# 3.1 Relationships between Categorical Variables

May 9, 2019

### 1 Chapter 3. Relationships between Variables

So far, we have seen different ways to summarize and visualize *individual* variables in a data set. But we have not really discussed how to summarize and visualize relationships between *multiple* variables. This chapter is all about how to understand relationships between the columns in a DataFrame. The methods will be different, depending on whether the variables are categorical or quantitative.

## 2 3.1 Relationships between Categorical Variables

177 242 495

In this section, we look at ways to summarize the relationship between two *categorical* variables. To do this, we will again use the Titanic data set.

```
In [1]: %matplotlib inline
    import pandas as pd

titanic_df = pd.read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/dlsun/data-science-book/mag
```

Suppose we want to understand the relationship between where a passenger embarked and what class they were in. We can completely summarize this relationship by counting the number of passengers in each class that embarked at each location. We can create a pivot table that summarizes this information.

A pivot table that stores counts is also called a **contigency table** or a **cross-tabulation**. This type of pivot table is common enough that there is a specific function in pandas to calculate one, allowing you to bypass .pivot\_table:

```
In [3]: counts = pd.crosstab(titanic_df.embarked, titanic_df.pclass)
        counts
Out[3]: pclass
                    1
                              3
        embarked
        C
                 141
                        28 101
        Q
                    3
                         7
                            113
        S
                  177
                       242 495
```

#### 2.1 Joint Distributions

It is common to normalize the counts in a table so that they add up to 1. These proportions represent the **joint distribution** of the two variables.

To calculate the joint distribution, we need to divide the table of counts above by the total count. To find the total count, we call <code>.sum()</code> twice; the first call gives us the sum of each column, and the second call adds those numbers together.

```
In [4]: print(counts.sum().sum())
          joint = counts / counts.sum().sum()
          joint
```

```
      Out[4]: pclass
      1
      2
      3

      embarked
      C
      0.107881
      0.021423
      0.077276

      Q
      0.002295
      0.005356
      0.086458

      S
      0.135425
      0.185157
      0.378730
```

Note that this is yet another example of broadcasting. When we divided the DataFrame counts by the number 1307, the division was applied elementwise, producing another DataFrame.

Each cell in this DataFrame tells us a joint proportion. For example, the cell in the bottom right tells us the proportion of all passengers that embarked at Southampton and were in 3rd class. We notate this joint proportion as follows:

```
P(\text{embarked at Southampton and in 3rd class}) = .379.
```

The joint distribution above could also have been obtained by specifying normalize=True when the contingency table was first created:

The above joint distribution is not, strictly speaking, a contingency table. A contingency table is a table of all counts, while the above table is a table of proportions.

#### 2.2 Marginal Distributions

The **marginal distribution** of a variable is simply the distribution of that variable, ignoring the other variables. To calculate the marginal distribution from a joint distribution of two variables, we sum the rows or the columns of the joint distribution.

For example, to calculate the marginal distribution of embarked, we have to sum the joint distribution over the columns—in other words, *roll-up* or *marginalize over* the pclass variable:

We can check this answer by calculating the distribution of embarked directly from the original data, ignoring pclass entirely.

The numbers match!

Likewise, we calculate the marginal distribution of pclass by summing the joint distribution over the rows—in other words, by *rolling-up* or *marginalizing over* the embarked variable:

So given the joint distribution of two categorical variables, there are two marginal distributions: one for each of the variables. These marginal distributions are obtained by summing the joint distribution table over the rows and over the columns.

The *marginal distribution* is so-named because these row and column totals would typically be included alongside the joint distribution, in the *margins* of the table. A contingency table with the marginal distributions included can be obtained by specifying margins=True in pd.crosstab:

Out[9]:	pclass	1	2	3	All
	embarked				
	C	0.107881	0.021423	0.077276	0.206580
	Q	0.002295	0.005356	0.086458	0.094109
	S	0.135425	0.185157	0.378730	0.699311
	All	0.245601	0.211936	0.542464	1.000000

#### 2.3 Conditional Distributions

The **conditional distribution** tells us about the distribution of one variable, *conditional on* the value of another. For example, we might want to know the proportion of 3rd class passengers that embarked at each location. In other words, what is the distribution of where a passenger embarked, *conditional on* being in 3rd class?

If we go back to the contingency table:

```
In [10]: embarked_pclass_counts
```

there were 101 + 113 + 495 = 709 passengers in 3rd class, of whom

- 101/709 = .142 embarked at Cherbourg
- 113/709 = .159 embarked at Queenstown and
- 495/709 = .698 embarked at Southampton.

We can calculate these proportions in code by dividing the pclass=3 column by its sum:

Notice that these three proportions add up to 1, making this a proper distribution.

This conditional distribution helps us answer questions such as, "What proportion of 3rd class passengers embarked at Southampton?" We notate this conditional proportion as follows:

```
P(\text{embarked at Southampton} \mid \text{in 3rd class}) = 0.698.
```

The pipe | is read "given". So we are interested in the proportion of passengers who embarked at Southampton, *given* that they were in 3rd class.

We could have also calculated this conditional distribution from the joint distribution (i.e., proportions instead of counts):

We have just calculated *one* of the conditional distributions of embarked: the distribution conditional on being in 3rd class. There are two more conditional distributions of embarked:

- the distribution conditional on being in 1st class
- the distribution conditional on being in 2nd class

It is common to report *all* of the conditional distributions of one variable given another variable.

Of course, it is straightforward to calculate these conditional distributions manually:

But there is a nifty trick for calculating all three conditional distributions at once. By summing the counts over embarked, we obtain the total number of people in each pclass: