among the Florida insurers. And as of year-end 2018, we only had 32% of our total insured value, or TIV, in Florida, and we believe the number will be 29% in 2019.

Our personal lines TIV in the Tri-County area of Florida is only 5.5% of consolidated TIV at year-end. This peer-leading diversification means we have a much more stable platform with less volatility.

We expect our diversification to continue into 2019. We recently began writing business in Alabama and Georgia and will begin writing business in Virginia and Maryland later this year.

Additionally, we are very appreciative of our strong, strategic partnerships that will continue to drive additional premium growth. Our most recent partnership with Safeco Insurance, a Liberty Mutual company, is particularly meaningful. Our 2 companies will combine forces to provide bundle discounts to our home and auto customers in Northeast coastal zones. We are very honored to have a company with Safeco's stature and reputation to select Heritage as its coastal homeowners partner.

I will now turn the call over to Kirk Lusk to provide more details on our financials.

Kirk Howard Lusk

Chief Financial Officer

Thank you, Bruce. Good morning. Net income for the quarter was \$3.9 million, which was an increase of \$9 million from the fourth quarter of 2017 loss of \$5 million. The fourth quarter of 2018 was negatively impacted by Hurricane Michael and also the costs associated with our refinancing efforts, partially offset by our CAN profits. Although the fourth quarter of 2017 did include minimal storm activity, it was significantly impacted by a \$34.1 million noncash, noncore charge associated with convertible notes.

Net income per diluted average share for the quarter was \$0.15 and \$1.04 for the full year of 2018. For the full year of 2018, net income was \$27.2 million compared to a loss of \$1.1 million in 2017. Absent the \$42 million of noncash charge associated with the convertible notes in 2017, net income for the full year of 2017 would've been \$41 million.

For the fourth quarter of 2018, gross premiums earned were up 28% over the fourth quarter of 2017 due to a full quarter's of NBIC revenues, which were partially offset by planned reductions in the Heritage portfolio.

Net earned premiums for the quarter were up 17%, again reflecting the same rationale as the increase in gross earned premiums, but a lower growth rate due to the larger ceding percentage at NBIC.

Losses for the quarter were \$14.9 million higher than the fourth quarter of 2017 and resulted in a net loss ratio higher in the fourth quarter of 2018 by 6.3 points. The loss ratio variance can mostly be explained by the storm retention during the fourth quarter of \$17.7 million, which includes \$1.4 million of storms in the Northeast, which were partially offset by favorable development in the quarter of \$1.3 million and profits generated from CAN.

The fourth quarter of 2017 had minimal cat storm activity but did experience \$11 million of adverse development and had CAN profits, but to a lesser extent than 2018.

In addition, the net loss ratio at NBIC impacted the overall loss ratio by around 2 points due to the higher ceded premium percentage.

We are very comfortable with our reserve position, which has been confirmed by the positive development that we experienced in both the third and fourth quarters of 2018.

The net and gross expense ratios are favorable compared to year-end 2017 due mostly from the ceding commissions at NBIC, which are allocated between acquisition costs and general and administrative expenses in proportion to the expenses covered by the ceding commissions. The fourth quarter of 2018 expenses were favorable to the third quarter of 2018 due to the \$7 million onetime charge in the third quarter previously disclosed and from the fourth quarter of 2017 due to higher ceding commissions being allocated to G&A for the full quarter of NBIC in 2018. Overall, we achieved a 90.4% net combined ratio for 2018, which is in line with our future expectations.

The effective tax rate for the quarter was 41%, reflecting the low pretax net income combined with permanent tax differences.

One of the objectives in 2018 was to reduce the cost of existing debt, while also adding flexibility to the capital structure. We had several financing options available and elected to go with a syndicate of banks as we believe that would provide the most flexibility and long-term benefit to shareholders. Although we are currently focusing on organic growth, we wanted to be prepared to pursue any opportunities that could arise in the future.

As those opportunities arise, we wanted to have the flexibility to utilize a prudent amount of debt financing instead of relying upon the issuance of equity or using all available cash. We believe that the syndicate of banks provides us with a long-term flexibility, and we are very appreciative of Regions for being our lead bank and the rest of the syndicate banks for their support and commitments.

Book value for the year increased from \$379.8 million to \$425.3 million, an increase of \$45.5 million or nearly 12%.

Tangible book value increased by \$70 million, an increase of 56% from \$125.7 million at the end of 2017 to \$196 million at the end of 2018, reflecting both the income generated in 2018, the amortization of intangible assets and the equity associated with the issuance of shares.

Tangible book value per share increased from \$4.86 per share at year-end 2017 to \$6.65 per share, an increase of 37%. Total book value per share decreased by \$0.24 or 2% from year-end 2017.

From the third quarter of 2018, the book value increased by \$34.8 million. However, we also issued 3.6 million shares associated with the purchase of \$76 million of convertible notes in the fourth quarter. The overall impact of the convertible note repurchase decreased book value by \$0.96 or 6% of the prepurchase book value per share. Despite the initial decrease in book value per share, the transaction should yield long-term benefits. The transaction reduced the amount of short-term positions in Heritage stock. And by purchasing the stock at the current stock price and bond price, we were able to reduce the future cost and the larger dilution as the stock price increases.

Overall, we've had a very productive year at Heritage as we launched new products, entered new states, formed new partnerships, strengthened our reserves and revised our capital structure to a lower cost of capital and to add flexibility.

Overall, we believe that we are well positioned as a superregional insurance carrier to deliver solid financial returns for our investors over the long term. Bruce and I are now available to take your questions.

Question and Answer

Operator

[Operator Instructions] And the first question comes from Frederique Sleiffer with KBW.

Frederique Sleiffer

So firstly, I was wondering if you could provide us with an update on your gross Irma and Michael losses. And are you still seeing a lot of claims coming in for Irma? And are any of these being litigated?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Yes. So our Irma update right now, our incurred loss is approximately \$900 million. It's been holding fairly steady for quite some time now. We are seeing new claims come in to this day, everybody in Florida is, but it's very modest. We're maybe getting 125 to 150 claims a month at this point. The number keeps dropping every month as we get further away from Irma. We do have some litigated claims. Out of our open claim count right now, we've got about 2,000 open claims, say, approximately half of them are represented by an attorney. Again, that is incredibly low for the Florida market. So we are see some continued development on Irma, but it's just nothing that we're really seeing in terms of an acceleration of adverse development.

Frederique Sleiffer

Okay, great. And although this wasn't really an issue this quarter, but you guys did have some adverse AOB development before, and you did underestimate the Irma losses quite a bit. What plans do you currently have in place to improve your ability to estimate these catastrophes and attritional losses more accurately?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Right. Well, on the Irma side, I would disagree that we underestimated the Irma loss. We were very clear to our markets that the modeled median loss was x dollars, and that number was between \$550 million and \$600 million. We came out with that modeled loss estimate right after Irma, let's call it, within 6 months of landfall. Once we had actual experience, we were the first Florida company to massively increase our loss reserves to \$850 million. Since that time, it has developed to about \$900 million of incurred losses. And what we're seeing in the market in that same time period, our company is doubling and tripling loss estimates because they kind of missed the mark very early on and didn't mark the book early. In terms of our daily claims, we have absolutely called this one correct. We've been saying for years that Florida companies simply are under-reserved and they have too much Tri-County business in their portfolio, which makes them incredibly risky and volatile. So we led the market 3 years ago in pulling out of the Tri-County. We're the first major Florida carrier to announce that moratorium. Since that time, our open Tri-County inventory has plummeted, open lawsuits have also declined meaningfully. And we're seeing positive reserve trends across the portfolio right now, 2 quarters in a row of favorable development. And I think, more importantly, you can just see it in the stats. So if you look at year-overyear, 2017 to 2018, we had a 39% reduction in new Tri-County claims. And overall in the Florida portfolio, we had a 19% reduction in claims. Yet, we were the first company to increase our loss reserves. And as of the third quarter of 2018, we had more loss reserves than any other Florida carrier. So I think we've been extremely proactive on both fronts, especially the daily claims. You're just not going to see the massive reserve development on our portfolio that you've seen, let's just say, announced recently.

Frederique Sleiffer

Okay, great. And then just moving on to reinsurance. What percentage did you renew your Florida hurricane cat fund participation at? And how was risk-adjusted pricing year-over-year on your private layer alongside the cat fund?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

So we are still in-market right now for the private layers. That process has been taking place over the past month. We still have another month or 2 before we'll wind up the reinsurance tower. So I can't comment on what our private layer expenses are because we haven't placed the program. On the FHCF, we went to a 90% FHCF participation. In my opinion, I do believe you're going to see most of the Florida carriers move to a minimum of 75%, if not 90%, across the board due to uncertainties around reinsurance pricing. So when we do the math on that, if everybody went to 90%, which I suspect will be the norm, that's about \$3 billion of open market capacity that would normally go to the private market that will be sucked out as a result of the 90% participation across Florida. We think that will have a very, very positive impact on reinsurance pricing as we move throughout this program here.

Frederique Sleiffer

Okay. Because you were at 45% before, right?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Correct. Our position prior was that you should use the cat fund as a hedge. And so if reinsurance rates are incredibly low, and you can bind private layers at or below the cat fund cost, you should go to 45% and then use the cat fund at 90% in the event that there is a hardening event in the reinsurance market that could potentially move rates higher. By going from 45% to 90%, we're locking in reinsurance pricing at an incredibly modest rate increase compared to what is potentially out there in the private market. So it serves as a pretty big hedge on year-over-year price increases for the reinsurance tower.

Frederique Sleiffer

Okay, great. And then I was just wondering on your Safeco relationship, how much premium does that generate for you right now? And also, do you expect the partnership to spread outside of, I believe, you said it's just Northeast coastal right now?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Yes. So we just launched the program. So there is no premium update. I mean, the program just went into market. Yes, it's in the Northeast coastal zones for now and then we'll see how the relationship develops over time.

Frederique Sleiffer

Okay. And then just one last question. How much were your CAN revenues this quarter? And has it picked up since Florence and Michael?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Yes. So CAN, we really look at CAN -- first of all, we don't break out separately CAN revenues. It's just part of our claims group. That's proprietary information to us. Other Florida carriers are more than welcome to try their hand at building out the network that we've done over the last 7 years. So we don't report those numbers separately. However, we do view CAN as a profit center in lowering daily losses, for example. If we can get out to a water loss and we can service the policyholder, stop an assignment of benefit or a public adjuster, that obviously saves the company money. That is a profit center for the organization. And we've been very successful on the daily claims in getting to these losses quickly, and you're seeing that in terms of a positive reduction in year-over-year water claims, costs, reserve charges. You see favorable development across the portfolio. It's been highly successful for us and it is an absolute differentiator in terms of managing the daily losses. And then in catastrophe events, we really view CAN as a way to reduce the total incurred loss on the event. So if we can get out to the house quickly, tarp a roof, remove a tree, dry it out, et cetera, and we're stopping third-party bad actors from entering the

home, it reduces our reinsurance retention. So take Hurricane Florence, for example, a lot of the models were saying that we should expect a \$60 million to \$80 million ultimate loss on Florence. Our ultimate loss right now stands at \$23 million. 99% of the claims are closed, and I think we had 10 claims last month. And a big reason for the outperformance was our initial response from Contractors Alliance Network. So it does serve as a very effective hedge in cat years, and it's a continuing hedge in terms of claims management.

Operator

And the next question comes from Tom Shimp with Sandler O'Neill.

Thomas Henry Shimp

Sandler O'Neill + Partners, L.P., Research Division

First question has to do with the accounting. The company reports a net income number that doesn't adjust for nonrecurring items. Other guys report an adjusted operating earnings number. Why do you guys not? And do you intend to in the future?

Kirk Howard Lusk

Chief Financial Officer

Well, yes, we do have an operating income, which, I guess, if you look at the income statement, you can look at the interest expenses and then the operating expenses. So from our view it's, like, I think even looking at it from a GAAP perspective, you can get there. We also think that going forward, their -- the number of nonoperating items, we expect to be relatively minimal. So therefore, that is why we haven't this time, even though there were a number of them, but going forward, we just don't expect there's going to be a significant amount.

Thomas Henry Shimp

Sandler O'Neill + Partners, L.P., Research Division

Okay, fair enough. And then I just wanted to ask another question about the expense ratio. I believe on the second quarter call, you guys provided a guidance of 40% to 41%, does that still hold true? Or do you have a revised estimate, since it came in a bit below that in 2018?

Kirk Howard Lusk

Chief Financial Officer

Oh, you're referring to the gross?

Thomas Henry Shimp

Sandler O'Neill + Partners, L.P., Research Division

I believe it was the net expense ratio guidance, 40% to 41%.

Kirk Howard Lusk

Chief Financial Officer

Yes, net. It's going to be probably right around the -- I think when we look at some of the synergies and some of the things that we're doing with the integration, I think probably right around the 40% would probably be about right.

Operator

And the next question comes from James Naklicki with Citi.

James F. Naklicki

Citigroup Inc, Research Division

My question was on the expected reduction to debt in the future. You said you'd be using earnings to reduce that number. So I was curious what you envision the debt-to-cap will be at the end of 2019? And then I had a follow-up.

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Yes. We -- James, we haven't really modeled what we think the debt-to-cap ratio is going to be at the end of 2019. Obviously, we've had a massive reduction in our debt-to-cap ratio over the past 12 months and significantly improved the capital position for shareholders. Our comment is more along the lines of, we do expect strong earnings from Heritage in 2019. We've had pretty consistently strong earnings across a very volatile market over the past several years. I expect we'll probably come in second again in Florida in terms of net income. We have sources and uses of that capital. And in the uses of it, we're really going to focus on debt reduction and potentially share repurchases. So both of those things are on the table. We do have an outstanding share repurchase authorization that's in place. And we're going to analyze the opportunities on a quarter-over-quarter basis and just make the right decision long term for shareholder returns.

James F. Naklicki

Citigroup Inc, Research Division

And then what was the unrestricted cash balance at the end of the year for the company?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

\$250 million is the number.

James F. Naklicki

Citigroup Inc, Research Division

Is that at the parent company?

Kirk Howard Lusk

Chief Financial Officer

Oh, he wants restricted cash or unrestricted cash?

James F. Naklicki

Citigroup Inc, Research Division

Unrestricted, yes, cash at the parent I'm looking for.

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Oh, he wants the parent. Yes. We have it on a consolidated basis. We'll pull that number up for you, James.

Operator

And as there are no more questions at the present time, I would like to return the floor to management for any closing comments.

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Yes, thank you. I think there are 2 issues I would like to touch upon that were not in my earnings script. First is loss reserves. I do think it's important for investors to understand what we've been doing and why we've been leading the market on this point. I mentioned earlier that 3 years ago, we took a look at claim activity in the Tri-County and made a very bold decision to significantly reduce our exposures there. Since that time, we have dropped our total insured value in the Tri-County by \$15 billion. That easily ranks as #1 in Florida in terms of Tri-County derisking. And during that same time period, we have consistently come out and marked our loss reserves higher as we've moved forward reflecting our conservative reserving position. We believe the combination of these 2 activities have really positioned us well for future performance at the company. We're seeing a lot of companies, as predicted, report

significant adverse development across their portfolios, and it's no secret that those companies have lower loss reserves and higher market shares than Heritage. So as a result, we do want investors to know that we believe that we are on very strong footing with our loss reserves. We have had 3 or 4 independent actuaries come in and review our numbers over the past 2 quarters just to make sure that our numbers are validated and reliable. So far, everything looks pretty stable. And we're seeing a massive reduction year-over-year in Tri-County claims, lawsuits, paid losses, water claims. And that's not just Tri-County, that's across the state of Florida. So we do think that we have a nice positive trend going in terms of our selective underwriting and how that translates into our loss reserves, IBNR and then financial performance of the company.

The second item I really would like to touch upon is the current reinsurance environment. We do not know what's going to happen this year. So I'm not going to give any predictions, but I will, however, note a few things that are very unique to Heritage that simply do not exist in the rest of the market. First, as mentioned, the Tri-County derisk. What has caused Irma to -- losses to creep higher than expected is 100% related to Dade and Broward counties and a little bit in Palm Beach County as well. So the large losses that we're seeing out of those counties that the models did not pick up are directly related to claims abuses, fraud, attorney-represented claims and public adjuster-represented claims. As a result, companies that have higher concentrations of Tri-County total insured values are going to more than likely be marked higher on their reinsurance pricing than companies like Heritage, who have led the market in derisking. I would like to note that as of the end of 2018, our total Tri-County TIV is only 2.9% of our consolidated Tri-County. I'd be interested to hear what the other Florida carriers have in terms of their concentration. But we do believe that our industry-leading derisking plan and lower TIVs in the Tri-County area will positively influence our reinsurance pricing in 2019 as reinsurers look to distinguish between operating results on Irma between the Florida carriers. Additionally, loss adjustment expenses related to Hurricane Irma are at a record high. We have been noting for 1.5 years now that loss adjustment expenses are not only at the highest levels of any storm you've seen in Florida but are unlikely to remain changed for 2 reasons. First, you had a lot of companies that just didn't have the resources to respond to Hurricane Irma. They didn't have a Contractors Alliance Network that we could use as our first response. They had to pay exorbitant rates for their loss adjusters.

Second, I believe that a lot of the other companies have overexposed themselves in the Tri-County area, which is particularly prone to public adjuster and attorney abuses. Those 2 items will only exacerbate their loss adjustment expenses as the loss continues to develop.

In terms of our LAE in Florida, we are the lowest of all of the Florida carriers at approximately 13% versus an industry average in the 20s. We do believe that reinsurers have taken note of our industry-leading LAE, our deep bench, our diversification of our reinsurance portfolio. And as they look to distinguish between the Florida carriers post-Irma, we believe that our industry-leading efforts on these fronts will favorably impact our reinsurance pricing. We have also taken a lot of effort to diversify our portfolio. We believe we are the most diversified Florida carrier. We have 32% of our TIV in Florida as of the end of 2018, and we expect that number to drop to 29% in 2019.

And then finally, we do have reinsurance synergies that are coming into play related to the NBIC acquisition in the 2019 Treaty. And we did receive approximately \$17 million of synergies on the 2018 program, and we are expecting somewhere between \$7 million and \$9 million of additional synergies in 2019. So when you add up all of these factors, although there's some uncertainty in the market, plus the FHCF election at 90%, we are not really pricing-in a massive reinsurance rate increase for the 2019 program. Although that number could be up year-over-year in terms of absolute dollars that are spent. We do believe that there are a lot of positive mitigating factors at Heritage that do not exist with the other Florida carriers.

With that, I'll re-queue unless -- to see if anyone has any questions.

Operator

Yes, actually, we do have a question from Bill Broomall with Dowling & Partners.

William Harry Broomall

Dowling & Partners Securities, LLC

Just following up on the LAE that you just kind of mentioned. When you go from the 45% to the 90% in FHCF -- obviously, the FHCF has that 5% LAE cap. Just at a high level, can you maybe talk about kind of how you think the industry will shake out when you kind of are talking about renewing up the side of the FHCF given the LAE is such a hot topic right now?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

Yes. You're right, Bill. It is the hot topic and has been really since Irma made landfall. I remember out -- a news interview the day after Irma and predicted 20-plus percent LAE in the Florida market we had, and everybody has known about it. We're at a point now where the reinsurers are going to look at the individual LAE performance of the Florida carriers and most reinsurers that I have spoken to model between 10% and 14% LAE on a normal basis. We're at 13%. We are within the modeled range. So yes, while the FHCF only covers 5% LAE, that does put some pressure on the layers alongside and above the FHCF. Our loss experience in terms of LAE on Irma was within the modeled ranges. And it's our opinion that we should not have to pay a significantly higher reinsurance pricing based on the industry's LAE, when our actual LAE leads the Florida market in terms of 13% in total.

William Harry Broomall

Dowling & Partners Securities, LLC

Great. Perfect. And just a smaller point. Looking into 2019, now that you have NBIC, did you -- have you seen any pick-up in claims given some of the cold weather that we've had up in the Northeast, pipes and whatnot?

Bruce Thomas Lucas

Chairman & CEO

No. We haven't really seen anything unusual. It's actually been a very quiet quarter in the Northeast.

Operator

And as that was the last question, that does conclude the conference call and the questions session. So thank you for your participation. The call is now concluded. Thank you for attending, and you may now disconnect your lines.

Copyright © 2019 by S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

These materials have been prepared solely for information purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P Global Market Intelligence or its affiliates (collectively, S&P Global). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P Global and any third-party providers, (collectively S&P Global Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Global Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON "AS IS" BASIS. S&P GLOBAL PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Global Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages. S&P Global Market Intelligence's opinions, quotes and credit-related and other analyses are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P Global Market Intelligence may provide index data. Direct investment in an index is not possible. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P Global Market Intelligence assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P Global Market Intelligence does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. S&P Global keeps certain activities of its divisions separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other S&P Global divisions. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P Global may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P Global reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P Global's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

© 2019 S&P Global Market Intelligence.